



## UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

### Political actors playing games: Theory and experiments

Kamm, A.

**Publication date**

2015

**Document Version**

Final published version

[Link to publication](#)

**Citation for published version (APA):**

Kamm, A. (2015). *Political actors playing games: Theory and experiments*. Tinbergen Institute.

**General rights**

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

**Disclaimer/Complaints regulations**

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.



Aaron Kamm

# Political actors playing games: Theory and Experiments

Political actors exert enormous influence over our daily lives. Their influence on economic activities cannot be underestimated. Voters determine the distribution of political power, political candidates choose policy platforms that they intend to enact if elected, and legislators bargain to arrive at laws. Understanding political actors' behavior is therefore essential for explaining economic outcomes. This thesis follows the tradition of the political economy literature and considers the effect of institutional rules on the behavior of three types of political actors: voters, candidates, and negotiators. It does so by combining insights from game-theoretic models and controlled laboratory experiments.

Specifically, this thesis analyzes voter behavior in mandatory and voluntary voting regimes; investigates how candidate behavior differs between plurality voting and proportional representation as well as what role coalition governments play in this context; and explores bargaining behavior in asymmetric environments.

Aaron Kamm holds a BSc degree in economics from Mannheim University and a MPhil in economics from the Tinbergen Institute. After graduating from the Tinbergen Institute, he joined the Center for Research in Experimental Economics and Political Decision Making (CREED) at the University of Amsterdam to write his dissertation. His main fields of interest are Experimental Economics and Political Economy. In September 2015, he joined New York University Abu Dhabi as a Post-Doctoral Associate.

Political actors playing games: Theory and Experiments

Aaron Kamm



# **POLITICAL ACTORS PLAYING GAMES**

ISBN 978 90 361 0447 0

Cover design: Crasborn Graphic Designers bno, Valkenburg a.d. Geul

This book is no. **622** of the Tinbergen Institute Research Series, established through cooperation between Rozenberg Publishers and the Tinbergen Institute. A list of books which already appeared in the series can be found in the back.

# **POLITICAL ACTORS PLAYING GAMES**

## **Theory and Experiments**

### **ACADEMISCH PROEFSCHRIFT**

ter verkrijging van de graad van doctor

aan de Universiteit van Amsterdam

op gezag van de Rector Magnificus

prof. dr. D.C. van den Boom

ten overstaan van een door het College voor Promoties ingestelde commissie,

in het openbaar te verdedigen in de Agnietenkapel

op woensdag 28 Oktober 2015, te 14:00 uur

door

**Aaron Kamm**

geboren te Ulm, Duitsland

**Promotiecommissie:**

Promotor: Prof. dr. A.J.H.C. Schram University of Amsterdam

Overige leden: Dr. H.E.D. Houba VU University Amsterdam

Prof. dr. T.J.S. Offerman University of Amsterdam

Prof. dr. T.R. Palfrey California Institute of Technology

Prof. dr. R. Sloof University of Amsterdam

Prof. dr. F.A.A.M. van Winden University of Amsterdam

Faculteit Economie en Bedrijfskunde

# Acknowledgements

This thesis forms the capstone of my education and at the same time it is (hopefully) the cornerstone for the next step on my journey as an academic. I want to take this opportunity to thank the people that helped me along the way and to express my gratitude for their contributions to this thesis.

First and foremost, I am indebted to Arthur Schram for his excellent supervision. Arthur gave me the room to develop my own ideas and to work at my own pace while always being available (be it in the office down the hall or from sunny Barcelona) to give very detailed feedback on my work. He also opened many doors for me by taking the time to write letters of recommendation for the various workshops I attended. The experiences I gained at these workshops not only greatly increased my knowledge of the field but also led me to make many connections with other researchers. Beyond being a great supervisor he is also an excellent co-author (chapter 2 is joint work with him) and I hope that we will continue to collaborate in the future.

The next person I want to thank is Harold Houba, my co-author in chapter 5. Harold trusted me to introduce him to the world of running experiments and I benefited greatly from his theoretical expertise. I am also grateful that he took the time to attend various seminars at the Tinbergen Institute in order to comment on my work and for agreeing to serve on my committee.

I also want to thank the other members of the committee, Theo Offerman, Tom Palfrey, Randolph Sloof and Frans van Winden, for volunteering their time.

During the last three years I have spent many hours at the various offices of CREED, the Center for Research in Experimental Economics and Political Decision Making. I thoroughly enjoyed the time and I think it is hard to find a better group for doing a PhD. The doors are always open whether you want to talk about research or are just looking for someone to go for lunch with or to play some table football. A special thanks goes to Ben, David, Francisco, Jindi, Matze and Max, for at various times sharing an office with me. Also thanks to the other CREEDers: Ailko, Aljaz, Andro, Anita, Audrey, Boris, Carsten, Gonul, (the crypto-CREEDer) Jeroen, Joel, Joep, Jos, Matthijs, Pedro and Yang. I am looking forward to the next time our paths will cross.

Before joining CREED, I spent two years at the Tinbergen Institute and I am grateful to all the people I encountered there. To my fellow students for making the time enjoyable, to

the lecturers for providing me with a solid foundation in economic theory and methods, and to the staff for their support, especially on the job market.

For their support on the job market I am also indebted to Becky Morton and Jens Großer. Jens is an excellent example of the community spirit that exists between current and former CREEDers. When he was in Amsterdam in the fall of 2012 he took the time for an in-depth conversation about the paper that became chapter 2 and he continued to give comments on the iterations of the paper. He also gave excellent comments on my job market paper which turned into chapters 3 and 4. To Becky I am grateful in many ways; since I met her in 2013 when attending my first academic conferences, the CESS workshop in New York (an event that is now a fixed point when deciding which conferences to attend), we have talked repeatedly about the different projects I was working on and I greatly benefited from her feedback. Most importantly, in 2014 she organized a three-week course in Abu Dhabi that I had the good fortune to attend. Not only did this event introduce me to a great group of young researchers working in experimental economics and political science but it also was my first contact with the place where I will spend the next three years.

I am very much looking forward to the experiences that the time in the ‘desert’ will entail and to become part of the great experimental group that Becky and others have set up. Among the many members of the NYU Abu Dhabi community that I have already interacted with, I want to give a special thanks to Nikos Nikiforakis. He made the interview process extremely pleasant and his enthusiasm makes me even more excited to join NYU Abu Dhabi.

At last, I want to thank the people that are dearest to me and that have known me the longest. A big thanks to my friends from Ulm for all the fun times we had and for still meeting up regularly even though we all have been scattered to the four winds. I am always looking forward to seeing them and catching up. A special thanks goes to Eike, Lars and Moe who all decided to follow in my footsteps and studied economics. I hope we continue to be as close as we are now.

The final and most important thanks goes to my family. Without their support I wouldn't be here. Thanks to my aunts, uncles, cousins, nieces and nephews for giving me the comfort that only family can provide. Thanks to my grandparents for always believing in me. Thanks to my brother for keeping in touch with me and for relaying the news to the rest of the family. And finally: Thanks to mom and dad for providing a great home and for giving me the confidence to pursue my dreams.

Aaron

*Amsterdam, June 2015*

*I acknowledge the financial support by the Research Priority Area Behavioral Economics of the University of Amsterdam, which funded or co-funded all experiments.*



# Contents

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Introduction.....  | 1  |
| 1.1 Overview .....   | 3  |
| A Simultaneous Analysis of Turnout and Voting under Proportional Representation: Theory and Experiments..... | 7  |
| 2.1 Introduction.....  | 7  |
| 2.2 State of the Art .....   | 10 |
| 2.3 The model.....   | 14 |
| 2.3.1 Voters .....   | 14 |
| 2.3.2 Parties.....   | 15 |
| 2.3.3 Government formation .....   | 15 |
| 2.3.4 Equilibrium analysis.....  | 16 |
| 2.4 Experimental design.....   | 20 |
| 2.4.1 Experimental Protocol.....   | 20 |
| 2.4.2 Treatments and predictions .....   | 22 |
| 2.5 Results.....   | 23 |
| 2.5.1 Observed Party Choice.....   | 23 |
| 2.5.2 Comparative Statics.....   | 25 |
| 2.5.3 Turnout.....   | 28 |
| 2.6 Generalizability.....  | 29 |
| 2.7 Conclusions .....  | 32 |
| Appendix 2.A: Additional analysis QRE .....  | 34 |
| 2.A.1 Computation of equilibrium for mandatory voting .....  | 34 |
| 2.A.2 Computation of equilibrium for voluntary voting.....   | 34 |
| 2.A.3 Detailed predictions for the four treatments.....  | 36 |
| 2.A.4 QRE predictions compared to observed behavior.....   | 36 |
| 2.A.5 QRE estimated on observed behavior .....   | 37 |
| Appendix 2.B: Nash Equilibria .....  | 40 |
| 2.B.1 Mandatory voting.....  | 40 |

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| 2.B.2 Voluntary Voting.....  | 40  |
| Appendix 2.C: Analysis for uniform distribution of voters .....  | 43  |
| Appendix 2.D: Instructions and screenshots of the experiment.....  | 45  |
| 2.D.1 Instructions.....  | 45  |
| 2.D.2 Printed summary of instructions.....   | 51  |
| 2.D.3 Screenshots of the interface.....  | 54  |
| Appendix 2.E: Multinomial logit estimates .....  | 56  |
| Plurality Voting versus Proportional Representation in the Citizen-Candidate Model: The Role of Coalitions ..... | 59  |
| 3.1 Introduction.....  | 59  |
| 3.2 The citizen-candidate model .....  | 61  |
| 3.3 Equilibrium analysis and comparative statics .....   | 63  |
| 3.3.1 The case of policy-motivated candidates .....  | 64  |
| 3.3.2 The case of office-motivated candidates .....  | 66  |
| 3.4 Conclusions .....  | 69  |
| Appendix 3.A: Proofs of propositions.....  | 70  |
| 3.A.1 Proof of proposition 1 .....   | 70  |
| 3.A.2 Proof of proposition 2 .....   | 73  |
| 3.A.3 Proof of proposition 3 .....   | 75  |
| 3.A.4 Proof of Proposition 4 .....   | 80  |
| 3.A.5 Proof of proposition 5 .....   | 82  |
| 3.A.6 Proof of proposition 6 .....   | 85  |
| 3.A.7 Proof of proposition 7 .....   | 89  |
| 3.A.8 Proof of proposition 8 .....   | 96  |
| Plurality Voting versus Proportional Representation in the Citizen-Candidate Model: An Experiment.....           | 99  |
| 4.1 Introduction.....  | 99  |
| 4.2 Experimental design.....   | 100 |
| 4.2.1 The general set-up.....  | 100 |
| 4.2.2 Treatments.....  | 101 |
| 4.2.3 Hypothesis.....  | 102 |
| 4.2.4 Experimental protocol.....   | 103 |
| 4.3 Results.....   | 104 |
| 4.3.1 Within treatment analysis.....   | 104 |
| 4.3.2 Comparative statics across treatments.....   | 109 |

|  |                                      |     |
|--|--------------------------------------|-----|
| 4.3.3  | Individual level analysis.....       | 111 |
| 4.4  | Conclusions.....                     | 113 |
| Appendix 4.A: Instructions and screenshots of the experiment.....            |                                      | 114 |
| 4.A.1  | Instructions.....                    | 114 |
| 4.A.2  | Printed summary of instructions..... | 119 |
| 4.A.3  | Screenshots of the interface.....    | 121 |
| Bargaining in the Presence of Condorcet Cycles: The Role of Asymmetries..... |                                      | 123 |
| 5.1  | Introduction.....                    | 123 |
| 5.2  | Experimental design.....             | 124 |
| 5.2.1  | The game.....                        | 124 |
| 5.2.2  | Treatments.....                      | 125 |
| 5.2.3  | Hypothesis.....                      | 126 |
| 5.2.4  | Experimental Protocol.....           | 127 |
| 5.3  | Results.....                         | 128 |
| 5.3.1  | Analysis of part I.....              | 128 |
| 5.3.2  | Analysis part II.....                | 129 |
| 5.4  | Conclusions.....                     | 135 |
| Appendix 5.A: Nash equilibrium analysis.....                                 |                                      | 137 |
| Appendix 5.B: Quantal response analysis.....                                 |                                      | 142 |
| Appendix 5.C: Instructions and screenshots of the experiment.....            |                                      | 144 |
| 5.C.1  | Instructions.....                    | 144 |
| 5.C.2  | Printed summary of instructions..... | 148 |
| 5.C.3  | Screenshots of the interface.....    | 150 |
| Appendix 5.D: Regression analysis for part II.....                           |                                      | 152 |
| Bibliography.....  |                                      | 155 |
| Summary.....   |                                      | 163 |
| Samenvatting (Summary in Dutch).....   |                                      | 167 |
| Zusammenfassung (Summary in German).....                                     |                                      | 173 |