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We propose a quasiparticle formulation of effective edge theories for the fractional quantum Hall effect. For the edge of a Laughlin state with filling fraction \( \nu = 1/m \), our fundamental quasiparticles are edge electrons of charge \(-e\) and edge quasiholes of charge \(+e/m\). These quasiparticles satisfy exclusion statistics in the sense of Haldane. We exploit algebraic properties of edge electrons to derive a kinetic equation for charge transport between a \( \nu = 1/m \) fractional quantum Hall edge and a normal metal. We also analyze alternative "Boltzmann" equations that are directly based on the exclusion statistics properties of edge quasiparticles. Generalizations to more general filling fractions (Jain series) are briefly discussed. [S0163-1829(98)03544-9]

I. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

Low-energy excitations over (fractional) quantum Hall effect (QHE) ground states are localized near the edge of a sample. Certain aspects of QHE phenomenology can therefore be captured by an effective edge theory. The unusual properties (notably, fractional charge and statistics) of bulk excitations over a fractional QHE (FQHE) ground state carry over to analogous properties of the fundamental edge excitations.

In the existing (theoretical) literature on QHE edge phenomena, the fundamental edge quasiparticles have not played an important role. Most, if not all, results have been obtained by exploiting bosonization schemes. For the analysis of edge-to-edge tunneling experiments, a combination of bosonization with techniques from integrable field theories has led to exact results for universal conductance curves. What has been missing until now is a description of edge-to-edge tunneling phenomena directly in terms of a set of excitations over a fractional QHE edge theory. The direct analogs of the bulk (Laughlin) quasiparticles, an important distinction being that the edge excitations are gapless and have linear dispersion.

The first indication for the nontrivial statistics of the operators (1.2) comes from the operator product expansions (OPE)

\[
\Psi_e(z)\Psi_e(w) = (z-w)^{-m}[\Psi'_e(w) + \cdots],
\]

\[
\Psi_{qh}(z)\Psi_{qh}(w) = (z-w)^{1+e/m}[\Psi''_{qh}(w) + \cdots],
\]

where \( \Psi'_e(w) \) and \( \Psi''_{qh}(w) \) are operators of charge \(-2e\) and \(+2e/m\), respectively. For \( m \) an odd integer, the right hand side of the first OPE picks up a minus sign under the exchange \( z \leftrightarrow w \), in correspondence with the required antisymmetry of the Laughlin wave functions. The second relation features a fractional power of \((z-w)\), which shows that the quasihole operator has fractional 'exchange statistics.'

For \( \nu = 1 \) the operators \( \Psi_e \) and \( \Psi_{qh} \) are fermionic and the edge theory is simply a theory of free fermions. The exchange statistics of \( \Psi_e \) and \( \Psi_{qh} \) at \( \nu = 1/m \) clearly signal a deviation from free fermion behavior, but they are hardly helpful for the purpose of setting up a quasiparticle formalism that mimics the free fermion treatment of the \( \nu = 1 \) edge. We shall here argue that a much more convenient point of view is that of the "exclusion statistics" properties of \( \Psi_e \) and \( \Psi_{qh} \).

In a recent paper, one of us proposed a method to associate exclusion statistics to quasiparticles for conformal field theory (CFT) spectra. We shall here show that, when applied to the \( \nu = 1/m \) edge theory excitations, this method gives
ideal fractional exclusion statistics (in the sense of Haldane) with \( g = m \) for edge electrons and \( g = 1/m \) for edge quasiholes. We also find that the edge electrons and edge quasiholes can be viewed as independent excitations, in the sense that there is no mutual exclusion between the two.

Our program in this paper is then (1) to establish the exclusion statistics properties of \( \Psi_e \) and \( \Psi_q \), and (2) to apply them to both equilibrium and transport properties of these edges. As for transport, we shall focus on the setup of the experiment by Chang et al., where electrons are allowed to tunnel from a normal metal into the edge of a \( \nu = 1/3 \) FQHE edge. We shall use algebraic properties of \( \nu = 1/3 \) edge electrons to write an exact kinetic equation for the perturbative \( I-V \) characteristics for this system, reproducing the result obtained by other methods. Interestingly, the relevant algebraic properties derive from the so-called \( N = 2 \) superconformal algebra, which has been well-studied in the context of string theory. We shall also study “naive” Boltzmann equations that are based on the exclusion statistics properties of the edge quasiparticles. While the latter equations are not exact, we shall argue that they can be used as the starting point in a systematic approximation to the exact transport results. These results then are of general importance, as they illustrate the possibilities and limitations of the concept of exclusion statistics in the analysis of nonequilibrium physics.

The observations made here are easily generalized to composite edges, related to hierarchical FQHE states, in particular those of the Jain series with \( \nu = n/(np+1) \). For the Jain series edge theories, two natural pictures emerge. In the first picture, the edge quasiparticles satisfy Haldane’s exclusion statistics with \( G \) matrix equal to \( K^{-1} \), where \( K \) is the topological order matrix of the bulk FQHE state. In the second picture one decouples one charged mode from \( n-1 \) neutral modes. A possible quasiparticle basis then consists of a single charged Haldane \( g \)-on and a collection of \( n \) neutral quasiparticles that are related to parafermions in the sense of Gentile.

This paper is organized in the following way. In Sec. II we discuss exclusion statistics and indicate the applications to FQHE states and to CFT spectra. In Sec. III we discuss in some detail how a quasiparticle basis for \( \nu = 1/m \) FQHE edge states is obtained and how that leads to an assignment of exclusion statistics parameters. In Sec. IV we explain how equilibrium properties are obtained in a quasiparticle approach. In Sec. V we further study the quasiparticle bases and make the link with Calogero-Sutherland quantum mechanics and Jack polynomials. In Sec. VI we study charge transport between a normal metal and a \( \nu = 1/m \) FQHE edge in terms of kinetic equations that are based on our quasiparticle formalism. Appendix A describes the extension of our quasiparticle formalism to filling fractions in the Jain series, while Appendix B contains explicit results for an important quasiparticle form factor.

### II. EXCLUSION STATISTICS

In his by now famous 1991 paper, Haldane proposed the notion “fractional exclusion statistics,” as a tool for the analysis of strongly correlated many-body systems. The central assumption that is made concerns the way a many-body spectrum is built by filling available one-particle states. In words, it is assumed that the act of filling a one-particle state effectively reduces the dimension of the space of remaining one-particle states by an amount \( g \). The choices \( g = 1, g = 0 \) correspond to fermions and bosons, respectively. The thermodynamics for general “\( g \)-ons,” and in particular the appropriate generalization of the Fermi-Dirac distribution function, have been obtained in Refs. 9–12. The so-called Wu equations

\[
n_g(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{[w(\epsilon) + g]},
\]

with

\[
[w(\epsilon)]^{1/g} [1 + w(\epsilon)]^{1-g} = e^{\beta(\epsilon - \mu)}
\]

provide an implicit expression for the one-particle distribution function \( n_g(\epsilon) \) for \( g \)-ons at temperature \( T \) and chemical potential \( \mu \). It has been demonstrated that fractional exclusion statistics are realized in various models for quantum mechanics with inverse square exchange and in the anyon model in a strong magnetic field.

### A. Exclusion statistics and the FQHe

A natural application of the idea of exclusion statistics is offered by the various fractional quantum Hall effects. One may take the somewhat naive but certainly justifiable point of view that the essence of the \( \nu = 1/m \) FQHE is that under the appropriate conditions interacting electrons give rise to free quasiparticles with effective statistics parameter \( g = m \). A familiar interpretation of these quasiparticles is that they can be viewed as composites of electrons plus an even number of flux quanta. (The familiar terminology “composite fermions” is somewhat unfortunate in this context, as we argue that the exclusion statistics properties of these composite quasiparticles are not fermionic. Clearly, their exchange statistics, which are determined “modulo 2\( \pi \), are fermionic.) The familiar Laughlin wave functions describe the ground state configuration for these quasiparticles. The fundamental excitations (the Laughlin quasiparticles) are expected to carry the “dual” (see Sec. III C) statistics \( g = 1/m \).

The above scenario, which was suggested in Haldane’s original paper, has been critically analyzed in the literature, where it has been confirmed in the appropriate low-temperature regime (see, for example, Ref. 16). Our purpose in this paper is to setup and analyze a similar picture for edge excitations in the FQHE. Since such excitations can be described using the language of CFT, we first turn to a discussion of exclusion statistics in CFT spectra.

### B. Exclusion statistics in CFT

Conformal field theories in two dimensions come with two commuting Virasoro algebras, and these infinite dimensional algebras can be used to organize the finite-size spectra of these theories. In such an approach, a CFT partition function is obtained by combining a number of characters of both Virasoro algebras (or extensions thereof). In applications such as string theory, where the conformal symmetry has a geometric origin and the fundamental fields are bosonic coordinate fields, this “Virasoro approach” to CFT is entirely natural. In contrast, the prototypical CFT in the condensed...
matter is a theory of free fermions, with a finite size spectrum that is simply a collection of many-fermion states constructed according to the rules set by the Pauli principle. When facing other CFT’s that are relevant for condensed matter systems one may try to follow a similar road, which is to select a number of fundamental quasiparticle operators and to construct the full (chiral) spectrum as a collection of many-(quasi)-particle states. Explicit examples of this are the so-called spinon bases for $\mathfrak{su}(n)_k$ Wess-Zumino-Witten (WZW) models.\textsuperscript{18,20–22}

Let us now imagine that we have a concrete CFT, with explicit rules for the construction of a many-(quasi)-particle basis of the finite size spectrum. It is then natural to try to interpret that result in terms of “exclusion statistics” properties of the fundamental quasiparticles. In a recent paper,\textsuperscript{6} one of us has proposed a systematic procedure (based on recursion relations for truncated chiral spectra), which leads to one-particle distribution functions for CFT quasiparticles. In many cases, it was established that the CFT thermodynamics are those of a free gas of quasiparticles governed by new, generalized distribution functions. The examples discussed in Ref. 6 include spinons in the $\mathfrak{su}(n)_1$ WZW models, CFT parafermions, and edge quasiparticles for the fractional QHE.

The example of the CFT for FQHE edge excitations is particularly interesting, since in those cases the generalized distributions derived from the CFT spectra are identical to those obtained from Haldane statistics (with specific values for $g$). In Sec. III below we show in some detail how these results are established.

Clearly, the identification of Haldane statistics in FQHE edge theories is most useful since it provides a concrete link between rather abstract considerations on the systematics of quasiparticle bases on the one hand and concrete laboratory physics on the other. In particular, it opens up the possibility of analyzing transport phenomena such as edge-to-edge tunneling in the QHE (which has been well studied both theoretically and experimentally) directly in terms of quasiparticles satisfying fractional exclusion statistics. We shall report the results of such an analysis in Sec. VI below.

### III. QUASIPARTICLES FOR THE $\nu=1/m$ FQHE EDGE

We consider the finite size spectrum for the CFT describing a single $\nu=1/m$ FQHE edge. In CFT jargon, this theory is characterized as a $c=1$ chiral free boson theory at radius $R^2=m$. We shall consider the chiral Hilbert space corresponding to the following partition function

$$Z^{1/m}(q) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{n^2/2m} \langle q \rangle^2,$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.1)

with $(q) = \prod_{j=1}^\infty (1-q^j)$ and $q = e^{-\beta (2\pi l/1)(1/\rho_0)}$. [The one-particle energies are of the form $E_q = l(2\pi l/1)(1/\rho_0)$ with $l$ an integer and $\rho_0$ the density of states per unit length, $\rho_0 = (\hbar v_F)^{-1}$.] In this formula, the $U(1)$ affine Kac-Moody symmetry is clearly visible as all states at fixed $U(1)$ charge $Q$ form an irreducible representation of this symmetry.

We should stress that the Hilbert space corresponding to Eq. (3.1) is not the physical Hilbert space for the edge theory of a quantum Hall sample with the topology of a disc. In the latter Hilbert space physical charge is quantized in units of $e$ and, correspondingly, the $U(1)$ charge $Q$ in Eq. (3.1) is restricted to multiples of $m$.\textsuperscript{4} In the geometry of a Corbino disc, i.e., a cylinder, the operator that transfers charge $e/m$ from one edge to the other is physical. Accordingly, the physical Hilbert space is obtained by taking a tensor product of left and right copies of the Hilbert space (3.1) and restricting the total $U(1)$ charge $Q_L + Q_R$ to multiples of $m$.\textsuperscript{4} In the quasiparticle formalism that we present below the various restrictions on $Q_L$, $Q_R$ are easily implemented.

Our goal here is to understand the collection of states in Eq. (3.1) in a different manner, and to view them as multiparticle states built from the creation operators for edge quasiparticles $\Psi_e$ and $\Psi_{qh}$. To simplify our notations, we shall write $G = \Psi_e$, $\phi = \Psi_{qh}$. (The notation $G$ is inspired by the fact that the fundamental anticommutation relations for the modes $G_{-r}$ at $\nu = 1/3$ are those of the so-called $N=2$ superconformal algebra. See Sec. VI for more on this.) Due to the above-mentioned restrictions on the $U(1)$ charges $Q_L$ and $Q_R$, the chiral quasihole operator $\phi(z)$ by itself is not a physical operator in the edge theories for the disc or cylinder [in the proper mathematical terminology we call $\phi(z)$ a chiral vertex operator (CVO)] physical states are obtained by restricting the number of $\phi$ quanta in the appropriate manner.

#### A. Quasihole states

We start by considering quasihole states that are built by applying only the modes $\phi_{-s}$ defined via $\phi(z) = \sum_s \phi_{-s} z^{-s-1/2m}$. Clearly, the index $s$ gives the dimensionless energy of the mode $\phi_{-s}$. When acting on the charge-0 vacuum $|0\rangle$, we find the following multi-$\phi$ states (compare with Ref. 18 for the case $m=2$, see also Ref. 23)

$$\phi_{-(2N−1)/2m−n_1}\cdots\phi_{−3/2m−n_2}\phi_{−1/2m−n_1}|0\rangle$$

with $N_{n} = n_{n−1} \cdots n_1 > 0$. \hspace{1cm} (3.2)

The choice of minimal modes is such that the lowest state of charge $Q(e/m)$ is at energy $Q^2/2m)$, in agreement with the scaling dimension of the corresponding CFT primary field. Using so-called generalized commutation relations satisfied by the modes $\phi_{-s}$, one may show\textsuperscript{18} that all multi-$\phi$ states different from Eq. (3.2) are either zero or linearly dependent on Eq. (3.2).

Before writing more general states we shall first focus on the exclusion statistics properties of the quanta $\phi_{-s}$. We follow the procedure of Ref. 6 and start by introducing truncated partition sums for quasihole states (3.2). For $s = 1/2m$, 3/2m, etc., we define polynomials $P_s(x,q)$ to keep track of the number of many-body states that can be made using only the modes $\phi_{-s}$ with $k \leq s$, and that have a highest occupied mode with energy $s$’ such that $s−s'$ is an integer. $P_s(x,q)$ is defined as the trace of the quantity $x^s q^k$ over all these states, where $N$ is the number of quasiholes, $E$ is the dimensionless total energy, and $x = e^{\beta \mu \Phi}$. For $m=3$ this gives

$$P_{s_1/6} = x q^{1/6}, \hspace{0.5cm} P_{1/2} = x^2 q^{4/6}, \hspace{0.5cm} P_{5/6} = 1 + x^3 q^{9/6}, \hspace{0.5cm} \text{etc.}$$

\hspace{1cm} (3.3)

In general, an occupied quasi-hole state of energy $s$ corresponds to a factor $xq^s$ in these generating polynomials.
The systematics of the edge quasihole states (3.2) directly lead to the following recursion relations between the polynomials $P_s(x,q)$:

$$P_s(x,q) = P_{s-1}(x,q) + xq^s P_{s-1/m}(x,q).$$  

(3.4)

For $m=1$, which is the case corresponding to a $v=1$ integer GHE edge, this relation directly implies $P_{1-1/2}(x,q) = \Omega_1/(1+qx^{-1/2})$. In that case the partition sum is simply a product and we recognize free fermions. For general $m$

$$M_l^q(x,q) = 1
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & xq^{l-(2m-3)/2m} & \cdots & xq^{l-1/2m} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
(1)^m & \cdots & \cdots & (1)^m,
\end{pmatrix}

(3.5)

with $l=1,2,\ldots$, and $M_l^q(x,q)$ the following $m \times m$ matrix:

$$M_l^q(x,q) =
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & \cdots & 1 \\
0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \cdots & 0 \\
1 & \cdots & 1
\end{pmatrix}

(3.6)

The grand partition function for the quasihole states (3.2) is then given by

$$Z^q(x,q) = (1,1,\ldots,1) \prod_{l=1}^{\infty} M_l^q(x,q) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.7)

We propose that the quasihole modes $\phi_{-s}$ with $s=1-(2m-1)/2m, \ldots, 1-1/2m$ be viewed as a single $(m-1)$-fold degenerate level in the one-particle spectrum. [This convention is natural since a single quasiparticle on the ground state can only occupy one of these $m$ levels.] The $m \times m$ matrix $M_l^q$ is then a level-to-level transfer matrix and replaces the free fermion $(m=1)$ factor $(1+qx^{-1/2})$. Clearly, the thermodynamics of the states (3.2) will be dominated by the largest eigenvalues $\lambda_l^+$ of the matrices $M_l^q(x,q)$. These satisfy the characteristic equations

$$(\lambda_l^+ - 1)^m - xq^{m^2-m/2}(\lambda_l^+)^{m-1} = 0. \quad (3.8)

Instead of trying to solve these equations, we can derive from them a result for the one-particle distribution functions

$$n^q(\lambda_l^+) = xq^{l-1}(\lambda_l^+)^{m-1}. \quad (3.9)

We find

$$n^q(\lambda_l^+) = \frac{\lambda_l^+ - 1}{1+(1/m)(\lambda_l^+ - 1)}. \quad (3.10)

Comparing with Eq. (2.1) and identifying $g=1/m$ and $w(e) = (\lambda_l^+ - 1)^{-1}$, we see that the distribution function $n^q(\lambda_l^+)$ becomes identical to $n_{\nu=1/m}(\epsilon=l)$. In other words, the exclusion statistics properties of the $\nu=1/m$ quasihole states are those of ‘ideal g-ons’ in the sense of Haldane, with $g = 1/m$! This identification is consistent with the result of bosonization applied to $g$-ons, and with the character computations of Ref. 25.

For the case $m=2$, which is not in the category of FQHE edges, the equilibrium distribution is given by

$$n_{1/2}(\epsilon) = \frac{2}{1+4e^{-2(\mu-\epsilon)}}. \quad (3.11)

For $m=3$ the explicit formulas (obtained using the Cardano formula for cubic equations) are quite unpleasant; Fig. 1 shows the distribution $n_{1/3}(\epsilon)$.

B. Edge electron states

The same procedure can be applied to the edge electrons, which are created by modes $G_{-s}$ with $G(z) = \Sigma_s G_{-s} z^{-l/m^2}$. Multielectron states take the form

$$G_{-2m-1}(m^2-1, m^2-2, \ldots, m^2-m, \bar{m}) G_{-m^2-1}(0).$$

with

$$n_{g}(\epsilon) = \frac{2}{1+4e^{-2(\mu-\epsilon)}}. \quad (3.11)

FIG. 1. Distribution functions for fractional exclusion statistics with $g=3$ (dashed line), $g=1$ (dotted line), and $g=\frac{2}{3}$ (solid line), all at the same temperature and at zero chemical potential.
Choosing odd integer and compactification radius with the following initial values:

\[ \begin{align*}
Q_m &= \cdots = \frac{Q}{m/2} = 1.
\end{align*} \tag{13.14}
\]

The “transfer matrix” for the edge electrons \( M_{k}^{(y,q)} \) is defined by

\[ \begin{pmatrix} Q_{K} ; \\
Q_{K+1} ; \\
m \frac{Q_{K+2}}{masto} \end{pmatrix} = M_{k}^{(y,q)} \begin{pmatrix} Q_{K-m} ; \\
Q_{K-1} ; \\
m \frac{Q_{K-2}}{masto} \end{pmatrix} \tag{13.15} \]

with \( K = km - m/2, k = 1,2, \ldots \), and we have

\[ \begin{align*}
Z^2(y,q) &= \left( 1 \quad 0 \quad \cdots \quad 0 \right) \left( \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} M_{k}^{(y,q)} \right) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\\n\\n1 \\\n1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{13.16} \end{align*} \]

In this case, a single action of the transfer matrix comprises a jump of \( m \) one-particle levels, and the relevant distribution function will be

\[ n_\mu(y) = y \partial_y \ln \left[ \left( \mu_k^{+} \right)^{1/m} \right] = \frac{y}{m} \frac{\partial_y \mu^{+}}{\mu^{+}}. \tag{13.17} \]

The characteristic equation for the eigenvalue \( \mu_k^{+} \)

\[ \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \left( \mu_k^{+} - y q^{m_k-i} \right) - \left( \mu_k^{+} \right)^{m-1} = 0 \tag{13.18} \]

leads to

\[ n_\mu(y) = \frac{1}{m + (h_k-1)} \quad \left( y q^{h_k} \right)^{m_k} = (h_k-1)^m h_k^{1-m} \tag{13.19} \]

with \( h_k = \mu_k^{+} \left( q^{m_k} \right) \). Identifying \( w(\epsilon) = h_k - 1 \), we again recognize the Wu equations (2.1) for Haldane exclusion statistics, this time with \( g = m \), and we may identify \( n_\mu^{\pm}(\epsilon = m k) \) with \( n_{g-m}(\epsilon = m k) \).

For \( m = 2 \) this gives

\[ n_2^{\pm}(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + 4e^{B(\epsilon - \mu)}}} \right). \tag{13.20} \]

See Fig. 1 for the distribution function \( n_2^{\pm}(\epsilon) \) at \( \mu = 0 \).

C. Duality

Having recognized distribution functions for fractional exclusion statistics with \( g = 1/m \) and \( g = m \), respectively, we expect a particle-hole duality between the two cases (compare with Refs. 10, 12).

Before we come to that, we generalize the results of Secs. III A and III B by considering a chiral \( c_{\text{CFT}} = 1 \) CFT of compactification radius \( R^2 = l/s \), with \( r > s \) and \( r, s \) coprime. Choosing \( q \) quanta of charge \( + (s/r)e \) and \( G \) quanta of charge \( - e \) as our fundamental excitations, we easily repeat the previous analysis and derive the following recursion relations:

\[ \begin{align*}
X_l(x) &= X_{l-s}(x) + x X_{l-s}(x), \\
Y_l(y) &= Y_{l-s}(y) + y Y_{l-s}(y), \tag{3.21} \end{align*} \]

where we put \( q = 1 \) for convenience. (The connection with the quantities \( P_l \) and \( Q_l \) defined for \( r/l/s = m \) is \( X_l \leftrightarrow P_1(l-1)/2m, \ Y_l \leftrightarrow Q_{m/2} + (l-1)/2m \).) Proceeding as before we obtain the distribution functions for Haldane statistics with \( g = s/r \) (for the \( q \) quanta) and \( \bar{g} = r/s \) (for the \( G \) quanta).

In Refs. 10, 12 it was recognized that the cases with \( g \) and \( \bar{g} = 1/g \) are dual in the sense that particles are dual to holes. To recover this duality in our present approach, we note that if \( Y_l(y) \) is a solution of the second relation in Eq. (3.21), the expression

\[ \begin{align*}
X_l(x) &= Y_l(y = x^{-\bar{g}}) x^{\bar{g}s} \tag{3.22} \end{align*} \]

solves the first recursion relation. Assuming \( r > s \), we can rewrite both recursion relations in a form involving a \( r \times r \) recursion matrix. The largest eigenvalues \( \lambda^+(x) \) and \( \mu^+(y) \) are then related via

\[ \lambda^+(x) = \mu^+(x^{-\bar{g}}) x^\bar{g} \tag{3.23} \]

and the distribution functions

\[ \begin{align*}
n_g(x) &= x \partial_x \ln \lambda^+(x), \\
n_{\bar{g}}(y) &= g y \partial_y \ln \mu^+(y), \tag{3.24} \end{align*} \]

satisfy

\[ \begin{align*}
n_g(x) &= 1 - \bar{g} n_{\bar{g}}(y = x^{-\bar{g}}), \tag{3.25} \\
n_{\bar{g}}(\epsilon) &= 1 - \bar{g} n_{\bar{g}}(\epsilon = -\bar{g} \epsilon), \tag{3.26} \end{align*} \]

in agreement with the results of Ref. 10. The interpretation of this result is that the \( \bar{g} \) quanta with positive energy act as holes in the ground state distribution of negative energy \( g \) quanta. The relative factor \( (-\bar{g}) \) between the energy arguments in Eq. (3.26) indicates that the act of taking out \( r \) \( g \) quanta corresponds to adding \( s \) \( \bar{g} \) quanta. This duality further implies that, when setting up a quasiparticle description for fractional QHE edges, we can opt for (i) either quasiholes or edge electrons, with energies over the full range \(-\infty < \epsilon < \infty \) or (ii) a combination of both types of quasiparticles, each having positive energies only. The CFT finite size spectrum naturally leads to (i) (see Sec. III D below), while the analogy with Calogero-Sutherland quantum mechanics naturally leads to option (ii) (see Sec. V). When considering transport equations in Sec. VI, we shall be considering both alternatives.

D. The full spectrum

To complete our quasiparticle description for the \( \nu = 1/m \) edge, we need to specify how quasihole and electron operators can be combined to produce a complete basis for the chiral Hilbert space (3.1). We consider the following set of states:

\[ \begin{align*}
\[ G = \left( m_{M-1} \right)_{2m+Q-n_{M}} \cdots G = \left( m_{1} \right)_{2m+Q-n_{1}} \times \phi_{-2(2M-1)1/2m+Q-n_{M}} \cdots \phi_{-1/2m+Q-n_{1}}(Q) \]

with

\[ m_{M} > m_{M-1} > \cdots > m_{1} \geq 0, \]
\[ n_{M} > n_{M-1} > \cdots > n_{1} > 0 \quad \text{if} \quad Q < 0, \]

where \( Q \) denotes the lowest energy state of charge \( Q(e/m) \) with \( Q \) taking the values \( -(m-1), -(m-2), \ldots, -1, 0 \). Our claim is now that the collection (3.27) forms a basis of the chiral Hilbert space, so that

\[ Z^{1/m}(q) = \sum_{Q = -(m-1)}^{0} q^{O/2m} Z^{Q}(x = 1, Q) Z^{Q}(y = 1, Q), \]

(3.28)

where we added a factor \( q^{O/2m} \) to take into account the energy of the initial states and we denoted by \( Z^{Q} \) and \( Z^{Q} \) the generalizations of the partition functions (3.7) and (3.16) to the sector with vacuum charge \( Q \). They are naturally written as

\[ Z^{Q} = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} q^{(1/2m)(N^{2} + 2QN + 1 - Q_{0}Q)N}, \]
\[ Z^{Q} = \sum_{M=0}^{\infty} q^{(m/2)M^{2} - QM} \]

(3.29)

with \( (q)_{L} = \Pi_{n=1}^{L} (1 - q^{n}) \).

While the collection of states (3.27) looks rather complicated, it may be understood by considering the special case \( m = 1 \), which is a theory of two real free fermions of charge \( \pm 1 \). In this case there is only the \( Q = 0 \) vacuum and the allowed \( \phi \) and \( G \) modes reduce to the familiar free fermion modes \( \psi_{\pm 1/2 - n_{j}} \).

The right-hand side of Eq. (3.28) has the form of a so-called “fermionic sum formula,” and the equality of Eqs. (3.1) and (3.28) is a Rogers-Ramanujan identity. Similar identities relating “fermionic sums” to characters in conformal field theories have been studied in the literature, see, for example, Refs. 17–19. We would like to stress that the reasoning leading to these identities is very different between our approach and the work of Ref. 17: in our approach the identities express exclusion statistics properties of CFT fields, while in the work of Kedem et al., the identities are based on Bethe ansatz solutions of specific integrable lattice models. The first example where these two approaches have been explicitly connected is that of spinons in \( SU(2) \) CFT and in the associated Haldane-Shastry spin chains.

The important conclusion from the above is that, up to a finite sum over vacuum charges, the chiral partition sum factorizes as a product of a quasihole piece and an edge electron piece. This means that the two types of quasiparticles are independent or, in other words, that they do not have any mutual exclusion statistics. This then explains our asymmetric choice of quasiparticles. Had we chosen to work with fundamental quasiparticles of charges \( \pm e/m \), we would have come across nontrivial mutual statistics. All of this is nicely illustrated with the case \( m = 2 \) where we can opt for the “QHE basis” with independent quasiholes and edge electrons, or for a “spinon basis” built from charge \( \pm e/2 \) quanta, which are identical to the spinons of Refs. 20, 21, 18 and which have a nontrivial \( 2 \times 2 \) statistics matrix. The two choices have the quasihole states (3.2) (called “fully polarized spinon states” in Ref. 18) in common, but differ in the way negative charges are brought in.

The observations made in this section may be generalized to composite edges such as those of the so-called Jain series with filling fraction \( \nu = n/(np + 1) \). We refer to Appendix A for a brief discussion.

## IV. Equilibrium Quantities

### A. Specific heat

The specific heat of a conformal field theory is well-known to be proportional to the central charge \( c_{\text{CFT}} \)

\[ \frac{C(T)}{L} = \gamma \rho_{0} k_{B}^{2} T, \quad \gamma = \frac{\pi}{6} c_{\text{CFT}}, \]

(4.1)

where \( \rho_{0} = (\hbar \nu)^{-1} \) is the density of states per unit length. In Ref. 26 it was shown that the specific heat for \( g \)-on excitations, with energies in the full range \( -\infty < \epsilon < \infty \), is in agreement with the central charge \( c_{\text{CFT}} = 1 \) of the corresponding CFT. The same result should of course come out in a picture where we select positive energy electrons and positive energy quasi-holes as our fundamental excitations. In this picture the total energy carried by the edge quasiparticles takes the form

\[ E = \rho_{0} \int_{0}^{\infty} \! \! d\epsilon \epsilon n_{\epsilon} + \rho_{0} \int_{0}^{\infty} \! \! d\epsilon \epsilon n_{\epsilon} \]

(4.2)

and the corresponding result for the specific heat is

\[ \frac{C(T)}{L} = (g_{\epsilon} + g_{\epsilon}) \rho_{0} k_{B}^{2} T, \]

(4.3)

where

\[ g_{\epsilon} = \partial_{\epsilon} \int_{0}^{\infty} \! \! d\epsilon \epsilon n_{\epsilon}, \]
\[ g_{\epsilon} = \partial_{\epsilon} \int_{0}^{\infty} \! \! d\epsilon \epsilon n_{\epsilon}. \]

(4.4)

It takes an elementary application of the duality relation (3.26) to show that \( g_{\epsilon} = g_{\epsilon} \) and hence

\[ (g_{\epsilon} + g_{\epsilon}) = g_{\epsilon} = \frac{\pi}{6}, \]

(4.5)

confirming once again the value \( c_{\text{CFT}} = 1 \).

We would like to stress that the individual contributions \( g_{\epsilon} \) depend on \( g \) and that only for \( g = 1 \) (Majorana fermions) \( g_{\epsilon} \) and \( g_{\epsilon} \) are equal. An exact result is

\[ g_{\epsilon} = \frac{\pi}{6} \frac{L(\xi^{e})}{L(1)}, \]

(4.6)

with \( \xi \) a solution of the algebraic equation...
\[ \xi^e = 1 - \xi \]  
and \( L(z) \) the Rogers dilogarithm. This gives

\[
\gamma_{1/2, +} = \frac{\pi}{6} \frac{3}{5}, \quad \gamma_{2, +} = \frac{\pi}{6} \frac{2}{5},
\]

\[
\gamma_{1/3, +} = \frac{\pi}{6} 0.655, \ldots, \quad \gamma_{3, +} = \frac{\pi}{6} 0.344, \ldots, \text{ etc.} \quad (4.8)
\]

**B. Hall conductance**

While the specific heat coefficient \( \gamma \) is not sensitive to \( g \), the edge capacitance or, equivalently, the Hall conductance, obviously does depend on the filling fraction \( \nu \) and thereby on \( g \). In the quasiparticle formulation, this result comes out in a particularly elegant and simple manner.

Let us focus on a \( \nu = 1/m \) edge and take as our fundamental quasiparticles the edge electrons of charge \( q = -e \) and statistics \( g = m \) and the edge quasihole of charge \( q = e/m \) and statistics \( \tilde{g} = 1/m \), all quasiparticles having positive energies only.

Let us first consider zero temperature, where the Haldane distribution functions are step functions with maximal value \( n_g = 1/g \). If we now put a voltage \( V > 0 \) the quasiparticles will see their Fermi energy shift by the amount \( qV \) and all available states at energy up to \( -qV \) will be filled. The total charge \( \Delta Q(V, T = 0) \) that is carried by these excitations equals [we use the symbol \( \Delta Q \) for the total charge, while we keep \( Q \) for the reduced charge (in units of \( e/m \)]

\[
\Delta Q(V, T = 0) = \frac{1}{g} q \rho_0 (-qV), \quad (4.9)
\]

where the factor \( 1/g \) originates from the maximum of the distribution function and thus represents the statistics properties of the quasi-particles. Clearly, positive-\( q \) quasiparticles do not contribute to the response at \( T = 0, V > 0 \).

For the \( \nu = 1/m \) FQHE edges, the result for \( V > 0 \) is

\[
\Delta Q(V > 0, T = 0) = \frac{1}{m} (-e) \rho_0 (eV) = -\frac{e^2}{m} \rho_0 V \quad (4.10)
\]

while for \( V < 0 \)

\[
\Delta Q(V < 0, T = 0) = m \frac{e}{m} \rho_0 \left( -\frac{e}{m} V \right) = -\frac{e^2}{m} \rho_0 V. \quad (4.11)
\]

Clearly, the edge capacitance

\[
\frac{\Delta Q(V, T = 0)}{V} = -\frac{\rho_0 e^2}{m} \quad (4.12)
\]

is independent of the sign of \( V \) and we establish the correct value of the Hall conductance

\[
G = \frac{1}{\rho_0 \hbar} \left| \frac{\Delta Q}{V} \right| = \frac{1}{m} \frac{e^2}{\hbar}. \quad (4.13)
\]

To show that the results (4.12), (4.13) hold for finite temperatures as well we write the general expression

\[ \Delta Q(V, T) = -e \rho_0 \int_0^\infty d\epsilon n_m(\epsilon + eV) \]

\[ + \frac{e}{m} \rho_0 \int_0^\infty d\epsilon n_{1m}(\epsilon - \frac{e}{m} V) \quad (4.14) \]

and evaluate \( \partial_\beta \Delta Q(V, T) \). Using once again the duality relations (3.26), we derive

\[ \partial_\beta \Delta Q = \frac{e}{m} \rho_0 \partial_\beta \int_{-\infty}^\infty d\epsilon n_{1m}(\epsilon) \int_0^\infty dx \ln(x) \partial_\beta n_{1m}(x) \quad (4.15) \]

with \( x = e^{-\beta \epsilon} \). Using Eq. (3.9) the last line turns into

\[ \propto \lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \left[ \ln \lambda^+ (x) - n_{1m}(x) \ln(x) \right]_0^{\infty} \quad (4.16) \]

and by using the asymptotic behavior for \( x \to \infty \)

\[ \lambda^+(x) \approx x^m, \quad n_{1m} \approx m \quad (4.17) \]

we conclude that \( \partial_\beta \Delta Q \) is indeed zero.

**V. Jack Polynomials and Beyond**

The quasiparticle basis that we specified in Eq. (3.27) has some arbitrariness to it. For example, we could have chosen to act first with the CS system, which was first given by Iso in Ref. 23, will be nothing else than a CFT version of the Hamiltonian of so-called Calogero-Sutherland (CS) quantum mechanics with inverse square exchange. The analogy with CS quantum mechanics confirms the assignment of \( g = 1/m \) (\( g = m \)) exclusion statistics to \( \phi_{-x} \) and \( G_{-x} \), which are the CFT analogs of the particles and holes of the CS system. It also links the Jack polynomial eigenstates of the CS system to the quasiparticle basis of the FQHE edge theory.

We would like to stress that, in the context of the \( \nu = 1/m \) QHE edge, we do not assign physical significance to the operator \( H_{CS} \). We merely use this operator as a device to select an optimal set of multiparticle states, where “optimal” means in the sense of mutual orthogonality and of a
relatively simple form of matrix elements of physical operators between the states.

The need for improving the form of the multiparticle states (3.27) can be phrased in yet another way. Let us, as an example, consider a multiparticle state containing two $\phi$ quanta. If we were to work in position space, putting the two $\phi$ fields at positions $z_2$ and $z_1$, the exchange statistics properties of the field $\phi(z)$ would result in simple phase factors associated to the interchange $z_2 \leftrightarrow z_1$ in a correlator. Working instead in energy space, with $\phi$ quanta $\phi_{-z_2}$ and $\phi_{-z_1}$, we expect that the exclusion statistics properties of $\phi$ will imply simple behavior under the interchange $s_2 \leftrightarrow s_1$ in a correlator or form factor. In particular, one expects that interchanging $s_2 \leftrightarrow s_1$ in a form factor involving a state $|...s_2,s_1,...\rangle$ will result in a phase factor $e^{i(n/m)}$. We shall show below [see, e.g., Eq. (5.10)], that the form factors of the true “Jack polynomial” multiparticle states $|...s_2,s_1,...\rangle$ indeed satisfy this simple property, which is not valid for the naive multiparticle state $|...\phi_{-s_2}\phi_{-s_1},...\rangle$. In mathematical terms, the issue is to define the correct coproduct in a situation where, due to fractional statistics, the relevant symmetry is not a Lie algebra but rather a quantum group. In the context of the spinor basis for the $m=2$ theory, this quantum group is a so-called yangian, and it has been established that the “Jack-polynomial” coproduct agrees with the coproduct that is dictated by the quantum group symmetry.21,18

A. The operator $H_{CS}$

To specify the operator $H_{CS}$, we employ the free boson $\varphi(z)$, which already featured in our formula (1.2). Following Ref. 23, we define

\[
H_{CS} = \frac{m-1}{m} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (l+1)(i\sqrt{m} \partial \varphi)_{l-j-1}(i\sqrt{m} \partial \varphi)_{l+1} + \frac{1}{3m}[((i\sqrt{m} \partial \varphi)^3)]_0. \tag{5.1}
\]

where $\partial \varphi(z) = \sum_j (\partial \varphi) z^{-j-1}$ and where the second term on the right-hand side denotes the zero mode of the normal ordered product of three factors $(i\sqrt{m} \partial \varphi)(z)$. As a first result, one finds the following action of $H_{CS}$ on states containing a single quasiparticle of charge $e/m$ or $-e$: $H_{CS}\phi_{-1/2m-n}|0\rangle = h_\phi(n)\phi_{-1/2m-n}|0\rangle$, with

\[
h_\phi(n) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{3m} + mn(n + \frac{1}{m}) & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\
-\frac{m^2}{3} - n(n + m) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} 
\end{cases}
\]

and

\[
H_{CS}\phi_{-1/2m-n}|0\rangle = h_G(n)G_{-1/2m-n}|0\rangle,
\]

with

\[
h_G(n) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{3m} + mn(n + \frac{1}{m}) & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\
-\frac{m^2}{3} - n(n + m) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} 
\end{cases}
\]

We would like to stress that the fact that both $\phi_{-1/2m}$ and $G_{-1/2m}$ diagonalize $H_{CS}$ is quite nontrivial. If one evaluates $H_{CS}$ on any vertex operator $\phi^{(Q)}$ [of charge $Q(e/m)$], one typically runs into the field product $(T\phi^{(Q)})(z)$, where $T(z) = -\frac{1}{2}(\partial \varphi)^2(z)$ is the stress energy of the scalar field $\varphi$. Only for $Q=1$ and $Q=-m$ do such terms cancel and do we find that the quasiparticle states are eigenstates of $H_{CS}$.

We can now continue and construct eigenstates of $H_{CS}$ which contain several $\phi$ or $G$ quanta. What one then finds is that the simple product states such as Eq. (3.2) are not $H_{CS}$ eigenstates, but that they rather act as head states that need to be supplemented by a tail of subleading terms. As an example, one finds two-\(\phi\) eigenstates to be of the form

\[
|n_2,n_1\rangle = \phi_{-3/2m-n_2}\phi_{-1/2m-n_1}|0\rangle
\]

\[
+ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j [\phi_{-3/2m-n_2}\phi_{-1/2m-n_1}]|0\rangle \tag{5.3}
\]

with coefficients $a_j$ that can be computed. The connection of the coefficients $a_j$ with the Jack polynomials that feature in the eigenfunctions in CS quantum mechanics has been made explicit in Ref. 18. For the $H_{CS}$ eigenstate headed by the multiparticle state (3.27) (with unit coefficient), we shall use the notation

\[
|\{m_j\},\{n_j\}\rangle
\]

so that

\[
H_{CS}|\{m_j\},\{n_j\}\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{M} h_G[(j-1)m + m_j] + \sum_{i=1}^{N} h_\phi \left( \frac{1}{m}(i-1) + n_i \right) |\{m_j\},\{n_j\}\rangle. \tag{5.5}
\]

Clearly, the states (5.4), with the $m_j$ and $n_j$ as specified in Eq. (3.27), form a complete and orthogonal basis for the chiral Hilbert space.

B. Norms and form factors

Of importance for later calculations are the norms of the states (5.4) and the matrix elements of physical operators between these states. For the explicit evaluation of such quantities we used the connection with Jack polynomials, relying on results that are available in the mathematical literature28 (see also Ref. 29).

As an example, we focus on multi-quasi-hole states $|\{n_j\}\rangle$. To make contact with the Jack’s, we view the ordered set $\{n_j\}$ as a Young tableau $\lambda$. The norm squared of the state $|\{n_j\}\rangle$ then becomes

\[
|\langle\{n_j\}|\{n_j\}\rangle| = j_{\lambda^\prime}, \tag{5.6}
\]

where $\lambda^\prime$ is the Young tableau dual to $\lambda$ and the $j_\lambda$ are taken from Ref. 28. Explicit examples are
\[
\langle n_1 | n_1 \rangle = j_{1(n_1)} = \frac{(n_1 + 1/m - 1)(n_1 + 1/m - 2) \cdots 1/m}{n_1(n_1 - 1) \cdots 1},
\]
\[
\langle n_2, n_1 | n_2, n_1 \rangle = j_{1(n_2, n_1)} = \frac{(n_2 + 2/m - 1)(n_2 + 2/m - 2) \cdots (n_2 + 2/m - n_1)}{(n_2 + 1/m)(n_2 + 1/m - 1) \cdots (n_2 + 1/m - n_1 + 1)} \frac{(n_2 + 1/m - 1) \cdots 1/m}{n_1 \cdots 1},
\]

etc. In the limit where all \( n_i \gg 1 \), one finds
\[
\langle \{ n_i \} | \{ n_i \} \rangle \approx \prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{n_i^{1/m - 1}}{\Gamma(1/m)}.
\]

Of interest for the analysis of processes where electrons or holes tunnel into a FQHE edge is the form factor
\[
N(\{ n_m, \ldots, n_2, n_1 \} | G_{-m/2-n}^{\dagger} | 0) = f(n_m, \ldots, n_1) \delta_{n_m, n_m + \cdots + n_1},
\]
where the subscript \( N \) indicates that the state has been properly normalized. This form factor describes the amplitude by which an incoming hole (described by the operator \( G^\dagger \) and of charge \(+e\)) creates a state that has \( m \) quasiholes excited over the ground state. Explicit computation in the limit where all \( n_i \gg 1 \) yields (for simplicity we give the result for \( m = 3 \), see Appendix B for the general case)
\[
f(n_3, n_2, n_1) \approx \frac{\Gamma(1/3)^{1/2}}{\Gamma(2/3)} \left( \frac{(n_3 - n_2)(n_3 - n_1)(n_2 - n_1)}{n_3n_2n_1} \right)^{1/3}.
\]

Remarkably, this result takes the form of a “Jastrow factor” in the energy variables \( n_i \). The order \(-\frac{1}{3}\) zeros when two \( n_i \) come near reflect the \( g = \frac{1}{3} \) exclusion statistics properties of the fundamental quasiholes. Note that the expression (5.10) is invariant under global scalings of all energies \( n_i \). The form (5.10) of the form factor can be viewed as a limit in (chiral) CFT of a result on correlation functions for the “classical” model of quantum mechanics with inverse square exchange. This result was conjectured by Haldane\(^{30}\) and later proven in Refs. 13, 29.

### VI. TRANSPORT PROPERTIES

Having checked that the thermodynamics of FQHE edges is correctly reproduced in the quasiparticle language we are now ready to move on and consider transport properties. Following the setup of a number of recent experiments, we shall consider a situation where electrons (or holes) from a Fermi-liquid reservoir are allowed to tunnel into a \( \nu = 1/m \) FQHE edge. The dc \( I-V \) characteristic for this setup, which were first computed by Kane and Fisher\(^{31}\) (see also Ref. 32), show a crossover from a linear (thermal) regime into a power-law behavior at high voltages and thus presents a clear fingerprint of the Luttinger-liquid features of the FQHE edge. The experimental results from Ref. 8 are in agreement with these predictions. (See Ref. 2 for a further theoretical analysis of these data.)

The calculations by Kane and Fisher were based on bosonization and on the Keldysh formalism for nonequilibrium transport. Our goal here is to see if we can reproduce their results in an approach directly based on the edge quasiparticle formalism. Before going into this, we would like to stress that the “thermodynamic Bethe ansatz (TBA) quasiparticles” behind the approach of Ref. 2 are quite different from what we have here, the most important distinction being that the TBA quasiparticles are a combination of degrees of freedom of both sides of the tunneling barrier; they do not exist for a \( \nu = 1/m \) edge in isolation.

If the \( \nu = 1/m \) FQHE edge were to behave as a Fermi liquid, we could calculate charge transport across a barrier using a simple (Boltzmann) kinetic equation of the form
\[
I(V, T) \propto e \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\epsilon W[f_1(\epsilon - eV)F_2(\epsilon) - F_1(\epsilon - eV)f_2(\epsilon)],
\]
with \( f(\epsilon) \) and \( F(\epsilon) \) the Fermi-Dirac distributions for electrons and holes, respectively, and \( W \) the probability for an electron or hole of energy \( \epsilon \) to cross the barrier and enter the edge. As is well known, this Boltzmann equation leads to an ohmic (linear in \( V \)) and temperature-independent current.

Now that we have seen that the non-Fermi-liquid features of the \( 1/m \) edge can be captured via the statistics of the edge quasiparticles we can try to write a “Boltzmann equation” for transport to and from FQHE edges by putting in appropriate generalizations \( h(\epsilon) \) and \( H(\epsilon) \) of the quantities \( f_2(\epsilon) \) and \( F_2(\epsilon) \), respectively. Before giving precise results (in Sec. VI A below) we shall consider a “naive” expression based on the intuition from the quasiparticle approach. In first approximation, the factor \( h(\epsilon) \), which describes the probability for an electron to leave a \( \nu = 1/m \) edge, comprises two effects.

1. A correlation effect, which can be traced to the nontrivial scaling dimension of the edge electron operator [see, for example, Eq. (1.3)]. At zero temperature, this is the so-called tunneling density of states
\[
A(\epsilon) \propto \epsilon^{m-1}.
\]

2. A temperature dependence related to the exclusion statistics properties of the edge electrons. As we have seen, the natural factor associated to the presence of an edge electron is the distribution function
\[
n_{g-m}(\epsilon).
\]

Combining these factors, we come to the naive expressions
\[
h^{(0)}(\epsilon) = \epsilon^{m-1}n_{g-m}(\epsilon),
\]
and by similar reasoning we obtain

$$H^{(0)}(\epsilon) = e^{\mu \epsilon} n_{g=m}(\epsilon),$$

where the thermal factor $e^{\mu \epsilon} n_{g=m}(\epsilon)$ has been dictated by the requirement of detailed balance. (This same thermal factor was proposed in Ref. 33, which proposes a generalization of the Boltzmann equation to the case of fractional statistics. In our view, the proposal of Ref. 33 is incomplete, as it ignores the correlation effects which are unavoidable for quasiparticles obeying fractional exclusion statistics.)

One quickly finds that the Boltzmann equation with factors $h^{(0)}$ and $H^{(0)}$ is not exact at finite temperature. In Sec. VI B we shall further comment on this equation and argue that it can be viewed as a first stage in a systematic approach. Before we come to that, we shall in the next section present a particularly simple derivation of the exact perturbative $I$-$V$ characteristics for tunneling from a Fermi liquid to a $\nu = 1/2$ FQHE edge. This derivation uses the idea of a kinetic equation, together with the algebraic properties of the edge electrons.

### A. Kinetic equation for interedge transport

A careful derivation, based directly on the form of the tunneling Hamiltonian [we write $\Psi$ for the edge electron operator (denoted by $\Psi_\epsilon$ in Sec. II and by $G$ in Sec. III), and we indicate the filling fraction by an explicit subscript]

$$H_{\text{int}} = \int d\epsilon [\Psi^\dagger_{\nu=1/3}(\epsilon) \Psi_{\nu=1/3}(\epsilon) + \text{H.c.}],$$

leads to the following kinetic equation (see, e.g., Ref. 32):

$$I(V,T) \propto e^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\epsilon \left[ f(\epsilon - eV) H(\epsilon) - F(\epsilon - eV) h(\epsilon) \right],$$

where $h, H$ are one particle Green’s functions

$$H(\epsilon) = \langle \Psi^\dagger_{\nu=1/3}(\epsilon) \Psi_{\nu=1/3}(\epsilon) \rangle_{V,T},$$

$$h(\epsilon) = \langle \Psi^\dagger_{\nu=1/3}(\epsilon) \Psi_{\nu=1/3}(\epsilon) \rangle_{V,T}$$

for edge electrons in the $\nu = 1/2$ FQHE edge, taken at $V = 0$. Note that the expression (6.7) is perturbative as it gives the lowest nontrivial order in the parameter $t$.

The quantities $H(\epsilon)$ and $h(\epsilon)$ can be determined by using two simple observations. The first is that of detailed balance, which can be phrased as the requirement that at zero voltage there should be no current flowing. This fixes the ratio of $H(\epsilon)$ and $h(\epsilon)$ according to

$$H(\epsilon) = e^{\mu \epsilon} h(\epsilon).$$

The second observation uses the algebraic properties of the edge electron operator, which include the anticommutation relation

$$\{ \Psi^\dagger_{\nu=1/2}(\epsilon), \Psi_{\nu=1/2}(\epsilon') \}$$

$$= \frac{2\pi}{L} \frac{1}{\rho_0} e^2 \delta(\epsilon - \epsilon') + \frac{E}{\rho_0} + 3(\epsilon + \epsilon') \frac{\Delta Q}{e\rho_0}.$$
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APPENDIX A: COMPOSITE EDGES—JAIN SERIES

In this appendix we briefly describe a quasiparticle formulation of the composite edge theories corresponding to the filling fractions $\nu=n/(np+1)$ of the Jain series. These edge theories can be written as a collection of $n$ free bosons, coupled via the topological $K$ matrix of the effective bulk Chern-Simons theory.\(^1\) In Ref. 34 it was shown that the effective low-energy CFT for particles satisfying Haldane statistics with $n \times n$ statistical matrix $G$ is a $c=n$ CFT with topological matrix $K= G^{-1}$. Inverting the argument we expect that the fundamental excitations of the CFT for QHE matrix $K$ can be interpreted in terms of pseudoparticles satisfying fractional exclusion statistics with matrix $G= K^{-1}$.

An alternative and more natural approach to the Jain series was to first perform a change of basis which separates a single charged mode from a set of $n-1$ neutral modes.\(^{35,36}\) The latter are governed by an $\hat{su}(n)$ affine Kac-Moody symmetry, and can be treated separately. An option is to view them as a set of $n$ free parafermions in the sense of Gentile, see Ref. 6. The CFT for the remaining charged mode is of the type that we described in this paper, with $g = \nu$. The entire edge theory is then described by a single (charged) $g$-on and a set of $\hat{su}(n)$ degrees of freedom.

As an example of how the chiral Hilbert space works out, here is the example of $\nu=2/5$, with matrix

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (A1)$$

This theory has two independent $U(1)$ affine Kac-Moody symmetries, giving a factor $[\prod_{l=1}^{\nu} l(1-q^l)]^{-2}$ in the partition function. The various charge sectors are labeled by pairs of integers $(l_1, l_2)$, the energy being given by $E(l_1, l_2) = \frac{1}{16}(3l_1^2-4l_1+3l_2^2)$ [this is the bilinear form defined by the inverse of the $K$ matrix (A1)]. Thus

$$Z_{\nu=2/5}(q) = \sum_{(l_1, l_2)} \frac{q^{E(l_1, l_2)}}{[\prod_{l=1}^{\nu} l(1-q^l)]^2}. \quad (A2)$$

Under the rearrangement into $\hat{su}(2)$ times $U(1)$, the combination $\frac{1}{2}(l_2-l_1)$ plays the role of the $\hat{su}(2)$ spin, while $l_1 + l_2$ is the charge under the new $U(1)$. The character identity will be\(^36\)

$$Z_{\nu=2/5}(q) = X_{j=0}^{su(2)}(q) Z_{even}(q) + X_{j=1/2}^{su(2)}(q) Z_{odd}(q), \quad (A3)$$

where the subscript even (odd) on $Z_{st}$ means that we restrict to the states with total $U(1)$ charge $Q$ even or odd. Simple expressions for the $su(2)$ characters are

$$X_{j=0}^{su(2)}(q) = \sum_{m=0}^{\nu} \frac{q^{1/2(m-n)^2}}{(q)_m(q)_n};$$

$$X_{j=1/2}^{su(2)}(q) = \sum_{m=0}^{\nu} \frac{q^{1/2(m-n)^2}}{(q)_m(q)_n}. \quad (A4)$$

For the general case with $\nu=n/(np+1)$, the charged sector is described by a free boson CFT at compactification radius $R^2 = \nu^{-1}$, which we write as $R^2 = r/s$. The chiral partition sum is

$$Z_{st}^{su}(q) = \sum_{Q = 0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{Q^2/2r}}{\prod_{l=1}^{\nu} (1-q^l)}, \quad (A5)$$

and restrictions, such as the even/odd in Eq. (A3) are taken into account by restricting the charge quantum number $Q$.

Our fundamental charged edge quasi-particles will now be the primary fields of $U(1)$ charges $+ s$ and $- r$; we shall write the creation and annihilation modes of these fields as $\phi_s$, and $G_{-s}$, respectively. Note that for $s \neq 1$ the operators $G_{-s}$ are not the physical edge electrons as the latter can only be written by including nontrivial factors from the neutral sector.

In close analogy with our analysis in Sec. III D, we can now establish that the states

$$G_{-(2M-1)r/2r+Q/s-m_M} \cdots G_{-r/2r+Q/s-m_1} \times \phi_{-(2N-1)s/2s-r} \cdots \phi_{-s/2s-r} \phi_{r/2r-Q/r-n}|Q\rangle$$

with

$$m_M \geq m_{M-1} \geq \cdots \geq m_1 \geq 0, \quad n_N \geq n_{N-1} \geq \cdots \geq n_1,$$

and

$$n_1 \geq 0 \quad \text{if} \quad Q \geq 0,$$

$$n_1 > 0 \quad \text{if} \quad Q < 0, \quad (A6)$$

with $Q = -(r-s), \ldots, +(s-1)$, spanning the chiral Hilbert space (A5) of the charged boson. The total energy of the lowest-energy state in the charge sector $Q$ having particle numbers $M$ and $N$ for the quanta of type $G$ and $\phi$, respectively, equals

$$E(Q; M, N) = \frac{Q^2}{2r s} + \frac{r}{2s} M^2 - \frac{Q}{s} M + \frac{s}{2r} N^2 + \left[ \frac{Q}{r} + \delta_{Q<0} \right] N \quad (A7)$$

and leads to the following expression for the chiral partition sum:

$$Z_{st}(q) = \sum_{Q=-(r-s)}^{(s-1)} \sum_{M,N \geq 0} \frac{q^{E(Q; M, N)}}{(q)_M(q)_N}. \quad (A8)$$

The equality of the expressions (A5) and (A8) is an identity of the Rogers-Ramanujan type (see Refs. 17–19 for some similar identities).

In the case $p<0$, the Jain series QHE edge exhibits counterflowing edge modes and it has been claimed that a disorder-driven fixed point dominates the physics.\(^{37,35}\) It will be most interesting to analyze this scenario in a quasiparticle formulation.

APPENDIX B: FORM FACTOR FOR GENERAL $M$

We briefly explain the exact evaluation of the form factor $f(m_m, \ldots, m_1)$ as defined in Eq. (5.9). Let us consider the special case $m = 2$ first. In that case the "hole operator"
G(\phi) has conformal dimension 1 and may be identified with one of the currents of the affine Kac-Moody algebra $\widehat{su}(2)_L$. By exploiting the OPE

$$\phi(z)\phi(w) = (z-w)^{-1/2} [G(w) + O(z-w)]$$

one obtains

$$G(w) = \oint \frac{dz}{2\pi i} (z-w)^{-3/2} \phi(z)\phi(w).$$

We also have

$$\phi(z)\phi(w)\mid 0\rangle = (z-w)^{1/2} \sum_{n_2,n_1} P_{n_2,n_1}^{(-1/2)}(z,w)\mid n_2,n_1\rangle,$$

where $P_{n_1}^{(-1/2)}(z,w)$ are the appropriate Jack polynomials. Combining the above, we obtain

$$G(w)\mid 0\rangle = \sum_{n_2,n_1} P_{n_2,n_1}^{(-1/2)}(w,w)\mid n_2,n_1\rangle$$

and it follows that

$$\delta_{n,n_1} G_{n_1}^{-1}(0\rangle = \delta_{n,n_2} + \frac{1}{12} (f_{2n_1\nu_1 - n_1})^{1/2} P_{n_2,n_1}^{(-1/2)} (1,1),$$

with $j_\lambda$ as in Eq. (5.6). For general $m$ one obtains a similar result in terms of Jack polynomials with label $(-1/m)$. Using the explicit result\textsuperscript{28,29}

$$P_{[n]}^{(-1/m)}(1,1) = \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{\Gamma(1/m)}{\Gamma(1-i/m)} \prod_{i<j} \frac{\Gamma(n_i - n_j + (j-i)/m)}{\Gamma(n_i - n_j + (j-i)/m)}$$

together with the result (5.8) for the $j_\lambda$, we derive the following asymptotic form for $n_i \gg 1$:

$$f(n_1, \ldots, n_m) = \frac{\Gamma(1/m)^{m/2}}{\Pi_{i=0}^{m-1} \Gamma(1-i/m)} \prod_{i<j} (n_i - n_j)^{1/m}.$$

The simple Jastrow form of this form factor is a clear indication that in the limit $n_i \gg 1$ a much simpler derivation, along the lines of “bosonization in momentum space” should be possible.

---