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INTRODUCTION

Clinical studies have provided evidence that cognition in later life is strongly influenced by experiences occurring during the sensitive period of early development and adolescence. Indeed, adverse early-life events, e.g., social deprivation or abuse, are associated with an increased vulnerability to develop psychiatric disorders (Stevens et al., 2008; Maselko et al., 2011) and impaired cognitive functioning in adulthood (Chugani et al., 2001; Kaplan et al., 2001; Nelson et al., 2007; Mueller et al., 2010). Interestingly, exposure to prenatal or postnatal malnutrition, e.g., the lack of one or multiple essential nutrients, can lead to a similarly increased incidence of psychopathologies (Brown et al., 2000; Costello et al., 2007; Mueller et al., 2010) and cognitive deficits in adolescence and adulthood (Walker et al., 2000; Benton, 2010; de Rooij et al., 2010; de Groot et al., 2011; de Souza et al., 2011; Laus et al., 2011).

Different lines of work further illustrate the relation between cognitive functions and postnatal immune system activity. For example, maternal inflammatory responses during pregnancy (Eriksen et al., 2009), antenatal infection in preterm babies (Dammann et al., 2002; Eriksen et al., 2009; van der Ree et al., 2011) and neonatal infection (Rantakallio et al., 1997; Libbey et al., 2005) are suggested as risk factors for a lower IQ later in life and for an increased vulnerability to develop later neuropsychiatric disorders like schizophrenia and depression (Brown, 2006; Cope and Gould, 2013; Miller et al., 2013; O’Connor et al., 2014). These lines of research support the hypothesis postulated in the developmental origins theory of Barker that the early-life environment determines the framework for later adult functioning and the development of pathologies through an early programming of adult brain structure and function (Barker, 2004; Barker et al., 2013). Although the consequences of an adverse early-life history for adult cognitive function are confirmed by evidence from preclinical studies in rodents (Lucassen et al., 2013; Naninck et al., 2013), the exact mechanisms underlying these programming effects and the determining elements in the environment that modulate these processes remain obscure. As is clear from the above examples, early-life environmental experiences cannot be avoided (Danese et al., 2009; Bale et al., 2010) and it is thus crucial to understand which elements play a role, and how they interact in order to develop future interventions.

The early postnatal environment encompasses many essential elements, which are key and determinant for proper brain development, many of which are largely transmitted via the mother–child interaction. A child is generally dependent on maternal care during the first weeks of life, encompassing tactile stimulation,
nutritional provision, as well as transfer of antibodies and maternal warmth. As is evident from the above examples, an adverse early-life environment may affect the stress hormones, nutrition, or inflammatory modulators, all elements that can strongly interact and affect one another (Kelly and Coutts, 2000; Shank and Lightman, 2001; Miller et al., 2009; Palmer, 2011). Hence, an individual is actually exposed to a combination of these factors rather than to one of these elements independently.

There is, e.g., increasing evidence that early-life maltreatment is associated with an increase in the pro-inflammatory markers of the immune system in adulthood (Coelho et al., 2014). Also, associations have been found between maternal supplementation of specific nutrients (e.g., folate, iodine, and vitamin D) and an enhanced fetal immune system development that was paralleled by a reduced incidence of psychopathologies in adulthood (Marques et al., 2013). Also, Monk et al. (2013) reviewed the interrelation of nutrition and prenatal stress in stress-induced maternal malnutrition. An interrelated profile of different early-life elements brings forward the possibility of confounding factors in the currently reported findings on the possible mechanisms that underlie such programming. Interestingly, most studies addressing the mechanisms underlying early-life programming consider these elements individually, but, considering that each one of these elements has a very strong interaction with one another, and influence each other greatly, it is likely that the final effect will be determined by the synergistic action of the different early-life elements at play. Hence, it will be necessary to re-discuss and re-analyze the so far obtained results in light of such interactions.

The focus of this review will be on the essential elements present in the early postnatal environment and their involvement in the lasting effects on cognitive functioning. In particular we will discuss how the various elements during the early postnatal period (i.e., sensory stimuli, nutrition, stress hormones, and inflammatory molecules) interact, affect each other and ultimately how they may synergistically affect brain structure, and function on the long term. We focus on the consequences for hippocampal structure and related cognitive functioning and on a unique form of hippocampal plasticity, adult neurogenesis. The hippocampus is one of the key brain regions important for cognitive functions and this form of plasticity is very important for learning and memory processes and highly modulated by (early) environmental factors, i.e., stress (Gould et al., 2000; Mirauce et al., 2004; Dranovsky and Hen, 2006; Lucassen et al., 2013), nutrition (Lindqvist et al., 2006; Beltz et al., 2007; Coupé et al., 2009), and immune activation (Das and Basu, 2008; Green and Nolan, 2014; Musaelyan et al., 2014).

The fact that the hippocampus is particularly susceptible to influences of the early-life environment and in particular stressful stimuli is easily understood when considering the important developmental processes that take place in this brain region during this sensitive developmental period. Indeed, hippocampal and dentate gyrus (DG) development in particular starts during late gestation and continues during the first 2 weeks after birth (Altmann and Bayer, 1990), while in human the development of the DG starts during the last trimester of pregnancy and continues to about 16 years of age (Arnold and Trojanowski, 1996). During this time, granular cells are generated in the subventricular zone or in the hippocampus itself, that migrate to the different layers of the DG (Pleasure et al., 2000; Fukuda et al., 2005; Navarro-Quiroga et al., 2006), while in adulthood quiescent neuronal progenitors cells develop to become functional granular cells (Kemtermann et al., 2004). Interestingly, adult neurogenesis is lastingly affected by perturbation of the early-life environment as well (Coupé et al., 2009; Oomen et al., 2011; Korosi et al., 2012; Lucassen et al., 2013; Loi et al., 2014; Musaelyan et al., 2014). Next to the generation and migration of granular cells, the migration and colonization by microglia takes place as well during this sensitive period and is also peeking in the first few postnatal days (Schwarz and Bilbo, 2012; Schwarz et al., 2012; Cope and Gould, 2013). These migratory processes are supported by a scaffold formed by immature astrocytes. In addition, glia cells are increasingly acknowledged for their role in the plasticity and circuit functioning of the adult hippocampus (Allen and Barres, 2009; Ekdahl, 2012). Finally, the hippocampus is highly sensitive to stress both early as well as during adult life due to its remarkably high expression levels of the glucocorticoid receptor (GR). Interestingly, expression levels of these receptors have been shown to be affected by early-life stress as well (Liu et al., 1997; Lucassen et al., 2013; de Kloet et al., 2014).

In the following sections we discuss the effects of early-life stress, nutrition, and central immune activity on hippocampal function and adult neurogenesis and thereafter discuss how to implement in these findings that (1) these elements affect one another and (2) they act synergistically to exert their function.

**MODULATION OF HIPPOCAMPAL FUNCTION AND NEUROGENESIS BY EARLY-LIFE STRESS**

Early-life stress exposure is strongly associated with cognitive impairments later in life (Kaplan et al., 2001; Stevens et al., 2008; Mueller et al., 2010; Maselko et al., 2011), but what is the preclinical evidence for this association? Which are the most common animal models to study this question?

Stress in the postnatal period can be induced using several rodent paradigms. The most widely studied models to induce early-life stress involve either naturally occurring variation or artificial modulation of maternal care (Francis and Meaney, 1999; Francis et al., 2000), repeated dam-litter separation or a single prolonged deprivation of the dam and her pups (Schmidt et al., 2010; Hedges and Woon, 2011) and chronic early-life stress (Ivy et al., 2008; Rice et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2011) during the first few postnatal weeks. Adult offspring from all of these early-life stress models exhibit cognitive impairments, indicating a strong translational value of these models in addressing the underlying mechanism of such programming. For example, adult rats that had been either maternally separated during the first 2 weeks of life or deprived on postnatal day (P)3 for 24 h, exhibited impairments in their acquisition of spatial information in the Morris water maze (MWM; Oitzl et al., 2000; Huot et al., 2002; Aisa et al., 2007; Fabricius et al., 2008; Oomen et al., 2010) and mice exposed to chronic early-life stress show impairment of spatial memory (tested by MWM and object location) and declarative memory tested by novel object recognition task and Y-maze (Rice et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2011). These early-life stress induced cognitive impairments are associated with a number of alterations in...
hippocampal structure and neuronal plasticity, including decrease in dendritic complexity and spine density in the cornu ammonis (CA1 and CA3) (Huo et al., 2002; Ivy et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2011), reduced DG dendritic complexity, granular cell number and granular cell density (Oomen et al., 2010, 2011), reduced astrocyte density in the DG and CA regions (Leventopoulos et al., 2007), and age-dependent alterations in adult hippocampal neurogenesis levels (Korosi et al., 2012). Offspring in both rats and mice exposed to a form of early-life stress exhibit a short-term increase followed by a permanent reduction of proliferating and immature cells (Mirescu et al., 2004; Nair et al., 2007; Oomen et al., 2009, 2010; Huishoff et al., 2011; Suri et al., 2013; Naninck et al., 2014). Levels of cell survival and astrogensis in young adults are generally not affected after maternal separation (Oomen et al., 2010; Huishoff et al., 2011; Kumar et al., 2011; Suri et al., 2013), but this is strongly reduced at a more advanced age both in rats exposed to low levels of maternal care (Bredy et al., 2003), as well as in mice exposed to chronic early-life stress (Naninck et al., 2014).

How exactly does early-life stress exposure lead to the above described lasting alterations in cognitive functions as well as hippocampal structure and function remains relatively uncertain, but several possible mechanisms of action have been identified over the last years. First, available evidence that early-life stress manipulations, including maternal separation, maternal deprivation or chronic stress via environmental manipulation, alter the quality and/or quantity of maternal care (Brown et al., 1977; Pryce et al., 2001; Macri et al., 2004; Brunson, 2005; Fenoglio et al., 2006; Korosi and Baram, 2010) strongly suggests that mother–infant interaction is crucial in programming brain and behavior. However, these studies do not directly address whether maternal care, under normal conditions, is actively involved in these regulations.

In support of this notion, it has been demonstrated that a natural variation in maternal care (Liu et al., 1997; Champagne et al., 2008; Bagot et al., 2009) and individual within-litter variation in the amount of active care received (van Hasselt et al., 2012a,b) leads to differences in stress response and cognitive functions associated with altered hippocampal structure, plasticity and changes in the neuroendocrine system in later life. In line with this evidence from animal studies, in pre-term and term neonates, different forms of sensory stimulation, such as moderate touch or skin-to-skin care, have been shown to have beneficial consequences, including reduction of pain responsiveness in neonates (Cignacco et al., 2007) and a reduced reactivity to stress (Feldman et al., 2010). While it is clear that sensory stimuli that the mother gives to her offspring is highly dependent on the well-being of the mother and offspring and thus strongly affected by stressful environment, how exactly this element interacts with the other key elements in the early environment to lead to the programming of the brain structure and function is yet unclear. The few studies tackling the interaction of sensory stimuli with either nutritional or immune challenges are discussed in Sections “The Interplay of the Different Elements in the Early-Life Environment” and “Early-Life Adversity; Opportunities for Intervention Later in Life.”

The role of stress-related hormones (corticosterone; CORT) and neuropeptides (e.g., corticotropin releasing hormone, CRH) in the modulation of early-life stress effects on the hippocampus has been studied extensively. When the HPA axis is activated by a stressor this leads to HPA axis activation, which in turn leads to the initial release of CRH from the hypothalamic paraventricular nucleus (PVN), stimulation of pituitary adrenocorticotrophic hormone (ACTH) secretion into the blood, and the subsequent release of glucocorticoids from the adrenal glands: CORT in rodents and cortisol in humans. Negative feedback takes place when glucocorticoids bind to GRs in the hippocampus, PVN, prefrontal cortex and pituitary and thereby inhibit release of CRH and ACTH (Tsag and Chrousos, 2002).

In fact, exposure to stress during the postnatal early-life period programs the basal and stress-induced activation of the HPA axis and the behavioral responses to stress throughout life (Seckel and Meaney, 2006; Heim and Binder, 2012). Importantly during the first 2 weeks of life, the stress response is believed to be hypo-responsive to some, but not all stressors. This consists of a smaller, or absence of HPA axis responsiveness in pups when compared to the adult organism (Stanton et al., 1988; Levine et al., 1991). Age appropriate stressors, like maternal deprivation or fragmented maternal care elicit secretion of CORT (Yi and Baram, 1994; Schmidt et al., 2004; Rice et al., 2008). There is increasing evidence that next to an increased release of CORT (basally and upon stress exposure), the expression levels of several of the genes involved in the modulation of the stress response (e.g., CRH and GR; Rice et al., 2008; Ivy et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2012) are lasting altered in offspring experiencing early-life stress. Thus it is reasonable to assume that these changes might be (at least partly) mediating the altered hippocampal plasticity and thereby the associated cognitive impairments. However, the lasting alterations in the levels of circulating CORT are not consistently permanent in different models of early-life stress (Mirescu et al., 2004; Brunson, 2005; Rice et al., 2008).

While glucocorticoid exposure during early-life evoked cognitive impairments in adulthood (Kampbuis et al., 2003) and there is abundant literature about the regulating (mostly inhibiting) role of CORT (during adulthood) on neurogenesis (Cameron and Gould, 1994; Lucassen et al., 2010), chronic depletion of CORT through adrenalectomy of rats at 10 days of age did, however, not induce alterations in neurogenesis in adulthood (Brunson et al., 2005). Whether the raise in CORT early in life (and no longer in adulthood) modulates the process of neurogenesis and cognitive impairments on the long-term thus remains to be determined. The paucity of data points to the need for further research in this area; however, the contradictory data from the existing studies suggest that other factors may also contribute to the mechanisms by which early-life experience programs brain structure and function.

Because CRH expression is permanently altered after early stress in various models in the hypothalamus (Plotsky and Meaney, 1993; Liu et al., 1997) and hippocampus (Ivy et al., 2010), CRH has been explored as a modulator for the consequence of early-life stress in the hippocampus (Brunson, 2005; Ivy et al., 2010; Korosi et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2011; Loi et al., 2014). Indeed, exposure to CRH can mimic the changes in hippocampal structure induced after chronic early-life stress (Brunson, 2005) and a selective blockade of the CRF receptor type 1 during the first
week after chronic early-life stress indeed prevented the apparent cognitive impairments in the early-life stressed animals (Ivy et al., 2010). Intriguingly, conditional CRF1 knock-out mice were ‘protected’ against the hippocampus dependent cognitive impairments induced by chronic early-life stress (Wang et al., 2011). Finally early-life stress has been shown to age-dependently affect the expression of the gene and protein of the neurogenic factor brain derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF; Nair et al., 2007; Zimmerberg et al., 2009; Suri et al., 2013). Indeed BDNF expression and concomitant levels of hippocampal neurogenesis are upregulated by early-life stress during development and young adulthood, but reduced to decreased levels with aging (Nair et al., 2007; Suri et al., 2013; Naninck et al., 2014). These data suggests a possible role of BDNF in the modulation of hippocampal plasticity after early-life adversities.

Summarizing, stress related hormones and neuropeptides are involved in mediating lasting effects of early-life stress, however they are not sufficient to explain all the observed effects, indicating that other factors, possibly acting synergistically with these stress molecules, are also involved in the programming. We will next explore the role of nutrition early in life in these processes.

**EARLY NUTRITIONAL FACTORS DETERMINE ADULT HIPPOCAMPAL STRUCTURE AND FUNCTION**

As mentioned in the introduction, early nutritional insults have lasting consequences for brain development and function later in life (Lucas, 1998; Brown et al., 2000; McMillen et al., 2008; Prado and Dewey, 2014) with later cognitive functions being particularly affected (de Groot et al., 2011). This is not surprising when considering that during the first postnatal period, the brain is under heavy development and an incredible nutritional demand. In fact, for proper brain development to occur, specific dietary macro-, and micronutrients are essential during gestation and lactation (Scholl et al., 1996; Benton, 2010; Dangat et al., 2010; Veena et al., 2010). Thus, disruption of the nutritional supply (quality and quantity) to the offspring will have major effects on the development of the brain, and more specifically on the hippocampus. An inadequate supply of them during critical developmental periods leads to brain dysfunction and cognitive impairments later in life (McNamara and Carlson, 2006; Innis, 2008; de Groot et al., 2011; Laus et al., 2011).

The offspring is during this critical developmental period fully dependent on the nutrition provided by the mother. Most preclinical models are based upon altering maternal nutrition during gestation and/or lactation. Indeed, micronutrient composition of the maternal diet during gestation and lactation determine the balancing of fatty acids (FA) levels in the brain of the offspring, as maternal micronutrients (Roy et al., 2012) affect the breast milk composition (Innis, 2008; Allen, 2012). The association of early-life nutrition and cognitive functions is further supported by preclinical evidence (Campbell and Bedi, 1989; Castro et al., 1989; de Souza et al., 2011; Valladolid-Acebes et al., 2011; André et al., 2014). Here, we will discuss how early postnatal nutritional stress and specific nutritional components present in the postnatal period modulate cognition and neurogenesis in adulthood.

Various models are used to study how early malnourishment affects brain development and cognitive functions, e.g., through dietary restriction, overnutrition, or malnutrition by limitation of different key elements during gestation and/or lactation. For instance, protein restriction, global dietary restriction to 50% or high-fat, and modulation of essential macro- and micronutrients that need to be obtained from the diet are commonly used approaches (Campbell and Bedi, 1989; Bedi, 1992; Martínez et al., 2009; Valadares et al., 2010; de Souza et al., 2011; Roy et al., 2012). For example, protein restriction during lactation in rats (Valadares et al., 2010) and 12 h restriction of maternal milk (Castro et al., 1989) evokes deficits in hippocampus dependent spatial memory tested by MWM and object recognition in adult offspring, but see (Wolf et al., 1986; Campbell and Bedi, 1989). These deficits are accompanied by alterations in hippocampal structure and plasticity as well. Food restriction to 50% of the normal intake during lactation changed the time course of BDNF production and proliferation in the hippocampus (Coupé et al., 2009) and reduced the number of proliferating cells in the adult offspring, without affecting cell survival or cell fate (Matos et al., 2011). Furthermore, protein restriction during the same period leads to reduced total granular cell numbers (Bedi, 1991) and food restriction to 50% during gestation and lactation reduced hippocampal volume (Katz et al., 1982). In addition to these nutritional restriction studies, the offspring of high-fat diet exposed dams exhibit a reduction in postnatal neurogenesis during development (Tozuka et al., 2009) and impaired dendritic differentiation of newborn neurons in the adult hippocampus (Tozuka et al., 2010). However, to date no further studies of adult hippocampal neurogenesis in early-life food-restricted or high-fat diet exposed animals have been performed.

The lipid content during early-life is essential for the composition of maternal milk during lactation and development of the pup brain. For instance, polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs), including the omega-3 FA docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) and omega-6 FA arachidonic acid (AA), are structural components of the brain that promote healthy neuronal growth, repair, and myelination (McNamara and Carlson, 2006). Deficiency of these FAs in the maternal diet first revealed the association of low FA composition and impaired learning and memory functions (Lamprey and Walker, 1978). Moreover, deficiency of omega-3 FA during gestation and lactation impairs the spatial memory (tested by Barnes maze; Fedorova et al., 2009), whereas artificial feeding of rats with omega-3 FA deficient milk during lactation prolonged escape latency in the MWM (Lim et al., 2005) and omega-3 FA enrichment improved performance of the animals (Carrié et al., 2000).

These functional changes following FA deficiency are furthermore associated with structural changes in the brain. Maternal omega-3 FA deficiency during gestation leads to underdevelopment of the primordial hippocampus in fetal rats at the last days of gestation (Bertrand et al., 2006). Nutritional omega-3 FA deficiency during gestation and lactation reduces pyramidal cell size in the hippocampus (Ahmad et al., 2002) and the levels of markers for neuronal plasticity such as BDNF (Madore et al., 2014) at weaning. In addition, dietary enrichment with omega-3 prevents the adverse consequences of early-life sevoflurane (anesthesia) exposure on cell proliferation in the hippocampus and the induced memory impairments (Lei et al., 2013). Maternal supplementation...
of α-linolenic acid (ALA), a precursor of omega-3 FA, during gestation and lactation enhanced hippocampal neurogenesis at P19 (Nichulescu et al., 2011). However, to date it has not been studied whether an imbalance of FAs in early-life lastingly affects adult hippocampal neurogenesis.

Next to essential FAs, essential amino acids, choline, and methionine, and micronutrients such as folic acid (B9), vitamin B6 and B12, are also essential for brain development (Roy et al., 2012). Deficiency of choline during gestation and lactation impairs working memory in the 12-arm spatial memory maze, while supplementation enhances performance (Meck and Williams, 1999). In addition, a deficiency of nutritional folate, choline, B6, and B12 during gestation and lactation leads to learning and memory impairments in the radial arm mazes and enhanced the number of apoptotic cells in the hippocampus (Blaise et al., 2007). Deficiency of these methyl donors furthermore affects hippocampal neurogenesis by altering the apoptotic rate (Craciunescu et al., 2010).

Summarizing, evidently the nutritional composition during critical developmental periods (pre and postnatal) of life is essential for the proper development, structure and function of the hippocampus. Similar to the cognitive impairments induced by early-life stress, early-life malnutrition evokes such deficits as well. Another important element known to play a key role in modulating brain development and function is the neuroimmune system, which will be discussed in the next section.

### EARLY-IMMUNE RESPONSE ACTIVATION PROGRAMMING THE LATER-LIFE HIPPOCAMPUS

Activation of the peripheral and/or central immune system in early-life is associated with psychopathologies in adulthood, including cognitive dysfunction (Rantakallio et al., 1997; O’Connor et al., 2014). For instance, maternal infection during pregnancy is associated with lower IQ in adult men (Eriksen et al., 2009). In addition, pre- and postnatal infection have been associated with anxiety-like and depressive-like behavioral responses and cognitive impairments in adolescence and adulthood (Das and Basu, 2011; Williamson et al., 2011; Doosti et al., 2013; Dinel et al., 2014). The modulating effects of early-life immune challenges on brain function are not unexpected considering the essential role of neuroimmune cells in (early-)life. Microglia and astrocytes mediate many processes in the brain, including neuroinflammatory responses (Capuron and Miller, 2011; Green and Nolan, 2014; O’Connor et al., 2014), neuronal activation and plasticity (Slezak et al., 2006; Halassa et al., 2009; Parpura et al., 2012; Greter and Merad, 2013), maintenance and development of the blood–brain barrier (BBB; Banks et al., 1995; Chaboub and Deneen, 2013) and importantly, neurogenic processes during development (Das and Basu, 2011; Schwarz and Bilbo, 2012; Cope and Gould, 2013) and adulthood (Das and Basu, 2008; Ekdahl, 2012; Cope and Gould, 2013; Cunningham et al., 2013; Kohman and Rhodes, 2013; Sierra et al., 2013, 2014). Thus, imbalanced activation of the microglia, in particular during early-life, has the potential to lastingly disturb internal homeostasis and proper brain development (Allen and Barres, 2009).

Activity of the microglia is controlled by immune response regulating effector molecules, like pro-inflammatory (e.g., IL-1β, IL-6, and TNFα) and anti-inflammatory (e.g., IL-4 and IL-10) cytokines or chemokines (Chaplin, 2003; Cartier et al., 2005; Ekdahl et al., 2009) that regulate the communication between immune cells in the peripheral and central immune system. Although the brain is a relatively concealed and immunosuppressed environment in adulthood, that is separated from the periphery by the BBB, cytokines, and chemokines in the periphery have the potential to cross the BBB and can affect the innate cells of the brain (Banks et al., 1995; Pan and Kastin, 1999). Interestingly, during early-life, peripheral immune challenges might have a greater potential to adversely affect the brain (Schoderboeck et al., 2009). During this time, the BBB still pre-exists in a leaky stage till a few days after birth (Engelhardt, 2003), providing the possibility of a greater immunoreactive responses in the brain when a peripheral infection occurs. In addition, microglia develop in close parallel to developmental neurogenesis and appear in an active and amoeboid state during development, whereas they are present as resting, ramified cells in adulthood (Bilbo and Schwarz, 2009).

In the following part, we will discuss the pre-clinical evidence in support of a direct role of postnatal immune challenges in the persistent modulation of hippocampal structure and function. Most studies of postnatal infection have focused on stimulation by bacteria like Escherichia coli or the Gram-negative bacteria component lipopolysaccharide (LPS). We will here address the hippocampus dependent cognitive functions following early-life neuroimmune stress from two different angles. Firstly, activation of the peripheral neuroimmune system and its immediate and lasting effects on central neuroimmune system function and brain function. Secondly, the consequences of central neuroimmune system activity without a prior peripheral immune challenge, for instance via activation of central viral infection or pro-inflammatory factors, on hippocampal function and neurogenesis in adulthood.

A peripheral immune challenge with LPS (P1) or E. coli (P4) in the rat pup elicits an elevation of pro-inflammatory cytokines and CORT in the first few hours after the challenge in blood serum (Bilbo et al., 2005b), whole brain (Ortega et al., 2011) or hippocampus (Bilbo et al., 2005b; Dinel et al., 2014). In adulthood, cytokine mRNA expression levels in the brain of the early-infected animals remain normal under basal conditions, with the exception of elevated hippocampal levels of TNFα (P4 infected; Bland et al., 2010a) and IL-1β (P5 LPS infected; Wang et al., 2013). Although overall no strong changes in the cytokine expression profiles are present in the adult, in the early-life infected animals, microglial activation markers indicate enhanced reactive microglia (CD11b+) in the hippocampus (Bilbo et al., 2005a) and CA1 region (Iba1+; Bland et al., 2010b). In addition, adult rats exposed to LPS at P3 and P5 exhibited a hippocampus-specific increase in Iba1+ immunoreactive microglia in the CA1 and DG (Sominsky et al., 2012), indicative of a priming effect on hippocampal microglia. Indeed, a peripheral LPS injection in adulthood exerts an exaggerated pro-inflammatory cytokine response of mainly IL-1β in the hippocampal CA1 of rats with a history of early-life infection (Bilbo et al., 2005a, 2008), probably evoked by a programmed pro-inflammatory response of hippocampal microglia.
Interestingly, the pro-inflammatory response in the hippocampus following peripheral infection is accompanied by a direct effect on developmental hippocampal neurogenesis and structural changes in adulthood. *E. coli* infection at P4 immediately suppresses gene expression of neurotrophic factor BDNF in the CA1 and CA3 (Bilbo et al., 2008) and neuronal and astrocytic cell proliferation is reduced in the hippocampus following LPS exposure at P9 (Järlestedt et al., 2013). Hippocampal cell proliferation then restores to normal conditions in the 48 h after the challenge and does not affect the survival of immature neurons during the time of infection (Bland et al., 2010a; Järlestedt et al., 2013). This developmental change probably underlies the reduction in later hippocampal volume observed in early-life infected adult rodents (Wang et al., 2013). Moreover, in adulthood, early-life *E. coli* infected rats and LPS infected mice have comparable numbers of dividing, differentiating, and surviving neurogenic cells in the subgranular zone as control animals (Bland et al., 2010b; Järlestedt et al., 2013; Dinel et al., 2014). In conclusion, neurogenesis does not seem to be heavily affected by peripheral immune challenges. These subtle changes in neurogenesis are in line with the findings of limited effects on hippocampus-related cognitive functioning as well. Most studies of early-life infection do not find changes in different learning and memory paradigms, such as fear conditioning, MWM or Y-maze (Bilbo et al., 2005a, 2007, 2008; Dinel et al., 2014), but see (Harré et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2013). Interestingly, however, a stronger modulation of hippocampus-related cognitive functions is manifested after a second immunological challenge in early-life infected rodents. Thus, a combination of early-life infection history and adolescent or adult LPS (re-)exposure evokes impairments in a contextual fear conditioning paradigm (Bilbo et al., 2005a, 2006) and spatial memory performance in the Y-maze (Dinel et al., 2014), but not in the MWM (Bilbo et al., 2007).

Interestingly, these cognitive impairments in response to a second immune challenge appear in accordance with reduced newborn cell survival in the early-infected rats (Bland et al., 2010a), but not with BDNF gene expression levels (Bilbo et al., 2008). In contrast, neurogenesis is upregulated after LPS during adulthood in animals that never had an immune challenge before (Bland et al., 2010a). The consequences of a second immunological challenge in adulthood might thus be resulting from the priming effects of an early-life infection on the population of adult hippocampal microglia. This may lead to an exaggerated pro-inflammatory response with detrimental effects on adult hippocampal neurogenesis and cognition.

Neuroimmune system activation is not solely induced by peripheral bacterial components. The consequences of direct modulation of central cytokines and/or central induction of innate immune cells on the hippocampus are moderately studied. An example is TNFα injection at P3 and P5, increasing anxiety-like behaviors in male mice (Babri et al., 2014b). However, other cytokine overexpression levels have not been elegantly studied. For some years now, viral infections have been considered a contributing factor to the development of neuropsychological disorders, including hippocampal related dysfunction (Das and Basu, 2011). Various viruses are used to investigate disruption in hippocampal development and adult neurogenesis, e.g., the lymphocytic chorionemengitis virus (LCMV) (Pearce et al., 1996; Sharma et al., 2002; Orr et al., 2010), Borna disease virus (BDV; Zocher et al., 2000; Sauder et al., 2001) or polyinosinic:polycytidylic acid (Poly I:C; Galic et al., 2009). Each of these viruses induces different phenotypical changes. Poly I:C intracerebroventricular injection at P14 induces short time elevations of pro-inflammatory cytokine IL-1β in the hippocampus and adult-onset deficits in contextual fear conditioning (Galic et al., 2009). But earlier central administration of LCMV at P4 produces lasting IL-1β induction with subsequent loss of cells in the granular cell layer (Sharma et al., 2002; Orr et al., 2010) and reduced levels of progenitor cells in the DG (Sharma et al., 2002), without affecting other hippocampal regions (Pearce et al., 1996). This virus induced phenotype could be reduced by the use of an anti-inflammatory agent to block IL-1β, which restored the granular cell numbers in adulthood (Orr et al., 2010). The BDV virus typically induces apoptosis of DG cells at 27 and 33 days post infection, possibly mediated by a reduction in neurotrophins in this brain region (Zocher et al., 2000) and further impairs MWM performance in adulthood, correlating to chemokine expression levels (Sauder et al., 2001). How these virus infections early in life mechanistically affect the hippocampus is unfortunately poorly understood to date (Das and Basu, 2011).

Altogether, early-life peripheral infection immediately increases pro-inflammatory cytokines in the hippocampus and exerts lasting effects on hippocampal structure, but evokes only subtle alterations in hippocampal neurogenesis and functionality under basal condition. After exposure to a second immunological challenge in adulthood, however, a history of early-life infection has aversive effects on cognitive function related to an exaggerated pro-inflammatory response in the hippocampus. On the other hand, viral infection that induces a central stimulation of the immune system leaves detrimental effects on the DG, affecting adult hippocampal neurogenesis and cognitive functions. The lasting effect of early-life infection on hippocampal microglia suggests that a programming effect of peripheral and ultimately central immune system activity plays an important role in the lasting effects of hippocampal structure and cognitive functions.

**THE INTERPLAY OF THE DIFFERENT ELEMENTS IN THE EARLY-LIFE ENVIRONMENT**

The discussed consequences of early-life stress, nutrition, and immune activation can all be considered forms of early-life adversity. Although limited studies have examined the integrated role of these elements, the presented evidence in the above sections clearly points to the fact that challenges, even when very different in nature (disruption of maternal care, malnutrition, or immune), lead to strikingly similar outcomes of disrupted hippocampal structure and plasticity later in life as well as cognitive impairments. Knowing that these systems are tightly related and that they affect each other, it is reasonable to assume that the current models of early-life stress, malnutrition and infections discussed up to now elicit effects on all these different levels (Figure 1) and that it is the synergistic effects of all of these components that lead to the observed outcome rather than only the experimentally modulated one. In the upcoming section, we will discuss the current evidence and missing links for this hypothesis. Because the
Early-life adversities like stress and malnutrition not only lead to the previously described effects on cognitive and hippocampal function but also to changes in adult immunological function. The stress and immune systems have a strong interactive profile, illustrated by, e.g., the immune-suppressive effect of corticosteroids (Tsigos and Chrousos, 2002; Chaplin, 2010). Evidence of this relation in early-life has been provided by the enhanced pro-inflammatory status, both basally as well as in response to stress (Carpenter et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2010), of adult individuals with a history of early-life adversity, such as children raised in poor socioeconomic status households or who suffered from childhood maltreatment. This association has been confirmed by preclinical studies as well. In general, early-life stress paradigms (pre- and postnatal) lead to an immediate immunosuppressive state, e.g., a reduced lymphoproliferative response of the thymus (Llorente et al., 2007), downregulation of anti-inflammatory IL-10 and pro-inflammatory IL-1β (Dimatelis et al., 2012) and reduction of lipobinding protein (LBP), that regulates innate immune system pathogen presentation by microglia cells (Wei et al., 2012). These alterations in the immunoreactive profile in early-life are further accompanied by central changes in microglia morphology and number of Iba1 (Diz-Chaves et al., 2012) or lectin immunoreactive cells (Gómez-González and Escobar, 2009b).

In adulthood, the programming effect of early-life adversity by maternal deprivation on immune regulation is further illustrated by enhanced IL-1β responsiveness due to elevated IL-1 receptor levels at the post-synapse of adult hippocampal neurons (Viviani et al., 2013). In addition, the inflammatory response to an inflammatory challenge (systemic LPS injection) in the hippocampus of prenatally stressed mice is exaggerated in the reactivity of microglia and expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines (Diz-Chaves et al., 2013). Altogether, early-life stress tends to have a programming effect on neuroimmune functions, mainly resulting in an immediate immunosuppressive, but pro-inflammatory state in adulthood, which triggers an exaggerated neuroimmune response defined by cytokine secretion and microglia activity upon an immune challenge. How the early-life adversity-induced pro-inflammatory adult profile in the brain interacts with the other changes in brain structure and how these altogether lead to the observed cognitive impairments needs to be further investigated.

Next to the evident programming effects of early-life stress on neuroimmune functions, possible lasting effects of inflammatory challenges during early-life on HPA axis activity need to be considered as well. Early-life infection generally leads to a direct elevation of circulating glucocorticoids in early-life (Bilbo et al., 2005a) and while basal CORT was not affect by early-life infection at P14, the level of phosphorylated GR is significantly higher in the prefrontal cortex, but not the hippocampus (Dinel et al., 2014). In
contrast, early infection does not affect basal and/or stress-induced CORT in a lasting manner (Bilbo et al., 2006; Walker et al., 2010; Babri et al., 2014a; Dinel et al., 2014). In line with this, CORT secretion following adult LPS exposure seems independent of the early-life history of exposure to stress or infection (Bilbo et al., 2005a, 2007; Kohman et al., 2008). However, there is evidence indicating that early-life infected animals exhibit prolonged CORT elevations accompanied by a greater content of pro-inflammatory IL-1β and TNFα in the hippocampus upon adult stress exposure (Walker et al., 2010) while exposure to high doses of the pro-inflammatory cytokine TNFα during early-life history of exposure to stress or infection (Bilbo et al., 2009a). Whether these changes in early-life stress induced BBB leakage are related to changes in neuroimmune functioning after early-life stress remains to be determined.

WHAT IS THE EVIDENCE FOR AN INTERACTION BETWEEN EARLY-LIFE MALNUTRITION AND NEUROIMMUNE ACTIVATION?

Next to early-life stress, also early-life nutritional insults can affect the neuroimmune system. For example, there are indications for a strong association between circulating leptin levels and the suppression of lymphoproliferative responses and pro-inflammatory cytokine secretion in protein malnourished infants, both before and after recovery following refeeding (Palacio et al., 2002). Similar indications are provided by preclinical studies where adult offspring of food-restriction dams have increased basal immune activity (measured as C-reactive protein) in female offspring at 9 months of age, but reduced cytokine induction (IL-1β and IL-6 secretion) in response to a second immune insult with LPS (Desai et al., 2009). Similarly, adult offspring of dams that were protein-deprived during lactation show an impaired responsiveness to a peripheral immune challenge, that was accompanied by elevated levels of basal and response CORT (Barja-Fidalgo et al., 2003). Lipid content of the diet early in life seems to be a strong modulator of neuroimmune functioning throughout life. Indeed, offspring of dams fed high-fat and high-trans fat during pregnancy and lactation exhibit increased basal immune activity (C-reactive protein) at birth and increased active microglia in adult (Bilbo and Tsang, 2010) associated with improved performance in the MWM. These basal changes are accompanied by an exaggerated peripheral and hippocampal IL-1β response to adult LPS (Bilbo and Tsang, 2010), classically known to activate microglia (Van Dam et al., 1992). Interestingly, when maternally deprived rats (P9) are weaned on a high fat diet during adult life, they present an increased pro-inflammatory modulation of IL-1β and TNFα in the hypothalamus (Mela et al., 2012). Omega-3 FAs in particular appear responsible for these observations as they act as neuroprotective signaling pathways (Calon and Cole, 2007) and act upon immune regulators, by, e.g., blockage of the NFκB signaling pathway (Singer et al., 2008). In fact, male offspring of omega-3 deficient dams exhibit a promotion of reactive inflammatory microglia and elevated pro-inflammatory cytokines in the hippocampus at P21 (Madore et al., 2014). It remains elusive how the changes in dietary fat composition early in life and subsequent priming of microglia further relate to levels of adult hippocampal neurogenesis.

One of the possible mediators of the interaction between nutritional intake and immune system is leptin, which is secreted by white adipose tissue (Fernández-Riejos et al., 2010). In fact, rats treated with LPS at P10 have increased food intake in adulthood associated with elevated circulating leptin levels. A second immune challenge (LPS at 7–8 weeks of age), while leading to an elevation in leptin serum level in animals that were never exposed to infection before, did not alter leptin levels in the neonatally infected animals (Iwasa et al., 2010). Moreover, neonatal overfeeding, similarly to the combination of early-life stress and a high fat diet as discussed above (Mela et al., 2012), leads to microglosis in the hypothalamic regions, including the PVN of the hypothalamus, a key nucleus in the regulation of HPA axis activity that can be triggered by interleukin-1 as well (Berkenbosch et al., 1987). Especially in this region, microglia activation is overly exaggerated upon an immune challenge with LPS in adulthood (Ziko et al., 2014). Interestingly, these manipulations lead to a disruption in the patterns of leptin, coinciding with the leptin surge for normal hypothalamic development (Ahima et al., 1998; Ahima and Hileman, 2000). Thus, a disrupted pattern of leptin secretion and induced (neuro) inflammation by these manipulations may play an important role in programming of the cognitive functions (Miller and Spencer, 2014). It is remarkable that entirely different manipulations as prenatal stress (Diz-Chaves et al., 2013), being raised by a dam exposed to high fat diet during pregnancy and lactation (Bilbo and Tsang, 2010) and neonatal overfeeding (Ziko et al., 2014) reset the neuroimmune function and lead to exaggerated microglosis in response to a subsequent immune challenge in adulthood.

Although not extensively studied during early-life, current evidence on the dietary supply of methyl donors modulating the present levels of homocysteine in the (developing) brain (Blaise et al., 2007; Troen et al., 2008), with a deficiency leading to hyperhomocysteinemia, further suggests a role for dietary methyl donors in microglia properties and activity in adulthood. Hyperhomocysteinemia is associated with an elevated levels of homocysteine-presenting apoptotic cells, and also with enhanced proliferation of microglia in the brain (Zou et al., 2010). Moreover, hyper-homocysteinemia can be a risk factor or marker of neurodegenerative disorders in which cognitive dysfunction and neuroimmune functioning play an important role (Morris, 2003; Van Dam and Van Gool, 2009). However, to date, the exact interaction of early-life methyl donor supply and neuroimmune functions remains elusive.

Exciting new evidence further supports a strong interaction between nutrition and immune system in the programming of hippocampal structure and function. A recent paper by Liu et al. (2014) proposed a pathway of “lactocrine” programming of hippocampal development and function by maternal deficiency of TNFα, resulting in altered chemokine composition of the mother. In fact, TNFα deficiency in mothers milk lead to impaired hippocampal proliferation and spatial memory in the offspring of
these animals, a clear indication of programming via nutritionally provided immune effector messengers (Liu et al., 2014). The exact pathway of TNFα and the role of chemokines that lead to alterations in hippocampal development, adult learning and memory, remains to be explored (Parylk et al., 2014).

Altogether, dietary composition during critical sensitive periods of development seem to be strongly involved in the immediate and lasting effects on the innate immune system, with a tendency to an immediate immunosuppressive response being associated with protein and fat malnourishment, and an enhanced pro-inflammatory activity induced by high fat diets associated with an sub-optimal neuroimmune response (too little or exaggerated) in response to later life immune challenges. How the early-life nutrition directly programs neuroimmune function and interacts with the neuroendocrine system, and programs cognitive functions and hippocampal neurogenesis in later life requires further study.

In the previous section we have highlighted some of the key elements of the early-life environment that might play an important role in the programming of cognitive functions by early-life adversity. As evident from the studies that we discussed these elements clearly do not act alone but rather in a synergistic manner. We discussed some of the possible mechanisms that could mediate the effects of early-life stress, malnutrition, and infection and discussed the evidence for their interactive profile. However clearly our discussion is not exhaustive and other equally important paths and mediators responsible for the final programming effect could be considered. For example, next to leptin, ghrelin a pancreatic hormone released upon hunger can influence not only eating behavior but stress, immune function as well as cognition (Diz-Chaves, 2011). Clearly having to consider so many different elements simultaneously renders the picture very complex and questions which are the best systems to target to prevent and/or reverse the deleterious effects of early-life adversity. In the following section, we will discuss some of the intervention strategies that have been explored up to date.

**EARLY-LIFE ADVERSITY, OPPORTUNITIES FOR INTERVENTION LATER IN LIFE**

Adversities in the early-life period provoke thus immediate and programmed effects on different levels with lasting consequences for hippocampal function. Identification of these consequences and the different systems at play during early-life is essential to design optimal intervention studies to counteract the more complete set of consequences following early-life adversity. In recent years, multiple intervention studies have been performed to counteract either the lack of nutritional components, the consequences of early-life stress or the pro-inflammatory state after early-life infections. For instance, clinical research revealed the potential of high levels of maternal warmth (regarded as a positive experience) to overcome the programmed effects of the aversive low socioeconomic status on the immune system during early-life (Chen et al., 2010). However, considering the evidence presented in this review that these systems interact and affect each other so tightly and that they might thus act synergistically to program brain structure and function for life, the question arises as to which consequences of early-life adversity to target and whether there is a crucial time window for these interventions for optimal beneficial effects of these interventions. Here, we will discuss a few examples of potential intervention studies.

Because changes of HPA axis modulators are suggested as potential regulators of the lasting changes following early-life stress, suppression of these modulators has been investigated as a possible intervention in later life. For example, selective blockade of CRF receptor 1 immediately after the first week after chronic early-life stress exposure from postnatal day 10–17 in rats prevents hippocampal impairments in cognitive functioning and long-term potentiation (Ivy et al., 2010).

Enriching the later life environment, a manipulation that is known to stimulate hippocampal neurogenesis and improve performance of hippocampus related spatial behavioral tasks in adulthood (Kempermann et al., 1997; Nilsson et al., 1999), has been explored as well. For example, housing maternally separated rats in an enriched environmental condition during adulthood reversed the early-life stress induced changes in hippocampal GR and CRF expression (Francis et al., 2002). These manipulations do not only modify HPA axis activity but also affect neuroimmune functioning and activity of glial cells (Olah et al., 2009; Williamson et al., 2012; Gebara et al., 2013). In addition, there is evidence that enriching early-life environment by artificially increasing sensory stimuli by the mother (via handling) interferes with the adult pro-inflammatory programming of early-life E. coli infection (Bilbo et al., 2007). The adult LPS-induced increase of microglia (CD11b) and astrocyte (GFAP) markers and IL-1β levels in the blood and different brain regions of animals with a history of E. coli exposure was fully prevented by early-life handling. These data clearly suggest a strong interaction between sensory stimuli and infection early in life in the programming of the adult neuroimmune system (Bilbo et al., 2007).

A final manner to intervene with the consequences of early-life stress is modulation at the level of the epigenome. Early-life stress and early-life nutrition program later life function through alterations in chromatin structure and gene expression as became evident from clinical and animal studies. There is indeed increasing evidence that epigenetic mechanisms might be responsible for the early-life adversity induced life-long alterations in gene expression. (Heijmans et al., 2008; Murgatroyd et al., 2009; Steegers-Theunissen et al., 2009; Canani et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2012; Lucassen et al., 2013). Moreover, epigenetic mechanisms play a role in neuroinflammatory responses as well (Garden, 2013). Which are the factors regulating epigenetic mechanisms is yet unclear, however, there is growing interest in the role that nutrition might play in this context (Lucassen et al., 2013; Spencer, 2013). Nutritional interventions to prevent or reverse these epigenetic alterations have been only explored concerning metabolic programming but might certainly have the potential to intervene with the deleterious programming by early-life adversity of brain structure and function (Lucassen et al., 2013). For instance, folic acid supplementation to the offspring of protein-restricted diet fed dams during adolescence altered the protein-restricted metabolic outcome and modified the epigenetic alterations (Burdge et al., 2009). In addition, folate deficiency of the maternal diet during gestation negatively influences hippocampal development neuronal function, but supplementation with the interrelated methyl donor
choline modified some of these effects on the neural progenitor cells (Craciunescu et al., 2010). But can dietary intervention later in life prevent or reverse the early-life adversity induced phenotype? Weaver et al. (2005) provided evidence that the programming effect of maternal care during early life on the epigenetic modifications of the GR remain sensitive to alterations in adulthood, as central infusion with L-methionine could reverse the programmed effects of maternal care.

Overall, these studies indicate that environmental, nutritional, and pharmacological interventions, either during early-life or in adulthood, have the potential to modulate one or more consequences of early-life adversity. Currently, intervention studies lack some depth on the interplay of stress mediators, neuroimmune activity and the nutritional profile in how they might synergistically modulate hippocampal structure and function. Addressing the complexity of the early-life environment at large, rather than focusing on a single element will provide the necessary information to design new interventions, or a combination of interventions, that may fully prevent and/or reverse the consequences of early-life adversity.

**FINAL CONCLUSION**

Well-known factors such as genetic vulnerability, gender, life style, and aging contribute to disorder vulnerability. In addition, early-life adversity further determines brain susceptibility to develop adult-onset psychopathologies and cognitive impairments later in life. Multiple elements (including stress, nutrition, and infections) in the early-life environment are crucial for proper hippocampal development, and structure and function in adulthood. Thus there is growing evidence that disruption of either of these elements has detrimental effects on cognitive functions, hippocampal structure, neurogenesis and the activity of neuroimmune cells in the hippocampus. Here, we have focused on how these different elements might interact during early-life adversity and elicit similar effects on hippocampal neurogenesis and cognition in adulthood. Even though the interplay of these three elements is generally not considered in depth, the ultimate consequences are probably a synergistic effect and combination of these elements. Considering the intense cross talk between these elements and how they, together, program hippocampal structure and function, will provide important insights and contribute to novel targets for pharmacological, nutritional or life style interventions after early-life adversity.
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