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We investigate the degradation of the magnetic moment of a 300 nm thick FePt film induced by Focused Ion Beam (FIB) milling. A 1 \( \mu \)m \( \times \) 8 \( \mu \)m rod is milled out of a film by a FIB process and is attached to a cantilever by electron beam induced deposition. Its magnetic moment is determined by frequency-shift cantilever magnetometry. We find that the magnetic moment of the rod is \( \mu = 1.1 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{-12} \) Am\(^2\), which implies that 70\% of the magnetic moment is preserved during the FIB milling process. This result has important implications for atom trapping and magnetic resonance force microscopy, which are addressed in this paper. © 2015 AIP Publishing LLC.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4928929]

The fabrication and characterization of micron sized permanent magnets are necessary for a broad range of applications such as magnetic tweezers, magnetic imaging, permanent magnets are necessary for a broad range of applications with the local and very sensitive probing techniques of Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM). One of the techniques to pattern FePt films is to use a Focused Ion Beam (FIB). However, FIB milling can damage the film, possibly degrading the magnetic properties. Examples of such damage include implantation of ions and other ion beam induced alterations to the crystal structure. Determining the magnetic moment after FIB exposure is crucial for applications in both atom trapping and MRFM experiments.

In this letter, the damage caused by FIB milling on a FePt film is quantified by measuring the magnetic moment of a micron sized rod, which has been milled out of the film, and comparing it to the expected magnetic moment calculated from its volume and its remanent field. The rod is attached to a cantilever, and its magnetic moment is determined by cantilever magnetometry, a sensitive technique to determine small magnetic moments. We demonstrate that FIB milling is a suitable way to shape magnetic films for atom trapping experiments and to prepare probes for MRFM.

The 300 \( \pm \) 10 nm thick FePt film has been made at the Almaden Research Center of Hitachi. Films of FePt have been sputtered on a Si substrate with a thin RuAl underlayer and a Pt interlayer at a temperature of 400°C. This growth process leads to FePt in its L1\(_0\) phase, which has a particularly high out-of-plane magnetization.

As a first step to create rods, an indentation in the edge of the film is made with a FIB (Ga\(^+\)-ions, 30 keV, 7 nA ion current, Strata 235 Dual Beam from FEI). The edge is then crenelated (Fig. 1(a)) (ion current 500 pA), and rods are created in the sides of the crenels (Fig. 1(b)). The dimension of the rods is 8.1 \( \mu \)m in length, 1 \( \mu \)m in width, and 1 \( \mu \)m in height (consisting of 300 nm FePt and 700 nm substrate). The sample is rotated by 90° to remove the material underneath the rods. The geometry facilitates the access necessary to mount a rod onto a cantilever.

The FePt film and a cantilever (a single-crystal silicon beam) are then placed on two stages of an in-house developed nanomanipulator inside a Scanning Electron Microscope.
Made possible by the "added-mass method." Therefore, the external field used in the cantilever magnetometry experiment should not affect the magnetic moment of the rod.

The rods are magnetized in a 3 T field at room temperature along the out-of-plane direction (i.e., along the direction of motion of the cantilever), to achieve a higher remanent field.

Subsequently, dynamic-mode cantilever magnetometry is performed at room temperature at a pressure of 10^{-5} mbar. The external magnetic field is provided by a Helmholtz coil of approximately 300 turns, generating magnetic fields up to 2 mT. The external magnetic field points along the direction of motion of the cantilever. To determine the magnetic moment \( \mu \) of the rod, the resonance frequency is measured as a function of magnetic field strength. A fiber optic interferometer working at a wavelength of 1550 nm is used to detect the cantilever motion. The resonance frequency is determined by fitting the thermal motion of the cantilever’s fundamental mode to a Lorentzian curve. A ring-down measurement, shown in Fig. 4(b), provides a more accurate measure of the quality factor \( Q \).

The resonance frequency as a function of magnetic field is shown in Fig. 4(a). For the low magnetic field regime, the frequency shift \( \Delta f \) as a function of magnetic field \( H \) is given by

\[
\Delta f = \frac{f_0}{2\pi} \left( \frac{2}{7} \right)^2 \mu \mu_0 H, \tag{1}
\]

where \( f_0 \) is the resonance frequency in the absence of a magnetic field, \( l = 200 \mu m \) is the length of the cantilever, \( \alpha = 1.377 \) is a constant factor derived for beam cantilevers, and \( k = 3.3 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-5} \) N/m is the stiffness of the cantilever, determined by the "added-mass method."

Making use of Eq. (1), the magnetic moment of the cantilever is deduced to be \( \mu = 1.1 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{-12} \) Am^2. Given the remanent magnetization of the FePt film and the volume of the magnet of \( (1.00 \pm 0.02) \mu m \times (8.10 \pm 0.02) \mu m \times (0.30 \pm 0.01) \mu m \), we would have expected a magnetic moment of \( \mu = 1.5 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{-12} \) Am^2, if the magnet

Prior to the fabrication of the rods, the magnetization loop has been measured for a film of size 3 mm \( \times \) 3 mm \( \times \) 300 nm in a SQUID magnetometer (Quantum Design MPMS-5 S). The measurement has been performed at room temperature in two different geometries (Fig. 3); with an in-plane and an out-of-plane external field \( H \). The remanent magnetization is \( \mu_0 M = 0.76 \pm 0.03 \) T for the out-of-plane geometry, while it is \( \mu_0 M = 0.50 \pm 0.03 \) T for the in-plane geometry. In Fig. 3, the remanent magnetic moment shows negligible dependence on the external magnetic field. This is expected for FePt, as the coercivity increases when the lateral size decreases. Therefore, the external field used in the electron beam induced deposition process with Pt(PF\(_3\))\(_4\) as a precursor gas. The last connection between the rod and the film is broken by suddenly retracting the cantilever. The widening on the cantilever works as a mirror for laser interferometry. (c) The cantilever-magnet assembly.

FIG. 1. Fabrication of rods at the edge of a FePt film sputtered on a Si wafer: (a) crenelation of the edge, (b) five rods at the end of the FIB process. The material has been milled from two perpendicular directions, see arrows.

FIG. 2. Fixation of a rod to a cantilever: (a) the cantilever is brought in position using a nanomanipulator. After an electron beam induced deposition (EBID) process to fix the rod to the cantilever, the connection to the film is broken by retracting the cantilever (b). The widening on the cantilever works as a mirror for laser interferometry. (c) The cantilever-magnet assembly.

FIG. 3. Magnetization of the film as a function of external magnetic field strength for two different orientations of the sample. For the out-of-plane orientation, the remanent field \( \mu_0 M = 0.76 \pm 0.03 \) T and for the in-plane orientation it is \( \mu_0 M = 0.50 \pm 0.03 \) T.
had been unaffected by the FIB process. The comparison shows that roughly 60%–80% of the magnetic moment is preserved during the FIB process. As both SQUID magnetometry and cantilever magnetometry allow only for the determination of the overall magnetic moment, we cannot precisely determine the damage profile.

The quality factor seems not to depend on the magnetic field strength. Ng et al.\textsuperscript{23} did report on a decrease of the quality factor in a magnetic field ranging up to 6 T. This change is negligible in the 2 mT magnetic field range we studied.

More FePt magnets have been attached to cantilevers by the procedure described above. However, the orientation of the out-of-plane direction of the FePt film with respect to the direction of motion of these cantilevers was different (see supplemental material\textsuperscript{24} for more information). Though beneficial for MRFM experiments,\textsuperscript{25} these probes are unfit for cantilever magnetometry experiments.

We believe MRFM would benefit from the described force sensor. Since the force exerted by a spin in the sample on the cantilever is proportional to the gradient of the magnetic field, it is beneficial to use small magnets. In our previous work, we employed NdFeB spheres with a diameter of 3 μm.\textsuperscript{25} The field gradient cannot be increased by using smaller NdFeB particles, because they seem to lose their magnetization when scaled down further.\textsuperscript{26} Even though FePt has a remanent magnetization which is roughly half as large as that of NdFeB, the possibility to create smaller magnets is promising for the sensitivity of MRFM experiments.

The larger magnetic field gradient is not the only improvement that small FePt magnets would yield. It has been observed that the quality factor of MRFM cantilevers can drop drastically when approaching the sample surface.\textsuperscript{27} This is most likely due to a dissipative interaction of spins in the sample with the magnet. A smaller magnet interacts with fewer spins, and therefore, suffers less from this unwanted damping. A forthcoming experiment will enable us to quantify the improvement in the resolution provided by the FePt rods.

Concerning atom trapping, the factor limiting the resolution of FePt traps created by optical lithography and plasma etching is the redeposition of the etched material, the magnetic properties of which are unknown.\textsuperscript{28} SEM images show that this redeposition can be of the order of several hundreds of nanometers. From SEM images made after FIB milling, we conclude that for the FePt rods described in this paper redeposition of FePt is negligible compared to the loss of magnetic volume caused by the FIB milling process. Furthermore, the damage induced can possibly be reduced by using a helium FIB. Hence, FIB milled patterns could have an advantage over the patterns created by optical lithography and plasma etching, when aiming for trap sizes on the order of a micrometer.\textsuperscript{29,30} For the formation of such traps, a better understanding of the shape of the damaged region of magnetic films would be needed. FIB milling of FePt will probably not suffice to go to an atom trap scale of the order of 100 nm. Electron beam lithography is the most suitable technique when aiming for submicrometer sizes.\textsuperscript{29} This method is currently used in various groups.

We have shown a fabrication process for micrometer size FePt magnets by FIB milling and a way to attach these magnets to ultrasoft cantilevers by electron beam induced deposition. This technique could, in principle, be used for any magnetic film. From cantilever magnetometry measurements, we conclude that 60%–80% of the magnetic moment is preserved during the FIB milling process. FIB milled magnets could, therefore, be used in atomic trapping experiments when aiming for a trap size on the order of a micrometer. The magnet attached to the cantilever can be used as a probe in MRFM experiments. The small dimensions of the magnet are expected to improve the sensitivity of MRFM.

The authors thank J. J. T. Wagenaar for fruitful discussions. This work was supported in part by Fundamenteel Onderzoek der Materie (FOM) and the Netherlands organization of scientific research (NWO).
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\caption{(a) Resonance frequency as a function of the external magnetic field determined from the cantilever's thermal spectrum. The slope of the curve implies a magnetic moment of $1.1 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{-15}$ Am$^2$, which means a volume of $0.8 \pm 0.1 \mu$m$^3$ has been damaged by the FIB. (b) The quality factor as a function of the external magnetic field as determined by a ring-down measurement.}
\end{figure}
24See supplementary material at http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4928929 for a description of the different orientations in which magnets can be attached to cantilevers.