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ABSTRACT

Background: Approximately 0.2-1% of children suffers from abdominal migraine (AM). Pathophysiology of AM has not been completely assessed nor studied. This study evaluated gastric motility in children with AM.

Methods: Seventeen children (6 boys), within an age range of 4-15 years, referred to a tertiary care hospital in Kelaniya, Sri Lanka, from 2009 to 2013, were screened. Those fulfilling Rome III criteria for AM were recruited. None had clinical or laboratory evidence of organic disorders. Twenty healthy children (8 boys), with an age range of 4-14 years, were recruited as matched controls. Liquid gastric emptying rate (GE) and antral motility parameters were assessed using an ultrasound method.

Results: Average GE (41.6% vs. 66.2%, in controls), amplitude of antral contractions (A) (57.9% vs. 89.0%) and antral motility index (MI) (5.0 vs. 8.3) were lower and fasting antral area (1.8cm² vs. 0.6cm²) was higher in children with AM (P<0.01). No significant difference in the frequency of antral contractions (F) (8.8/3min vs. 9.3/3min, p=0.08) was found between the two groups. Scores obtained for severity of abdominal pain had a negative correlation with A (r=-0.55, P=0.03). Average duration of abdominal pain episodes correlated with GE (r=-0.58, P=0.02). Negative correlations were observed between duration of AM and A (r=-0.55), F (r=-0.52), and MI (r=-0.57) (P<0.05).

Conclusions: GE and antral motility parameters were significantly lower in children with AM. A significant correlation was found between symptoms and gastric motility. These findings suggest a possible role of abnormal gastric motility in the pathogenesis of AM.
INTRODUCTION

Recurrent abdominal pain is a common symptom in children worldwide. Majority of these children suffer from functional gastrointestinal disorders (FGIDs) and only a minority have an identifiable organic cause. Previous studies have shown that approximately 10 to 12% of children and adolescents suffer from abdominal pain predominant functional gastrointestinal disorders (AP-FGIDs). AP-FGIDs in children include irritable bowel syndrome (IBS), functional abdominal pain (FAP), abdominal migraine (AM) and functional dyspepsia (FD).

AM is an uncommon pain-predominant FGIDs in children. It is characterized by episodes of severe, intense periumbilical pain lasting for hours, associated with other intestinal and extra-intestinal symptoms such as headache, nausea, vomiting, photophobia and pallor. Prevalence of AM varies from 0.2% to 4.1% in community studies. In hospital-based studies, AM is seen in 2.2% to 23% of children with non-organic abdominal pain.

Similar to other FGIDs, the exact underlying pathophysiology of AM is not clear. Various mechanisms, including gastrointestinal motility abnormalities, have been suggested as possible pathophysiological mechanisms for symptoms of FGIDs. Gastric motility abnormalities have been reported in children with other AP-FGIDs such as FD, IBS, and FAP. However, there are no currently available data on gastric motility parameters in children with AM. In such a context, we attempted to study gastric emptying and antral motility parameters in children with AM and their correlation with symptoms.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Selection of patients with AM

This study was conducted in the Gastroenterology Research Laboratory, Faculty of Medicine, University of Kelaniya, Sri Lanka. All children aged 4 to 15 years, referred to this laboratory from 1st January 2009 to 31st December 2013 and fulfilling the Rome III criteria for abdominal migraine, were recruited. They were screened for organic diseases using detailed history and comprehensive physical examination (including growth parameters) and relevant investigations. Routine investigations done in all recruited patients to rule out organic disorders included stool microscopy, urine microscopy and culture, full blood count, C-reactive protein, liver and renal function tests. Special investigations performed in some patients based on clinical judgment included ultrasound scanning of the abdomen (n=13), X-ray KUB (n=4), serum amylase (n=5), upper gastrointestinal endoscopy (n=2) and lower gastrointestinal endoscopy (n=1). None of the patients had evidence of organic disorders. The patients were followed up for a minimum of 3 months.
Exclusion criteria were clinical or laboratory evidence suggesting organic pathology, FGIDs other than AM, chronic medical or surgical diseases other than AM, children on long-term medications, previous abdominal surgery involving gastrointestinal tract, fever, common cold, respiratory tract symptoms, gastroenteritis or any other systemic infection during the previous month and subjects receiving drugs that can alter gastrointestinal motility during the previous month.

*Selection of controls*

Twenty healthy children with an age range of 4 to 14 years were recruited as controls after obtaining written consent from a parent. None of the controls had symptoms related to the gastrointestinal tract, such as abdominal pain, abdominal distension, constipation, diarrhea etc.

*Assessment of symptom severity*

All children with AM underwent gastric motility assessment during a period of abdominal pain. Severity of abdominal pain was graded as mild (1 – child is able to carry out regular activities during pain episodes), moderate (2 – child stops activities and sits down during pain episodes), severe (3 – child lies down during pain episodes) and very severe (4 – child cries or screams during pain episodes).

*Laboratory methods*

For this study, gastric motility was assessed using a previously validated ultrasound method. All ultrasound measurements were done by the same investigator (NMD).

All gastric motility measurements were done after an overnight fast, using a high-resolution, real-time scanner with a 3.5MHz curve linear transducer. All subjects were examined seated in a chair, slightly leaning backwards.

The cross sectional area of antrum was measured in the fasting stage and after drinking a standard liquid meal heated to approximately 40°C (200mL of chicken soup, 54.8kJ, 0.38g protein, 0.25g fat, 2.3g sugar per serving, Ajinomoto Co., Tokyo, Japan). The meal was ingested within 2 minutes. The ultrasound probe was positioned vertically to permit simultaneous visualization of gastric antrum, superior mesenteric artery, abdominal aorta and the left lobe of the liver. The area of gastric antrum was measured by tracing the mucosal side of the wall using the built-in caliper and calculation program of the ultrasound apparatus.
Main gastric motility parameters assessed were fasting antral area, gastric emptying rate, frequency and amplitude of antral contractions and antral motility index.

**Calculation of liquid gastric emptying rate**
Antral cross sectional area was measured at 1min and 15min after drinking the test meal. Gastric emptying rate was calculated as the percentage reduction of gastric antral cross-sectional area at 15 minutes following ingestion of the liquid meal.

\[
\text{Gastric emptying rate (\%)} = \frac{\text{Antral area at 1mins.} - \text{Antral area at 15mins.}}{\text{Antral area at 1min.}} \times 100
\]

**Calculation of antral motility**
These antral motility parameters were calculated within the first 5 minutes after drinking the liquid meal. The minimum and maximum cross sectional areas of the antrum were measured during contractions and relaxations for at least 3 times to calculate the amplitude of antral contractions.

Antral motility parameters were calculated as follows:
Frequency of antral contractions = Number of contractions per 3 minute period

\[
\text{Amplitude (\%)} = \frac{\text{Antral area at relaxation} - \text{Antral area at contraction}}{\text{Antral area at relaxation}} \times 100
\]

Motility index = Amplitude of antral contraction \times Frequency of contraction

**Ethical approval**
This study protocol was approved by the Ethics Review Committee, Faculty of Medicine, University of Kelaniya, Sri Lanka.

**Statistical analysis**
The data were analyzed using EpilInfo (EpilInfo version 6.04 (1996), Centres of Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia, USA and World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland). The statistical significance of differences of gastric motility parameters between the patient and control groups were assessed using Mann-Whitney U-test. Spearman correlation coefficient was used to assess the relationship between gastric emptying parameters and severity of abdominal pain.
**RESULTS**

Gastric motility parameters were calculated in 17 children with abdominal migraine (6 [35.3%] boys, age range 4-15 years, mean 9.5 years, SD 3.1 years) and 20 healthy controls (8 [40%] boys, age range 4-14 years, mean 8.4 years, SD 3.0 years).

**Characteristics of children with AM**

Out of 17 children recruited, 12 (60.6%) had severe abdominal pain and 5 (29.4%) had very severe abdominal pain. The mean age at onset of symptoms was 8.3 years (SD 3.4 years), whereas the mean duration of AM was 15.1 months (SD 14.8 months). The mean duration of pain episodes were 1.6 hours (range 1-48 hours) and the mean frequency of abdominal pain episodes was 20.4 per month (SD 23.7/month). Some children had several attacks of abdominal pain several times per day. Mean symptom free period in children with AM varied from 1.8 weeks to 22.3 weeks. Fourteen (82.4%) children had abdominal pain localized in the periumbilical area while 3 (17.6%) children had pain in a wider area of the abdomen including the umbilical area. Symptoms were aggravated by meals in 4 (23.5%) children, stress in 2 (11.8%) and physical activity in 1 (5.9%). None of the children reported any relieving factors. Other intestinal related and extra-intestinal symptoms associated with abdominal pain in children with AM are summarized in Table 11.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptom</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>64.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photophobia</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>47.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pallor</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dizziness</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>17.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lethargy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint pain</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>29.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>47.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vomiting</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>29.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss of appetite</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>29.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight loss</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>29.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hard stools</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loose stools</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>29.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep disturbances</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Seven (41.2%) children with AM reported chronic gastrointestinal disorders in first degree relatives while chronic headaches were present in first degree relatives of five (29.4%) children.

**Gastric motility parameters of children with AM and controls**

The results are depicted in **Table 11.2**. Children with AM had significantly lower gastric emptying rate, amplitude of antral contractions and antral motility index. Furthermore, their fasting antral area was significantly larger than that of controls.

**Table 11.2 – Gastric motility parameters in children with abdominal migraine (AM) and controls**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AM (n=17)</th>
<th>Controls (n=20)</th>
<th>P value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fasting antral area (cm²)</td>
<td>1.8 (1.3)</td>
<td>0.6 (1.0)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastric emptying rate (%)</td>
<td>41.6 (13.4)</td>
<td>66.2 (16.5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amplitude of antral contractions (%)</td>
<td>57.9 (16.2)</td>
<td>89.0 (10.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of antral contractions (/3min)</td>
<td>8.8 (0.8)</td>
<td>9.5 (0.8)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antral motility index</td>
<td>5.0 (1.5)</td>
<td>8.3 (1.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mann Whitney U test

**Correlation between gastric motility parameters and symptom characteristics**

The relationship between gastric motility parameters and symptom characteristics are shown in **Table 11.3**. Gastric emptying rate had a significant negative correlation with the average duration of pain episodes, while amplitude of antral contractions negatively correlated with scores obtained for severity of abdominal pain. No significant correlations observed between gastric motility parameters and headache, photophobia, vomiting, nausea and pallor.

**Association between emotional stress and gastric motility**

Six (35.3%) children were exposed to stressful life events during the previous 3 months. When gastric motility parameters between children exposed to stressful events and those not exposed to such events were compared, there was no significant difference (**Table 11.4**).
### Table 11.3 – Correlation between gastric motility parameters and abdominal pain characteristics in patients with abdominal migraine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Scores obtained for severity of abdominal pain</th>
<th>Average duration of a pain episode (min)</th>
<th>Frequency of pain episodes (/month)</th>
<th>Duration of the disease (months)</th>
<th>Age at onset of the disease (years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fasting antral area (cm²)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastric emptying rate (%)</td>
<td>-0.26</td>
<td>-0.58*</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amplitude of antral contractions (%)</td>
<td>-0.55*</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>-0.55*</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of antral contractions (/3min)</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-0.52*</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antral motility index</td>
<td>-0.45</td>
<td>-0.36</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td>-0.57*</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P<0.05, Spearman correlation coefficient

### Table 11.4 – Gastric motility parameters in children with abdominal migraine according to exposure to stress

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Stressful event positive Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Stressful event negative Mean (SD)</th>
<th>P value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fasting antral area (cm²)</td>
<td>1.5 (0.5)</td>
<td>1.9 (1.6)</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastric emptying rate (%)</td>
<td>43.8 (6.1)</td>
<td>40.2 (16.3)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amplitude of antral contractions (%)</td>
<td>50.2 (12.1)</td>
<td>63.1 (17.1)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of antral contractions (/3min)</td>
<td>8.7 (0.5)</td>
<td>8.8 (1.0)</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antral motility index</td>
<td>4.3 (1.0)</td>
<td>5.5 (1.7)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mann Whitney U test
DISCUSSION

The current study describes clinical characteristics of children with AM and their gastric motility abnormalities.

In conformity with an earlier study, the majority of children with AM recruited for this study were girls. The mean age of onset of the symptoms of AM (8.3 years) in our study is similar to the observations made in previous studies (7 years). All children had severe abdominal pain lasting for more than 1 hour. The average duration of symptoms (1.6 hours) was significantly shorter and average frequency of pain episodes (20.4 episodes/month) was significantly higher in our children with AM than previously reported symptoms in adult patients with this condition (41.6 hours and 2.0/month respectively). Although classically pain in AM occurs around the periumbilical area, some of our children had pain extending to a wider area of the abdomen. Meal-related symptoms are usually seen in children with FD and IBS. In this sample a sizeable proportion (24%) of children reported exaggerated pain with meals. Some children had altered bowel habits as well, although they did not fulfil the criteria for IBS or constipation. Commonest associated symptoms were headache, photophobia and nausea. A previous study conducted in the United Kingdom in children aged 5-15 years has reported anorexia, nausea and pallor as commonest associated symptoms.

Despite 0.2 to 23 per cent of children suffering from AM, the precise mechanism of symptoms remains unknown. Although, the main symptom in children with AM is abdominal pain, they also have symptoms related to dysfunction of the central nervous system such as visual disturbances. Therefore, it is likely that the underlying pathophysiology of AM involves both peripheral and central nervous system dysfunction.

Several hypotheses have been investigated to determine the pathophysiology of AM. Factors suggested as underlying mechanisms of pain include IgE-mediated diet induced allergy, gut mucosal immune responses, phenol sulfotransferase enzyme M and P catabolism of catecholamines and monoamines, permeability of the gut mucosal surface and altered relationship between the gut and the central nervous system. The enteric nervous system of the gut and the central nervous system arise from the same embryologic tissues. So, it is likely that they have direct effects on each other. Some investigators have proposed that psychological factors such as emotional stress increases central nervous system arousal, which in turn, could lead to dysregulation of gastrointestinal functions.
Gastrointestinal motility abnormalities have been suggested as a possible underlying mechanism for AP-FGIDs. Gastric motility abnormalities have been commonly reported in children with IBS, FD and FAP.\textsuperscript{23-25, 32-35} This is the first time gastric motility has been assessed in patients with AM. In this study, we found significantly larger fasting antral area and lower gastric emptying rate and antral motility parameters in a cohort of Sri Lankan children with AM. In addition, we observed significant correlation between some gastric motility parameters and abdominal pain. This is consistent with previous studies conducted in children with FD and FAP, which have reported correlations between abdominal pain and gastrointestinal motility abnormalities.\textsuperscript{21, 23, 25, 36, 37} However, we did not observe a similar correlation between headache, nausea, vomiting, photophobia and gastrointestinal motility parameters. All these findings tend to indicate abnormal gastric motility as a potential mechanism that contributes to the pathophysiology of abdominal pain but not to other associated symptoms of AM.

We also assessed the relationship between exposure to stressful life events and gastrointestinal motility in children with AM. We did not observe any significant difference in gastrointestinal motility parameters in children exposed to emotional stress and those not exposed to such events. Previous studies conducted in children with FAP and recurrent abdominal pain also failed to show a difference in gastric motility parameters in children exposed to stress.\textsuperscript{25, 38} However, two studies conducted in children with FD and IBS have reported a higher gastric antral area during fasting period and lower gastric emptying rate in those exposed to stressful life events.\textsuperscript{23, 24}

The exact reason for delay in gastric emptying and abnormal antral motility of AM is not clear. Alterations in brain-gut axis have been commonly suggested as the main pathophysiological mechanism for FGIDs.\textsuperscript{39} Psychological factors are proposed to influence gastric functions including sensation, motility, secretion and immunological functions via brain-gut axis.\textsuperscript{40} Associated dys-coordination of the antrum and the fundus may partly contribute to impaired gastric emptying. That in turn leads to stasis of fluid, gases and other contents in the stomach and cause gastric dilatation, which may produce intense pain through stimulated stretch and pain receptors. Hypersensitivity of both central and peripheral neural receptors and pathways may have enhanced perception of pain and further increased the pain severity. These physiological phenomena may also contribute to nausea and vomiting. The bi-directional dialogue between brain-gut neurons through the connecting neural and hormonal circuits may have led to the changes in the central nervous system to generate other symptoms such as headache and photophobia. Arousal of autonomic nervous system may give rise to features of sympathetic hyperactivity such as pallor.
Our study has several strengths. We have investigated children with AM to rule out possible organic diseases causing abdominal pain. Furthermore, significant correlation between motility parameters and symptoms suggest an association between symptoms and physiological correlates. One drawback in our study is inclusion of only a relatively small number of patients. However, AM is not a common disorder and therefore it was not possible to include a very large sample. The other potential limitation is that we included children from a referral center. One can argue that they may not represent patients in the general population. However, the proposed possible pathophysiological mechanisms are not likely to be altered by selecting the sample from a referral center. In addition, the investigator who performed the ultrasound measurements was not blinded and was aware that she was scanning a patient with gastrointestinal problem, even though she did not know the exact diagnosis at the time of scanning. However, the ultrasound measurements done in the current study are objective measurement involving calculations. Therefore we believe that this will reduce the operator bias.

In conclusion, gastric emptying rate and antral motility were significantly lower in children and adolescents suffering from abdominal migraine. In addition, we also observed a significant correlation between gastric motility abnormalities and symptoms. Lack of such correlation with extra-intestinal symptoms indicates that gastric motility abnormalities may play a pathophysiological role in the origins of abdominal pain in affected children. More studies are needed to assess the exact relationship between gastrointestinal functions and symptoms in AM.
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