Children's competence to consent to medical treatment or research

Hein, I.M.

Citation for published version (APA):

General rights
It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations
If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

UvA-DARE is a service provided by the library of the University of Amsterdam (http://dare.uva.nl)
Assessing children's competence to consent to treatment

Irma M. Hein, Pieter W. Troost, Robert Lindeboom, Imke Christiaans, Thomas Grisso, Johannes B. van Goudoever, Ramón J.L. Lindauer
Submitted

Abstract

Objective

Knowledge on children's competence to consent to medical treatment is limited to date. Also, age limits for asking children's consent vary considerably between countries. The correlation between children's decision-making competence and age has never been systematically investigated. Decision-making on predictive genetic testing (PGT) is especially complicated, considering the ethical debate of the appropriateness of PGT in children. In order to examine just age limits for alleged competence to consent in children, we examined a standardized assessment tool, and investigated cutoff ages for children's competence to consent to PGT.

Methods

Participants were 17 pediatric outpatients between 6 and 18 years at risk for an autosomal dominantly inherited cardiac disease, eligible for predictive genetic testing. The reference standard for competence was established by experts trained in the four relevant criteria for competent decision-making (understanding, appreciation, reasoning, and expressing a choice). The MacArthur Competence Assessment Tool for Treatment (MacCAT-T) modified for children served as index test. Data analysis included raw agreement between different raters within the reference standard and the MacCAT-T classifications, and between the reference standard and the MacCAT-T competence classifications. The difference in mean ages between competent and incompetent children was tested, as well as inter-rater agreement for the MacCAT-T scores, and best discriminating cutoff ages for competence on the reference standard.
Results

Twelve (71%) children were competent by the reference standard, and 16 (94%) by the MacCAT-T, with an overall agreement of 76%. The expert judgments disagreed in most cases, the MacCAT-T judgments agreed in 65%. Mean age of incompetent children was 9.3 years and of competent children 12.1 years (p = .035). With 90% sensitivity, children younger than 10.0 years were incompetent, with 90% specificity children older than 11.8 years were competent.

Conclusion

Study results confirm feasibility of the MacCAT-T in children, and support the need for standardization of children’s competence assessment. Findings on age cutoffs are, although premature, indicative for the competence of children between the age of 12 and 18 to be involved in the informed consent process. Future research on appropriate age-limits for children’s alleged competence to consent is needed.

Introduction

Children from families in which a causative mutation has been identified for autosomal dominantly inherited cardiac diseases may be offered predictive genetic testing (PGT) at an age when cardiologic surveillance and preventive treatment are indicated. In most cases the manifestations of these cardiogenetic diseases and in particular sudden death can effectively be postponed or prevented with lifestyle modifications, devices like an internal defibrillator or pacemaker, or use of medication. There is some preliminary evidence that such interventions might reduce risk in children. However, the penetrance of the mutations is variable and incomplete. For instance, approximately 50% of the mutation carriers of LQTS will develop symptoms. PGT is generally considered acceptable, and it can identify individuals at increased risk of or in the early stage of a disease at a time when intervention can reduce the risk of morbidity and mortality. However, many ethical issues have arisen as a result of screening, and the ongoing debate indicates that the matter is complex and various pro’s and con’s illustrate individual cases. This article does not address the larger important ethical questions of the appropriateness of PGT in children generally. Nevertheless, the ethical debate underlines the complexity of the issue and consequently the complexity of the individual’s decision on PGT.
To illustrate the impact that cardiogenetic diseases might have, we will briefly describe the 5 syndromes dealt with in this article. Long QT syndrome (LQTS) is characterized by a prolongation of the corrected QT-interval on the electrocardiogram (ECG) and may lead to palpitations, dizziness, fainting, seizure-like fits and sudden death. Symptoms can be triggered by exertion, emotions, and loud noises in LQTS type 1 and 2, and can occur at rest in LQTS type 3. Manifestations of the symptoms can develop at all ages, but especially in LQTS type 1 and 2 occur in childhood. (139) Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM) is characterized by unexplained ventricular hypertrophy and is the most common cause of sudden unexpected cardiac death in young adults, particularly in competitive athletes. Other symptoms of HCM are dyspnea, chest pain, syncope, arrhythmias, thrombo-embolic events, and heart failure.(139) Brugada syndrome (BS) is a disease with typical abnormalities on the ECG and an elevated risk of sudden cardiac death. Fever as well as certain drugs can evoke the symptoms, most commonly seen in men around the age of 40.(142) Catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia (CPVT) is a disease characterized by arrhythmias caused by a release of catecholamines in case of emotional upheaval, physical exercise or psychological stress.(143) The first symptoms can emerge in childhood or young adulthood. Arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy (ARVC) is a disorder of the myocardium, that usually appears in adulthood, which increases the risk of an arrhythmia. It may not cause symptoms in its early stages, however, affected individuals may be at risk of sudden death, especially during strenuous exercise.(144)

When clinicians do believe testing is appropriate and provide testing to minors and their families, they will invite them to counsel about the disease and PGT and to give their consent to the suggested testing. This raises the question to what degree children understand the risks and benefits of PGT and the complexity of the decision, and can be deemed competent to give their consent. Informing children and gaining their cooperation has important advantages: answering questions and helping the child to understand what to expect will help the child to make sense of the experience, prevent misunderstanding or resentment, and increase compliance.(10) In addition, a just assessment of a child’s competence to consent is vital for striking a proper balance in order to both protect children’s interests when they are not fully able to do so themselves and to respect their autonomy when they are able to exercise it.

In medical practice, competence to consent is generally assessed implicitly and absent a standard. Clinicians tend to judge a child competent if the child’s decision conforms to their own ideas of what was in the child’s
The competency of children to participate in medical decision making remains inconclusive and there is not a well-established assessment approach, neither have the legally set age limits been systematically investigated. Generally, the accepted standard in adults for assessing competence to consent consists of unstructured competence judgment by an expert, trained in the four criteria that reflect the standards for competence in most jurisdictions: understanding, reasoning, appreciation, and expressing a choice.

Empirical studies on children's competence to consent to treatment are very limited. As far as we know, only 3 studies have been conducted using a structured instrument that addresses all four relevant criteria, which in all cases was the MacArthur Competence Assessment Tool for Treatment (MacCAT-T). Chenvenueille investigated the MacCAT-T in a sample of youth with an average age of 17 years, with HIV. A limitation of this study is that previously established cutoff scores were used, which were established in a population of adult psychiatric patients and not evaluated in a sample of minors. Turrell and colleagues used the MacCAT-T in a comparative study on competence to consent in adolescents with anorexia nervosa and healthy controls and found group differences: adolescents with anorexia nervosa tended to experience more problems in reasoning about treatment than healthy controls. Schachter and colleagues assessed understanding by means of a modified version of the understanding section...
of MacCAT-T. Results suggested that the majority of adolescents with ADHD have an understanding similar to that of their parents. None of these studies tested the reliability and validity of the structured assessment instrument against a reference standard. Empirical research on children’s competence to consent is still a novel area.

Therefore, the aim of our study is estimating accuracy of a standardized competence assessment tool for children by modifying the MacCAT-T for use in children and investigating cutoff ages for competence to consent in PGT.

Methods

Participants
Participants were pediatric outpatients between 6 and 18 years of age visiting the clinical genetics department at the Academic Medical Center in Amsterdam, the Netherlands, who were prospectively enrolled. They were referred by physicians for being at risk for an autosomal dominantly inherited cardiac disease. Exclusion criterion was not speaking Dutch. The study protocol was approved by the institutional review board and written informed consent was obtained from adolescents of twelve years and above and a parent or legal guardian before enrollment.

Competence assessment
As the index test for competence assessment we used the MacCAT-T, developed by Grisso and Appelbaum in 1998. PGT in fact concerns diagnostic testing, however it takes place in a treatment context and therefore the MacCAT-T is the most appropriate instrument. The MacCAT-T measures the four aspects of decision-making capacities by operationalizing the four criteria into a semi-structured interview format: (1) understanding the disclosed information about the nature of the disease and the proposed intervention; (2) reasoning in the process of deciding about the proposed intervention, with a focus on abilities to compare alternatives in the light of their consequences; (3) appreciation of the effects of the intervention (or failure to undergo the intervention) on patient’s own situation; and (4) expressing a choice about the intervention. Information disclosure required for informed consent is combined with an assessment of the patient’s capacities. In this study the information disclosure was adapted to the specific cardiogenetic disease that a participant was tested for. The method provides scores for each subscale: 0-6 for understanding, 0-4 for appreciation, 0-6 for reasoning, and 0-2 for expressing a choice. The method does not offer a total
score or a cut off for competence, but the scores on the subscales need to be weighed by the interviewer. The MacCAT-T takes approximately fifteen minutes administration time. It receives empirical support in adult populations of mentally compromised patients. The MacCAT-T was translated in Dutch, and translated back in English, by a professional translator. The version used was approved by the original author (T.G.). The Dutch version was modified for children which included the use of simple language to be understood by children of elementary school age. The interview was read out aloud to participants to exclude interference of children’s reading levels. Furthermore, in the child version of the MacCAT-T we added questions on the influence of social relationships. In the reasoning domain, “How do you think your parents will feel about you deciding to have this diagnostic test or deciding not to have it? And how do you think your friends will feel about it?” has been added (proprietary issues preclude publication of the version used).

Although a gold standard for competence does not exist, we will examine whether using a structured assessment instrument instead of an expert judgment would be possible without compromising accuracy. Usually, agreement is poor between unstructured clinical competence judgments, and often no better than chance. Providing clinicians with information regarding the legal standards improves their judgments and significantly increases the inter-rater agreement. These legal standards embody the four capacities: to communicate a choice, to understand the relevant information, to appreciate the medical consequences of the situation, and to reason about treatment choices. Clinicians aware of these relevant criteria are generally considered to establish the reference standard. However, limitations of this approach may include discordance of expert competence judgments, leading to inconsistencies in the reference standard. Thus, poor performance of the MacCAT-T could either result from imperfections in the reference standard, or from an inaccurate assessment of competence based on the MacCAT-T.

Procedure
Children and parents were informed by a genetic counselor or clinical geneticist on PGT. This conversation included issues necessary for informed decision-making comprising the aims, opportunities, and possible drawbacks of PGT. Parent(s) and children were asked if they consented to PGT. This conversation was videotaped and served as the basis for establishing the reference standard (see below). Usually at the same day, at most within 2 weeks, an interviewer from a panel of experts (listed below)
administered a MacCAT-T interview to the child. This interview was also videotaped, and rated afterwards.

The panel of 7 experts (including I.M.H., P.W.T., I.C., and R.J.L.L.) consisted of a clinical geneticist, child psychiatrists, child psychologists, and a social worker. The experts were trained in judging competence to consent by the 4 relevant criteria, and jointly practiced through rating 3 videos of the conventional informed consent conversation. In addition, the experts were instructed on rating the MacCAT-T, and practiced together by rating 2 videos of MacCAT-T interviews. Next, each member of the panel independently rated a number of conventional informed consent conversation videos and a number of MacCAT-T interview videos that were presented in random order and reciprocally blinded. Each MacCAT-T interview video was rated by 3 different experts. For all videos, the experts gave their judgment consisting of 1 of the following 4 categories: very likely competent, probably competent, probably incompetent, and very likely incompetent. We considered competence to be present when an expert gave a judgment of very likely or probably competent. The experts were not informed about the age of the children. For establishing the reference standard, each video from the conventional informed consent conversation was rated by 2 different experts, and also the clinical geneticist gave his/her judgment of the child’s competence, adding up to 3 judgments.

The cognitive level of the children was assessed by the Wechsler Nonverbal Scale of Ability short version (WNV). The WNV is a clinical instrument for examining cognitive capacities of children and adolescents aged 4 to 21, which is suitable for the general population as well as for children with cultural, linguistic, educational or socio-economic varying backgrounds. The subtests do not invoke verbal capacities as instructions are made by pictograms, and the validity and reliability of the short version are good. The WNV was administered by trained certified professionals (special education or psychology graduates) under supervision of a senior professional.

Analysis
Competence was considered present when at least 2 out of 3 judgments were positive, for both the expert judgments establishing the reference standard, and the ratings based on the MacCAT-T. Agreement between the reference standard and the MacCAT-T based competence classification (accuracy of the MacCAT-T competence classifications) was expressed as the raw percentage agreement.
Reproducibility of the MacCAT-T total and subscale sum scores as obtained by 3 ratings on the MacCAT-T, was estimated using intraclass correlation coefficients (ICC, model 1, single measure).

Agreement between the 3 ratings of the experts, and between the 3 ratings based on the MacCAT-T, was expressed as the raw percentage.

Independent samples t-test was used to test the difference in mean ages between competent and incompetent children on the reference standard. Best discriminating cutoff ages for competence on the reference standard were estimated using receiver operator characteristic curve (ROC) analysis, with area under the curve (AUC) exceeding .70 considered adequate for the estimation of age cutoff.

Results

Between January 1, 2013 and January 1, 2014, 23 children were eligible. Of them, 6 did not participate for different reasons, concerning time constraints in 2 cases, elevated stress in 2 cases, and no clear reason in 2 cases. Non-participants were 4 males, mean age 10 years, and 2 females, mean age 12 years. The characteristics of the 17 included children are listed in table 5. The age range of the participants was between 6 and 17, mean 10.9, variance 6.7. The Intelligence Quotient as measured by the WNV ranged from 89 to 126, with a mean of 107.5.

By the reference standard 12 (71%) children were classified competent, by MacCAT-T classification 16 (94%) children. In 24% of the children, the expert raters classified the child as incompetent and the MACAT-T based rating did not. The other way around did not occur. Overall agreement was 76%.

MacCAT-T total scores inter-rater agreement coefficient was .95. Inter-rater agreement on subscale scores were .93 for understanding, .91 for appreciation, .91 for reasoning and total agreement for choice.

Agreement between all 3 ratings on the reference standard occurred in 8 cases (47%), and on the MacCAT-T based classification the 3 ratings showed agreement in 11 cases (65%).

On the reference standard, mean age of incompetent children was 9.3 years and of competent children 12.1 years (p = .035).

Age as a predictor of competence on the reference standard showed AUC .80 (95%; .55 – 1.00). Cutoff age for competence with 90% sensitivity was 10.0 years and with 90% specificity 11.8 years.
Results of the current study show initial indications for reliability and validity of the MacCAT-T in children eligible for PGT: inter-rater agreement on scores was high, and agreement between MacCAT-T based competence classifications and the reference standard was adequate, although not decisive. By using the MacCAT-T, children were more easily classified as competent than by the reference standard.

Age cutoffs for presumed competence to consent to PGT in this sample, based on the reference standard, were: children of 11.8 years and above were very likely to be competent to consent to PGT, and children of 10.0
years and younger were most probably not competent to consent. Earlier work showed that the modified MacArthur Competence Assessment Tool for Clinical Research was valid and reliable for use in children, and in a population of 161 pediatric patients eligible for research participation, children older than 11.2 years were generally found competent to consent and children younger than 9.2 years incompetent. Obviously, children's competence to consent to treatment and their competence to consent to clinical research are not the same. It has been stated that consent to participation in research must be a more stringent process than consent to treatment, because the research participants are generally not asked to participate for their individual benefit, but to help improve general health care. Nevertheless, the fact that the present findings are consistent with previously found age cutoffs in children regarding their competence to consent to clinical research, increases support for the results.

The rate of disagreement between the competence ratings was high, both for expert judgments and for the MacCAT-T judgments. Even so, where the expert judgments disagreed in most cases, the use of the MacCAT-T led to an increased agreement. Factors that explain the high rate of disagreement between judgments, no matter what assessment method was used, might be related to normative aspects and difficulties in assessing developmental aspects in children. Although decision-making competence may be a matter of minor differences, the competence judgments require a definitive assessment of whether competence is present or not. It is still under debate whether a threshold for competence can be established based on the sum of the different decision-making capacities. Especially in children, development of different domains relevant for competent decision-making may not occur simultaneously, which may complicate the assessment of a child's competence.

For the clinical practice of PGT, no definitive conclusions can be drawn from this study's results. Yet, the results indicate preliminarily that competence to consent to treatment can be present in children under the age of 18, even when it concerns a complex decision regarding PGT. Moreover, in our small sample, all children of 12 years and above were considered competent to consent to PGT, independent of the assessment method used. Taking into account that understanding of the relevant medical information is critical for competent decision-making, it deserves attention to supply even young children with adequate information tailored to their developmental stage and comprehension level, in order to optimally involve them in the informed consent process.
Limitations
A salient limitation of this study concerns the small sample size and wide age range, which complicate an exhaustive analysis of the data. Furthermore, poor reliability among experts forming the reference standard is a significant limitation, as it was the benchmark that the MacCAT-T results were compared to. The fact that all but 1 child in the sample was rated as competent by using the MacCAT-T should be noted, as this could relate to limited utility when using the MacCAT-T. Although participants of a wide age range were recruited, the obtained sample contains for the greater part children between 9 and 14 years of age, thus the generalizability of the results beyond this age group must be considered with caution.

Conclusion
Our present results confirm that the MacCAT-T is promising for standardizing competence assessment in children in treatment situations. The strength for using the MacCAT-T includes high interrater agreement, and the consistency in MacCAT-T results compared to the expert judgments lends additional support to the use of the instrument. The reliability and validity of the MacCAT-T must be demonstrated in a larger sample of children. More extended research on children’s competence to consent to treatment is needed, especially in pediatric populations where competence issues can become problematic. Examples of such situations comprise children older than the legal age for competence who refuse a recommended medical treatment, for instance children with anorexia nervosa who refuse tube feeding, or children with renal insufficiency who refuse dialysis. Also children younger than the legal age for competence who wish for a certain treatment, like children eligible for medical interventions for gender dysphoria, must be considered. More empirical research should provide objective data to underpin a just age limit for alleged competence to consent to treatment in children. In addition, an accurate assessment instrument is needed to substantiate competence judgment in individual cases.