Testing the Dark Matter Scenario for PeV Neutrinos Observed in IceCube
Murase, K.; Laha, R.; Ando, S.; Ahlers, M.

Published in:
Physical Review Letters

DOI:
10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.071301

Link to publication

Citation for published version (APA):

General rights
It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations
If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: http://uba.uva.nl/en/contact, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.
Testing the Dark Matter Scenario for PeV Neutrinos Observed in IceCube

Kohta Murase,1,2 Ranjan Laha,3 Shin’ichiro Ando,4 and Markus Ahlers5

1Center for Particle and Gravitational Astrophysics; Department of Physics; Department of Astronomy & Astrophysics, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania 16802, USA
2Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, New Jersey 08540, USA
3Kavli Institute for Particle Astrophysics and Cosmology, Department of Physics, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94035, USA
4GRAPPA Institute, University of Amsterdam, Science Park 904, Amsterdam 1098 XH, Netherlands
5Wisconsin IceCube Particle Astrophysics Center (WIPAC) and Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 53706, USA

Received 28 March 2015; published 11 August 2015

Late time decay of very heavy dark matter is considered as one of the possible explanations for diffuse PeV neutrinos observed in IceCube. We consider implications of multimessenger constraints, and show that proposed models are marginally consistent with the diffuse γ-ray background data. Critical tests are possible by a detailed analysis and identification of the sub-TeV isotropic diffuse γ-ray data observed by Fermi and future observations of sub-PeV γ rays by observatories like HAWC or Tibet AS + MD. In addition, with several-year observations by next-generation telescopes such as IceCube-Gen2, muon neutrino searches for nearby dark matter halos such as the Virgo cluster should allow us to rule out or support the dark matter models, independently of γ-ray and anisotropy tests.
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The origin of cosmic high-energy neutrinos [1–3] is a new mystery in astroparticle physics (see, e.g., Refs. [4–8]). Various theoretical interpretations include possibilities of hadronic (pp) production in cosmic-ray (CR) reservoirs [9] and photophadronic (ppγ) production in hidden CR accelerators [10–14], and the observed neutrino intensity at ∼0.1–1 PeV energies is consistent with earlier models [15–18]. Only a fraction of the observed events could have Galactic origins (e.g., Refs. [19–21]).

Not only astrophysical sources but also dark matter may lead to high-energy neutrinos and γ rays (see recent reviews, e.g., Refs. [22,23]). Because of several motivations such as the thermal relic hypothesis and unitarity bounds [24–26], most studies had focused on dark matter with m_{\text{dm}} < 30–100 TeV. However, there is no fundamental objection to considering very heavy dark matter (VHDM), which is hard to probe by existing accelerators such as the Large Hadron Collider. As considered prior to the IceCube observation, indirect searches in neutrinos and γ rays give us unique opportunities to high-energy searches [27,28]. Assuming nondetections of cosmic neutrino signals, in light of IceCube and Fermi, the power of multimessenger approaches had been demonstrated to constrain particle properties of VHDM [29–34], even for m_{\text{dm}} > 0.1 PeV [33,34]. As soon as PeV neutrinos were discovered, the VHDM scenario was invoked [35–37] and various phenomenological models have been developed [38–45]. Although they do not give a natural explanation why the observed neutrino flux is comparable to both the diffuse γ-ray background and CR nucleon- or nuclei-survival bounds [46,47], the VHDM scenario can presently be consistent with the data [48,49].

In order to test various possibilities, the multimessenger approach and point source search are essential. Their power has been demonstrated in Refs. [9,19,50,51] and Refs. [52–55], respectively. In this work, we consider how these two strategies can be used to test the VHDM scenario with current and future observations.

The VHDM scenario.—The mean diffuse neutrino (and anti-neutrino) intensity is calculated by evaluating line-of-sight integrals. Although we calculate it numerically throughout this work, for decaying VHDM, the all flavor intensity is analytically estimated to be

\[ E^2_\nu \Phi_\nu = E^2_\nu \Phi^E_\nu + E^2_\nu \Phi^G_\nu \]

\[ \approx \frac{c t_H \xi^2 \rho_{\text{dm}} c^2}{4 \pi} \frac{J}{\tau_{\text{dm}} R_v} + \frac{R_v}{4 \pi} \frac{\rho_{\text{sc}} c^2}{\tau_{\text{dm}} R_v} \]

\[ \sim 4 \times 10^{-8} \text{ GeV cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{sr}^{-1} \]

\[ \times \left[ \frac{1 + 1.6 \left( \frac{\mathcal{J}}{\Omega} \right)}{2.6} \right] r_{\text{dm}}^{-1} 27.5 (R_v/15)^{-1}, \]

where \( \Phi^E_\nu \) and \( \Phi^G_\nu \) are extragalactic and Galactic contributions to the cumulative neutrino background, respectively (e.g., Ref. [33]). The VHDM decay scenario predicts similar Galactic and extragalactic contributions. We have used \( h \approx 0.7, \Omega_m \approx 0.3, \Omega_\Lambda \approx 0.7, \Omega_{\text{dm}} h^2 = 0.12, \rho_{\text{sc}} c^2 = 1.05 \times 10^{-3} \text{ GeV cm}^{-3}, t_H \) is the age of the Universe, \( \rho_{\text{sc}} c^2 = 0.3 \text{ GeV cm}^{-3} \) in the Solar neighborhood, and \( R_v = 8.5 \text{ kpc} \). Note that \( \xi \approx 0.6 \) corrects for redshift evolution of decaying VHDM [33,46], and \( \mathcal{J} \Omega \) is the dimensionless \( \mathcal{J} \) factor averaged over \( \Omega \) [29,33]. We use the Navarro-Frenk-White profile to show results, but
for decaying VHDM we checked that our basic conclusions are not altered for more cored profiles. Predictions for the diffuse \( \gamma \)-ray intensity and single source fluxes should be very similar, since their normalization is fixed by the diffuse neutrino intensity. The VHDM lifetime \( \tau_{\text{dm}} = \tau_{\text{dm},27} \times 10^{27.5} \) s is a model parameter to be constrained, and \( R_c \equiv R_c(E_\nu) \) is the energy-dependent function converting the bolometric flux to the differential flux at \( E_\nu \), which depends on final states (e.g., Ref. [56]). Assuming that all decay products are Standard Model particles, for demonstration, we consider several models proposed by Refs. [36,39,41]. Following Refs. [57,58], with electroweak corrections, the final state spectra obtained from 10 TeV to 100 TeV masses are extrapolated to PeV masses. Our choice of VHDM models is such that they include both hard and soft spectra, so our results can be viewed as reasonably model independent [25,29].

In Figs. 1 and 2, we show examples of the viable VHDM scenario for diffuse PeV neutrinos observed in IceCube. Using the ES13 model [36], where the VHDM mass \( m_{\text{dm}} = 3.2 \) PeV is used, we consider DM \( \rightarrow \nu_1 \bar{\nu}_e \) and DM \( \rightarrow q\bar{q} \) with 12% and 88% branching fractions, respectively. Although a bit larger masses are favored to explain the 2 PeV event, one can easily choose parameters accounting for the observed data. In the RKP14 model [41], the Majorana mass term is introduced in the Lagrangian, which may lead to metastable VHDM decaying into a neutrino and Higgs boson. Reference [39] suggested another interesting scenario, where the lightest right-handed neutrinos constitute dark matter with \( m_{\text{dm}} = \mathcal{O}(1) \) PeV. We also consider this model for \( m_{\text{dm}} = 2.4 \) PeV, assuming branching fractions \( DM \rightarrow \ell^\pm W^\mp : DM \rightarrow \nu Z : DM \rightarrow \nu h \approx 2:1:1 \), where the neutrino spectral shape turns out to be similar to that of Ref. [41] (see Fig. 2). As in the latter two models, spectra may be more prominently peaked at some energy, and VHDM does not have to explain all the data.

\( \gamma \)-ray limits.—Standard Model final states from decaying or annihilating VHDM lead to \( \gamma \) rays as well as neutrinos. If final states involve quarks, gluons, and Higgs bosons, neutrinos largely come from mesons formed via hadronization, and \( \gamma \) rays are produced. A spectral bump is produced by two-body final states such as \( \nu h \) and/or weak bosons via leptonic decay into a neutrino and charged lepton. Electroweak bremsstrahlung is relevant even for possible decay into neutrino pairs. In extragalactic cases, the fact that the diffuse neutrino and \( \gamma \)-ray intensities are comparable gives us generic limits [9,50,51]. In Galactic cases, \( \gamma \) rays below \( \sim 0.3 \) PeV can reach the Earth without significant attenuation, air-shower arrays such as KASCADE [59] and CASA-MIA [60] as well as Fermi [61] provide us with interesting constraints [19,62].

We numerically calculate the diffuse \( \gamma \)-ray background, including both extragalactic and Galactic components. Thanks to the electron-positron pair creation, sufficiently high-energy \( \gamma \) rays are attenuated by the extragalactic background light and cosmic microwave background. Then, the pairs regenerate \( \gamma \) rays via the inverse-Compton and synchrotron emission. For an extragalactic component, we calculate electromagnetic cascades by solving Boltzmann equations. The resulting spectrum is known to be near-universal, following a Comptonized power law in the 0.03–100 GeV range [53]. For a Galactic component, it is straightforward to calculate primary \( \gamma \) rays that directly come from VHDM. The \( \gamma \)-ray attenuation is approximately included by assuming the typical distance of \( R_{\text{gc}} \), which gives reasonable results [19]. Extragalactic cascaded \( \gamma \) rays (including attenuated and cascade...

---

**FIG. 1** (color online). Diffuse all-flavor neutrino and \( \gamma \)-ray intensities expected in the VHDM scenario. The ES13 model is assumed with \( \tau_{\text{dm}} = 3.0 \times 10^{27} \) s. The total (thick dashed line) and extragalactic (thin dashed line) contributions to the cumulative neutrino background are shown with the observed data. The expected \( \gamma \)-ray background is also shown (thick solid) with the latest Fermi data. We also show contributions of extragalactic cascaded \( \gamma \) rays and direct \( \gamma \) rays from Galactic VHDM, which are not affected by uncertainty of Galactic magnetic fields. KASCADE and CASA-MIA \( \gamma \)-ray limits are indicated.

**FIG. 2** (color online). The same as Fig. 1, but for the RKP14 model with \( \tau_{\text{dm}} = 3.5 \times 10^{27} \) s.
components) and Galactic primary \( \gamma \) rays with attenuation unavoidably contribute to the diffuse \( \gamma \)-ray background (see Figs. 1 and 2). In addition, electrons and positrons from VHDM [63] make secondary \( \gamma \) rays via inverse-Compton and synchrotron emission in the Galactic halo, as included in Figs. 1 and 2 assuming a magnetic field strength of 1 \( \mu \)G. Our results would be conservative, and weaker magnetic fields can somewhat increase \( \gamma \)-ray fluxes. For cascade components, the results are not sensitive to detailed spectra of final states from VHDM decay. See Ref. [33] for technical details.

Clearly, \( \gamma \)-ray constraints are powerful. In the sub-PeV range, while the VHDM models are still allowed, the expected diffuse \( \gamma \)-ray intensity can slightly violate the existing sub-PeV \( \gamma \)-ray limits from old CR-induced air-shower experiments such as KASCADE. Thus, as we here show, the proposed VHDM models can be critically tested by near-future TeV-PeV \( \gamma \)-ray observations with the High-Altitude Walter Cherenkov Observatory (HAWC), Tibet AS + MD, and perhaps by Fermi. Our results show that the Galactic direct component should dominate above TeV energies. The VHDM scenario predicts that the diffuse \( \gamma \)-ray intensity and large scale anisotropy due to Galactic components should increase at \( \gtrsim 1 \) TeV up to 0.3 PeV, which can be tested. To evaluate anisotropic \( \gamma \)-ray emission, we calculate the \( J \) factor averaged over the Galactic center region within 25 deg, and obtain \( J_\Omega \approx 6.8 \). The excess due to Galactic VHDM (i.e., diffuse \( \gamma \)-ray emission after isotropic emission is subtracted) is \( E_\gamma^2 \Phi_\gamma^{\text{excess}} \sim 8 \times 10^{-8} \) GeV \( \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{sr}^{-1} \) from Eq. (1). For emission from the Galactic plane, HAWC can reach \( \sim 5 \times 10^{-8} \) GeV \( \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{sr}^{-1} \) at \( \sim 10 \) TeV [65] and Tibet AS + MD will achieve \( \sim 10^{-8} \) GeV \( \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{sr}^{-1} \) at \( \sim 100 \) TeV in five years [66]. Hence, anisotropic TeV-PeV \( \gamma \) rays from VHDM can be seen at least for three models considered in this work. Also, as clearly seen in Fig. 3, many of the diffuse neutrinos are found in the Southern Hemisphere, outside the KASCADE field of view. Although diffuse TeV-PeV \( \gamma \)-ray limits for the Galactic halo will be powerful enough, having \( \gamma \)-ray detectors in the Southern Hemisphere should be much more helpful [19].

In the sub-TeV energy range, extragalactic cascaded \( \gamma \) rays are relevant, and the expected diffuse \( \gamma \)-ray intensity is marginally consistent with the Fermi data. Decomposing the diffuse isotropic background, although it is model dependent, leads to tighter constraints (cf. Refs. [67–69]). Following Ref. [68], we calculate cascaded \( \gamma \)-ray bounds on VHDM lifetimes, using the latest Fermi data [61]. When total contributions are considered, we obtain lower limits (95% credible), \( \tau_{\text{dm}}^{\text{ES13}} = 2.3 \times 10^{27} \) s in the ES13 model and \( \tau_{\text{dm}}^{\text{RKP14}} = 1.6 \times 10^{27} \) s in the RKP14 model, respectively. More conservatively, for direct and extragalactic contributions, we get \( \tau_{\text{dm}}^{\text{ES13}} = 1.3 \times 10^{27} \) s in the ES13 model and \( \tau_{\text{dm}}^{\text{RKP14}} = 0.8 \times 10^{27} \) s in the RKP14 model, respectively. The diffuse isotropic background shown in Figs. 1 and 2 is obtained by subtracting resolved point sources, so it does not involve uncertain subtraction of unresolved sources. Also, more than half of the total isotropic background in the sub-TeV range is attributed to resolved blazars [61,67,69]. Thus, the fact that the consistency with the \( \gamma \)-ray backgrounds is marginal is quite robust, leading to profound implications. First, the diffuse \( \gamma \)-ray data representing the sum of unresolved sources could be improved in the future by Fermi, or possibly HAWC. If more blazars are resolved and they give \( \sim 100\% \) of the present diffuse isotropic background, there will be little room for the VHDM scenario. Second, we use the high-energy IceCube data presented in Ref. [3], which give the high significance. The extended analyses suggest softer spectra with a higher intensity of \( \Phi_\gamma \) at \( \sim 10^{-7} \) GeV \( \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{sr}^{-1} \) at \( \sim 20–60 \) TeV [70,71], but the lower-energy data suffer from more systematics due to the atmospheric muon background and possible contamination by Galactic sources. If they are established as the nearly isotropic signal, this strong case requires shorter lifetimes of \( \tau_{\text{dm}} \sim 10^{27} \) s and the diffuse \( \gamma \)-ray background would be violated without subtraction of unresolved sources, hinting at a different component for \( \lesssim 0.1 \) PeV neutrinos [72]. Our result strengthens the importance of understanding the \( \lesssim 60 \) TeV neutrino data.

Muon neutrino limits from galaxies and galaxy clusters.—The more direct and important test can be carried out by muon neutrino searches for nearby sources. A search for astrophysical emission is presented by Ref. [73]. In the VHDM scenario, the cumulative neutrino and \( \gamma \)-ray backgrounds are dominated by low-mass dark matter halos, but nearby massive halos associated with nearby galaxies and galaxy clusters can be detected as point or extended sources. Following Ref. [56], we examine five nearby clusters (Virgo, Fornax, Perseus, Coma, Ophiuchus) using parameters provided in Ref. [74]. In addition, nearby
galaxies M31 [75], Large Magellanic Cloud (LMC) [76], and Small Magellanic Cloud [77] are considered. The signal is stronger for objects with larger $M_{\text{dm}}/d^2$, where $M_{\text{dm}}$ is the dark matter halo mass and $d$ is the distance. Virgo, Fornax, M31, and LMC are of particular interest, and they have $M_{\text{dm}}/d^2 \sim$ a few $\times 10^{13} M_{\odot} \text{ Mpc}^{-2}$. The IceCube observatory has its highest sensitivity for point source emission in the Northern Hemisphere utilizing up-going muon neutrino events. For this reason we focus our source emission in the Northern Hemisphere utilizing up-IceCube observatory has its highest sensitivity for point source emission. Although we numerically evaluate the measurements for nearby sources could improve limits in the Northern Hemisphere. We assume through-going muon tracks seen in IceCube (thick line) and a next-generation detector like IceCube-Gen2 (thin line) with a relative improvement of the sensitivity by a factor of 5. The VHDM scenario can be ruled out or supported in three to five years after IceCube-Gen2.

Fig. 4 (color online). Muon neutrino limits on the VHDM scenario, expected for the Virgo cluster and M31. We consider the ES13 model (solid line), RKP14 model (dotted line), and HKS14 model (dot-dashed line), and VHDM lifetimes explaining the cumulative neutrino background are indicated by the shaded region. We assume through-going muon tracks seen in IceCube (thick line) and a next-generation detector like IceCube-Gen2 (thin line) with a relative improvement of the sensitivity by a factor of 5. The VHDM scenario can be ruled out or supported in three to five years after IceCube-Gen2.

for the Virgo cluster. Then, following Refs. [55,79], we calculate detection rates of through-going muon tracks within a maximal angular range $\Delta \theta_{\text{max}} = \max[\Delta \theta_{\text{res}}, 0.5(E_{\nu}/\text{TeV})^{-1/2}]$, where the angular resolution is set to $\Delta \theta_{\text{res}} = 0.5^\circ$ for IceCube [73] and the second term is due to the intrinsic uncertainty from the kinematics of the interaction. Although the astrophysical background [70] is accounted for, the atmospheric backgrounds (that are taken from Refs. [80–82]) are more relevant in our case. If a source is extended, $\phi_{\nu_{\mu}}$ can be regarded as the flux integrated over the source extension. But the backgrounds also increase, so optimization maximizing the signal-to-background ratio is possible [56,83]. Since dark matter substructures do not play a relevant role for the decay scenario, the simple point source search is reasonable. Our results are conservative since the limits can be improved by analyzing starting muon tracks and/or neutrino-induced showers for extended sources.

In Fig. 4, we show forecasted limits that can be placed by searches for muon neutrinos from Virgo and M31. For simplicity, we assume that a next-generation IceCube-Gen2 detector has an effective point-source sensitivity that is about 5 times better than IceCube, due to the combination effect of enhanced effective area and event reconstruction [84]. We assume that this detector would be fully operational after the deployment season of 2019 or 2020, i.e., ten years after IceCube has reached its full fiducial volume, although quantitative results might be affected by details of the detector configuration. The 90% C.L. limits are obtained based on Ref. [85]. Note that, although stacking analyses for nearby sources could improve limits in principle, we find that including objects with $M_{\text{dm}}/d^2 \ll 10^{13} M_{\odot} \text{ Mpc}^{-2}$ does not help in our case. Their individual neutrino fluxes are too low, making the overall signal-to-background ratio worse. One sees the present IceCube is not large enough to test the VHDM scenario requiring $\tau_{\text{dm}} \sim (3–6) \times 10^{27}$ s, even with twenty years of operations. We need a better angular resolution, with which we can put crucial constraints in several years. This conclusion will hold for cored profiles even if the $J$ factor is reduced by a factor of 2. Nondetections will rule out the VHDM scenario independently of the other limits, while positive detections may be supportive or suggest other astrophysical scenarios [9].

Summary and discussion.—The discovery of cosmic neutrinos opens up a new window to probe new physics beyond the Standard Model, such as neutrino self-interactions [79,86–90] and Lorentz-invariance violation [91–93]. The VHDM scenario has been considered as an explanation for the cosmic neutrinos. We considered two critical tests that are feasible with current and near-future $\gamma$-ray detectors and next-generation neutrino telescopes. (1) The proposed VHDM models predict the diffuse $\gamma$-ray background that is compatible with the Fermi data. The marginal consistency implies that they can be ruled out or supported by improving the data, decomposing the sub-TeV background, and finding anisotropy increasing as energy. Note that the latest results of the IceCube Collaboration indicate a softer neutrino spectrum with the higher intensity in the $\sim$30 TeV energy range [70,71], which would increase the tension with $\gamma$-ray
bounds. (2) The diffuse sub-PeV γ-ray background is also marginally consistent with the current limits. The excess emission around the Galactic center can be detected by γ-ray and CR detectors such as HAWC, Tibet AS + MD, and IceTop. (3) If the VHDM scenario is correct, muon neutrinos from nearby galaxies and galaxy clusters such as Virgo should be detected with a next-generation detector such as IceCube-Gen2. Remarkably, this method enables us to test various VHDM models that only explain the data in the PeV range.

The tests proposed here are complementary to the large-scale anisotropy of the arrival distribution of neutrinos. So far, no significant anisotropy has been observed. We stress that our approaches become especially important if the excess around the Galactic center exists.

Although we focused on decaying VHDM, applications to annihilating VHDM are possible. The unitarity bound, which usually gives stringent limits on $m_{\text{dm}}$, could be alleviated if the signal largely comes from substructures with low velocity dispersion [37]. Although the predicted arrival distribution is different, constraints from the diffuse γ-ray background can similarly be powerful. With large boost factors, muon neutrino searches for nearby sources are relevant as well [56].
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Note added—After this work came out, the point on the importance of sub-PeV γ-ray observations is further studied by Ref. [94].
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