

UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

Consumer perceptions of CSR: (how) is China different?

Kolk, A.; van Dolen, W.; Ma, L.

Published in: International Marketing Review

DOI:

10.1108/IMR-03-2014-0091

Link to publication

License **Article 25fa Dutch Copyright Act**

Citation for published version (APA):

Kolk, A., van Dolen, W., & Ma, L. (2015). Consumer perceptions of CSR: (how) is China different? *International Marketing Review*, *32*(5), 492-517. https://doi.org/10.1108/IMR-03-2014-0091

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

UVA-DARE is a service provided by the library of the University of Amsterdam (http://dare.uva.nl)

Download date: 05 Mar 2021

IMR 32,5

492

Received 30 March 2014 Revised 13 September 2014 Accepted 16 October 2014

Consumer perceptions of CSR: (how) is China different?

Ans Kolk, Willemijn van Dolen and Leiming Ma University of Amsterdam Business School, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Abstract

Purpose – Most studies on consumers and corporate social responsibility (CSR) have focused on Western contexts. Consequently, good insight is lacking into non-Western markets where consumers may respond differently. China is a case in point, despite the popularity of the CSR concept and high societal expectations of firms. The purpose of this paper is to examine how Chinese consumers perceive the underlying components of CSR found in Western countries; whether their CSR expectations differ for local Chinese compared to foreign firms; and whether results differ across regions within China. **Design/methodology/approach** – A country-wide study was done using a questionnaire to collect data in seven distinctive regional markets across China.

Findings – Findings show that the originally Western CSR construct seems generalizable to China, but consumers across all regions perceive two rather than four components: one combining economic and legal responsibilities (labelled "required CSR") and another combining ethical and philanthropic responsibilities ("expected CSR"). Consumers expect local Chinese firms to take more responsibility than foreign firms, particularly for required CSR.

Research limitations/implications – This study focused on consumer perceptions, not on actual buying behaviour, which is a potential area for further research. Follow-up investigation to see whether the findings regarding the CSR concept also hold in other emerging and developing countries would be worthwhile. This also applies to an extension of the different expectations *vis-à-vis* foreign and local firms.

Practical implications – The study gives more insight into notions of standardization and adaptation with regard to CSR, considering China compared to other countries and China's different internal markets. This is relevant for international marketers confronted with (potential) investments and activities in China, inbound or outbound, or in need of a comparative global perspective.

Social implications – While the findings show some context-specificity for CSR in and across China, they also confirm the relevance of the originally Western CSR components to an emerging-market setting. These insights may be helpful for those interested in furthering CSR across countries, and locally as well as globally.

Originality/value – This study responds to calls for an improved understanding of the context-specificity of the originally Western CSR construct and of the extent to which it may be generalizable to non-Western settings such as China. The authors used a sample covering all regions of China and discovered two important dimensions. The results may be helpful to guide the debate on the plethora of CSR conceptualizations into a more focused direction, with clear relevance for the marketing field.

Keywords China, Consumers, Social responsibility, Local-foreign firms

Paper type Research paper



International Marketing Review Vol. 32 No. 5, 2015 pp. 492-517 © Emerald Group Publishing Limited 0265-1335 DOI 10.1108/IMR-03-2014-0091

1. Introduction

While the marketing field has generally seen a growing interest in corporate social responsibility (CSR), especially related to consumers (Maignan and Ferrell, 2004; Vaaland *et al.*, 2008, Peloza and Shang, 2011), most consumer-related studies have focused on Western contexts (Cotte and Trudel, 2009). We therefore lack insight into markets in which consumers are likely to respond differently to the CSR notion, especially emerging and developing countries (Cotte and Trudel, 2009). A recent global consumer survey by Cone/Echo (2013), for example, showed that Chinese and Indian respondents expect most from firms in addressing social and environmental issues; those from the UK, France and

Consumer

perceptions

the USA much less (respectively 30, 37, 9, 12, and 16 per cent). Conversely, regarding a possible positive impact of consumers, Chinese respondents scored by far the lowest (11 per cent), Brazilians as highest (57 per cent), with other emerging and developed countries in between. Interestingly, and despite the popularity of the CSR concept in China, this country has been underexposed in the academic literature, also in comparative research (Eisingerich and Rubera (2010) is an exception).

A literature search that we carried out (and which is reported in the next section), returned only a relatively small number of academic articles on CSR and consumers in China. These studies usually operationalize CSR in terms of only one or two specific subcomponents (e.g. ethical behaviour, green products or charitable contributions) rather than CSR as a whole. The only exception is Ramasamy and Yeung (2009), who focused on CSR as a whole and its economic, legal, ethical and philanthropic components for consumers in Hong Kong and Shanghai, following Carroll's (1991) original conceptualization of the concept and Maignan's (2001) first empirical exploration for French, German and USA consumers. Moreover, studies are generally based on small samples from mostly urban settings which are not very representative of (mainland) Chinese consumers in general. We thus lack a proper understanding of how Chinese consumers across China perceive CSR, what they expect from companies and whether that is context-specific. Another aspect left unexplored in research on consumers and CSR in China is the distinction between local and foreign firms, an aspect that has increasingly been mentioned as representing a source of different expectations.

Using a country-wide consumer questionnaire-based survey, data were collected in seven distinctive regional markets across China, to answer two related sets of questions: first, how Chinese consumers perceive CSR and its components, and whether these perceptions differ across regions; second, whether Chinese consumers expect the same from Chinese local firms compared to foreign firms in terms of CSR, and whether there are differences across regions. In this way, our study aims to make several contributions. It provides insight into how Chinese consumers perceive CSR as a whole and its underlying components found in Western countries (Maignan, 2001). This responds to calls for an improved understanding of the context-specificity of the originally Western CSR construct and of the extent to which it may be generalizable to non-Western settings such as China (Lo et al., 2008; cf. Moon and Shen, 2010). We thus build on existing concepts instead of adding even more new metrics or conceptualizations to the already available plethora of ill-defined constructs (cf. Green and Peloza, 2011). Testing the generalizability of existing concepts also helps to obtain a more rigorous understanding of what CSR means in different parts of the world, and to account for local and regional variations. This applies to both the understanding of CSR and its components as well as the expectations vis-à-vis local respectively foreign firms active in China. From a managerial point of view, this research is highly relevant input for strategic CSR marketing communication decision-making: for multinational managers who must coordinate activities in different cultural and local/regional settings, and for those in charge of local firms' that face competition from others in their domestic markets as well as increasingly complex consumer expectations.

This paper is organized as follows. First, we review the insights from the literature on CSR and consumers in China in general, and on differences in Chinese consumer perceptions of CSR and of CSR expectations for local vs foreign firms in particular. This is followed by an explanation of the sample and methodology, the presentation and discussion of the results and finally conclusions, implications and limitations.

2. Theoretical background

2.1 Consumers and CSR in China: insights from the literature

In recent years, CSR has received much government, public and media attention in China, as also reflected in a wave of publications by practitioners and especially academics (French, 2010a, b; Harper Ho, 2012; Kolk *et al.*, 2010; Lin, 2010; Marquis and Qian, 2014; Moon and Shen, 2010; Ni *et al.*, 2015; Sarkis *et al.*, 2011; Tsoi, 2010; Xu and Yang, 2010; Wong, 2009; Zhou *et al.*, 2012). While yielding a range of valuable insights, what has remained relatively unexplored is the consumer perspective of CSR, i.e., how Chinese consumers perceive CSR and what they expect from companies with respect to CSR. This importance of CSR to consumers has been noted, for example in general terms in Chinese academic literature on CSR (Zhou *et al.*, 2012). Consecutive surveys amongst Chinese managers also show that consumers consistently rank amongst the most valued corporate stakeholders in the CSR debate. In 2010, this group was even ranked as highest, which led Fortune China to announce "The dawn of consumer-driven CSR in China" (Ives *et al.*, 2010). However, empirical insights into Chinese consumers in relation to CSR are rather limited, also in English language research.

To obtain insight into the current status of research on CSR and consumers in China we did a systematic literature search in relevant academic search engines (Web of Science, Academic Search Premier, JSTOR, Business Source Premier, EconLit, as well as those of the main publishers Science Direct, Wiley InterScience, SpringerLink and Sage journals online). We used a combination of key words relating to consumers, China and a whole series of CSR-related terms (also including, e.g. ethics, environment, responsibility, social, philanthropy). Wildcard symbols (e.g. *, ?,) were used to account for possible spelling difference or omitted words. Our search returned a limited number of relevant academic articles for our focus on consumers and CSR in China. Below we will discuss key characteristics of the main articles, included in Table I.

In addition to English articles, we also looked for major publications in Chinese via two popular Chinese academic search engines[1], using the Chinese words for CSR and consumers. Although these search engines only deliver titles and abstracts, a check on these results did not seem to yield publications relevant to our topic as approaches and foci seem to differ greatly. This parallels observations by Moon and Shen (2010, p. 614), in their review paper on CSR in China, that "comparing English language CSR research in western academic and research media with Chinese language CSR research in Chinese academic and research media will not be comparing the like with the like in terms of assumptions about the scientific norms (e.g. theoretical framework, reference, methodology), substance and refereeing of research". This large difference also comes to the fore when looking at a recent "extensive literature review" of CSR and sustainable development studies in China, if we consider the article's set-up, writing and contents (Zhong *et al.*, 2012).

In terms of contents, the English language studies included in Table I can generally be classified into three types. A first topic addresses the question of whether Chinese consumers support firms/products that are socially responsible (Chan, 2001; Deng, 2012; Ramasamy and Yeung, 2009; Sirieix *et al.*, 2011; Tian *et al.*, 2011). Chinese consumers are, in general, found to be supportive of firms' CSR initiatives and willing to transform a good CSR record into positive corporate evaluations, product associations and purchasing intentions. For organic products and green products, however, support level are still low, although growth can be seen. A second area of study explores drivers of Chinese consumers for supporting CSR (Chan, 2001; Deng, 2012; Eisingerich and Rubera, 2010; Liu *et al.*, 2012; Ramasamy *et al.*, 2010; Sirieix *et al.*, 2011; Tian *et al.*, 2011). Three

Authors	Location of respondents	Method	CSR components	Research question(s)
Chan (2001)	Chan (2001) Beijing, Guangzhou	Survey	Green products	What are the determinants of Chinese consumers' green
Deng (2012)	Deng (2012) Wuhan and suburban sites (including Interview Ethical behaviour Huangshi, Ezhou, Huanggang and Xiangfan)	Interview	Ethical behaviour	1. Do different ethical response types actually exist in reality? 2. Does the term ethical behaviour in association with an enterprise have different meanings to different consumers? 3. What are the reasons behind consumers' different
Eisingerich Shanghai and Rubera (2010)	Shanghai	Survey	Contribution to the society's welfare	responses? How does culture influence the impact of four key brand management elements (i.e. brand innovativeness, brand customer orientation, brand self-relevance, and social in it.)
Liu <i>et al.</i> (2012)	Suzhou	Survey	Green products	1. What is the current level of urban residential involvement of green product practices? 2. What are the variables affecting individuals' green product behaviours? 3. What is the relationship between the predicting variables and the level of actual green product
Ramasamy and Yeung (2009)	Shanghai, Hongkong	Survey	Economic, legal, ethical and philanthropic	Detaivours: 1. To what extent are Chinese consumers willing to support firms that are socially responsible in their purchasing decisions? 2. How, why and to what extent are there variations in this support among the Chinese compared to their Western counterparts? 3. Are Chinese consumers able to differentiate between the various responsibilities of businesses as laid out by Carroll? 4. How and why does the relative importance among these factors in China differ from the Western context?
				(continued)

Consumer perceptions of CSR

495

Table I. CSR Research in China from consumers' perspective

IMR 32,5	

496

Authors	Authors Location of respondents	Method	Method CSR components	Research question(s)
Ramasamy et al. (2010)	Ramasamy Hongkong et al. (2010)	Survey	Ethical, well-being of society	What is the influence of religiosity and values on corporate social responsibility support among consumers in Unit Consumers
Sirieix <i>et al.</i> Shanghai (2011)	Shanghai	Interview	Interview Organic food	In four four and surgapore: 1. How do consumers make trade-offs between three types of products: (1) locally produced organic food products, (2) produces that are locally and conventionally produced and (3) imported organic food products? 2. Are these consummers' trade offe breed on gains and
Tian <i>et al.</i> (2011)	Tian <i>et al.</i> Wuhan, Shanghai (2011)	Survey	Environment, charitable donations	Insert the consumers of a decreased on gains and losses related to individual or altruistic motives? I what is the overall situation of consumers' CSR responses in China? 2. Do consumer responses to CSR vary across different product or the consumer of
Yan and She (2011)	430 undergraduate and graduate students in two large universities in a metropolitan area of central China	Interview and survey	Interview Man-nature oriented items, and national benefit oriented items, survey social progress oriented items	3. What is the relationship between consumer demographics and their CSR responses? 1. How to measure socially responsible consumer behaviour in the context of China? 2. Do Chinese consumers share the same ecological and social concerns with their western counterparts?

Consumer

perceptions

broad sets of drivers have been mentioned: first, psychological factors (e.g. affect, CSR awareness/consciousness/attitude, perceptions of self-responsibility, personal values, religiosity, altruism); second, cultural factors (e.g. collectivism, man-nature orientation, power distance, long-run orientation); and third, other factors (e.g. product categories). A third, less covered topic is how Chinese consumers' social responsible consumption behaviour can be measured (Yan and She, 2011).

If we look at location and other peculiarities of the samples, studies on consumers and CSR in China are usually based on relatively small numbers of respondents from mostly urban areas. They focus on large cities which are not very representative of Chinese consumers in general. For instance, Ramasamy and Yeung (2009) included only Shanghai and Hong Kong, two large cities with specific peculiarities that do not seem to be necessarily representative for mainland China. Hong Kong is a former British colony and later dependent territory before it became a special administrative region of China in 1997; Shanghai a rich, coastal city with a Western orientation and an individualist ideology. Also, the articles tend to treat China as if it is a homogeneous market. While this assumption may have been incited by its history of communism and centralized rule, in reality there are huge variations between regions. This heterogeneity, which means that markets within China are segmented, has been shown for consumers in general, most notably by Cui and Liu (2000) who identified seven different regions. However, it has not been taken into account when it comes to consumers' CSR attitudes and behaviours. Especially divergent patterns in economic development and prosperity, which have influenced previously existing traditions, lifestyles and consumption patterns as well as expectations regarding the quality of life, may affect CSR, similar to differences expressed earlier in comparisons of developed and emerging countries in West and East (e.g. Auger *et al.*, 2010, see also below).

While bringing valuable insights, this "state of the art" also suggests some aspects that have remained underexposed. In the studies included in Table I, the CSR measure usually consists of specific subcomponents, such as ethics, charity or environment. Only Ramasamy and Yeung (2009) focused on CSR as a whole using the conceptualization as developed by Carroll (1991). Originally, it contained a pyramid with economic as "basic building block" that "undergirds all else", followed by legal, ethical and philanthropic responsibilities, in this order (Carroll, 1991, p. 42). However, in a later CSR overview article, Carroll (1999, p. 289) emphasized that the "four-part definition" was not meant to be pursued by firms "in sequential fashion but that each is to be fulfilled at all times". Even further nuances were discussed in a follow-up publication (Schwartz and Carroll, 2003). Still, despite the fact that components are not fully mutually exclusive, more recently Carroll again explained them in relation to the "fundamental importance" for firms' "existence": "the economic and legal are always there (required) but then the ethical responsibilities (expected) kick in and then the discretionary/philanthropic (desired) become important"[2].

Carroll's four conceptual CSR components, aimed at managers and firms' moral management, were first empirically explored for consumers by Maignan (2001), who operationalized them for small samples in France, Germany and the USA. Her study, like the replication by Ramasamy and Yeung (2009) in Shanghai and Hong Kong, showed the relevance and applicability to consumer perception settings, as in both cases the four components were found. Remarkably, their samples only contained employees of bank and insurance firms, which "may not represent a population, but provides a good subset of the middle income populations" (Ramasamy and Yeung, 2009, p. 123).

2.2 Differences in Chinese consumer perceptions of CSR

Evidence on the way in which China may be specific or not, regarding CSR in relation to cultural aspects, is very limited, with diverse dimensions coming to the fore. In international comparative studies carried out by more practitioner-oriented agencies focused on trust dimensions, Chinese respondents score consistently high. For example, in the Edelman (2012) Trust Barometer, China ranks highest of all countries on the composite score that measures trust in business, government, NGOs and media. Also, a 2011 Cone/Echo study found Chinese respondents to be "far more trusting" of corporate CSR communication than "citizens in other nations (95 vs 61 per cent globally)" (Cone/Echo, 2011, p. 59). In yet another survey, 78 per cent of Chinese consumers (compared to 65 per cent globally) stated to have "more trust in a brand that is ethically and socially responsible" (Edelman/Good Purpose, 2010). A recent study on female cosmetics (Chu and Lin, 2013) consumers showed a higher perceived importance and expectations of CSR for Chinese than for US respondents.

In the academic literature, differences in consumer perceptions between Western and Eastern countries have been explained by Hofstede's cultural framework. For instance, Zhang *et al.* (2014) show that collectivism, uncertainty avoidance and long-term orientation impact the importance of equity for customer loyalty perceptions. In the context of CSR, Eisingerich and Rubera (2010) show that CSR contributes to brand commitment in China which the authors explain from the collectivist, long-term oriented culture and high-power distance. And although this has not been worked out yet in terms of CSR as a generic concept, Hofstede's (2001) work on cultural values also seems to shed light on potential differences in CSR perceptions between Western and Chinese consumers.

For example, China is a more collectivist society than Western countries (Verburg et al., 1999). It is known that people in collectivist societies put more weight on social norms, support networks and helping each other. This community thinking might imply that Chinese consumers perceive philanthropy as more common for companies to do than Western consumers. Also the stronger long-term orientation of Chinese compared to Western people might be of influence on their CSR perceptions and expectations. CSR activities have in general a long-term focus on the welfare of the society which fits well with the cultural values of Chinese people. On the other hand, it has been argued that in high-power-distance cultures, like China, firms are not expected to contribute to the social welfare of society, so in case they do contribute via CSR it will positively impact consumer perceptions (Eisingerich and Rubera, 2010). Finally, the higher uncertainty avoidance orientation of Chinese compared to Western consumers might impact CSR evaluations. That is, it could be that their need for stability and predictability, and low stress might make them more focused on legal compliance.

In addition to Hofstede's culture dimensions, Zhang *et al.* (2014) introduce two culture-specific values that explain the difference between Chinese and Western consumers. The first is Guanxi and refers to the importance of relationships and social links to Chinese people (Huang, 2000), which is found to be a key aspect for relationship marketing and business performance. This might explain why Chinese firms undertake philanthropic activities, as they are seen as a way to build relationships (French, 2010b). The second value is Mianzi which might be particularly of relevance to CSR as a whole. China is a strongly Mianzi-oriented society. Mianzi means "face and refers to a sense of favourable social self-worth that a person wants to maintain in relational and network contexts" (Zhang *et al.*, 2014, p. 285), with a focus on social rather than private needs (Liao and Wang, 2009; Wong and Ahuvia, 1998). Companies' care for social needs

Consumer

perceptions

to save and gain face might positively influence consumers' perceptions and expectations of CSR. The two culture-specific values relate to what Wang and Juslin (2009) call the Chinese harmony approach to CSR. They argue that the key motive for CSR "is to cultivate virtues and become a 'superior enterprise', which will contribute to the construction of a harmonious society" (p. 446).

These studies lead us to expect that Chinese consumers might have different perceptions with respect to CSR than Western consumers. Based on the cultural differences describe above, philanthropic responsibilities might be expected by Chinese consumers rather than "just" desired. Also, given the high need for stability, predictability and low stress, Chinese consumers may put relatively great weight on the economic and legal dimensions of CSR. However, we will approach this in an exploratory manner as the specificities of the country might also imply that the Western concept of CSR cannot easily be adapted to China, as noted by Wang and Juslin (2009).

2.3 Differences in CSR expectations for local vs foreign firms

Another aspect left unexplored by research on consumers and CSR in China is the distinction between local and foreign firms. Differences in approach, communication and implementation of CSR (components) between Chinese and foreign firms have received considerable attention in the media, popular publications (e.g. French, 2010a, b) and also, to a limited extent, in academic studies (Kolk *et al.*, 2010; Lam, 2002; Tsoi, 2010). They are part of a broader debate on different positions and perceptions of domestic and foreign firms in Chinese politics and society, as also reflected in often divergent treatment in the media and in government's economic and industrial policies (e.g. Kolk and Tsang, 2015). However, analyses of consumer perceptions in this regard are scarce. There has been some research in marketing on the role of Chinese consumer ethnocentrism, although not regarding CSR, that considered to what extent consumers prefer domestic over foreign products (e.g. Bi *et al.*, 2012), but the role of different expectations of companies was not considered.

Regarding CSR expectations of foreign vs domestic firms, some authors have pointed out that foreign firms do not take sufficient social responsibility in China, also considering scandals reported in the media (Lin, 2010; Zhou *et al.*, 2012), and suggestions that foreign firms use lower standards in China than in their home markets (French, 2010b). At the same time, it might well be that this impression comes from foreign firms' lower attention to philanthropic donations, as shown in rankings and publications that focus on disclosure levels in China. There is some evidence for better CSR on the part of multinationals (French, 2010b; Kolk *et al.*, 2010; Wei, 2006). A survey by a communications agency even mentioned that multinationals are seen as "the guide" in meeting increasing consumer CSR expectations given that "companies in China haven't caught up" (Cone/Echo, 2011, p. 60). However, other than this observation from a broad practitioner perspective, there is no systematic research on this topic.

The lack of research is remarkable, given that foreign direct investment (FDI) to China has seen enormous growth in the past three decades, since the market was opened. While multinationals in China have been studied extensively, also in relation to local firms, this is not the case regarding CSR. A literature review from the international business perspective mentioned this topic as important further research avenue, and specifically noted environmental and labour issues given their importance in the Chinese context (Fetscherin *et al.*, 2010). These two topics were also mentioned to be of increasing importance to Chinese consumers and to the evaluation of domestic vs foreign firms in

other publications, but left unexplored (French, 2010a, 2010b; Kolk *et al.*, 2010; Zhou *et al.*, 2012). The generic debate on CSR and impressions of local and foreign firms in China may be relevant in this regard. For example, while CSR was labelled as "necessary for Chinese companies to compete internationally" by the assistance secretary of commerce some years ago (Yi, 2005), local firms also seem to consider it "as a potential way to fight back", apparently supported by the Chinese Government (French, 2010b, p. 7). As French (2010b, p. 7) subsequently explained his observation, "though many factory owners still see corporate responsibility as a Western concept they do see it as a potential method to counter criticism of China's labour and environmental practices".

Environment and labour thus appear to be relatively contentious and politicized issues in the Chinese context, also in relation to the "imposition" of global (foreign) standards, often through export-oriented supply-chain activities by Chinese firms (cf. Kolk, 2010; Lin, 2010; Wickerham and Zadek, 2009), which is why we included them in our exploration of consumer CSR expectations for local vs foreign firms. Although studied separately sometimes (e.g. Ni et al., 2015; Wu, 2015), they seem to be much less "established" than the other components that we explore in this study as part of the overall CSR concept. Interestingly, in a reflective piece for practitioners on his pyramid in the global context, Carroll (2004) noted that expectations change over time, giving examples from different countries including China and mentioning the natural environment and labour issues.

2.4 Research questions

Hence, based on the insights from the literature, the paper seeks to answer two related questions. The first question is:

RQ1. How Chinese consumers perceive the underlying components of CSR found in Western countries, and do these perceptions differ across regions within China?

Based on the literature review, we use the concept originating from Carroll as researchers have used it in empirical studies and found it suitable, also for consumers, in different contexts. Rather than adding new metrics or conceptualizations, our study centres on collecting new evidence for a large emerging-market country. It investigates to what extent the concept really applies to China in general. Also, we target a larger number of respondents, with the aim to provide a representative picture and thus shed light on how CSR and its components were perceived by consumers in and across China.

The second question is:

RQ2. Do Chinese consumers expect the same from Chinese local firms compared to foreign firms in terms of CSR and its key components, and, here as well, do these expectations differ across regions?

We explore whether this local-foreign dimension in relation to CSR might be a topic that deserves further investigation, based on these first findings. To this end, some questions were included in the survey held amongst Chinese consumers. Our study helps to shed some further light, also on dimensions of standardization and adaptation which have hardly been addressed from the CSR perspective (cf. Kolk and Margineantu, 2009). In the next section, the sample and methodology will be explained, followed by a presentation and discussion of the results.

3. Sample and methodology

Respondents were approached by phone and e-mail between April and August 2009, for which we involved a leading Chinese marketing research agency that has more than 600.000 panel respondents living all over China. It has developed a proprietary Panelist Management System[©] that systematically and scientifically manages the whole data collection procedure while assuring its data quality (considering, e.g. consistency and representativeness). The selected panel respondents could easily provide answers online either via their own internet subscription at home or free Wi-Fi spots designated by the agency. Respondents were paid for their participation. China can be segmented into seven distinctive regional markets, considering specific historical, economic and consumer characteristics (Cui and Liu, 2000). Based on demographics, the agency collected data from a representative sample in each region[3]. The mean response rate across regions was 93 per cent and the sample size per region varied between 77 and 235 to match the respective population size of each region. The high response rate and the close correspondence between the sampled respondents' age distribution and the regional population's age distribution suggest that non-response bias is not a problem. After deleting respondents with inconsistent answers and missing values, 921 respondents remained; see Table II for main details of the sample.

The questionnaire was developed in English and then translated into Chinese by one of the co-authors (who is a native speaker). We first pre-tested the Chinese version of the questionnaire on a convenience sample of 69 people living in China. After checking reliability and validity of the scales, and a discussion with respondents as well as a

Demographic variables	Description	Values
Age	Min	24
	Mean	39
	Max	70
Gender	Male	509 (55.3%)
	Female	412 (44.7%)
Education	Primary school	3 (0.3%)
	High school	70 (7.6%)
	College	437 (47.4%)
	University bachelor	333 (36.2%)
	University master or higher	78 (8.5%)
Income	≤2,000 RMB	264 (28.7%)
	2,001-4,000 RMB	390 (42.3%)
	4,001-6,000 RMB	161 (17.5%)
	6,001-8,000 RMB	54 (5.9%)
	8,001-12,000 RMB	35 (3.8%)
	> 12,000 RMB	17 (1.8%)
Years of working experience	< 1 year	12 (1.3%)
	1-≤2 years	31 (3.4%)
	2-≼3 years	33 (3.6%)
	3-≤5 years	75 (8.1%)
	5-≤8 years	88 (9.6%)
	8-≤10 years	60 (6.5%)
	> 10 years	622 (67.5%)
Location	Respondents come from seven region	ns of China, excluding Macau,
	Hong Kong and Taiwan.	/

Consumer perceptions of CSR

501

Table II. Sample profile

Chinese scholar from a Chinese university, the wording of some items was improved. They were also back-translated, except for the already established CSR evaluation item. Respondents were clearly informed about the anonymity of their participation. We measured CSR evaluation and CSR expectation, which we will explain next. In addition, we asked for basic information including age, gender, education level, income, location and working experience, which were used as control variables.

Consumers' CSR evaluation was measured through 16 items encompassing the four CSR components (economic, legal, ethical, philanthropic), as developed by Maignan (2001) and also used by Ramasamy and Yeung (2009). In line with both studies, answers were given on a seven-point scale, ranging from 1 (no support at all) to 7 (strongly support) to statements related to business (see Appendix 1). We also included an overall responsibility item (Number 17 in Appendix 1). In this way, we carry out a proper replication in a different context (compared to Maignan, 2001) and with a more representative sample of Chinese consumers across the country (compared to Ramasamy and Yeung, 2009). To measure differences in consumers' CSR expectations for local Chinese and foreign firms, we used the same four CSR components as discussed above. For each component, we adopt the Golden *et al.* (1987) Numerical Comparative Scale to explore the expectation differences, a scale found superior for contrasting multiple objects across multiple attribute dimensions.

As shown in Appendix 2, we first presented the definition of the CSR components to the respondents and they were subsequently asked to give a score for both local Chinese firms and foreign firms. In addition, we offered respondents the option to indicate that they had no idea[4]. As an exploratory extension, we included items on environmental and labour/workforce responsibility, as these have been mentioned to be of increasing importance to Chinese consumers and in the evaluation of domestic vs foreign firms (French, 2010a, b; Kolk *et al.*, 2010; Lin, 2010; Zhou *et al.*, 2012; cf. Xu and Yang, 2010).

4. Presentation and discussion of results

Before presenting and discussing the results, we first consider a possible issue related to the measurement across regions. As indicated above, China consists of seven regions, each with its own characteristics. Consequently, there is potentially a measurement invariance problem, which has received much attention in cross-country marketing research. In the current study, the measurement invariance for CSR evaluation was assessed across the seven regions using the procedures developed by Steenkamp and Baumgartner (1998). In line with their approach, we tested configural invariance, metric invariance, scalar invariance, factor covariance invariance, factor variance invariance and error variance invariance. The results provide evidence of cross-region equivalence in our measurement of CSR evaluation[5]. This means that there is no measurement invariance problem for the respondents across the seven regions of China. This may not be surprising considering the fact that respondents have considerable levels of education and have thus been part of the standardized Chinese system. Moreover, the current study includes panel respondents with experience in using different kinds of rating scales. Having checked for measurement invariance, we now move to the analysis and the presentation of the results.

4.1 Consumer CSR evaluations

To test if our CSR components are the same as Maignan (2001) and Ramasamy and Yeung (2009), we conducted a principal component analysis, specifying the four

Consumer

perceptions

underlying factors. The results are shown in Table III and indicate that there are many cross-loadings and low factor loadings. Moreover, the eigenvalue for the economic and ethical components are below 1. Even if we delete these cross-loading and low factor loading items (e.g. Eco2-4, Eth2-3), we encounter low eigenvalue, cross-loadings and low factor loadings when using the four-factor extraction solution. Interestingly, Maignan (2001) and Ramasmy and Yeung (2009) found four CSR components and did not report the problems as discussed above for our sample. Instead, we found that Chinese consumers perceived two, rather than four CSR components: one that combines economic and legal responsibilities, and another that combines ethical and philanthropic responsibilities. Compared with the four-factor solution, it can be seen, in Table III, that the Cronbach's α scores are higher, and the eigenvalue of both factors is above 1. Moreover, except for one ethical item, items generally have a high factor loading (0.7 or higher) on their corresponding dimension.

Following Ramasamy and Yeung (2009), we subsequently tested whether consumers regard those two discovered factors as an integral part of CSR, through the following two steps. First, we carried out a correlation analysis between each factor and the overall responsibility item. As shown in Table IV, the two dimensions significantly correlate with the overall responsibility item, which means that Chinese consumers consider both dimensions as part of CSR.

Second, we supplemented the finding in the first step by conducting a regression analysis, in which the overall responsibility item is put as dependent variable. Since the two dimensions are very closely correlated, we could not make a regression model that includes both CSR dimensions at the same time. Instead, we used a nested modelling procedure. First, we estimated the nested model which includes only demographic variables. Second, we estimated the full model containing both demographic variables

			Four	factors		Eco/Leg:	Two factors Phi/Eth:	
	Eco	Leg	Eth	Phi	Communality estimates	"Required CSR"	"Expected CSR"	Communality Estimates
Eco1	0.848	0.293	0.193	0.191	0.879	0.712	0.192	0.544
Eco2	0.563	0.593	0.082	0.235	0.730	0.788	0.227	0.673
Eco3	0.407	0.670	0.187	0.352	0.773	0.789	0.377	0.765
Eco4	0.494	0.699	0.098	0.290	0.826	0.841	0.290	0.792
Leg1	0.300	0.718	0.240	0.309	0.759	0.788	0.356	0.748
Leg2	0.242	0.770	0.226	0.301	0.793	0.798	0.350	0.760
Leg3	0.023	0.810	0.233	0.238	0.767	0.723	0.303	0.615
Leg4	0.192	0.704	0.282	0.329	0.721	0.730	0.392	0.686
Eth1	0.189	0.407	0.739	0.188	0.783	0.586	0.369	0.479
Eth2	0.059	0.291	0.416	0.710	0.766	0.331	0.794	0.740
Eth3	0.161	0.377	0.344	0.684	0.754	0.439	0.746	0.750
Eth4	0.145	0.220	0.617	0.513	0.713	0.368	0.649	0.556
Phi1	0.145	0.247	0.136	0.830	0.789	0.273	0.832	0.766
Phi2	0.131	0.206	0.086	0.827	0.750	0.221	0.816	0.714
Phi3	0.184	0.189	0.117	0.776	0.685	0.243	0.772	0.654
Phi4	0.189	0.386	0.148	0.765	0.792	0.416	0.773	0.770
Eigenvalue	0.727	1.602	0.541	9.410		9.410	1.602	
Cronbach's α	0.848	0.897	0.898	0.891		0.95	0.92	
Note: Eco. E	conomi	ic: Leg.	Legal:	Phi. Ph	ilanthropic: Eth.	Ethical		

Table III. Factor analysis

IMR 32,5

504

and one CSR dimension. This enables an analysis whether the added CSR dimension can significantly improve model fit to explain the overall responsibility item. Nested regression analysis (see Table V) revealed that both dimensions significantly influence the overall responsibility item, with a stronger impact of the ethical/philanthropic dimension than the economic/legal dimension. This further confirms our earlier finding that Chinese consumers consider both dimensions to be part of CSR.

The dimension that consists of economic and legal responsibilities can be labelled "required CSR", in line with how Carroll (1991) characterized these two in explaining his four-part definition of CSR (cf. Schwartz and Carroll, 2003). We designate the second dimension, which encompasses ethical and philanthropic responsibilities, "expected CSR". While Carroll identified ethical as "expected" and philanthropic as "desired", China offers a clear example of a context in which philanthropy can also be seen as expected CSR. As a China expert put it, "consumers want companies to give to important issues and causes, just not to expect anything back" (Cone/Echo, 2011, p. 60). Stimulated by the government, Chinese firms have increasingly undertaken philanthropic activities to establish good relationships, and foreign firms are said to be "moving closer to this Chinese model of stressing community investment and philanthropy" (French, 2010b, p. 5). Interestingly, the twofold division between "required" and "expected" CSR relates to some extent to an observation by Ethical Corporation that "most of the debate around corporate responsibility in China focuses either on legal compliance on the one hand or corporate philanthropy on the other" (French, 2010a, p. 3). Moreover, and perhaps coincidentally, Carroll (1991) included economic and legal components of CSR in one table, and ethical and philanthropic in another.

To examine possible differences in CSR evaluations across Chinese regions, first, like above, we again tested the measurement invariance of both the "required CSR" and

	Two fa	ctors
	Eco/leg "Required CSR"	Eth/Phi "Expected CSR"
Required	1	
Expected	0.738***	1
Overall responsibility	0.607***	0.799***
Mean	5.74	5.54
Note: *** <i>p</i> -value < 0.001		

Table IV.Means and correlations^a

	C^{b}	Age	Gender	Education	Income	V^{c}	R^2	Model fit comparison ^d
V = Required CSR V = Expected CSR	1.630 (< 0.001) 0.336 (0.211)	-0.0001 (0.98) 0.004 (0.197)	0.320 (< 0.001) 0.131 (0.045)	-0.056 (0.337) -0.065 (0.147)	-0.059 (0.135) -0.052 (0.083)	0.680 (< 0.001) 0.958 (< 0.001)	0.618 0.803	6.1 (0.07) 16.5 (0.015)

Table V.Nested regression model results for two CSR components^a

Notes: ^aDependent variable is the item of overall responsibility. p-Value of each independent variable is put in parentheses; ^bc is a constant in the regression model; ^cV is one CSR dimension. Its dimension name is shown in the first column of this table; ^dFull model vs reduced model comparison is done via F-test and its p-value is put in parentheses

"expected CSR" dimensions across the seven regions using the procedures developed by Steenkamp and Baumgartner (1998). Results show a cross-region equivalence in our measurement of CSR evaluation⁵. We subsequently tested how consumers in the seven regions of China perceive CSR (see detailed results in Table VI). There is a consistent pattern across the regions that consumers perceive economic and legal responsibilities (labelled "required CSR") as one single dimension, and ethical and philanthropic responsibilities ("expected CSR") as another one, after excluding a few outlier items (italicized in Table VI). Thus, contrary to Ramasamy and Yeung (2009), we conclude that Chinese consumers in general and in the different regions of China do not distinguish between the four components as outlined by Carroll (1991), but perceive only two of them.

We looked at two further aspects. First, we tested the relative importance of the two main CSR dimensions, to see which one is most important for Chinese consumers in general and for consumers in each region. Findings show that Chinese consumers put significantly greater weight on required CSR than on expected CSR, in general and for the different regions. The only exceptions are the Northeast and Northwest regions, where differences in consumer perceptions of the two dimensions are not significant (see Table VII).

Second, using MANOVA, we test whether consumers across regions have the same evaluation level for required CSR, and for expected CSR. Findings show that consumers in different regions have the same evaluation level for the two types of social responsibility and for CSR as a whole (with p-values of Pillai's trace, Wilk's λ and Hotelling's Trace equal to 0.413, 0.412 and 0.412, respectively). The results do not change if we add demographic covariates. Hence, consumers' evaluation of CSR overall as well as of its two dimensions, required CSR and expected CSR, are the same across the seven regions of China.

4.2 CSR expectation differences for local Chinese firms and foreign firms

To test whether Chinese consumers expect different CSR activities from local Chinese firms compared to foreign firms, we analysed these for the two CSR dimensions, required CSR and expected CSR. As shown in Table VIII, overall Chinese consumers expect local Chinese firms to take more responsibility than foreign firms, particularly with respect to required CSR. This is an interesting finding in view of the debate mentioned above (see Section 2.3). Our findings may reflect consumer perceptions that multinationals are indeed leading in terms of CSR and that local Chinese firms need to catch up, especially with regard to required CSR. The societal embeddedness and the importance of Mianzi in Chinese society may have played a role in these differential expectations, but that is something that deserves further study in follow-up research. We checked in our sample whether consumer expectations of CSR for local Chinese firms and foreign firms differed depending on respondents' income, education, length of employment and working experience in specific organizations, especially by foreignowned vs domestic employers[6]. However, these aspects were not found to play a role.

We subsequently tested whether these findings regarding CSR expectations for local and foreign firms differ across regions in China (Tables IX and X). For required CSR, consumers in two regions (i.e. North China and Southwest China) expect local Chinese firms to take the same level of responsibility as foreign firms. However, consumers in the other regions expect local Chinese firms to do more than foreign firms. For expected CSR, consumers in three regions (i.e. North China, Southwest China and East China) expect local Chinese firms to take the same level of responsibility as

IMR 32,5

506

North	Northeast China	North	China	East (China	South	South China	Southwest China	st China	Central China		Northwe	st China
Required	ed Expected	Required	Εχ	Required	Expected	Required	Expected	Required	Expected	Required	ted	Required	Expected
CSR	CSR	CSR	CSR	CSR	CSR	CSR	CSR	CSR	CSR	CSR	CSR	CSR	CSR
92.0		0.676	0.271	0.771	0.027	0.692	0.312	0.703	0.179	0.719	0.241	0.681	0.087
0.762	_	0.832	0.258	0.783	0.227	0.724	0.129	0.822	0.276	0.823	0.233	0.73	0.166
0.801	0.419	0.803	0.391	0.852	0.276	0.726	0.507	0.793	0.456	0.648	0.508	0.827	0.24
0.843		0.862	0.294	928.0	0.166	0.839	0.339	0.85	0.344	908.0	0.326	0.862	0.237
0.84		0.824	0.409	0.812	0.189	0.751	0.324	0.674	0.58	0.63	0.492	0.822	0.236
0.766		0.846	0.362	0.852	0.233	0.857	0.31	0.725	0.415	0.641	0.529	0.859	0.206
0.769		0.771	0.386	0.723	0.241	0.859	0.253	0.679	0.417	0.737	0.248	0.675	0.17
0.746		0.773	0.378	0.766	0.351	0.616	0.564	0.511	0.622	0.613	0.539	0.783	0.206
0.631		0.569	0.481	0.594	0.385	0.755	0.273	0.375	0.563	0.57	0.459	0.573	0.248
0.599		0.4	0.804	0.143	0.799	0.427	0.75	0.333	0.784	0.397	0.758	0.166	0.827
0.659		0.472	0.734	0.32	0.712	0.65	699.0	0.414	0.821	0.432	0.744	0.312	0.763
0.729		0.493	69.0	0.07	0.712	0.448	0.638	0.348	0.672	0.359	0.724	0.263	0.582
0.313		0.279	0.887	0.224	0.783	0.573	0.536	0.375	0.795	0.252	0.834	0.204	0.826
0.245		0.327	0.83	0.158	0.758	0.533	0.659	0.184	0.867	0.265	0.772	0.083	0.814
0.406		0.221	0.816	0.239	0.789	0.386	0.658	0.268	0.732	0.247	0.748	0.163	0.736
0.531	0.783	0.527	0.689	0.396	0.75	0.367	0.821	0.477	0.732	0.404	0.765	0.258	0.825
7.28		6.58	5.48	2.97	4.60	6.51	4.81	5.24	6.01	5.15	5.65	5.57	4.55
96:0	0.94	96:0	0.94	0.94	0.89	0.92	0.94	0.93	0.93	0.92	0.93	0.92	0.88
5.78	5.64	5.61	5.44	5.83	5.49	5.85	5.55	5.76	5.59	5.82	5.49	5.66	5.61

Table VI. Factor analysis for the seven regions

foreign firms. Then again, consumers in the other regions expect local Chinese firms to do more than foreign firms. We checked other information that we collected (via the survey or from national sources) to see whether demographic or region-level factors (GDP, imports, exports, FDI) could help shed light on possible explanatory factors. However, we did not find clear consistent answers. Below we will indicate some aspects

Consumer perceptions of CSR

507

	Required CSR	Expected CSR	T-statistic (p-value) ^a	
Whole China	5.7	5.5	6.2(< 0.001)	
Northeast China	5.78	5.64	1.79(0.08)	
North China	5.61	5.44	1.97(0.05)	
East China	5.83	5.49	3.33(0.001)	
South China	5.85	5.55	3.38(0.001)	Table VII
Southwest China	5.76	5.59	2.23(0.028)	Relative importance
Central China	5.82	5.48	5.47 (< 0.001)	of the two CSR
Northwest China	5.66	5.61	0.42(0.67)	dimensions per
Note: ^a Tests whether	the evaluation of required	CSR is significantly differ	rent from expected CSR	region

	Foreign firms	Local Chinese firms	T-statistic (p-value)	Ø 11 1777
Required CSR Expected CSR	5.92 5.88	6.14 6.05	5.74(<0.001) 5.35(<0.001)	Table VIII. CSR expectation differences

	Foreign firms	Local firms	T-statics (p-values) ^{a,b}	
South China	6	6.33	3.29(0.002)	
Central China	5.90	6.13	2.69(0.008)	
Northwest China	5.84	6.08	2.54(0.012)	
Northeast China	5.96	6.26	2.46(0.016)	
East China	5.8	6.05	2.32(0.02)	Та
Southwest China	6	6.2	1.86(0.065)	Requi
North China	6	6.1	1.13(0.26)	exp
Notes: ^a Regions are or	dered by T-statistic (descen	nding order); ^b Significan	t ones are highlighted italics	dif

	Foreign	Local	T-statics (p-values) ^{a,b}	
Central China	5.84	6.09	3.496(0.001)	
South China	5.63	5.95	2.94(0.005)	
Northwest China	5.87	6.05	2.56(0.011)	
Northeast China	5.96	6.15	2.06(0.042)	
North China	6	6.1	1.5(0.13)	Tabl
East China	5.68	5.80	1.17(0.24)	Expected
Southwest China	6	6.1	1.07(0.287)	expected
Notes: ^a Regions are orde	ered by T-statistic (descen	nding order); ^b Significa	ant ones are highlighted italics	differe

that may be considered in further research, also considering possible factors related to lifestyles and traditions as suggested by Cui and Liu (2000), and indicated in Section 2.1.

We first considered North China and Southwest China, as they are different on both required and expected CSR (with consumers expecting the same level of responsibility for local and foreign firms) from other regions. Characterized by Cui and Liu (2000) as "emerging markets", our analysis of region-level information showed that, compared to other regions, they score considerably lower averages for GDP, imports, exports and FDI (in number and monetary amounts; see Appendix 3). Compared with other much less developed and closed regions (Northwest China and Northeast China, called "untapped markets" by Cui and Liu, 2000), North China and Southwest China have a long history of small business operations and international trade (Sun, 2005; Wang, 2007; Wu, 1998). This implies relative openness and interest in economic improvement.

Concurrently, consumers may have realized that, different from other prosperous regions (e.g. East China and South China), they have benefited less as a result of considerably lower FDI in their regions. This is also shown, for example, by the fact that almost 95 per cent of inhabitants work for local Chinese firms, a figure that is 10 per cent lower in East and South China (Calculated from National Bureau of Statistics, 2011). One might suggest that they see foreign firms as drivers for welfare and growth in these regions, presumably also related to CSR, and as something from which local firms can learn, and that should be adopted to the same extent for both types. In terms of values, consumers are said to be "relatively conservative" and focused on "intrinsic satisfaction" in North China, and have a "slow pace of life" in Southwest China (Cui and Liu, 2000, p. 60), which may suggest a "wait-and-see" attitude more generally.

The situation for East China, where expectations for local and foreign firms are the same regarding expected CSR, i.e. ethical and philanthropic responsibilities, is rather different. Even amongst the most developed regions (i.e. excluding the Northwest and Northeast), East China stands out for being most prosperous, with much higher GDP, imports, exports, FDI, population density and education levels. East China is wellknown for its openness, having attracted thousands of multinationals to start their Chinese business activities in this region (National Bureau of Statistics of China, 2011). At the same time, the region also has a tradition of local entrepreneurship (Wang, 2007). Many local firms are very profitable and have made generous philanthropic donations; examples include the Wahaha, Geely and Fosun Groups (Ministry of Civil Affairs, 2010; Research Institute of Chinese Private Economy, 2012). This may have had a positive impact on perceptions of Chinese firms, perhaps leading to views that foreign firms should to the same in terms of ethical and philanthropic responsibilities. Interestingly, regarding required CSR, consumers in East China expect local firms to do more than foreign firms. Apparently - and perhaps due to their good access to media, cosmopolitan lifestyles and trend-setting behaviour (cf. Cui and Liu, 2000) - the impression of foreign firms is much better here.

Finally, we carried out an exploratory extension regarding environmental and labour/workforce responsibility. Interestingly, while for the original four components (see Appendix 2, items 1-4), Chinese local firms are expected to take more (respectively economic, philanthropic, ethical and legal) responsibility than foreign firms, expectations are the same for both types of firms for environmental and labour/workforce responsibility[7]. This applies regardless of demographic variables, and for (almost) all regions. The only exception is that consumers in Northwest China expect foreign firms to take more environmental responsibility. One might possibly relate this

to the specifics of this region, which is much less developed and suffers considerably from sandstorm and desertification problems. Only a small proportion of foreign firms is present here while local Chinese firms are not that productive and profitable. Perhaps specific issues may have played a role in raising expectations *vis-à-vis* foreign firms in particular, related to the composition of the population, historical and cultural traditions and government policies to further migration from other regions and promote business development. However, at this stage this is not more than a rather tentative indication of possible aspects. As noted, this part of the analysis is preliminary in general, and might be a subject for further study, as will also be indicated in the final, concluding section of this paper that follows next.

5. Conclusions and implications

5.1 Theoretical contributions

This study responded to calls for an improved understanding of the context-specificity of the originally Western CSR construct and of the extent to which it may be generalizable to non-Western settings such as China. Despite large interest in CSR in China, this country has been underexposed in the academic literature, with empirical studies mainly focused on specific subcomponents of CSR and based on evidence from small, urban samples. To help fill this gap, this paper reports the results of a country-wide consumer survey carried out in China, with the aim to answer two related sets of questions. The first question was how Chinese consumers perceive CSR and its components, and whether these perceptions differ across regions. Second, we explored whether Chinese consumers expect the same from Chinese local firms compared to foreign firms in terms of CSR, and whether there are differences across regions.

We compared our findings against two earlier studies (Maignan, 2001; Ramasamy and Yeung, 2009). We replicated their work in a different context (compared to Maignan's focus on France, Germany and the USA) and with a larger and more representative sample of Chinese consumers across the country (compared to Ramasamy and Yeung's research on Hong Kong and Shanghai). While their research found consumers to distinguish economic, legal, ethical and philanthropic components of CSR, our study yielded two rather than four components. One dimension combines economic and legal responsibilities, which we call "required CSR", following Carroll (1991). The other combines ethical and philanthropic responsibilities and is labelled "expected CSR". Interestingly, Chinese consumers appear to have higher CSR expectations for local firms compared to foreign firms, particularly regarding required CSR. Our findings generally hold for China as a whole, for consumers in all regions in China that we distinguished (based on Cui and Liu, 2000). Only in a few regions were local and foreign firms expected to take similar levels of responsibility for some aspects.

Overall, our findings regarding consumer perceptions of CSR seem in line with the literature on societal expectations in Chinese culture, as applied recently to consumers (Zhang et al., 2014). Based on collectivist values and the culture-specific value Guanxi, companies may be expected to support others via philanthropy and it might be seen as a way to build relationships. Higher consumer expectations vis-à-vis local firms (compared to foreign firms) might be related to a second value noted by Zhang et al. (2014), Mianzi, in view of care for social needs in local relational and network settings. Furthermore, we find that Chinese consumers appear to put significantly greater weight on required CSR than on the expected CSR dimension. This might be caused by the high uncertainty avoidance orientation of Chinese consumers which leads them to emphasize economic stability, predictability and legal compliance.

Our study contributes to research on CSR in general and in China in particular by focusing on the CSR concept as a whole, and its economic, legal, ethical and philanthropic components. We use a sample covering all regions of China, in a comprehensive approach that has not been taken before. While our findings show some context-specificity for CSR in and across China, they also confirm the relevance of the originally Western CSR components to an emerging-market setting (cf. Lo *et al.*, 2008; Moon and Shen, 2010).

Furthermore, as an empirical test of the CSR concept, our study might be helpful to guide the debate on the plethora of CSR conceptualizations into a more focused direction, centred on collecting evidence rather than adding additional concepts. In a book review, Frederick (2012) counted 29 different concepts, which led him to raise the question of whether the business and society/ethics field is "stuck in a conceptual mansion of our own making, doors locked, no windows, no mirrors, talking endlessly to each other in what amounts to a 'No Exit' hell" (Frederick, 2012, p. 4). To some extent, the emergence of ever new conceptualizations may also have been due to the confusion of the original CSR concept, particularly the fact that its components were not mutually exclusive and that expectations change over time, as noted by Carroll (2004) himself as well. The need to investigate the contextual and time-bound relevance and nature has also inspired this study.

Our research also adds to the literature on the diversity of Chinese consumer perceptions, as the first application to CSR of the regional distinction made by Cui and Liu (2000). We find some regional differences for the importance of CSR dimensions as well as for CSR expectations for local firms compared to foreign firms that serve as input for managerial decision-making and for possible follow-up studies, as will be indicated next.

5.2 Future research

Our results regarding "required CSR" and "expected CSR" as two dimensions may serve as input to follow-up research. It might, for example, be interesting to test cultural differences directly, by performing a study on consumer perceptions and CSR expectations in a Western and Eastern (non-Western) culture. Based on our study, future research might develop hypotheses and specifically test how required and expected CSR may differ between the two cultures. Regarding the diversity of Chinese consumer perceptions, the finding that, in general, Chinese consumers have higher CSR expectations for local firms compared to foreign firms, deserves further investigation, as this topic seems highly relevant but was not addressed empirically before.

With respect to the conceptualization of the CSR concept, we explored the environmental and labour dimensions, but these deserve further attention. Both have been contentious issues in China, considering its rapidly growing and increasingly internationally competitive economy accompanied by pollution, labour unrest and regulatory compliance concerns. It would also be interesting to further explore additional components of CSR in the Chinese context by using qualitative research methods. This includes a further elaboration of our initial finding related to environmental and labour/workforce responsibilities, which are highly relevant for research and practice, and have clear societal implications as well.

Furthermore, the regional differences for the importance of CSR dimensions as well as for CSR expectations for local firms compared to foreign firms offer an interesting starting point for follow-up studies. This also applies to another aspect that we explored, which is the difference between CSR perceptions *vis-à-vis* local and foreign

of CSR

Consumer

perceptions

firms. The finding that, in general, Chinese consumers have higher CSR expectations for local firms compared to foreign firms, deserves further investigation, as this topic seems highly relevant but was not addressed empirically before.

Finally, while our sample creates a much better understanding of how consumers in different regions of China perceive CSR, it is just one study that can be repeated and extended. This may also provide insight as to whether the seven regions found by Cui and Liu (2000) are the best suitable for segmenting the Chinese market, or whether a different (more fine-grained) distinction is necessary. Further investigation is also needed to discover drivers for regional differences, including levels of development, economic openness, cultural and historical aspects. Finally, this study has focused on consumer perceptions only, not on their actual buying behaviour. The intention-behaviour gap is well-known in CSR studies (e.g. Carrington *et al.*, 2010; Devinney *et al.*, 2010; Eckhardt *et al.*, 2010; Kolk, 2013), and has also been noted for Chinese consumers in general (e.g. Bi *et al.*, 2012). This is thus something to be taken into account in possible follow-up research as well.

5.3 Managerial implications

Our findings have implications for policy-makers and managers in particular. To start with, it is important to keep in mind that constructs and concepts as developed for Western contexts might be somewhat different in and across China. For example, while Western multinationals may want to highlight all four CSR components in their home-country communications, in China required and expected responsibilities are the two dimensions that count, and thus deserve due consideration in this specific setting. It suggests a difference between a more issue-based approach, adopted by some Western companies, and (a Chinese) one that relies on providing assurance to consumers (by doing what is required), and contributing to a harmonious society (by doing what is expected). These dimensions were explained in earlier sections of this paper, and seem relevant for all firms operating on the Chinese market, as well as for policy-makers and other stakeholders involved in CSR issues in relation to China.

Despite the existence of different regions within China, there do not seem to be large within-country differences, which allows for relatively similar approaches. Moreover, since Chinese consumers appear to put significantly greater weight on the required CSR dimension, firms may want to underscore this aspect even more. Although this implication holds for all firms, our findings show that particularly local Chinese firms should underline their required CSR activities as they are expected to take more responsibility than foreign firms in this respect and on CSR in general. Putting more emphasis on CSR might also help to prepare Chinese firms for a process of internationalization, and combat suspicions regarding their lower CSR performance abroad (e.g. Kolk *et al.*, 2010). And as consumers are recognised as a crucial for CSR, also in other emerging markets (e.g. Park *et al.*, 2014), ensuring good communication towards this stakeholder category seems to be key.

Our study shows that it is possible to target CSR communication at the general consumer base in China as we could not find differences in expectations based on consumers' income, education, length of employment and working experience in specific types of organizations. At the same time, possible differences across regions should be considered as the Chinese market is far from homogeneous, and consumer preferences are subject to change. This appears to be relevant for firms – as part of a geographic segmentation strategy and concomitant promotion strategies – as well as policy-makers given their interest in furthering CSR. Foreign firms, both those

already active in the country/region and those planning to enter, might want to monitor what Chinese firms are doing given that they likely have greater familiarity with local settings.

Finally, as CSR (standardization) is sometimes a contested issue in relations between China and other countries, more insight into perceptions of consumers/citizens from across the country seems helpful for a more profound understanding that goes beyond rhetoric and "fact-free" generalizations. This is also relevant for those interested in furthering CSR across countries, and locally as well as globally. Our study gives more insight into notions of standardization and adaptation with regard to CSR, considering China compared to other countries, and China's different internal markets. This is useful for international marketers confronted with (potential) investments and activities in China, inbound or outbound, or in need of a comparative global perspective.

Notes

- 1. http://search.cnki.net/ and www.wanfangdata.com.cn/.
- Quoted from e-mail posted by Archie Carroll to BETS-L@aomlists.pace.edu on 4 August 2012; part of discussion string forwarded to corp-ethics@yahoogroups.com on 5 August 2012.
- While Cui and Liu (2000) include Hong Kong, our sample excluded Hong Kong as it is rather distinct from mainland China (cf. Moon and Shen, 2010).
- 4. In total, 41 people used this option; these respondents did not show differences regarding location, education, age, gender, income and working experience.
- 5. Details of the analysis, which is not reported here, are available from the authors.
- 6. Details of this analysis, which is not reported here, are available from the authors. In our survey, we asked respondents to tell us for what type of employer they had worked (wholly foreign-owned enterprises, Sino-foreign joint ventures; state-owned enterprises; private companies; government and public institutions; own business, or others).
- 7. Details of this analysis, which is not reported here, are available from the authors.

References

- Auger, P., Devinney, T.M., Louviere, J.J. and Burke, P.F. (2010), "The importance of social product attributes in consumer purchasing decisions: a multi-country comparative study", *International Business Review*, Vol. 19, pp. 140-159.
- Bi, X., Gunessee, S., Hoffmann, R., Hui, W., Larner, J., Ma, Q. and Thompson, F.M. (2012), "Chinese consumer ethnocentrism: a field experiment", *Journal of Consumer Behaviour*, Vol. 11, pp. 252-263.
- Carrington, M.J., Neville, B.A. and Whitwell, G.J. (2010), "Why ethical consumers don't walk their talk: towards a framework for understanding the gap between the ethical purchase intentions and actual buying behaviour of ethically minded consumers", *Journal of Business Ethics*, Vol. 97, pp. 139-158.
- Carroll, A.B. (1991), "The pyramid of corporate social responsibility: toward the moral management of organizational stakeholders", Business Horizons, Vol. 34 No. 4, pp. 39-48.
- Carroll, A.B. (1999), "Corporate social responsibility. Evolution of a definitional construct", Business & Society, Vol. 38 No. 3, pp. 268-295.
- Carroll, A.B. (2004), "Managing ethically with global stakeholders: a present and future challenge", Academy of Management Executive, Vol. 18 No. 2, pp. 114-120.

Consumer

perceptions

- Chan, R. (2001), "Determinants of Chinese consumers' green purchase behavior", Psychology & Marketing, Vol. 18 No. 4, pp. 389-413.
- Chu, S. and Lin, J. (2013), "Consumers' perception of corporate social responsibility in the United States and China: a study of female cosmetics consumers", *International Journal of Strategic Communication*, Vol. 7 No. 1, pp. 43-64.
- Cone/Echo (2011), 2011 Cone/Echo Global CR Opportunity Study, Cone Communications, Boston, MA.
- Cone/Echo (2013), 2013 Cone/Echo Global CSR Study, Cone Communications, Boston, MA.
- Cotte, J. and Trudel, R. (2009), Socially Conscious Consumerism. A Systematic Review of the Body of Knowledge, Network for Business Sustainability, Knowledge Project Series, London.
- Cui, G. and Liu, Q. (2000), "Regional market segments of China: opportunities and barriers in a big emerging market", *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, Vol. 17 No. 1, pp. 55-72.
- Deng, X. (2012), "Understanding consumer's responses to enterprise's ethical behaviors: an investigation in China", *Journal of Business Ethics*, Vol. 107 No. 2, pp. 159-181.
- Devinney, T.M., Auger, P. and Eckhardt, G.M. (2010), *The Myth of the Ethical Consumer*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Eckhardt, G.M., Belk, R. and Devinney, T.M. (2010), "Why don't consumers consume ethically?", *Journal of Consumer Behaviour*, Vol. 9, pp. 426-436.
- Edelman (2012), "2012 Edelman Trust Barometer Executive Summary".
- Edelman/Good Purpose (2010), "Citizens engage! Edelman goodpurpose study 2010".
- Eisingerich, A.B. and Rubera, G. (2010), "Drivers of brand commitment: a cross-national investigation", *Journal of International Marketing*, Vol. 18 No. 2, pp. 64-79.
- Fetscherin, M., Voss, H. and Gugler, P. (2010), "30 years of foreign direct investment to China: an interdisciplinary literature review", *International Business Review*, Vol. 19 No. 3, pp. 235-246.
- Frederick, W.C. (2012), "A conceptual toolkit for all seasons'. Corporate social responsibility: an ethical approach by Mark S. Schwartz. A review", available at: http://williamcfrederick. com/articles%20archive/SwartzReview.pdf (accessed 28 March 2014).
- French, P. (2010a), "Corporate responsibility, Chinese-style", *Ethical Corporation. Country Briefing: China*, March, pp. 1-3.
- French, P. (2010b), "A unique attitude to responsibility", *Ethical Corporation. Country Briefing: China*, March, pp. 4-8.
- Golden, L., Albaum, G. and Zimmer, M. (1987), "The numerical comparative scale: an economical format for retail image measurement", *Journal of Retailing*, Vol. 63 No. 4, pp. 393-410.
- Green, T. and Peloza, J. (2011), "How does corporate social responsibility create value for consumers?", Journal of Consumer Marketing, Vol. 28 No. 1, pp. 48-56.
- Harper Ho, V. (2012), "Beyond regulation: a comparative look at state-centric corporate social responsibility & the law in China", working paper, University of Kansas School of Law, Kansas.
- Hofstede, G.H. (2001), Culture's Consequences. Comparing Values, Behaviors, Institutions, and Organizations Across Nations, 2nd ed., Sage, Thousands Oaks, CA and London.
- Huang, Y.H. (2000), "The personal influence model and Gao Guanxi in Taiwan-Chinese public relations", Public Relations Review, Vol. 26 No. 2, pp. 219-236.
- Ives, K., Wickerham, J. and Shi, Y. (2010), "The dawn of consumer-driven CSR in China. Fortune China/accountability managerial survey on corporate responsibility", Fortune China, March, (in Chinese).

- Kolk, A. (2010), "Multinationals and corporate social responsibility", *Politeia*, Vol. XXVI No. 98, pp. 138-152.
- Kolk, A. (2013), "Mainstreaming sustainable coffee", Sustainable Development, Vol. 21 No. 5, pp. 324-337.
- Kolk, A. and Margineantu, A. (2009), "Globalisation/regionalisation of accounting firms and their sustainability services", *International Marketing Review*, Vol. 26 Nos 4/5, pp. 396-410.
- Kolk, A. and Tsang, S. (2015), "Co-evolution in relation to small cars and sustainability in China: interactions between central and local governments, and with business", *Business & Society*, doi: 10.1177/0007650315584928.
- Kolk, A., Hong, P. and Van Dolen, W. (2010), "Corporate social responsibility in China. An analysis of domestic and foreign retailers' sustainability dimensions", *Business Strategy* and the Environment, Vol. 19 No. 5, pp. 289-303.
- Lam, K.C. (2002), "A study of the ethical performance of foreign-investment enterprises in the China labor market", *Journal of Business Ethics*, Vol. 37, pp. 349-365.
- Liao, J. and Wang, L. (2009), "Face as a mediator of the relationship between material value and brand consciousnes", Psychology & Marketing, Vol. 26, pp. 987-1001.
- Lin, L.W. (2010), "Corporate social responsibility in China: window dressing or structural change", Berkeley Journal of International Law, Vol. 28 No. 1, pp. 64-100.
- Liu, X., Wang, C., Shishime, T. and Fujitsuka, T. (2012), "Sustainable consumption: green purchasing behaviours of urban residents in China", Sustainable Development, Vol. 20 No. 4, pp. 293-308.
- Lo, C.W.H., Egri, C.P. and Ralston, D.A. (2008), "Commitment to corporate, social, and environmental responsibilities: an insight into contrasting perspectives in China and the US", Organization Management Journal, Vol. 5, pp. 83-98.
- Maignan, I. (2001), "Consumers' perceptions of corporate social responsibilities: a cross-cultural comparison", *Journal of Business Ethics*, Vol. 30 No. 1, pp. 57-72.
- Maignan, I. and Ferrell, O.C. (2004), "Corporate social responsibility and marketing: an integrative framework", Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, Vol. 32 No. 21, pp. 3-19.
- Marquis, C. and Qian, C. (2014), "Corporate social responsibility reporting in China: symbol or substance", Organization Science, Vol. 25 No. 1, pp. 127-148.
- Ministry of Civil Affairs (2010), *China Philanthropic Donation Report*, China Charity & Donation Information Centre Press, Beijing, (in Chinese).
- Moon, J. and Shen, X. (2010), "CSR in China research: salience, focus and nature", Journal of Business Ethics, Vol. 94, pp. 613-629.
- National Bureau of Statistics of China (2011), *China Statistical Yearbook*, China Statistics Press, Beijing, (in Chinese).
- Ni, N., Egri, C., Lo, C. and Lin, Y.Y. (2015), "Patterns of corporate responsibility practices for high financial performance: evidence from three Chinese societies", *Journal of Business Ethics*, Vol. 126 No. 2, pp. 169-183.
- Park, B.I., Chidlow, A. and Choi, J. (2014), "Corporate social responsibility: stakeholders influence on MNEs' activities", *International Business Review*, Vol. 23, pp. 966-980.
- Peloza, J. and Shang, J. (2011), "How can corporate social responsibility activities create value for stakeholders? A systematic review", *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, Vol. 39, pp. 117-135.
- Ramasamy, B. and Yeung, M. (2009), "Chinese consumers' perception of corporate social responsibility (CSR)", Journal of Business Ethics, Vol. 88 No. S1, pp. 119-132.

of CSR

Consumer

perceptions

- Ramasamy, B., Yeung, M.C.H. and Au, A.K.M. (2010), "Consumer support for corporate social responsibility (CSR): the role of religion and values", *Journal of Business Ethics*, Vol. 91, pp. 61-72.
- Research Institute of the Chinese Private Economy (2012), "Ranking of social contributions from entrepreneurs in Chinese private economy" (in Chinese), available at: http://house.focus.cn/news/2012-10-12/2424414.html (accessed 28 October 2012).
- Sarkis, J., Ni, N. and Zhu, Q. (2011), "Winds of change: corporate social responsibility in China", Ivey Business Journal, January/February, available at: www.iveybusinessjournal.com/ topics/social-responsibility/winds-of-change-corporate-social-responsibility-in-china#. UIVZ94YY2Cg (accessed 22 October 2012).
- Schwartz, M.S. and Carroll, A.B. (2003), "Corporate social responsibility: a three-domain approach", Business Ethics Quarterly, Vol. 13 No. 4, pp. 503-550.
- Sirieix, L., Kledal, P.R. and Sulitang, T. (2011), "Organic food consumers' trade-offs between local or imported, conventional or organic products: a qualitative study in Shanghai", *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, Vol. 35 No. 6, pp. 670-678.
- Steenkamp, J.B.E.M. and Baumgartner, H. (1998), "Assessing measurement invariance in cross-national consumer research", *Journal of Consumer Research*, Vol. 25 No. 1, pp. 78-90.
- Sun, Y.Q. (2005), *History of Chinese International Trade*, University of International Business and Economics Press, Beijing, (in Chinese).
- Tian, Z., Wang, R. and Yang, W. (2011), "Consumer responses to corporate social responsibility (CSR) in China", Journal of Business Ethics, Vol. 101 No. 2, pp. 197-212.
- Tsoi, J. (2010), "Stakeholders' perceptions and future scenarios to improve corporate social responsibility in Hong Kong and Mainland China", Journal of Business Ethics, Vol. 91, pp. 391-404.
- Vaaland, T.I., Heide, M. and Grønhaug, K. (2008), "Corporate social responsibility: investigating theory and research in the marketing context", European Journal of Marketing, Vol. 42 Nos 9/10, pp. 927-953.
- Verburg, R., Drenth, P., Koopman, P., Van Muijen, J. and Wang, Z.M. (1999), "Managing human resources across cultures: a comparative analysis of practices in China and the Netherlands", *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, Vol. 10 No. 3, pp. 391-410.
- Wang, L. and Juslin, H. (2009), "The impact of Chinese culture on corporate social responsibility: the harmony approach", *Journal of Business Ethics*, Vol. 88 No. 3, pp. 433-451.
- Wang, X.T. (2007), *History of Chinese Business*, Tuanjie Press, Beijing, (in Chinese).
- Wei, J. (2006), "Multinational companies taking on more corporate social responsibility", China Daily, 18 February.
- Wickerham, J. and Zadek, S. (2009), "China's corporate social responsibility change makers", Fortune China, Vol. 3, March, pp. 2-16, (English language version of the original Chinese version).
- Wong, L. (2009), "Corporate social responsibility in China: between the market and the search for a sustainable growth development", Asian Business and Management, Vol. 8 No. 2, pp. 129-148.
- Wong, N. and Ahuvia, A.C. (1998), "Personal taste and family face: luxury consumption in confucian and western societies", *Psychology and Marketing*, Vol. 15 No. 5, pp. 423-441.
- Wu, J. (2015), "Differentiated customer pressures and environmental policies in China", Business Strategy and the Environment, Vol. 24 No. 3, pp. 175-189.
- Wu, X.N. (1998), "History of international trade of Yunnan province", Yunnan Social Science, Vol. 3 (in Chinese).

- Xu, S. and Yang, R. (2010), "Indigenous characteristics of Chinese corporate social responsibility conceptual paradigm", *Journal of Business Ethics*, Vol. 93, pp. 321-333.
- Yan, J. and She, Q. (2011), "Developing a trichotomy model to measure socially responsible behaviour in China", *International Journal of Market Research*, Vol. 53 No. 2, pp. 253-274.
- Yi, X. (2005), "Improving corporate responsibility competitiveness to promote harmonious society", available at: www.wtoguide.net/html/2005Sino-European/14_36_14_633.html (accessed 26 October 2012).
- Zhang, S., Van Doorn, J. and Leeflang, P.S.H. (2014), "Does the importance of value, brand, and relationship equity for customer loyalty differ between Eatern and Western cultures?", *International Business Review*, Vol. 23, pp. 284-292.
- Zhou, S., Quan, X. and Jiang, W. (2012), "Corporate social responsibility and sustainable development in China: literature review and case analysis", Journal of Supply Chain and Operations Management, Vol. 10 No. 1, pp. 54-65.

Appendix 1

Please indicate on a seven-point Likert scale to what extent do you support the following statements, where 1 = no support at all and 7 = strongly support.

Businesses/companies must:	No s	upport a	t all (1) I	Neutral (4	1) Strong	ly suppo	rt (7)
1. Maximize profits	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
2. Control their production costs strictly	1	2	3	4	5	6	 7
3. Plan for their long-term success	1	—2—	—3—	4	—5—	6	 7
4. Always improve economic performance 5. Ensure that their employees act within the	1—	—2—	3	-4	5	6	 7
standards defined by the law	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
6. Refrain from putting aside their contractual obligations	1	9	9	4	5	6	7
7. Refrain from bending the law even if this	1			4			
helps improve performance	1	2	3	-4	5	6	7
8. Always submit to the principles defined by the regulatory system	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
9. Permit ethical concerns to negatively affect economic performance	1	2	3	4	5	—6—	7
10. Ensure that the respect of ethical principles							
has priority over economic performance	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
11. Be committed to well-defined ethics principles	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
12. Avoid compromising ethical standards in	_				_		_
order to achieve corporate goals	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
13. Help solve social problems 14. Participate in the management of public	1	——2——	3	4	—-5—		7
affairs	1	2	3	-4	5	6	7
15. Allocate some of their resource to philanthropic activities	1	2	3	-4	5	6	7
16. Play a role in our society that goes beyond the mere generation of profits	1	2	3	-4	5_	6	7
17. Make efforts to behave in a socially responsible manner	1	2	3	-4	5	6	7

Table AI.Measurement of CSR evaluation

Appendix 2				Consumer perceptions
Economic duties: these refer to production of goods and services as demanded by the market with an eye to maximizing profitability	Chinese companies	Foreign companies	I have no idea about these duties	of CSR
No responsibility at all 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Full responsibility 2. Legal duties: these refer to the fulfilment of economic responsibility within the boundaries set by the legal system of the country (this, e.g. implies not bending the law even if bending it would be more profitable).	Chinese companies	Foreign companies	I have no idea about these duties	517
No responsibility at all 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Full responsibility 3. Ethical duties: these refer to doing what is right, just and fair and avoiding harm to nature and people (this e.g. implies that companies do not compromise on these ethical principles even if this would harm their economic performance)	Chinese companies	Foreign companies	I have no idea about these duties	
No responsibility at all 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Full responsibility 4. Philanthropic duties: these refer to broader contributions to society and the improvement of general quality of life (e.g. via philanthropic giving) No responsibility at all 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Full responsibility	Chinese companies	Foreign companies	I have no idea about these duties	
5. Labour/workforce duties: these refer to fulfilment of social responsibility toward the welfare and rights of employees (this e.g. implies that companies pay fair wages and provide good working conditions)	Chinese companies	Foreign companies	I have no idea about these duties	
No responsibility at all 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Full responsibility 6. Environmental duties: these refer to taking all possible steps to avoid pollution and contribute to the protection of the environment (this e.g. implies that companies take measures to manage and reduce environmental impacts even if these harm their profitability) No responsibility at all 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Full responsibility	Chinese companies	Foreign companies	I have no idea about these duties	Table AII. Measurement of CSR expectation difference

Appendix 3

Regions	FDI distribution in number of firms (%)	FDI distribution in monetary amount	ts (%)
Central China	8	7	
East China North China	31 17	40 15	
Northeast China	6	7	Table AIII.
Northwest China South China	2 27	$\frac{2}{22}$	Distribution of foreign direct investment (FDI)
Southwest China	9	7	
Source: Calculat	over China		

Corresponding author

Dr Ans Kolk can be contacted at: akolk@uva.nl

For instructions on how to order reprints of this article, please visit our website: www.emeraldgrouppublishing.com/licensing/reprints.htm

Or contact us for further details: permissions@emeraldinsight.com