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Abstract: The area of contact between two objects was detected by using the strong enhancement of the fluorescence of rigidochromic probe molecules attached to one of the surfaces. Confinement of the molecules suppresses nonradiative decay and turns on the fluorescence. The approach is demonstrated by imaging of the contact area of a plastic sphere in contact with a flat glass surface. Our results agree excellently with the prediction of Hertz’s classical theory based on elastic deformation.

The study of contact mechanics dates back to 1882 with the publication of “On the contact of elastic solids” by Hertz. For the behavior of virtually all mechanical systems, the mechanics of the contact between their constituents is crucial. Friction, for instance, is a direct consequence of contact mechanics and is responsible for about 30% of the world energy consumption. Surprisingly little is known about how the physical contacts between objects arise, although this is essential for understanding their mechanics. The main challenge is that since most (if not all) surfaces possess a certain roughness, the actual contacts may occur on microscopic length scales, even for large macroscopic bodies. Bowden and Tabor were the first to emphasize the importance of surface roughness for bodies in contact.

Herein we describe the first direct visualization of mechanical contacts at the microscale by means of fluorescence microscopy, using specifically developed probe molecules that fluoresce when confined in a contact. To achieve this goal we synthesized rigidochromic fluorescent molecules that fluoresce only very weakly in (low-viscosity) solutions owing to the presence of rapid non-radiative relaxation pathways for the excited state. This fast non-radiative decay is triggered by the rotation around a specific bond in the molecule. When the rotation of the bond is hindered, the non-radiative decay is suppressed, and the excited state decays by emitting a photon. When rigidochromic molecules are incorporated in a very viscous medium, such as a glassy polymer matrix, a strong fluorescence is observed. This effect allows the detection of the physical contacts between surfaces on a molecular scale.

For our experiments, we synthesized a new member of the DCDHF class of compounds that has in recent years been developed by Moerner, Twieg, and co-workers for single-molecule imaging.

 Supporting Information). This chromophore has advantages over previously used viscosity sensitive probes such as dicyanovinyljulolidines and BODIPY dyes excitation and emission in the visible part of the spectrum, good photostability, and particularly low fluorescence in low-viscosity polar solvents. Compound 1 was chemically linked to the surfaces of glass coverslips to investigate the imaging of contact areas. Compound 2 was used for comparison.

As a first step to characterize their photophysical properties, we measured absorption and emission spectra of compounds 1 and 2 in a series of solvents. The data listed in the Supporting Information (Table S1) show that there is little difference in the properties of 1 and 2, as expected. Both show a weak solvatochromic effect in absorption and in emission. The fluorescence quantum yields $\Phi_F$ are low, and tend to
decrease with increasing solvent polarity. Fluorescence decay times \( t_f \) follow the same trends as the quantum yields. In some solvents they were shorter than the time resolution of our instrument (\(< 10 \text{ ps}\)). The quantum yields and decay times are larger in solvents of higher viscosity. For example, in cyclo-
hexanol \( t_f = 0.46 \text{ ns} \), and \( \Phi_\text{f} = 0.11 \), while in 2-propanol \( t_f = 0.040 \text{ ns} \) and \( \Phi_\text{f} = 0.010 \). The reason for the difference is that in low-viscosity solvents rapid nonradiative deactivation of the excited states occurs by twisting of the exocyclic C=C(CN)\(_2\) bond, as was reported previously for DCDHF chromophores.\(^{[9]}\) In some solvents we found a bi-exponential fluorescence decay, indicating that the photophysical behavior of this chromophore is more complicated than was suggested previously.\(^{[9]}\)

We systematically studied the effect of solvent viscosity with minimal effect of polarity by subjecting solutions of compound 1\(^ {\text{a}}\) in acetonitrile to different hydrostatic pressures. To convert the hydrostatic pressures to changes in viscosity, we used the relationship between viscosity of acetonitrile and pressure described by Martin et al.\(^{[18]}\) using data from Dymond et al.\(^{[19]}\) The results are shown in Figure 1.

We find that the fluorescence intensity can be described well by the Förster–Hoffmann equation:\(^ {\text{[12]}\)}

\[
\log(f) = A \log(\eta) + C
\]  

(1)

In Equation (1), \( A \) is a constant that depends on the dye and the solvent.\(^{[15]}\) From the slope of the line shown in Figure 1b we find \( A = 0.66 \pm 0.04 \). For other systems with the same type of rotor unit, values of \( A \) between 0.5 and 1.2 were recently reported, depending on the nature of the solvent.\(^{[4,15]}\)

To be able to look at the contact of an object with a flat surface, we covalently attached probe 1 to glass cover slips. The latter were functionalized with N-(2-aminoethyl)-3-
aminopropyl-trimethoxysilane (Supporting Information, Scheme S1) and the dye was attached using an amide bond. Fluorescence emission and excitation spectra of surface-bound 1 (Supporting Information, Figure S2) were found to be very similar to those of 1 and 2 in solution. The absence of broadening of the bands shows that aggregation of surface-bound dye molecules does not occur or has no significant effect on the electronic structure of the chromophore.

On the other hand, the fluorescence lifetime of the bound molecules is quite different. The fluorescence decay was measured at several locations on air-dried cover slips using the single photon timing unit of a confocal microscope. The time profiles were fitted using a double exponential function (Supporting Information, Equation S1). A slow decay component (\( t_1 = 1.4 \pm 0.2 \text{ ns} \)) was found to be present in addition to a faster one (\( t_2 = 0.36 \pm 0.04 \text{ ns} \)). The average lifetime, for each point (Supporting Information, Equation S2), amounted to \( \tau_\text{av} = 0.7 \pm 0.2 \text{ ns} \). The deviation from single exponential decay can be attributed to spatial heterogeneity: the surface-bound probe may exist in different local environments, in which the molecules have different nonradiative decay rates.

The quantitative measurement of fluorescence intensities of dye monolayers is difficult owing to the very weak absorption. Therefore we use the average lifetime to quantify the fluorescence intensity of the dye on the cover slip.\(^{[20,21]}\)

The quantum yield is expected to be linearly dependent on the lifetime as \( \Phi_\text{f} = \tau_\text{av} / k_\text{r} \) where \( k_\text{r} \) is the radiative decay rate constant of the chromophore. The values of \( \Phi_\text{f} \) and \( \tau_\text{av} \) for compound 1 in several solvents (Supporting Information, Table S1) give \( k_\text{r} = 0.24 \pm 0.06 \text{ ns}^{-1} \). We do not observe a systematic dependence of \( k_\text{r} \) on solvent polarity, and we assume that it does not change significantly when the dye is bound to the surface. Then, based on \( \tau_\text{av} = 0.7 \text{ ns} \) for cover slips functionalized with rigidochromic probe 1, we can estimate the fluorescence quantum yield to be about 0.17. Thus, on the cover slip, the emission of the probe is considerably stronger than in solution, but weaker than reported for 2 in the PMMA matrix. This is because the surface-bound probe molecules interact strongly with the surface, reducing the freedom of intramolecular rotation.

To obtain a suitable dynamic range for the rigidochromic effect, we immersed the slides in DMSO. This led to a clearly weaker emission, because the chromophore is solvated and free to undergo rotational motion in the excited state. The lifetime is reduced to \( \tau_\text{av} = 0.31 \pm 0.02 \text{ ns} \), corresponding to a fluorescence quantum yield of about 0.07. Thus, although the fluorescence is still stronger than in the solution, the nonradiative decay is faster than on the air-dried cover slips.

We generated contacts of spherical poly(methyl metha-
crylate) (PMMA) beads pressed onto the probe-functional-
zied cover slip, in contact with DMSO. A force transducer was used that exerts and records a well-defined force. Fluores-
cence was excited and detected through the cover slip, using an epifluorescence confocal microscope. The DMSO serves a dual purpose in these experiments: it not only reduces the fluorescence intensity before the contact is established but also provides a sufficient matching of the refractive indices of the glass and PMMA to avoid the effects of refraction of light at the interfaces.
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In Equation (2), $E$ is the Young’s (shear elastic) modulus and $\nu$ is the Poisson ratio of the sphere material ($\nu = 0.37$ for PMMA). The modulus of glass can be ignored because it is much higher than that of PMMA. By relating the area to the load according to Equation (2), we can derive the Young’s modulus of the PMMA sphere (Figure 3). The value found $E$(PMMA) = 2.0 GPa is a bit lower than the literature value [5].

Figure 3. Radius of contact area observed in the fluorescence images (examples in Figure 2) as function of the normal force according to Equation (2).
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