



UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

Privacy exposed

Wottrich, V.M.

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Wottrich, V. M. (2018). Privacy exposed: Consumer responses to data collection and usage practices of mobile apps

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <http://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

REFERENCES

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

ENGLISH SUMMARY

NEDERLANDSE SAMENVATTING

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

REFERENCES

A

- Aaker, J., & Fournier, S. (1995). A brand as a character, a partner and a person: Three perspectives on the question of brand personality. In F. R. Kardes & M. Sujan (Eds.), *NA - Advances in Consumer Research Volume 22* (pp. 391–395). Provo, UT: Association for Consumer Research. Retrieved from <http://acrwebsite.org/volumes/7775/volumes/v22/NA-22>
- Acquisti, A., Brandimarte, L., & Loewenstein, G. (2015). Privacy and human behavior in the age of information. *Science*, *347*(6221), 509–515. <http://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2580411>
- Acquisti, A., & Grossklags, J. (2005). Privacy and rationality in individual decision making. *IEEE Security and Privacy Magazine*, *3*(1), 26–33. <http://doi.org/10.1109/msp.2005.22>
- Acquisti, A., & Grossklags, J. (2007). What can behavioral economics teach us about privacy. *Digital Privacy: Theory, Technologies and Practices*, *18*, 363–377. <http://doi.org/10.1201/9781420052183.ch18>
- Acquisti, A., John, L. K., & Loewenstein, G. (2013). What is privacy worth? *The Journal of Legal Studies*, *42*(2), 249–274. <http://doi.org/10.1086/671754>
- Adler, J. (1998). Eine informationsökonomische Perspektive des Kaufverhaltens [An information economical perspective of buying behavior]. *Wirtschaftswissenschaftliches Studium*, *27*(7), 341–347.
- Agrawal, A., Sodhi, B., & TV, P. (2013). A multi-dimensional measure for intrusion: The intrusiveness quality attribute. In *Proceedings of the 9th International ACM Sigsoft Conference on Quality of Software Architectures* (pp. 63–68). New York, NY, USA: ACM. <http://doi.org/10.1145/2465478.2465497>
- Aguirre, E., Mahr, D., Grewal, D., de Ruyter, K., & Wetzels, M. (2015). Unraveling the personalization paradox: The effect of information collection and trust-building strategies on online advertisement effectiveness. *Journal of Retailing*, *91*(1), 34–49. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretai.2014.09.005>
- Ajzen, I. (1985). From intentions to actions: A theory of planned behavior. In J. Kuhl & J. Beckmann (Eds.), *Action control: From cognition to behavior* (pp. 11–39). Berlin and Heidelberg: Springer. http://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-69746-3_2
- Ajzen, I. (2006). Constructing a TpB questionnaire: Conceptual and methodological considerations. Retrieved from http://www.unibielefeld.de/ikg/zick/ajzen_construction_a_tpb_questionnaire.pdf
- Ajzen, I., & Fishbein, M. (1980). *Understanding attitudes and predicting social*

- behaviour. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Altman, I. (1975). *The environment and social behavior: Privacy, personal space, territory, and crowding*. Monterey, CA: Brooks/Cole.
- Ang, L., & Eisend, M. (2017). Single versus multiple measurement of attitudes. *Journal of Advertising Research*. Advance online publication. Retrieved from <http://www.journalofadvertisingresearch.com/content/early/2017/01/12/JAR-2017-001.abstract>
- Apple. (2017). Use parental controls on your iPhone, iPad, and iPod touch. Retrieved from <https://support.apple.com/en-us/HT201304>
- Arachchilage, N. A. G., & Love, S. (2014). Security awareness of computer users: A phishing threat avoidance perspective. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 38, 304–312. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2014.05.046>
- Ashworth, L., & Free, C. (2006). Marketing dataveillance and digital privacy: Using theories of justice to understand consumers' online privacy concerns. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 67(2), 107–123. Retrieved from <http://www.jstor.org/stable/25123858>
- B**
- Bailey, R., Wise, K., & Bolls, P. (2009). How avatar customizability affects children's arousal and subjective presence during junk food-sponsored online video games. *CyberPsychology & Behavior*, 12(3), 277–283. <http://doi.org/10.1089/cpb.2008.0292>
- Ball, K., & Webster, F. (2003). *The intensification of surveillance: Crime, terrorism and warfare in the information era*. London, UK: Pluto Press.
- Barnes, S. B. (2006). A privacy paradox: Social networking in the United States. *First Monday*, 11(9). <http://doi.org/10.5210/fm.v11i9.1394>
- Barney, J. B., & Hansen, M. H. (1994). Trustworthiness as a source of competitive advantage. *Strategic Management Journal*, 15(8), 175–190. <http://doi.org/10.1002/smj.4250150912>
- Bauman, Z., & Lyon, D. (2013). *Liquid surveillance: A conversation*. Cambridge, UK: John Wiley & Sons.
- BBC. (2013). Is data the oil of the 21st Century? Retrieved from <http://www.bbc.com/news/av/business-24516050/is-data-the-oil-of-the-21st-century>
- Bellman, S., Potter, R. F., Treleaven-Hassard, S., Robinson, J. A., & Varan, D. (2011). The effectiveness of branded mobile phone apps. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 25(4), 191–200. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.intmar.2011.06.001>
- Berger, C. R., & Calabrese, R. J. (1975). Some explorations in initial interaction and beyond: Toward a developmental theory of interpersonal communication. *Human Communication Research*, 1(2), 99–112. <http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2958.1975.tb00258.x>

- Bernritter, S. F., Verlegh, P. W. J., & Smit, E. G. (2016). Why nonprofits are easier to endorse on social media: The roles of warmth and brand symbolism. *Journal of Interactive Marketing, 33*, 27–42. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.intmar.2015.10.002>
- Bettini, C., & Riboni, D. (2015). Privacy protection in pervasive systems: State of the art and technical challenges. *Pervasive and Mobile Computing, 17*, 159–174. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmcj.2014.09.010>
- Bies, R. J. (1993). Privacy and procedural justice in organizations. *Social Justice Research, 6*(1), 69–86. <http://doi.org/10.1007/BF01048733>
- Boehmer, J., LaRose, R., Rifon, N., Alhabash, S., & Cotten, S. (2015). Determinants of online safety behaviour: Towards an intervention strategy for college students. *Behaviour & Information Technology, 34*(10), 1022–1035. <http://doi.org/10.1080/0144929X.2015.1028448>
- Boyd, D. M. (2008). *Taken out of context. American teen sociality in networked publics* (Doctoral dissertation, University of California). Retrieved from <http://www.danah.org/papers/TakenOutOfContext.pdf>
- Boyles, J. L., Smith, A., & Madden, M. (2012). *Privacy and data management on mobile devices*. Retrieved from http://www.privacylives.com/wp-content/uploads/2012/09/PIP_MobilePrivacyManagement-092012.pdf
- Brehm, J. W. (1966). *A theory of psychological reactance*. Oxford, UK: Academic Press.
- Buck, C., Horbel, C., Kessler, T., & Christian, C. (2014). Mobile consumer apps: Big data brother is watching you. *Marketing Review St.Gallen, 31*(1), 26–35. <http://doi.org/10.1365/s11621-014-0318-2>
- Burgoon, J. K. (1982). Privacy and communication. *Communication Yearbook, 6*, 206–249. <http://doi.org/10.1080/23808985.1982.11678499>
- Burns, S., & Roberts, L. (2013). Applying the theory of planned behaviour to predicting online safety behaviour. *Crime Prevention and Community Safety, 15*(1), 48–64. <http://doi.org/10.1057/cpcs.2012.13>
- Business Name Generator. (2017). Generate business name ideas. Retrieved from <https://businessnamegenerator.com/>
- Bylund, C. L., Peterson, E. B., & Cameron, K. A. (2012). A practitioner's guide to interpersonal communication theory: An overview and exploration of selected theories. *Patient Education and Counseling, 87*(3), 261–267. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.pec.2011.10.006>

C

- Cambridge Analytica. (2016). CA congratulates Donald Trump and Mike Pence [Press release]. Retrieved from <https://ca-political.com/news/ca-congratulates-donald->

- trump-and-mike-pence
- Cecere, G., & Rochelandet, F. (2013). Privacy intrusiveness and web audiences: Empirical evidence. *Telecommunications Policy*, 37(10), 1004–1014. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.telpol.2013.09.003>
- Çeltek, E. (2010). Mobile advergaming in tourism marketing. *Journal of Vacation Marketing*, 16(4), 267–281. <http://doi.org/10.1177/1356766710380882>
- Centraal Bureau voor de Statistiek (CBS). (2015). Bevolking; kerncijfers [Country population; core statistics]. Retrieved from <http://statline.cbs.nl/StatWeb/publication/?VW=T&DM=SLNL&PA=37296ned&D1=a&D2=0,10,20,30,40,50,60,%281-1%29,l&HD=130605-0924&HDR=G1&STB=T>
- Chaudhuri, A., & Holbrook, M. B. (2001). The chain of effects from brand trust and brand affect to brand performance: The role of brand loyalty. *Journal of Marketing*, 65(2), 81–93. Retrieved from <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3203382>
- Chen, H.-T., & Chen, W. (2015). Couldn't or wouldn't? The influence of privacy concerns and self-efficacy in privacy management on privacy protection. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking*, 18(1), 13–19. <http://doi.org/10.1089/cyber.2014.0456>
- Cho, H., Rivera-Sánchez, M., & Lim, S. S. (2009). A multinational study on online privacy: Global concerns and local responses. *New Media & Society*, 11(3), 395–416. <http://doi.org/10.1177/1461444808101618>
- ComScore. (2017). *Cross-platform future in focus*. Retrieved from <http://www.comscore.com/Insights/Presentations-and-Whitepapers/2017/2017-US-Cross-Platform-Future-in-Focus?>
- Cozby, P. C. (1973). Self-disclosure: A literature review. *Psychological Bulletin*, 79(2), 73–91. <http://doi.org/10.1037/h0033950>
- Culnan, M. J. (1995). Consumer awareness of name removal procedures: Implications for direct marketing. *Journal of Direct Marketing*, 9(2), 10–19. <http://doi.org/10.1002/dir.4000090204>
- Culnan, M. J., & Armstrong, P. K. (1999). Information privacy concerns, procedural fairness, and impersonal trust: An empirical investigation. *Organization Science*, 10(1), 104–115. <http://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.10.1.104>
- D**
- Dahlén, M. (2005). The medium as a contextual cue: Effects of creative media choice. *Journal of Advertising*, 34(3), 89–98. Retrieved from <http://www.jstor.org/stable/4189311>
- Dardis, F. E., Schmierbach, M., & Limperos, A. M. (2012). The impact of game

- customization and control mechanisms on recall of integral and peripheral brand placements in videogames. *Journal of Interactive Advertising*, 12(2), 1–12. <http://doi.org/10.1080/15252019.2012.10722192>
- Debatin, B., Lovejoy, J. P., Horn, A., & Hughes, B. N. (2009). Facebook and online privacy: Attitudes, behaviors, and unintended consequences. *Journal of Computer Mediated Communication*, 15(1), 83–108. <http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1083-6101.2009.01494.x>
- Deci, E. L., Koestner, R., & Ryan, R. M. (1999). A meta-analytic review of experiments examining the effects of extrinsic rewards on intrinsic motivation. *Psychological Bulletin*, 125(6), 627–668. <http://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.125.6.627>
- Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (1985). *Intrinsic motivation and self-determination in human behavior*. New York, NY: Plenum.
- Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The “ what ” and “ why ” of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. *Psychological Inquiry*, 11(4), 227–268. http://doi.org/10.1207/s15327965pli1104_01
- Delgado-Ballester, E. (2004). Applicability of a brand trust scale across product categories. *European Journal of Marketing*, 38(5), 573–592. Retrieved from <http://www.emeraldinsight.com/doi/abs/10.1108/03090560410529222>
- Delgado-Ballester, E., & Munuera-Alemán, J. L. (2001). Brand trust in the context of consumer loyalty. *European Journal of Marketing*, 35(11), 1238–1258. Retrieved from <http://www.emeraldinsight.com/doi/abs/10.1108/EUM00000000006475>
- Delgado-Ballester, E., & Munuera-Alemán, J. L. (2005). Does brand trust matter to brand equity? *Journal of Product & Brand Management*, 14(3), 187–196. <http://doi.org/10.1108/10610420510601058>
- Delgado-Ballester, E., Munuera-Alemán, J. L., & Yague-Guillen, M. J. (2003). Development and validation of a brand trust scale. *International Journal of Market Research*, 45(1), 35–54. Retrieved from <https://www.warc.com/ContentandPartners/MarketResearchSociety.info>
- Derlega, V. J. (1988). Self-disclosure: Inside or outside the mainstream of social psychological research? *Journal of Social Behavior & Personality*, 3, 27–34. Retrieved from <https://search.proquest.com/docview/1292239849?pq-origsite=gscholar>
- Dienlin, T., & Metzger, M. J. (2016). An extended privacy calculus model for SNSs: Analyzing self-disclosure and self-withdrawal in a representative U.S. sample. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 21(5), 368–383. <http://doi.org/10.1111/jcc4.12163>
- Dinev, T., & Hart, P. (2006). An extended privacy calculus model for E-commerce transactions. *Information Systems Research*, 17(1), 61–80. <http://doi.org/10.1287/>

isre.1060.0080

- Dinev, T., Xu, H., Smith, J. H., & Hart, P. (2013). Information privacy and correlates: An empirical attempt to bridge and distinguish privacy-related concepts. *European Journal of Information Systems*, 22(3), 295–316. <http://doi.org/10.1057/ejis.2012.23>
- Dommeyer, C. J., & Gross, B. L. (2003). What consumers know and what they do: An investigation of consumer knowledge, awareness, and use of privacy protection strategies. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 17(2), 34–51. <http://doi.org/10.1002/dir.10053>
- Donaldson, T., & Dunfee, T. W. (1994). Toward a unified conception of business ethics: Integrative social contracts theory. *The Academy of Management Review*, 19(2), 252–284. <http://doi.org/10.2307/258705>
- Dunfee, T. W., Smith, N. C., & Ross, W. T. (1999). Social contracts and marketing ethics. *Journal of Marketing*, 63(3), 14–32. Retrieved from <http://proxy.uba.uva.nl:2048/docview/1296598925?accountid=14615>

E

- Eastin, M. S., Brinson, N. H., Doorey, A., & Wilcox, G. (2016). Living in a big data world: Predicting mobile commerce activity through privacy concerns. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 58, 214–220. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2015.12.050>
- Edwards, S. M., Li, H., & Lee, J.-H. (2002). Forced exposure and psychological reactance: Antecedents and consequences of the perceived intrusiveness of pop-up ads. *Journal of Advertising*, 31(3), 83–95. <http://doi.org/10.1080/00913367.2002.10673678>
- Egelman, S., Felt, A., & Wagner, D. (2013). Choice architecture and smartphone privacy: There's a price for that. In R. Böhme (Ed.), *The economics of information security and privacy* (pp. 211–236). Berlin and Heidelberg: Springer. http://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-39498-0_10
- Eling, N., Widjaja, T., Krasnova, H., & Buxmann, P. (2013). Will you accept an app? Empirical investigation of the decisional calculus behind the adoption of applications on Facebook. In *Proceedings of the Thirty Fourth International Conference on Information Systems* (pp. 1–20). Milan, Italy: AISel. Retrieved from <http://aisel.aisnet.org/icis2013/proceedings/SecurityOfIS/8/>
- Esposti, S. D. (2014). When big data meets dataveillance: The hidden side of analytics. *Surveillance & Society*, 12(2), 209–225. Retrieved from <https://search.proquest.com/docview/1547988838?pq-origsite=gscholar>
- EU Data Protection Directive. (1995). Directive 95/46/EC of the European Parliament and of the council of 24 October 1995 on the protection of individuals with regard

- to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data. *Official Journal of the European Communities*, 38(281), 31–50. Retrieved from <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:31995L0046>
- EU ePrivacy Directive. (2002). Directive 2002/58/EC of the European Parliament and of the council of 12 July 2002 concerning the processing of personal data and the protection of privacy in the electronic communications sector (Directive on privacy and electronic communications). *Official Journal of the European Communities*, 201, 37–47. Retrieved from <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/ALL/?uri=CELEX:32002L0058>
- EU General Data Protection Regulation. (2016). Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Directive 95/46/ EC. *Official Journal of the European Union*, 119(1). Retrieved from <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/%0DHTML/?uriDCELEX:32016R0679&fromDEN>
- European Commission. (2011). *Special Eurobarometer 359: Attitudes on data protection and electronic identity in the European Union*. Retrieved from https://data.europa.eu/euodp/en/data/dataset/S864_74_3_EBS359
- European Commission. (2012). Safer Internet centres. Retrieved from <https://ec.europa.eu/digital-single-market/en/safer-internet-centres>
- European Commission. (2015). Agreement on commission's EU data protection reform will boost digital single market [Press release]. Retrieved from http://europa.eu/rapid/press-release_IP-15-6321_en.htm
- European Commission. (2018). Protection of personal data. Retrieved from <http://ec.europa.eu/justice/data-protection/>
- F**
- Federal Trade Commission. (2013). *Mobile privacy disclosures: Building trust through transparency*. Retrieved from www.ftc.gov/os/2013/02/130201mobileprivacyreport.pdf
- Felt, A. P., Ha, E., Egelman, S., Haney, A., Chin, E., & Wagner, D. (2012). Android permissions: User attention, comprehension, and behavior. In *Proceedings of the Eighth Symposium on Usable Privacy and Security Article No. 3*. Washington, DC, USA: ACM. <http://doi.org/10.1145/2335356.2335360>
- Field, A. (2013). *Discovering statistics using IBM SPSS statistics*. London, UK: Sage.
- Fife, E., & Orjuela, J. (2012). The privacy calculus: Mobile apps and user perceptions of privacy and security. *International Journal of Engineering Business Management*, 4,

1–10. <http://doi.org/10.5772/51645>

- Figueiredo, B., & Scaraboto, D. (2016). The systemic creation of value through circulation in collaborative consumer networks. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 43(4), 509–533. <http://doi.org/10.1093/jcr/ucw038>
- Flaherty, A. (2013, September 5). Americans growing more concerned over their online privacy: Study. *Huffington Post*. Retrieved from http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2013/09/05/online-privacystudy_%0Dn_3870670.html.

G

- Good, N., Dhamija, R., Grossklags, J., Aronovitz, S., Thaw, D., Mulligan, D., & Konstan, J. (2005). Stopping spyware at the gate. In *Proceedings of the 2005 Symposium on Usable Privacy and Security* (pp. 43–52). Pittsburgh, PA, USA: ACM. <http://doi.org/10.1145/1073001.1073006>
- Google. (2018). Control your app permissions on Android 6.0 and up. Retrieved from <https://support.google.com/googleplay/answer/6270602?hl=en>
- Grassegger, H., & Krogerus, M. (2016, December 3). Ich habe nur gezeigt, dass es die Bombe gibt. *Das Magazin*. Retrieved from <https://www.dasmagazin.ch/2016/12/03/ich-habe-nur-zeigt-dass-es-die-bombe-gibt/>
- Grossklags, J., & Acquisti, A. (2007). When 25 cents is too much: An experiment on willingness-to-sell and willingness-to-protect personal information. In *Proceedings (online) of the Sixth Workshop on Economics of Information Security (WEIS)*. Pittsburgh, PA, USA: WEIS. Retrieved from weis07.infosecon.net
- Gu, J., Xu, Y. (Calvin), Xu, H., Zhang, C., & Ling, H. (2017). Privacy concerns for mobile app download: An elaboration likelihood model perspective. *Decision Support Systems*, 94, 19–28. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.dss.2016.10.002>

H

- Hann, I.-H., Hui, K.-L., Lee, T., & Png, I. (2002). Online information privacy: Measuring the cost-benefit trade-off. In *Proceedings (online) of the 33rd International Conference on Information Systems (ICIS)*. AISel. Retrieved from <http://aisel.aisnet.org/icis2002/1>
- Harney, K. R. (2017, November 21). Equifax breach already taking a toll on consumers. *Chicago Tribune*. Retrieved from <http://www.chicagotribune.com/classified/realestate/ct-re-1126-kenneth-harney-20171120-story.html>
- Hayes, A. F. (2013). *Introduction to mediation, moderation, and conditional process analysis: A regression-based approach*. New York, NY: Guilford Press.
- Hayes, A. F., & Matthes, J. (2009). Computational procedures for probing interactions

- in OLS and logistic regression: SPSS and SAS implementations. *Behavior Research Methods*, 41(3), 924–936. <http://doi.org/10.3758/brm.41.3.924>
- Hu, Q., & Dinev, T. (2005). Is spyware an Internet nuisance or public menace? *Communications of the ACM*, 48(8), 61–66. <http://doi.org/10.1145/1076211.1076241>
- I
- Ifinedo, P. (2012). Understanding information systems security policy compliance: An integration of the theory of planned behavior and the protection motivation theory. *Computers & Security*, 31(1), 83–95. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.cose.2011.10.007>
- IMCO. (2011). *Consumer behaviour in a digital environment. Study*. Retrieved from <http://www.europarl.europa.eu/document/activities/cont/201108/20110825ATT25258/20110825ATT25258EN.pdf>
- Isaac, M., Benner, K., & Frenkel, S. (2017, November 21). Uber hid 2016 breach, paying hackers to delete stolen data. *The New York Times*. Retrieved from <https://www.nytimes.com/2017/11/21/technology/uber-hack.html>
- J
- Jaffe, J. (2005). *Life after the 30-second spot: Energize your brand with a bold mix of alternatives to traditional advertising*. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.
- Jahangir, N., & Begum, N. (2007). Effect of perceived usefulness, ease of use, security and privacy on customer attitude and adaptation in the context of e-banking. *Journal of Management Research*, 7(3), 147–157. Retrieved from <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bah&AN=31603264&site=ehost-live>
- John, L. K., Acquisti, A., & Loewenstein, G. (2011). Strangers on a plane: Context-dependent willingness to divulge sensitive information. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 37(5), 858–873. <http://doi.org/10.1086/656423>
- K
- Kahneman, D. (1973). *Attention and effort*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Kehr, F., Kowatsch, T., Wentzel, D., & Fleisch, E. (2015). Blissfully ignorant: The effects of general privacy concerns, general institutional trust, and affect in the privacy calculus. *Information Systems Journal*, 25(6), 607–635. <http://doi.org/10.1111/isj.12062>
- Keith, M. J., Thompson, S. C., Hale, J., Lowry, P. B., & Greer, C. (2013). Information disclosure on mobile devices: Re-examining privacy calculus with actual user behavior. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 71(12), 1163–1173. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhcs.2013.08.016>

- Kelley, P. G., Cranor, L. F., & Sadeh, N. (2013). Privacy as part of the app decision-making process. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - CHI '13* (pp. 3393–3402). Paris, France: ACM. <http://doi.org/10.1145/2470654.2466466>
- Kellogg's. (2016a). Kellogg's Dino Dig Advergame. Retrieved January 2, 2016, from <https://www.clubkelloggs.ca/en/dino-dig/>
- Kellogg's. (2016b). Kellogg's Fruit Loops Advergame. Retrieved January 2, 2016, from <https://www.clubkelloggs.ca/contests/fl16q1/en/contest.aspx>
- Kesswani, N., & Lin, F. (2016). How privacy invasive Android apps are? In *Proceedings (online) of the 2016 3rd International Conference on Computing for Sustainable Global Development (INDIACom)* (pp. 3731–3734). New Delhi, India: IEEE. Retrieved from <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/stamp/stamp.jsp?tp=&number=7724959&isnumber=7724213>
- Kim, S. J., Wang, R. J.-H., & Malthouse, E. C. (2015). The effects of adopting and using a brand's mobile application on customers' subsequent purchase behavior. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 31, 28–41. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.intmar.2015.05.004>
- King, J., Lampinen, A., & Smolen, A. (2011). Privacy: Is there an app for that? In *Proceedings of the Seventh Symposium on Usable Privacy and Security Article 12*. New York, NY, USA: ACM. <http://doi.org/10.1145/2078827.2078843>
- King, N. J., & Jessen, P. W. (2010). Profiling the mobile customer – Privacy concerns when behavioural advertisers target mobile phones – Part I. *Computer Law & Security Review*, 26(5), 455–478. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.clsr.2010.07.001>
- Kokolakis, S. (2017). Privacy attitudes and privacy behaviour: A review of current research on the privacy paradox phenomenon. *Computers & Security*, 64, 122–134. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.cose.2015.07.002>
- Krafft, M., Arden, C. M., & Verhoef, P. C. (2017). Permission marketing and privacy concerns — why do customers (not) grant permissions? *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 39, 39–54. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.intmar.2017.03.001>
- Kwak, D. H., Clavio, G. E., Eagleman, A. N., & Kim, K. T. (2010). Exploring the antecedents and consequences of personalizing sport video game experiences. *Sport Marketing Quarterly*, 19(4), 217–225. Retrieved from <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=57194758&site=ehost-live>

L

- Lang, A. (2000). The limited capacity model of mediated message processing. *Journal of Communication*, 50(1), 46–70. <http://doi.org/10.1093/joc/50.1.46>
- Lavy, S., Mikulincer, M., Shaver, P. R., & Gillath, O. (2009). Intrusiveness in romantic

- relationships: A cross-cultural perspective on imbalances between proximity and autonomy. *Journal of Social and Personal Relationships*, 26(6–7), 989–1008. <http://doi.org/10.1177/0265407509347934>
- Lee, D., LaRose, R., & Rifon, N. (2008). Keeping our network safe: A model of online protection behaviour. *Behaviour & Information Technology*, 27(5), 445–454. <http://doi.org/10.1080/01449290600879344>
- Lee, M., & Youn, S. (2008). Leading national advertisers' uses of advergaming. *Journal of Current Issues & Research in Advertising*, 30(2), 1–13. <http://doi.org/10.1080/10641734.2008.10505243>
- LeFebvre, R. (2012). The human element in cyber security: A study on student motivation to act. In *Proceedings of the 2012 Information Security Curriculum Development Conference* (pp. 1–8). Kennesaw, GA, USA: ACM. <http://doi.org/10.1145/2390317.2390318>
- Li, H., Sarathy, R., & Xu, H. (2010). Understanding situational online information disclosure as a privacy calculus. *Journal of Computer Information Systems*, 51(1), 62–71. Retrieved from <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bah&AN=54525529&site=ehost-live>
- Li, Y. (2012). Theories in online information privacy research: A critical review and an integrated framework. *Decision Support Systems*, 54(1), 471–481. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.dss.2012.06.010>
- Lin, J., Sadeh, N., Amini, S., Lindqvist, J., Hong, J. I., & Zhang, J. (2012). Expectation and purpose: Understanding users' mental models of mobile app privacy through crowdsourcing. In *Proceedings of the 2012 ACM Conference on Ubiquitous Computing* (pp. 501–510). Pittsburgh, PA, USA: ACM. <http://doi.org/10.1145/2370216.2370290>
- Lin, Y.-H., Fang, C.-H., & Hsu, C.-L. (2014). Determining uses and gratifications for mobile phone apps. In J. Park, Y. Pan, K. CS, & Y. Yang (Eds.), *Future information technology. Lecture notes in electrical engineering, vol 309* (pp. 661–668). Berlin and Heidelberg: Springer. http://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-55038-6_103
- Liu, Y. (2014). User control of personal information concerning mobile-app: Notice and consent? *Computer Law & Security Review*, 30(5), 521–529. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.clsr.2014.07.008>
- Luzak, J. A. (2014). Privacy notice for dummies? Towards European guidelines on how to give "clear and comprehensive information" on the cookies' use in order to protect the Internet users' right to online privacy. *Journal of Consumer Policy*, 37(4), 547–559. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s10603-014-9263-3>

M

- Macneil, I. R. (1980). *The New Social Contract*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- Malone, T. W., & Lepper, M. R. (1987). Making learning fun: A taxonomy of intrinsic motivations for learning. In R. E. Snow & M. J. Farr (Eds.), *Aptitude, learning, and instruction* (Vol. 3, pp. 223–253). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Marketing Science Institute. (2014). 2014–2016 Research Priorities. Retrieved from <http://www.jstor.org.proxy.uba.uva.nl:2048/stable/300000913>
- Martí-Parreño, J., Aldás-Manzano, J., Currás-Pérez, R., & Sánchez-García, I. (2013). Factors contributing brand attitude in advergames: Entertainment and irritation. *Journal of Brand Management*, 20(5), 374–388. <http://doi.org/10.1057/bm.2012.22>
- Maslowska, E., Putte, B. van den, & Smit, E. G. (2011). The effectiveness of personalized e-mail newsletters and the role of personal characteristics. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking*, 14(12), 765–770. <http://doi.org/10.1089/cyber.2011.0050>
- Mattioli, D. (2012, August 23). On Orbitz, Mac users steered to pricier hotels. *The Wall Street Journal*. Retrieved from <https://www.wsj.com/articles/SB10001424052702304458604577488822667325882>
- Mayer, R. C., Davis, J. H., & Schoorman, F. D. (1995). An integrative model of organizational trust. *Academy of Management Review*, 20(3), 709–734. <http://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1995.9508080335>
- McDonald, A. M., & Cranor, L. F. (2008). The cost of reading privacy policies. *I/S: A Journal of Law and Policy for the Information Society*, 4, 540–565. Retrieved from http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/isj|psoc4&div=27&g_sent=1&casa_token=&collection=journals
- McDonald, A. M., & Cranor, L. F. (2010). Americans' attitudes about Internet behavioral advertising practices. In *Proceedings of the 9th Annual ACM Workshop on Privacy in the Electronic Society* (pp. 63–72). Chicago, IL, USA: ACM. <http://doi.org/10.1145/1866919.1866929>
- McLaughlin, D., & Bodoni, S. (2016, August 29). Facebook's WhatsApp privacy changes raise EU, U.S. concerns. *Bloomberg*. Retrieved from <https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2016-08-29/whatsapp-privacy-changes-raise-eu-concern-over-user-data-control>
- Mesch, G. S. (2012). Is online trust and trust in social institutions associated with online disclosure of identifiable information online? *Computers in Human Behavior*, 28(4), 1471–1477. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2012.03.010>
- Milne, G. R. (1997). Consumer participation in mailing lists: A field experiment. *Journal of Public Policy & Marketing*, 16(2), 298–309. Retrieved from <http://www.jstor.org>.

- proxy.uba.uva.nl:2048/stable/30000453
- Milne, G. R., & Culnan, M. J. (2004). Strategies for reducing online privacy risks: Why consumers read (or don't read) online privacy notices. *Journal of Interactive Marketing, 18*(3), 15–29. <http://doi.org/10.1002/dir.20009>
- Milne, G. R., Culnan, M. J., & Greene, H. (2006). A longitudinal assessment of online privacy notice readability. *Journal of Public Policy & Marketing, 25*(2), 238–249. Retrieved from <http://www.jstor.org/stable/30000697>
- Milne, G. R., & Gordon, M. E. (1993). Direct mail privacy-efficiency trade-offs within an implied social contract framework. *Journal of Public Policy & Marketing, 12*(2), 206–215. Retrieved from <http://www.jstor.org.proxy.uba.uva.nl:2048/stable/30000091>
- Milne, G. R., Labrecque, L. I., & Cromer, C. (2009). Toward an understanding of the online consumer's risky behavior and protection practices. *Journal of Consumer Affairs, 43*(3), 449–473. <http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6606.2009.01148.x>
- Mohamed, N., & Ahmad, I. H. (2012). Information privacy concerns, antecedents and privacy measure use in social networking sites: Evidence from Malaysia. *Computers in Human Behavior, 28*(6), 2366–2375. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2012.07.008>
- Molesworth, M. (2006). Real brands in imaginary worlds: Investigating players' experiences of brand placement in digital games. *Journal of Consumer Behaviour, 5*(4), 355–366. <http://doi.org/10.1002/cb.186>
- Montgomery, A. L., & Smith, M. D. (2009). Prospects for personalization on the Internet. *Journal of Interactive Marketing, 23*(2), 130–137. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.intmar.2009.02.001>
- Moore, E. S. (2006). *It's child's play: Advergaming and the online marketing of food to children*. Menlo Park, CA: Kaiser Family Foundation.
- Morman, M. T. (2000). The influence of fear appeals, message design, and masculinity on men's motivation to perform the testicular self-exam. *Journal of Applied Communication Research, 28*(2), 91–116. <http://doi.org/10.1080/00909880009365558>
- Morosan, C., & DeFranco, A. (2015). Disclosing personal information via hotel apps: A privacy calculus perspective. *International Journal of Hospitality Management, 47*, 120–130. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2015.03.008>
- MyPermissions. (2017). MyPermissions helps you reclaim control over your privacy online and on your devices. Retrieved from <https://mypermissions.com/>

N

- Nabi, R. L., Roskos-Ewoldsen, D., & Dillman Carpentier, F. (2008). Subjective knowledge and fear appeal effectiveness: Implications for message design. *Health*

- Communication*, 23(2), 191–201. <http://doi.org/10.1080/10410230701808327>
- Narayanan, M., Koo, B., & Cozzarin, B. P. (2012). Fear of fraud and Internet purchasing. *Applied Economics Letters*, 19(16), 1615–1619. <http://doi.org/10.1080/13504851.2011.648313>
- Nass, C., & Moon, Y. (2000). Machines and mindlessness: Social responses to computers. *Journal of Social Issues*, 56(1), 81–103. <http://doi.org/10.1111/0022-4537.00153>
- Nass, C., Moon, Y., & Carney, P. (1999). Are people polite to computers? Responses to computer-based interviewing systems. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 29(5), 1093–1109. <http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1559-1816.1999.tb00142.x>
- Nelson, M. R., & Waiguny, M. K. J. (2012). Psychological processing of in-game advertising and advergaming: Branded entertainment or entertaining persuasion? In L. J. Shrum (Ed.), *The psychology of entertainment media: Blurring the lines between entertainment and persuasion* (2nd ed., pp. 93–144). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Nelson, M. R., Yaros, R. A., & Keum, H. (2006). Examining the influence of telepresence on spectator and player processing of real and fictitious brands in a computer game. *Journal of Advertising*, 35(4), 87–99. <http://doi.org/10.2753/joa0091-3367350406>
- Neys, J. L. D., Jansz, J., & Tan, E. S. H. (2014). Exploring persistence in gaming: The role of self-determination and social identity. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 37(0), 196–209. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2014.04.047>
- Ng, J. Y. Y., Ntoumanis, N., Thøgersen-Ntoumani, C., Deci, E. L., Ryan, R. M., Duda, J. L., & Williams, G. C. (2012). Self-determination theory applied to health contexts: A meta-analysis. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 7(4), 325–340. <http://doi.org/10.1177/1745691612447309>
- Nissenbaum, H. (2009). *Privacy in context: Technology, policy, and the integrity of social life*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press. Retrieved from <http://www.sup.org/books/title/?id=8862>
- Nissenbaum, H. (2015). *Respect for context as a benchmark for privacy online: What it is and isn't*. (B. Ruessker & D. Mokrosinska, Eds.), *Social dimensions of privacy*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Noble, S. M., & Phillips, J. (2004). Relationship hindrance: Why would consumers not want a relationship with a retailer? *Journal of Retailing*, 80(4), 289–303. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretai.2004.10.005>
- Norberg, P. A., Horne, D. R., & Horne, D. A. (2007). The privacy paradox: Personal information disclosure intentions versus behaviors. *Journal of Consumer Affairs*, 41(1), 100–126. <http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6606.2006.00070.x>
- Nowak, G. J., & Phelps, J. (1992). Understanding privacy concerns. An assessment of

- consumers' information-related knowledge and beliefs. *Journal of Direct Marketing*, 6(4), 28–39. <http://doi.org/10.1002/dir.4000060407>
- Nowak, G. J., & Phelps, J. (1995). Direct marketing and the use of individual-level consumer information: Determining how and when "privacy" matters. *Journal of Direct Marketing*, 9(3), 46–60. <http://doi.org/10.1002/dir.4000090307>
- NPO. (2016). Jongeren vertrouwen Facebook en Google niet [Young people don't trust Facebook and Google]. Retrieved from http://jij.eenvandaag.nl/uitslagen/69830/jongeren_vertrouwen_facebook_en_google_niet
- O**
- Okazaki, S., Katsukura, A., & Nishiyama, M. (2007). How mobile advertising works: The role of trust in improving attitudes and recall. *Journal of Advertising Research*, 47(2), 165–178. <http://doi.org/10.2501/s0021849907070195>
- Okazaki, S., Li, H., & Hirose, M. (2009). Consumer privacy concerns and preference for degree of regulatory control. *Journal of Advertising*, 38(4), 63–77. <http://doi.org/10.2753/JOA0091-3367380405>
- P**
- Pan, Y., & Zinkhan, G. M. (2006). Exploring the impact of online privacy disclosures on consumer trust. *Journal of Retailing*, 82(4), 331–338. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretai.2006.08.006>
- Papacharissi, Z., & Gibson, P. L. (2011). Fifteen minutes of privacy: Privacy, sociality, and publicity on social network sites. In S. Trepte & L. Reinecke (Eds.), *Privacy online* (pp. 75–89). Heidelberg and New York: Springer.
- Pariser, E. (2012). *The filter bubble: How the new personalized web is changing what we read and how we think*. London, UK: Penguin Books.
- Park, Y. J. (2011). Digital literacy and privacy behavior online. *Communication Research*, 40(2), 215–236. <http://doi.org/10.1177/0093650211418338>
- Park, Y. J., & Jang, S. M. (2014). Understanding privacy knowledge and skill in mobile communication. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 38, 296–303. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2014.05.041>
- Pavlou, P. A. (2003). Consumer acceptance of electronic commerce: Integrating trust and risk with the technology acceptance model. *International Journal of Electronic Commerce*, 7(3), 101–134. Retrieved from <http://www.jstor.org/stable/27751067>
- Peng, W., Lin, J.-H., Pfeiffer, K. A., & Winn, B. (2012). Need satisfaction supportive game features as motivational determinants: An experimental study of a self-determination theory guided exergame. *Media Psychology*, 15(2), 175–196. <http://>

- doi.org/10.1080/15213269.2012.673850
- Perloth, N., & Bilton, N. (2012, February 15). Mobile apps take data without permission. *The New York Times*. Retrieved from <https://bits.blogs.nytimes.com/2012/02/15/google-and-mobile-apps-take-data-books-without-permission/>
- Persily, N. (2017). Can democracy survive the Internet? *Journal of Democracy*, 28(2), 63–76. <http://doi.org/10.1353/jod.2017.0025>
- Phelps, J., Nowak, G. J., & Ferrell, E. (2000). Privacy concerns and consumer willingness to provide personal information. *Journal of Public Policy & Marketing*, 19(1), 27–41. Retrieved from <http://www.jstor.org/stable/30000485>
- Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., Lee, J.-Y., & Podsakoff, N. P. (2003). Common method biases in behavioral research: A critical review of the literature and recommended remedies. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 88(5), 879–903. <http://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.88.5.879>
- Przybylski, A. K., Rigby, C. S., & Ryan, R. M. (2010). A motivational model of video game engagement. *Review of General Psychology*, 14(2), 154–166. <http://doi.org/10.1037/a0019440>
- Pultier, A., Harrand, N., & Brandtzæg, P. B. (2016). *Privacy in mobile apps. Measuring privacy risks in mobile apps. SINTEF Rapport*. SINTEF. Retrieved from <https://www.sintef.no/en/publications/publication/?pubid=CRISin+1342265>
- R**
- Raney, A. A., Arpan, L. M., Pashupati, K., & Brill, D. A. (2003). At the movies, on the Web: An investigation of the effects of entertaining and interactive Web content on site and brand evaluations. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 17(4), 38–53. <http://doi.org/10.1002/dir.10064>
- Reding, V. (2012, February 23). How Europe is dealing with online privacy. *CNN*. Retrieved from <http://edition.cnn.com/2012/02/23/opinion/reding-europe/>
- Redondo, I. (2012). The effectiveness of casual advergames on adolescents' brand attitudes. *European Journal of Marketing*, 46(11), 1671–1688. Retrieved from <http://www.emeraldinsight.com/doi/abs/10.1108/03090561211260031>
- Rempel, J. K., Holmes, J. G., & Zanna, M. P. (1985). Trust in close relationships. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 49(1), 95–112. <http://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.49.1.95>
- Reyns, B. W. (2013). Online routines and identity theft victimization. *Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency*, 50(2), 216–238. <http://doi.org/10.1177/0022427811425539>
- Rigby, S., & Ryan, R. M. (2011). *Glued to games: How video games draw us in and hold us spellbound*. Santa Barbara, CA: Praeger.

- Rogers, R. W. (1975). A protection motivation theory of fear appeals and attitude change. *The Journal of Psychology, 91*(1), 93–114. <http://doi.org/10.1080/00223980.1975.9915803>
- Rogers, R. W. (1983). Cognitive and physiological processes in fear appeals and attitude change: A revised theory of protection motivation. In J. T. Cacioppo & R. Petty (Eds.), *Social psychophysiology: A sourcebook* (pp. 153–176). New York, NY: Guilford.
- Rossiter, J. R. (2011). *Measurement for the social sciences: The C-OAR-SE method and why it must replace psychometrics*. Berlin: Springer.
- Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2000). Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social development, and well-being. *American Psychologist, 55*(1), 68–78. <http://doi.org/10.1037//0003-066x.55.1.68>
- Ryan, R. M., Mims, V., & Koestner, R. (1983). Relation of reward contingency and interpersonal context to intrinsic motivation: A review and test using cognitive evaluation theory. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 45*(4), 736–750. <http://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.45.4.736>
- Ryan, R. M., Rigby, C. S., & Przybylski, A. (2006). The motivational pull of video games: A self-determination theory approach. *Motivation and Emotion, 30*(4), 344–360. <http://doi.org/10.1037//0022-3514.45.4.736>

S

- Schein, V. E. (1977). Individual privacy and personnel psychology: The need for a broader perspective. *Journal of Social Issues, 33*(3), 154–168. <http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-4560.1977.tb01888.x>
- Shade, L., & Shepherd, T. (2013). Viewing youth and mobile privacy through a digital policy literacy framework. *First Monday, 18*(12). <http://doi.org/10.5210/fm.v18i12.4807>
- Sheehan, K. B., & Hoy, M. G. (1999). Flaming, complaining, abstaining: How online users respond to privacy concerns. *Journal of Advertising, 28*(3), 37–51. <http://doi.org/10.1080/00913367.1999.10673588>
- Shillair, R., Cotten, S. R., Tsai, H.-Y. S., Alhabash, S., LaRose, R., & Rifon, N. J. (2015). Online safety begins with you and me: Convincing Internet users to protect themselves. *Computers in Human Behavior, 48*, 199–207. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2015.01.046>
- Shklovski, I., Mainwaring, S. D., Skúladóttir, H. H., & Borgthorsson, H. (2014). Leakiness and creepiness in app space: Perceptions of privacy and mobile app use. In *Proceedings of the 32nd annual ACM conference on Human factors in*

- computing systems (pp. 2347–2356). Seoul, Republic of Korea: ACM. <http://doi.org/10.1145/2556288.2557421>
- Sichtmann, C. (2007). An analysis of antecedents and consequences of trust in a corporate brand. *European Journal of Marketing*, 41(9/10), 999–1015. <http://doi.org/10.1108/03090560710773318>
- Simon, H. A. (1982). *Models of bounded rationality: Empirically grounded economic reason*. Cambridge Massachusetts and London: MIT Press.
- Sipior, J. C., Ward, B. T., & Volonino, L. (2014). Privacy concerns associated with smartphone use. *Journal of Internet Commerce*, 13(3–4), 177–193. <http://doi.org/10.1080/15332861.2014.947902>
- SmartInsights. (2017). *Mobile marketing statistics compilation*. Retrieved from <https://www.smartinsights.com/mobile-marketing/mobile-marketing-analytics/mobile-marketing-statistics/>
- Smit, E. G., Bronner, F., & Tolboom, M. (2007). Brand relationship quality and its value for personal contact. *Journal of Business Research*, 60(6), 627–633. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2006.06.012>
- Smit, E. G., van Noort, G., & Voorveld, H. A. M. (2014). Understanding online behavioural advertising: User knowledge, privacy concerns and online coping behaviour in Europe. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 32, 15–22. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2013.11.008>
- Smith, H. J., Milberg, S. J., & Burke, S. J. (1996). Information privacy: Measuring individuals' concerns about organizational practices. *MIS Quarterly*, 20(2), 167–196. <http://doi.org/10.2307/249477>
- Smith, Dinev, & Xu. (2011). Information privacy research: An interdisciplinary review. *MIS Quarterly*, 35(4), 989–1015. <http://doi.org/10.2307/41409970>
- So, J. (2013). A further extension of the extended parallel process model (E-EPPM): Implications of cognitive appraisal theory of emotion and dispositional coping style. *Health Communication*, 28(1), 72–83. <http://doi.org/10.1080/10410236.2012.708633>
- Statista. (2017). Number of apps available in leading app stores as of March 2017. Retrieved from <https://www.statista.com/statistics/276623/number-of-apps-available-in-leading-app-stores/>
- Steel, E. (2013, June 12). Companies scramble for consumer data. *Financial Times*. Retrieved from <http://ig-legacy.ft.com/content/f0b6edc0-d342-11e2-b3ff-00144feab7de#axzz3DHhrW6Hj>
- Sutanto, J., Palme, E., Tan, C.-H., & Chee, W. P. (2013). Addressing the personalization-privacy paradox: An empirical assessment from a field experiment on smartphone users. *MIS Quarterly*, 37(4), 1141–1164. Retrieved from <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=91906295&site=ehost-live>

T

- Taddicken, M. (2014). The "privacy paradox" in the social web: The impact of privacy concerns, individual characteristics, and the perceived social relevance on different forms of self-disclosure. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 19(2), 248–273. <http://doi.org/10.1111/jcc4.12052>
- Taggart, K. (2017, February 16). The truth about the Trump data team that people are freaking out about. *BuzzFeed News*. Retrieved from https://www.buzzfeed.com/kendalltaggart/the-truth-about-the-trump-data-team-that-people-are-freaking?utm_term=.qfoD5NWor#.ugVNwMXKm
- Tamborini, R., Bowman, N. D., Eden, A., Grizzard, M., & Organ, A. (2010). Defining media enjoyment as the satisfaction of intrinsic needs. *Journal of Communication*, 60(4), 758–777. <http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-2466.2010.01513.x>
- Teng, C.-I. (2010). Customization, immersion satisfaction, and online gamer loyalty. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 26(6), 1547–1554. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2010.05.029>
- Terlutter, R., & Capella, M. L. (2013). The gamification of advertising: Analysis and research directions of in-game advertising, advergaming, and advertising in social network games. *Journal of Advertising*, 42(2–3), 95–112. <http://doi.org/10.1080/00913367.2013.774610>
- Threema. (2018). Threema rigorously protects your privacy. Retrieved from <https://threema.ch/en/>
- Thurm, S., & Kane, Y. I. (2010, December 17). What they know: Your apps are watching you. *The Wall Street Journal*. Retrieved from <http://www.wsj.com/articles/SB10001424052748704694004576020083703574602>
- TRUSTe. (2014). TRUSTe privacy index. 2014 consumer confidence edition. Retrieved from <https://www.truste.com/resources/privacy-research/us-consumer-confidence-index-2014/>
- Tsang, M. M., Ho, S.-C., & Liang, T.-P. (2004). Consumer attitudes toward mobile advertising: An empirical study. *International Journal of Electronic Commerce*, 8(3), 65–78. Retrieved from <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/10864415.2004.11044301>
- Tufekci, Z. (2008). Can you see me now? Audience and disclosure regulation in online social network sites. *Bulletin of Science, Technology & Society*, 28(1), 20–36. <http://doi.org/10.1177/0270467607311484>
- Turow, J. (2013). *The daily you: How the new advertising industry is defining your identity and your worth*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.

V

- van Dijck, J. (2014). Datafication, dataism and dataveillance: Big Data between scientific paradigm and ideology. *Surveillance Society*, 12(2), 197–208. Retrieved from <https://search.proquest.com/docview/1547988865?pq-origsite=gscholar>
- van Doorn, J., & Hoekstra, J. C. (2013). Customization of online advertising: The role of intrusiveness. *Marketing Letters*, 24(4), 339–351. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s11002-012-9222-1>
- van Noort, G., Antheunis, M. L., & Verlegh, P. W. J. (2014). Enhancing the effects of social network site marketing campaigns. *International Journal of Advertising*, 33(2), 235–252. <http://doi.org/10.2501/IJA-33-2-235-252>
- van Reijmersdal, E. A., Lammers, N., Rozendaal, E., & Buijzen, M. (2015). Disclosing the persuasive nature of advergames: Moderation effects of mood on brand responses via persuasion knowledge. *International Journal of Advertising*, 34(1), 70–84. <http://doi.org/10.1080/02650487.2014.993795>
- van Reijmersdal, E. A., Rozendaal, E., & Buijzen, M. (2012). Effects of prominence, involvement, and persuasion knowledge on children's cognitive and affective responses to advergames. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 26(1), 33–42. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.intmar.2011.04.005>
- Vesonen, J. (2007). What is personalization? A conceptual framework. *European Journal of Marketing*, 41(5/6), 409–418. <http://doi.org/10.1108/03090560710737534>
- Vishwanath, A., & Chen, H. (2008). Personal communication technologies as an extension of the self: A cross-cultural comparison of people's associations with technology and their symbolic proximity with others. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, 59(11), 1761–1775. <http://doi.org/10.1002/asi.20892>
- Vroom, V. H. (1964). *Work and motivation*. New York, NY: John Wiley & Sons.

W

- Waiguny, M. K. J., Nelson, M. R., & Marko, B. (2013). How advergame content influences explicit and implicit brand attitudes: When violence spills over. *Journal of Advertising*, 42(2–3), 155–169. <http://doi.org/10.1080/00913367.2013.774590>
- Waiguny, M. K. J., Nelson, M. R., & Terlutter, R. (2014). The relationship of persuasion knowledge, identification of commercial intent and persuasion outcomes in advergames—the role of media context and presence. *Journal of Consumer Policy*, 37(2), 257–277. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s10603-013-9227-z>
- Wang, R. J., Kim, S., & Malthouse, E. C. (2016). Branded apps and mobile platforms as new tools for advertising. In R. E. Brown, V. K. Jones, & M. Wang (Eds.), *The new*

- advertising: Branding, content, and consumer relationships in the data-driven social media era* (pp. 123–156). Santa Barbara, CA: ABC-CLIO.
- Wei, R., & Lo, V.-H. (2006). Staying connected while on the move: Cell phone use and social connectedness. *New Media & Society*, 8(1), 53–72. <http://doi.org/10.1177/1461444806059870>
- Westin, A. F. (1967). *Privacy and freedom*. New York, NY: Atheneum.
- Wirtz, J., Lwin, M. O., & Williams, J. D. (2007). Causes and consequences of consumer online privacy concern. *International Journal of Service Industry Management*, 18(4), 326–348. <http://doi.org/10.1108/09564230710778128>
- Wise, K., Bolls, P. D., Kim, H., Venkataraman, A., & Meyer, R. (2008). Enjoyment of advergaming and brand attitudes. *Journal of Interactive Advertising*, 9(1), 27–36. <http://doi.org/10.1080/15252019.2008.10722145>
- Witte, K. (1992). Putting the fear back into fear appeals: The extended parallel process model. *Communication Monographs*, 59(4), 329–349. Retrieved from <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=ufh&AN=9301100383&site=ehost-live>
- Wottrich, V. M., Verlegh, P. W. J., & Smit, E. G. (2017). The role of customization, brand trust, and privacy concerns in advergaming. *International Journal of Advertising*, 36(1), 60–81. <http://doi.org/10.1080/02650487.2016.1186951>

X

- Xu, H., Dinev, T., Smith, H. J., & Hart, P. (2008). Examining the formation of individual's privacy concerns: Toward an integrative view. In *Proceedings of the 29th International Conference on Information Systems (ICIS) Paper 6*. Paris, France: AISel. Retrieved from <http://aisel.aisnet.org/icis2008/6>
- Xu, H., Gupta, S., Rosson, M. B., & Carroll, J. M. (2012). Measuring mobile users' concerns for information privacy. In *Proceedings of the 33rd International Conference on Information Systems (ICIS) Paper 10*. Orlando, Florida, USA: AISel. Retrieved from <http://aisel.aisnet.org/icis2012/proceedings/ISSecurity/10/>

Y

- Yao, M. Z., Rice, R. E., & Wallis, K. (2007). Predicting user concerns about online privacy. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 58(5), 710–722. <http://doi.org/10.1002/asi.20530>
- Yoon, S., Choi, Y. K., & Song, S. (2011). When intrusive can be likable. *Journal of Advertising*, 40(2), 63–76. <http://doi.org/10.2753/JOA0091-3367400205>
- Youn, S. (2009). Determinants of online privacy concern and its influence on privacy

protection behaviors among young adolescents. *Journal of Consumer Affairs*, 43(3), 389–418. <http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6606.2009.01146.x>

Youn, S., & Lee, M. (2005). Advergame playing motivations and effectiveness. In M. R. Stafford & R. J. Faber (Eds.), *Advertising, promotion, and new media* (pp. 320–347). Armonk, NY: ME Sharpe.

Z

ZARA. (2013). ZARA Privacy Policy. Retrieved from http://static.zara.net/static//pdfs/US/privacy-policy/privacy-policy-en_US-20131125.pdf

Zhang, F., Shih, F., & Weitzner, D. (2013). No surprises: Measuring intrusiveness of smartphone applications by detecting objective context deviations. In *Proceedings of the 12th ACM Workshop on Workshop on Privacy in the Electronic Society* (pp. 291–296). New York, NY, USA: ACM. <http://doi.org/10.1145/2517840.2517864>

Zuiderveen Borgesius, F. J. (2013). Behavioral targeting: A European legal perspective. *IEEE Security & Privacy*, 11(1), 82–85. <http://doi.org/10.1109/msp.2013.5>

Zuiderveen Borgesius, F. J. (2015). Informed consent: We can do better to defend privacy. *IEEE Security & Privacy*, 13(2), 103–107. <http://doi.org/10.1109/msp.2015.34>

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Authors' Initials

*Verena M. Wottrich (VW), Eva A. van Reijmersdal (EvR), Edith G. Smit (EGS),
Peeter W. J. Verlegh (PV)*

Chapter 2

App Users Unwittingly in the Spotlight: A Model of Privacy Protection in Mobile Apps

Verena M. Wottrich, Eva A. van Reijmersdal, & Edith G. Smit

Conceptualization: VW, EvR, EGS. Methodology: VW, EvR, EGS. Data collection: VW. Analysis: VW. Writing (original draft preparation): VW. Writing (review and editing): VW, EvR, EGS. Visualization: VW.

Chapter 3

The Privacy Trade-Off for Mobile App Downloads: The Roles of App Value, Intrusiveness, and Privacy Concerns

Verena M. Wottrich, Eva A. van Reijmersdal, & Edith G. Smit

Conceptualization: VW, EvR, EGS. Methodology: VW, EvR, EGS. Data collection: VW. Analysis: VW. Writing (original draft preparation): VW. Writing (review and editing): VW, EvR, EGS. Visualization: VW.

Chapter 4

The Role of Customization, Brand Trust, and Privacy Concerns in Advergaming

Verena M. Wottrich, Peeter W. J. Verlegh, & Edith G. Smit

Conceptualization: VW, PV, EGS. Methodology: VW, PV, EGS. Data collection: VW. Analysis: VW. Writing (original draft preparation): VW. Writing (review and editing): VW, PV, EGS. Visualization: VW.

Chapter 5

Exploring the Impact of Branded App Intrusiveness on Consumers' App and Brand Perceptions

Verena M. Wottrich, Eva A. van Reijmersdal, & Edith G. Smit

Conceptualization: VW, EvR, EGS. Methodology: VW, EvR, EGS. Data collection: VW. Analysis: VW. Writing (original draft preparation): VW. Writing (review and editing): VW, EvR, EGS. Visualization: VW.

ENGLISH SUMMARY

Today, mobile devices, such as smartphones and tablet PCs, play an important role in our lives. Almost always on and with us, they offer unprecedented, instant, and often free access to information, entertainment, and social interaction at any time and from any place. However, these benefits do not come without risks. By downloading and using mobile applications (“apps”), smartphone and tablet users constantly—and often unwittingly—create quantifiable information online. This information is often collected, stored, used, and auctioned off by third parties, such as app developers, data brokers, analytics companies, and marketers. These data collection and usage practices might impose a threat to app users’ privacy, because the gathered information is often used for discriminating between users in buying situations, social sorting, (hidden) manipulation, or fraudulent behaviors, such as identity theft. Currently, app users’ influence on the gathering of personal information via mobile apps is limited. As they often cannot selectively grant or decline certain permission requests or simply “opt out” of the tracking, the guiding principle is often “all-or-nothing”: accept the information request or do not install the app.

So far, literature on how consumers respond to this situation is scarce and mixed. While some studies show that app users engage in privacy protecting behavior (e.g., uninstalling apps) due to privacy concerns, others demonstrate that users willingly trade their privacy for convenience, functionality, or financial gains. To get more insights into how consumers respond to data collection and usage practices of mobile apps, this dissertation investigated (1) the status quo of privacy protection behavior, (2) the drivers of information disclosure, and (3) the consequences of information disclosure in the privacy-sensitive context of mobile apps.

Conclusions

This dissertation reports the results of four empirical studies, which are based on seven different datasets gathered among more than 4,000 participants. Together, these studies provide five main conclusions about consumers’ responses toward data collection and usage practices of mobile apps:

1. **Mobile app users are currently not empowered and motivated enough to tackle the data collection and usage practices of mobile apps.**

App users' current knowledge about the data collection and usage practices of mobile apps is very limited. Moreover, app users are only moderately concerned about their privacy, they do not feel very vulnerable to potential privacy invasions caused by mobile apps, and they only have moderate confidence in their own ability to control the disclosure and subsequent use of personal information in the mobile app context. Currently, app users' are moderately motivated to protect their privacy, however, they barely engage in actual privacy protection behavior in the mobile app context.

2. **Mobile app users are more likely to engage in privacy protection, when they feel vulnerable, concerned, and think that they are able to protect themselves from the data collection and usage practices of apps.**

Mobile app users are more inclined to protect their privacy when they think that privacy invasions caused by mobile apps can, in fact, also affect them. Moreover, they are more likely to protect themselves if they are concerned about their privacy and have confidence in their own ability to control the disclosure and subsequent use of their personal information. Surprisingly, higher levels of knowledge about the data collection and usage practices of apps were not associated with more, but with less, protection motivation and behavior, which is raising doubts concerning the assumption of informed privacy decision-making in the context of mobile apps.

3. **Mobile app users engage in a privacy trade-off when downloading mobile apps in which app value trumps app intrusiveness and privacy concerns.**

Mobile app users tend to trade their privacy for apps that are of value to them. The benefits of an app (i.e., app value) seem to trump the costs (i.e., intrusiveness, privacy concerns) in the privacy trade-off.

4. **In branded gaming apps (i.e., advergames), customization features and brand trust may increase information disclosure and brand attitude, but this influence is strongly conditioned by consumers' privacy concerns.**

Privacy concerns may provide a boundary condition to the effects of customization features and brand trust in branded gaming apps. Privacy concerned players respond more negatively to gaming features than less concerned players.

5. Branded app intrusiveness has a damaging effect on app and brand perceptions for fictitious apps, but not for real apps.

Collecting data about consumers via branded mobile apps could have negative consequences for marketers. The more information a fictitious, unknown branded app collects, the more negatively consumers respond to this app in terms of app attitude and app trust. However, intrusiveness does not seem to have an effect on consumers' app and brand perceptions when the app is originating from a real brand.

Conclusion and Practical Implications

This dissertation provides new insights into how consumers respond to data collection and usage practices of apps. It does not only contribute to the scientific literature on privacy decision-making in various ways, but it also provides three important take-aways for policy makers, consumers, and marketers. First of all, this dissertation raises doubts as to whether the current self-regulation principle in general, and the informed consent regulations more specifically, are effective in protecting consumer privacy. Instead of placing too much responsibility for the protection of their privacy on consumers, this dissertation encourages policy makers to better empower consumers and to reassess whether app permission pages in their current form are the right means for educating consumers about the data collection and usage practices of apps. Second, this dissertation shows that mobile app users can do better to protect their privacy in apps. Although it might seem difficult, there are still some steps consumers can take to protect their privacy and this dissertation encourages them to make use of the means that are already available. Mobile app users can, for example, actively look for more information about data collection and usage practices of apps on educational websites, such as www.veiliginternetten.nl, or they could consider downloading alternative apps offering the same service as privacy-invading apps. Third, marketers should be aware that collecting too much data and raising privacy concerns might have negative consequences for their brand. Before employing apps that collect consumer information, marketers should investigate how sensitive their target group is when it comes to privacy. Based on this investigation, they should decide how much consumer information they can collect without running the risk to "scare off" consumers. All in all, this dissertation provides a more nuanced understanding of consumers' responses to data collection and usage practices of mobile apps, which will hopefully shape future inquiries in the area of information privacy and consumer protection.



NEDERLANDSE SAMENVATTING

Mobiele apparaten, zoals smartphones en tablet-pc's, spelen tegenwoordig een belangrijke rol in ons leven. Doordat we ze bijna altijd aan hebben staan en bij ons hebben, bieden ze een tot nu toe ongekende, directe en vaak kosteloze toegang tot informatie, entertainment en sociale interactie op elk moment en vanaf elke plek. Deze voordelen zijn echter niet zonder risico's. Door mobiele applicaties ("apps") te downloaden en gebruiken, produceren gebruikers van smartphones en tablets constant —en vaak onbewust— kwantificeerbare informatie online. Deze informatie wordt regelmatig verzameld, opgeslagen, gebruikt en geveild door derden, zoals app-ontwikkelaars, databrokers, analysebedrijven en marketeers. Deze gegevensverzameling en -gebruikspraktijken kunnen een bedreiging vormen voor de privacy van app-gebruikers, omdat de verzamelde informatie vaak wordt gebruikt voor prijsdiscriminatie, sociale sortering, (verborgen) manipulatie of frauduleus gedrag, zoals identiteitsdiefstal. Momenteel hebben app-gebruikers maar een beperkte invloed op het verzamelen van persoonlijke informatie via mobiele apps. Omdat ze meestal niet selectief bepaalde toestemmingsverzoeken kunnen toestaan of weigeren of aan kunnen geven dat ze niet willen worden getrackt ("opt-out"), is het leidende principe vaak "alles-of-niets": accepteer het informatieverzoek of installeer de app niet.

Tot nu toe is literatuur over hoe consumenten op deze situatie reageren schaars en gemengd. Hoewel sommige onderzoeken aantonen dat app-gebruikers privacybeschermd gedrag vertonen (bijvoorbeeld door apps te verwijderen) vanwege privacyzorgen, laten andere onderzoeken zien dat gebruikers vrijwillig hun privacy ruilen voor gemak, functionaliteit of financiële voordelen. Om meer inzicht te krijgen in hoe consumenten reageren op de gegevensverzameling en -gebruikspraktijken van mobiele apps, heeft dit proefschrift onderzoek gedaan naar (1) de status-quo van privacybeschermingsgedrag, (2) de drijfveren om informatie te delen in mobiele apps en (3) de gevolgen van het delen van informatie in de privacygevoelige context van mobiele apps.

Conclusies

Dit proefschrift rapporteert de resultaten van vier empirische onderzoeken, die gebaseerd zijn op zeven verschillende datasets verzameld onder meer dan 4.000 deelnemers. Samen bieden deze studies vijf hoofdconclusies over de reacties van consumenten op gegevensverzameling en -gebruikspraktijken van mobiele apps:

- 1. Mobiele app-gebruikers zijn momenteel niet gemachtigd en gemotiveerd genoeg om de gegevensverzameling en -gebruikspraktijken van mobiele apps aan te pakken.**

De huidige kennis van app-gebruikers over de gegevensverzameling en -gebruikspraktijken van mobiele apps is zeer beperkt. Bovendien maken app-gebruikers zich slechts matig zorgen over hun privacy, voelen ze zich niet erg kwetsbaar voor potentiële privacyschendingen die worden veroorzaakt door mobiele apps, en hebben ze slechts matig vertrouwen in hun eigen vermogen om het delen en het gebruik van hun persoonlijke informatie in de app-context te beheersen. Op dit moment zijn app-gebruikers matig gemotiveerd om hun privacy te beschermen, maar ze tonen nauwelijks privacybeschermingsgedrag.

- 2. Mobiele app-gebruikers zullen eerder hun privacy beschermen wanneer ze zich kwetsbaar en bezorgd voelen en denken dat ze zichzelf kunnen beschermen tegen de gegevensverzameling en -gebruikspraktijken van apps.**

Gebruikers van mobiele apps zijn meer geneigd om hun privacy te beschermen wanneer ze denken dat privacyschendingen veroorzaakt door mobiele apps ook daadwerkelijk van invloed kunnen zijn op hen. Bovendien is de kans groter dat ze zichzelf beschermen wanneer ze zich zorgen maken over hun privacy en vertrouwen hebben in hun eigen vermogen om het delen en het gebruik van hun persoonlijke informatie te beheersen. Verrassend was dat hogere niveaus van kennis over de gegevensverzameling en -gebruikspraktijken van apps niet waren geassocieerd met meer, maar met minder, beschermingsmotivatie en -gedrag, wat twijfels opwekt over de aanname van goed geïnformeerde privacybeslissingen in de context van mobiele apps.

- 3. Mobiele app-gebruikers gaan een privacyafweging aan bij het downloaden van mobiele apps waarin de waarde van de app belangrijker is dan privacyzorgen en de opdringerigheid van de app.**

Mobiele app-gebruikers hebben de neiging hun privacy in te ruilen voor apps die voor hen van waarde zijn. De voordelen van een app (d.w.z. app-waarde) lijken de kosten te overtreffen (d.w.z. opdringerigheid, privacyzorgen) in de privacyafweging.

4. In gaming-apps die afkomstig zijn van een merk (d.w.z. advergames) kunnen aanpassingsfuncties en merkvertrouwen het delen van informatie en de merkhouding versterken, maar deze invloed wordt sterk bepaald door de privacyzorgen van consumenten.

Privacyzorgen kunnen een randvoorwaarde vormen voor de effecten van aanpassingsfuncties en merkvertrouwen in gaming-apps. Spelers die bezorgd zijn over hun privacy reageren negatiever op gamefuncties dan minder bezorgde spelers.

5. De opdringerigheid van branded apps heeft een schadelijk effect op de percepties van apps en merken voor fictieve apps, maar niet voor echte apps.

Het verzamelen van gegevens over consumenten via mobiele apps die afkomstig zijn van een merk (d.w.z. branded apps) kan negatieve gevolgen hebben voor marketeers. Hoe meer informatie een fictieve app van een onbekend merk verzamelt, hoe negatiever consumenten reageren op deze app in termen van app-attitude en app-vertrouwen. Opdringerigheid lijkt echter geen effect te hebben op de app- en merkperceptie van consumenten wanneer de app afkomstig is van een echt merk.

Conclusie en Aanbevelingen voor de Praktijk

Dit proefschrift biedt nieuwe inzichten in hoe consumenten reageren op gegevensverzameling en -gebruikspraktijken van apps. Het levert niet alleen op verschillende manieren een bijdrage aan de wetenschappelijke literatuur over privacy-besluitvorming, maar biedt ook drie belangrijke aanbevelingen voor beleidsmakers, consumenten en marketeers. Allereerst roept dit proefschrift de vraag op of het huidige zelfreguleringsprincipe in het algemeen en de geïnformeerde toestemmingregelingen in het specifiek, effectief zijn in het beschermen van de privacy van consumenten. In plaats van te veel verantwoordelijkheid te leggen bij de consument voor de bescherming van hun privacy, moedigt dit proefschrift beleidsmakers aan om consumenten beter in staat te stellen hun privacy te beschermen en te heroverwegen of app-machtigingspagina's in hun huidige vorm het juiste middel zijn om consumenten voor te lichten over de gegevensverzameling en -gebruikspraktijken van apps.

Ten tweede laat dit proefschrift zien dat gebruikers van mobiele apps meer zouden kunnen doen om hun privacy in apps te beschermen. Hoewel het misschien moeilijk lijkt, zijn er nog steeds enkele stappen die consumenten kunnen nemen om hun privacy te beschermen en dit proefschrift moedigt hen aan om gebruik te maken van de middelen die al beschikbaar zijn. Gebruikers van mobiele apps kunnen

bijvoorbeeld actief op zoek gaan naar meer informatie over gegevensverzameling en -gebruikspraktijken van apps op educatieve websites, zoals www.veiliginternetten.nl, of ze zouden kunnen overwegen om alternatieve apps te downloaden die dezelfde service bieden als apps die privacy schenden.

Ten derde is het beter als marketeers beseffen dat het verzamelen van te veel gegevens en het opwekken van privacyzorgen negatieve gevolgen kan hebben voor hun merk. Voordat marketeers consumenteninformatie gaan verzamelen met behulp van apps, kunnen ze beter onderzoeken hoe gevoelig hun doelgroep is als het gaat om privacy. Op basis van dit onderzoek moeten zij bepalen hoeveel consumenteninformatie zij kunnen verzamelen zonder het risico te lopen consumenten "af te schrikken". Al met al biedt dit proefschrift een meer genuanceerd inzicht in de reacties van consumenten op de gegevensverzameling en -gebruikspraktijken van apps, wat hopelijk toekomstige onderzoeken op het gebied van informatieprivacy en consumentenbescherming zal inspireren.

