



UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

Heterogeneity in response to incentives: Evidence from field data

Czibor, E.

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Czibor, E. (2015). *Heterogeneity in response to incentives: Evidence from field data.*

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

Heterogeneity in Response to Incentives:
Evidence from Field Data

ISBN 978 90 5170 699 4

Cover design: Crasborn Graphic Designers bno, Valkenburg a.d. Geul

This book is no. **632** of the Tinbergen Institute Research Series, established through cooperation between Rozenberg Publishers and the Tinbergen Institute. A list of books which already appeared in the series can be found in the back.

Heterogeneity in Response to Incentives: Evidence from Field Data

ACADEMISCH PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van de graad van doctor
aan de Universiteit van Amsterdam
op gezag van de Rector Magnificus
prof. dr. D.C. van den Boom
ten overstaan van een door het College voor Promoties
ingestelde commissie,
in het openbaar te verdedigen in de Agnietenkapel
op vrijdag 20 november 2015, te 10:00 uur

door

Eszter Czibor

geboren te Zalaegerszeg, Hongarije

Promotiecommissie:

Promotores: Prof. dr. C.M. van Praag Copenhagen Business School
Prof. dr. R. Sloof Universiteit van Amsterdam

Overige leden: Dr. T. Buser Universiteit van Amsterdam
Prof. dr. A.J. Dur Erasmus Universiteit Rotterdam
Prof. dr. U.H. Gneezy Universiteit van Amsterdam
Prof. dr. J.H. Sonnemans Universiteit van Amsterdam
Prof. dr. L. Vesterlund University of Pittsburgh

Apának, Anyának, Sancinak és Gergőnek

Acknowledgements

With my defense approaching and my time as a doctoral student in Amsterdam coming to an end, I would like to express my gratitude to the people who made these five years unforgettable. First and foremost, I would like to thank my supervisors, Mirjam and Randolph. You encouraged me to pursue my own ideas but you were also always available for discussion, and you constantly surprised me with your great insights and ideas. You were also a perfect pair of supervisors, Randolph's sharp logic, scientific rigor and attention to the minutest detail complementing Mirjam's focus on the bigger picture and her enthusiasm for exciting research questions. Randolph, I will miss walking down the corridor to your office any time I needed guidance - or just felt like chatting. Mir, what a source of inspiration you have been, both on the professional and the personal level!

I would also like to thank Uri Gneezy, my scientific role model and mentor. I am grateful for the time he spent reading my work, for his famously honest, brief but spot-on comments, for his support and motivation, for the fantastic people he introduced me to, and for the fun times we shared in Amsterdam, San Diego and Modica. I am thankful to John List for his inspiring PhD course in Bergen that turned my attention towards field experiments, and for endorsing my grant application and making it possible for me to continue my work at the University of Chicago. I am grateful to Jörg Claussen for making me feel welcome in Copenhagen and for teaching me so much, from Stata programming techniques to the rules of the Sauspiel card game.

I owe a lot to my colleagues at the University of Amsterdam, especially to Erik, Jeroen, Joep, Joeri, Philipp, Theo, Thomas, and most of all Silvia. I really enjoyed our lunches and coffee

breaks, and I am indebted for the comments and suggestions you gave for my work. Laura and Martin, you were the best office roommates and co-authors I could have asked for. Sander, it was a great experience to work with you, both on our joint research project but also as your teaching assistant.

I feel privileged to be part of the research community of the Tinbergen Institute. TI introduced me to a crowd of smart, inspiring young people. Anghel, Anita, Dave, Dávid, Gosia, Janna, Lydia, Mark, Max, Sabina, Sait, Sanne, Steffen, Swapnil, Tomasz, Violeta, it was so much fun having you around! My wonderful paranymphs, Inez and Karolina, deserve special thanks for being the best of friends. Lukas, our conversations meant so much to me. My friends in Amsterdam outside academia: Carl, Francesca, Linas, Loredana, Mariana, Michael, Monika, Nathalie, Peter, Sef, Tomek, and my CRS sisters all over the world, especially Dana, Els, Karen, Olga, Sofia, Stefa and Uyum, you made my life complete these past years.

I am grateful for my family for raising me with the belief that anything was possible. Our home has been the “best platform from which to jump beyond myself”. My little brother actually beat me to the doctor title - Sanci, I am immensely proud of you. I am thankful to my friends from Hungary who stayed with me despite the distance: Böbi, Eszti, Fazi, Gábor, Győző, Kata, Matyi, Petra, Sára, I hope never to lose touch.

Save the best for last: Gergő, none of this would have been possible without you. Thank you for your endless support, for the interest you have shown in my research, for your patience and understanding, and most of all, for all the amazing moments we shared. I am looking forward to many more to come!

Eszter Czibor

Amsterdam, October 2015

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Gender and competition in education	7
2.1	Introduction	7
2.2	Literature review	10
2.3	Context and design	12
2.3.1	Context	12
2.3.2	Design of the experiment	14
2.3.3	Hypothesis	16
2.3.4	Details of the grading schemes	17
2.3.5	Incentivized survey	19
2.4	Data	20
2.5	Results	25
2.5.1	Selection	25
2.5.2	Effect of relative grading in the full sample	26
2.5.3	Heterogeneity in response	32
2.6	Discussion	37
2.7	Conclusion	38
	Appendices	41
2.A	Theoretical model	41

2.B	Excerpt from the Course Manual on Grading Schemes	49
2.C	Screenshots from the survey	52
2.D	Analyzing the subsample of international students	53
3	The consequences of shying away	57
3.1	Introduction	57
3.2	Context and data	61
3.2.1	The game	61
3.2.2	The online platform	63
3.2.3	Data	65
3.2.4	Evaluation of our setting	67
3.3	Results	69
3.3.1	Descriptive evidence	70
3.3.2	Behavior in the selection stage	71
3.3.3	Performance in the playing stage	76
3.3.4	Explaining the gender difference in scores	79
3.3.5	Drivers of the gender gap in choices	81
3.4	Conclusions	84
	Appendices	87
3.A	Additional tables and figures	87
4	The drivers of selection into teams	93
4.1	Introduction	93
4.2	Related literature	98
4.3	Context and Design	102
4.3.1	Context	102
4.3.2	Design	104
4.4	Descriptive statistics	110

4.5	Results	114
4.5.1	Determinants of team choice in the Baseline treatment	114
4.5.2	Comparison of team choice between the treatments	121
4.6	Summary and conclusion	124
	Appendices	127
4.A	Excerpts from the online survey	127
4.B	Additional figures and tables	130
5	Summary	133
	Bibliography	139
	Samenvatting (Summary in Dutch)	153