



## UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

### Evolution of reproductive isolation of *spodoptera frugiperda*

Groot, A.T.; Unbehend, M.; Hänniger, S.; Juárez, M.L.; Kost, S.; Heckel, D.G.

**Publication date**

2016

**Document Version**

Final published version

**Published in**

Pherome communication in moths

**License**

Article 25fa Dutch Copyright Act

[Link to publication](#)

**Citation for published version (APA):**

Groot, A. T., Unbehend, M., Hänniger, S., Juárez, M. L., Kost, S., & Heckel, D. G. (2016). Evolution of reproductive isolation of *spodoptera frugiperda*. In J. D. Allison, & R. T. Cardé (Eds.), *Pherome communication in moths: Evolution, behavior, and application* (pp. 291-300). University of California Press. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.1525/j.ctv1xxxzm>

**General rights**

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

**Disclaimer/Complaints regulations**

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

## Evolution of Reproductive Isolation of *Spodoptera frugiperda*

ASTRID T. GROOT, MELANIE UNBEHEND, SABINE HÄNNIGER,  
MARÍA LAURA JUÁREZ, SILVIA KOST, and DAVID G. HECKEL

### INTRODUCTION

#### ARE THE TWO STRAINS REALLY HOST STRAINS?

Host associations based on mitochondrial COI polymorphism  
Host associations based on genome-wide AFLP markers  
Host association based on mitochondrial and nuclear markers

#### BEHAVIORAL ISOLATION MECHANISM 1: TIMING OF REPRODUCTIVE ACTIVITY

#### BEHAVIORAL ISOLATION MECHANISM 2: VARIATION IN SEXUAL COMMUNICATION

Disentangling geographic from strain-specific variation

Variation in pheromone composition within the strains  
Male response to strain-specific pheromone  
Importance of different pheromone components for male  
attraction in the field

#### LEVEL AND DIRECTION OF HYBRIDIZATION BETWEEN THE TWO STRAINS

#### POSSIBLE EVOLUTIONARY SCENARIOS ON REPRODUCTIVE ISOLATION IN THE TWO STRAINS

#### CONCLUSION

#### REFERENCES CITED

### Introduction

*Spodoptera frugiperda*, the fall armyworm, is a noctuid moth occurring in North and South America with two host strains (a corn-strain and a rice-strain) identified in the 1980s (Pashley et al. 1985; Pashley 1986). These two strains were originally characterized by a polymorphism in an esterase allozyme marker and three other strain-biased protein variants in larvae collected from cornfields and rice paddies in Puerto Rico (Pashley et al. 1985; Pashley 1986). Since then, several additional strain-biased or strain-diagnostic molecular markers have been identified. The two strains differ in mitochondrial DNA sequences in the cytochrome oxidase I (COI) and NADH dehydrogenase 1 (ND1) genes (Pashley 1989; Pashley and Ke 1992; Lu and Adang 1996; Levy et al. 2002; Meagher and Gallo-Meagher 2003; Prowell et al. 2004; Nagoshi et al. 2006a; Machado et al. 2008; Juárez et al. 2012). There are also strain-biased and strain-specific amplified fragment length polymorphisms (AFLP) (McMichael and Prowell 1999; Busato et al. 2004; Prowell et al. 2004; Clark et al. 2007; Martinelli et al. 2007; Juárez et al. 2012, 2014), restriction length fragment polymorphisms (RFLP) (Lu et al. 1992), a so-called Frugiperda Rice (FR) repetitive nuclear DNA sequence, present in high copy number in the rice-strain and mostly lower copy number in the corn-strain (Lu et al. 1994; Nagoshi and Meagher 2003b;

Nagoshi et al. 2008), and nucleotide polymorphisms within the triose phosphate isomerase gene (Tpi, Nagoshi 2010).

Recently, sex pheromone differences have been found among populations of the two strains (Groot et al. 2008; Lima and McNeil 2009; Unbehend et al. 2013). However, these differences were not consistent among studies, suggesting that geographic variation may be confounded with strain-specific variation, or that pheromones may vary within strains as well. The relative importance of the pheromone differences between the two strains still needs to be established, i.e., are all pheromone compounds in the pheromone glands behaviorally important and/or are males of the two strains differentially attracted to the different pheromone blends? Since other physiological, developmental, and behavioral differences have been found among the strains (Pashley and Martin 1987; Pashley 1988b; Pashley et al. 1992, 1995; Veenstra et al. 1995; Meagher et al. 2004, 2011; Schöfl et al. 2009, 2011; Groot et al. 2010; Meagher and Nagoshi 2012), this overview integrates strain-specific variation in sexual communication (variation in the pheromone gland composition as well as variation in male response) with other possible pre-mating and postmating barriers that likely contribute to isolation of the two strains. First, we will show that the naming of the two strains is somewhat misleading, as the host specificity of the two strains is not as clear-cut as the names suggest. Then we

will focus on the two types of prezygotic isolating mechanisms that have been demonstrated to differ between the two strains: (a) the diel pattern of reproductive activity and (b) pheromone signal and response traits. In addition to the pre-mating barriers, we also consider postmating barriers that may isolate the two strains. Finally, based on recent findings, we discuss a possible evolutionary scenario for the evolution of the two strains of *S. frugiperda*.

### Are the Two Strains Really Host Strains?

Allozyme differences at five loci, including one apparently strain-specific esterase allele, provided the first evidence of partial genetic differentiation of populations collected from adjacent cornfields and rice fields in Puerto Rico and Louisiana (Pashley et al. 1985; Pashley 1986). Differences in mitochondrial DNA RFLP patterns were also found among these populations (Pashley 1988a). Subsequently, the same genetic differences were found in populations collected from other host plants and localities, and used to assign them to either the corn-strain or the rice-strain. The so-called corn-strain was found to infest mainly corn (i.e., maize, *Zea mays*), sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* subsp. *bicolor*), and cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*), whereas the so-called rice-strain was found mostly in rice (*Oryza sativa*), sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum*), and grasses such as Johnson grass (*Sorghum halepense*) and Bermuda grass (*Cynodon dactylon*). Genetic differentiation between these two strains has been confirmed in several regions in North and South America, using different molecular markers. The host associations of the two strains are summarized below.

#### Host Associations Based on Mitochondrial COI Polymorphism

Among all molecular markers available to distinguish the two strains, the most widely used target is mitochondrial DNA. For example, the two strains show differences in their COI gene and can be identified by a polymorphism in the restriction sites for *SacI* and *AccI* (both present in rice-strain and absent in the corn-strain), and for *HinfI*, *BsmI*, and *MspI* (all present in the corn-strain and absent in the rice-strain). The polymorphisms in *SacI* and *MspI* are used in most studies (Lu and Adang 1996; Levy et al. 2002; Meagher and Gallo-Meagher 2003; Nagoshi et al. 2006a). Based on the restriction site polymorphisms mentioned above, especially in the double digestion with *SacI* and *MspI*, the identity of the strains has been evaluated for different habitats and it has been demonstrated that the association is not always absolute.

Approximately 80% of individuals collected from corn habitats were identified as corn-strain and the remaining 20% as rice-strain (Pashley 1989; Lu and Adang 1996; Levy et al. 2002; Nagoshi et al. 2006a; Nagoshi et al. 2007b). However, exceptions from this percentage of distribution have been found as well. Prowell et al. (2004) identified samples collected from corn predominantly (i.e., 50% or more of the individuals) as rice-strain in French Guiana and in Louisiana. Nagoshi et al. (2006a) also found mostly rice-strain individuals in a sorghum field in Texas, which is considered a corn-strain habitat. In the case of larvae collected from rice fields, up to 95% of individuals have been identified as rice-strain (Nagoshi and Meagher 2003a, 2004; Machado et al. 2008; Velez-Arango et al. 2008). Recently, Juárez et al. (2012, 2014)

did not find a consistent pattern between the two strains and their respective host plants (especially, in rice habitats), when using COI markers in South American populations.

Some of these shifts in strain distributions may be due to seasonal and temporal variation in the distributions of the two strains and in the distribution of available host plants or different migration patterns of the two strains (Nagoshi et al. 2007a). For example, Nagoshi et al. (2007c) showed that the corn-strain predominated in collections from sorghum in the fall (March–June) in Brazil, but was less common in spring collections (September–November), while in Florida rice-strain larvae predominated in collections made from sorghum in the fall (September–November) and corn-strain larvae were mostly present in the spring season in sorghum (February–April). In Louisiana, Pashley et al. (1992) found that corn-strain populations were detected in the cornfields in the spring, while rice-strain populations remained at low density on various grasses until late summer when they increased in number. Together, these findings suggest that the migration pattern between the two strains may not be the same (Nagoshi and Meagher 2004).

In figure 20.1, we provide an overview of collections of the fall armyworm over a period of 27 years (from 1983 until 2010) from a number of different habitats. In 17 of 20 populations sampled from predominantly rice habitats (rice and pasture/Bermuda grass), most individuals were identified as rice-strain, whereas in 29 of 44 populations habitats (corn, cotton, and sorghum), most individuals were identified as corn-strain. Although mitochondrial markers generally show a strong correlation between strain type and host plant, in many of collections this association is lacking, especially in predominantly corn habitats (see figure 20.1).

#### Host Associations Based on Genome-Wide AFLP Markers

Although some studies have found a close association between the two strains and their host plants using AFLP markers (e.g., McMichael and Prowell 1999 and Busato et al. 2004 in the United States and Brazil, respectively), others have not (e.g., Martinelli et al. 2007 in Mexico, Brazil, Argentina, and the United States). Recently, we found that individuals from populations collected from corn plants from several locations in Argentina, Paraguay, and Brazil tended to cluster together and showed a high degree of homogeneity in AFLP markers (Juárez et al. 2014). This finding thus contrasts the trend found in the COI marker, where 15 out of 44 populations collected from corn (see figure 20.1) showed a significant portion of rice-strain individuals. Individuals from the populations collected from rice from several locations in Argentina and Paraguay formed three distinct groups and showed a much higher level of heterogeneity in their AFLP markers (Juárez et al. 2014). Overall, individuals collected from corn-strain habitats were clustered separately from individuals collected from rice-strain habitats, although there were some marked exceptions (Juárez et al. 2014).

#### Host Association Based on Mitochondrial and Nuclear Markers

Combining mitochondrial and nuclear markers with their different modes of inheritance, the rate and directionality of




FIGURE 20.1 Distribution of *Spodoptera frugiperda* host strains in different habitats and geographic regions. Each bar shows the percentage of the identified strains per collection, based on mitochondrial markers. Habitats are indicated on the right. Numbers in [ ] indicate total number of collection.

ABBREVIATIONS: MS, Mississippi; BR, Brazil; AR, Argentina; LA, Louisiana; FL, Florida; PY, Paraguay; PR, Puerto Rico; NC, North Carolina; GA, Georgia; EC, Ecuador; TX, Texas; MX, Mexico; PA, Pennsylvania; TR, Trinidad; LC, larval collection; MT, male trapping experiments.

DATA FROM: \*C. Blanco; \*\*S. Hänniger and M. Unbehend; \*\*\*M.L. Juárez; \*\*\*\*G. Schöfl; (1) Juárez et al. 2012; (2) Machado et al. 2008; (3) Meagher and Gallo-Meagher 2003; (4) Nagoshi et al. 2006a; (5) Nagoshi et al. 2009; (6) Pashley 1989; (7) Pashley et al. 1992; (8) Prowell et al. 2004.

hybridization between the strains in the field can be identified. Prowell et al. (2004) analyzed populations from Louisiana, Florida, Puerto Rico, Guadeloupe, and French Guiana with different molecular markers (mitochondrial haplotype, esterase genotypes, AFLPs) and reported that 16% of the samples were potential hybrids due to discordance for at least one marker. The authors found evidence of crosses between the strains in both directions: when using mtDNA and esterase markers, 66% of the hybrids were inferred to be derived from rice-strain females mated with corn-strain males, i.e., RC hybrids, while in multi-locus comparison using the three markers, 54% of the hybrids were RC hybrids and 46% were from the reciprocal cross, i.e., CR hybrids. In addition, Prowell et al. (2004) found that these hybrids occurred mostly in the corn habitats. Similar results were found by Saldamando and Vélez-Arango (2010) with Colombian populations. In contrast, Nagoshi and Meagher (2003b) and Nagoshi et al. (2006b), using mitochondrial haplotypes and the nuclear FR tandem-repeat sequence, found 40–56% of all males collected in pheromone traps having the RC configuration, while only 3–3.5% were CR hybrids, and hybrids occurred in both corn and rice habitats.

Recently, Nagoshi (2010) identified 10 polymorphic diagnostic sites in the Z-linked (sex-linked) triose phosphate isomerase (*Tpi*) gene that can be associated with the corn- or the rice-strain of the fall armyworm (as in most Lepidoptera females are the heterogametic sex, ZW). With this marker, Nagoshi (2012) analyzed 12 populations (9 collected from corn and 3 from rice) with the COI marker, and then reanalyzed the same samples with the *Tpi* marker, and found that 60% and 7% of the COI-R typed individuals were *Tpi*-C in the corn and rice habitats, respectively (i.e., RC hybrids). The reverse constellation, COI-C and *Tpi*-R (i.e., CR hybrids), occurred in 8% and 22% of the COI-C typed individuals from corn and rice habitats, respectively. Like Nagoshi (2012), when we combined the COI marker with the Z-linked *Tpi* marker, we also found discordance between the mitochondrial and nuclear markers (43%) (Juárez et al. 2014). These configurations consisted of four different combinations: RC (30% of all hybrids), CR (7% of all hybrids), CI (20% of all hybrids), and RI (42% of all hybrids). The I stands for a *Tpi*-intermediate haplotype, i.e., individuals in which corn and rice SNPs were present in similar proportions or heterozygous individuals in which SNPs showed the two alternative nucleotides. The latter individuals must be hybrid males, as in Lepidoptera the females carry only one copy of the Z-linked *Tpi* gene. Nagoshi (2010) and Nagoshi et al. (2012) also found this intermediate configuration in a very low frequency and proposed that they may represent hybrid individuals as well.

In summary, both types of hybrids seem to occur in nature, although recent studies suggest that the RC-hybrids are more common. These hybrids are mostly found in corn habitats, while other hybrids (CR, CI, RI) are mostly found in rice habitats. Overall, the two strains seem to be predominantly found in the habitats from where they were originally described, but significant exceptions have been found with all markers used. Therefore, our preliminary conclusion is that divergence between the strains is not likely due to host plant specialization, or at least not alone. We hypothesize that an interaction between ecological and behavioral mechanisms has contributed to reproductive isolation between the two strains (Groot et al. 2010).

## Behavioral Isolation Mechanism 1: Timing of Reproductive Activity

Differences in the diel pattern of mating activity between strains would create a powerful barrier to hybridization. Strain-specific differences in the timing of reproductive activity of the two strains have been consistently found, independent of the geographic origin of the strains (Pashley et al. 1992; Schöfl et al. 2009, 2011): the corn-strain is active early in the scotophase, while the rice-strain is active late in the scotophase. Schöfl et al. (2009) showed that different reproductive behaviors (calling, copulation, and oviposition) are differentially inherited and thus under complex genetic control. The coordinated timing difference between the two strains in reproductive activity and general locomotor activity suggested the involvement of the circadian clock.

When testing whether allochronic separation causes assortative mating in the laboratory, Schöfl et al. (2011) found an interaction between strain-specific timing of mating and time-independent intrinsic preferences that influenced the mating choice of both strains. Furthermore, mate choice changed over time in consecutive nights and was influenced by the timing of introduction of the mating partners (Schöfl et al. 2011). In general, females were more restricted in their mate preference than males and approximately 30% of the isolation between both strains was generated by female mate preference, suggesting the involvement of a male-specific sex pheromone that mediates close-range courtship behavior (Schöfl et al. 2011). Also, this mate-choice experiment indicates that the level of assortative mating caused by allochronic separation alone is not strong enough to cause reproductive isolation between strains.

Although the importance of differential timing of reproduction is probably not as strong as suggested by Pashley et al. (1992), the consistent timing differences between the strains, independent of the geographic origin, suggest that this behavioral difference could have a stronger influence as prezygotic isolation barrier than host plant choice. Therefore, we are tempted to argue that both strains are “timing strains” rather than “host strains.”

## Behavioral Isolation Mechanism 2: Variation in Sexual Communication

In the early 1990s, Pashley et al. (1992) found that males of both strains showed a slight preference for females of the same strain, 60–65% of corn- and rice-strain males being attracted to corn- and rice-strain females, respectively. These findings indicate that in addition to the differences in timing of reproduction, pheromone differences might be important for mate choice and cause assortative mating in the two strains, although Pashley et al. (1992) suggested that “pheromone chemistry may play a small role (if any) in strain separation.” The sex pheromone composition of *Spodoptera frugiperda* females has been studied in different geographic regions (Mitchell et al. 1985; Tumlinson et al. 1986; Descoins et al. 1988; Batista-Pereira et al. 2006; Groot et al. 2008; Lima and McNeil 2009; Unbehend et al. 2013). While earlier studies mainly focused on the general composition of the female sex pheromone without distinguishing the two strains, later studies investigated strain-specific differences in the female pheromone composition (Groot et al. 2008; Lima and McNeil

2009; Unbehend et al. 2013). In general, the fall armyworm sex pheromone consists of the primary sex pheromone component Z9-14Ac and the critical secondary sex pheromone Z7-12Ac (Tumlinson et al. 1986; Batista-Pereira et al. 2006; Groot et al. 2008; Lima and McNeil 2009). The behavioral effect of other secondary compounds in the female gland remains unclear (Tumlinson et al. 1986; Andrade et al. 2000; Fleischer et al. 2005; Groot et al. 2008; Unbehend et al. 2013). However, twice as many males were caught when Z11-16Ac or Z9-12Ac were added to the binary blend (Fleischer et al. 2005), suggesting at least a synergistic effect of these compounds. It has been shown that corn- and rice-strain females exhibit strain-specific differences in their relative amount of Z7-12Ac (relative to the amounts of other gland compounds), as well as in the relative amount of Z9-14Ac, Z11-16Ac, and Z9-12Ac, although the type of variation found seems to vary in different geographic regions (Groot et al. 2008; Lima and McNeil 2009; Unbehend et al. 2013).

### Disentangling Geographic from Strain-Specific Variation

Extractions of the pheromone glands of females from a colony, which was initiated with larvae collected in Florida, revealed that rice-strain females produce significantly higher relative amounts of Z7-12Ac and Z9-12Ac, and lower relative amounts of Z11-16Ac, than corn-strain females (Groot et al. 2008). However, laboratory rice-strain females originating from Louisiana contained lower relative amounts of the major component Z9-14Ac, as well as larger relative amounts of Z7-12Ac and Z11-16Ac, compared to laboratory corn-strain females from Louisiana (Lima and McNeil 2009). Taken together, only Z7-12Ac showed consistent strain-specific variation in females from Florida and Louisiana (Groot et al. 2008; Lima and McNeil 2009; Unbehend et al. 2013). Apparently, the selection pressure on Z7-12Ac is similar in both regions but different between the two strains. The inconsistent variation in the major sex pheromone component Z9-14Ac between the two regions suggests geographic rather than strain-specific variation. The importance of Z11-16Ac and Z9-12Ac in the attraction of fall armyworm males is not completely understood yet, but their variation suggests that these components are not under strong stabilizing selection.

Geographic variation in the strain-specific pheromone composition of females from Florida and Louisiana may be related to different haplotype profiles in Floridian and Louisianan corn-strain populations. There seem to be two main migration routes of the fall armyworm, based on haplotype patterns in the corn-strain (Nagoshi et al. 2008; Nagoshi et al. 2010). These patterns suggest an eastern migration route, i.e., populations originating from Puerto Rico and Florida move northward to Georgia, and a western migration route, i.e., populations from Texas move northeastward to Louisiana, Mississippi, Alabama, and Pennsylvania (Nagoshi et al. 2008; Nagoshi et al. 2009). If no other geographic effects influence the female pheromone, then pheromone profiles of females from Texas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Alabama, and Pennsylvania may be more similar to each other than to pheromone profiles of females from Florida, Puerto Rico, and Georgia.

In fall armyworm females from Brazil, another minor sex pheromone component, E7-12Ac, was demonstrated to be attractive to Brazilian males in the field (Batista-Pereira et al.

2006). Addition of E7-12Ac to binary blends, containing Z9-14Ac and Z7-12Ac, significantly increased the number of males captured in Brazil, i.e., from an average of 70 males per trap to an average of 100 males per trap (Batista-Pereira et al. 2006). The fact that E7-12Ac has not been found in females from Florida, Louisiana, or French Guyana (Descoins et al. 1988; Groot et al. 2008; Lima and McNeil 2009) suggests the existence of geographic variation in female pheromone production. In conclusion, the two *Spodoptera frugiperda* strains do differ in their female sex pheromone composition (Groot et al. 2008; Lima and McNeil 2009; Unbehend et al. 2013), but geographic variation seems to influence the strain-specific pheromone production. To disentangle geographic variation from strain-specific variation, additional strain-specific pheromone extractions of different populations from North and South America will be necessary.

### Variation in Pheromone Composition within the Strains

In addition to strain-specific and geographic variations in the pheromone composition, pheromone differences between females of the same strain have been observed between artificially reared and field-collected corn- and rice-strain females from Florida (Unbehend et al. 2013). Females of both laboratory strains produced significantly lower relative amounts of the major pheromone component Z9-14Ac and usually higher relative amounts of Z7-12Ac, Z11-16Ac, and Z9-12Ac, compared to the field-collected females, although strain-specific pheromone variation was maintained (Unbehend et al. 2013). To estimate how much within-strain variation occurs in nature, we analysed the pheromone composition of females from seven different corn-strain families, originating from single pair matings of individuals that were collected one generation earlier from a cornfield in Florida (Marr 2009). The females of these families exhibited significant differences in their pheromone composition compared to our laboratory populations (Marr 2009). The variation of Z9-14Ac, Z7-12Ac, Z11-16Ac, and Z9-12Ac was strongly heritable and a broad-sense heritability analysis showed that the variation in gland compounds within the different families is determined mainly by genetic rather than environmental effects (Marr 2009). However, the within-strain variation found in laboratory and field females, in addition to the geographic variation, indicates that laboratory rearing and environmental factors influence the pheromone composition of females. The challenge is to determine which factors may cause variation in the pheromone composition and why. Understanding the cause of variation in the pheromone composition and its genetic control will be important to understand how variation in sexual communication influences reproductive isolation and how sexual communication systems may evolve (Baker and Cardé 1979; Löfstedt 1993; Butlin and Trickett 1997; Ritchie 2007).

### Male Response to Strain-Specific Pheromone

The existence of strain-specific sex pheromone blends can only contribute to differentiation between the strains if this leads to differential attraction of fall armyworm males in the field. Although several trapping experiments of *Spodoptera*




FIGURE 20.2 Mean percent of corn-strain and rice-strain males caught in sex pheromone traps baited with synthetic pheromone lures in a cornfield and a grass field in Florida. The corn-strain blend consisted of 300  $\mu\text{g}$  Z9-14Ac, which was considered 100%, 6  $\mu\text{g}$  (2%) Z7-12Ac, 39  $\mu\text{g}$  (13%) Z11-16Ac, and 3  $\mu\text{g}$  (1%) Z9-12Ac. The rice-strain blend was constructed in a similar way, only with 12  $\mu\text{g}$  (4%) Z7-12Ac, 24  $\mu\text{g}$  (8%) Z11-16Ac, and 6  $\mu\text{g}$  (2%) Z9-12Ac. Numbers in the bars indicate total number of males caught (see Unbehend et al. 2013, for more details).

NOTE: \*\*  $p < 0.01$ ; \*  $p < 0.05$ ; NS: not significant.

*frugiperda* males have been conducted in the field (Mitchell et al. 1985; Tumlinson et al. 1986; Meagher and Mitchell 1998; Andrade et al. 2000; Batista-Pereira et al. 2006), only one investigated strain-specific differences in the male attraction toward different pheromones (Pashley et al. 1992). In Louisiana fields containing both host plants, 60% of all rice-strain males trapped in pheromone traps were attracted to a virgin rice-strain female, while 65% of all trapped corn-strain males were caught in traps baited with virgin corn-strain females (Pashley et al. 1992). Thus, males of both strains exhibited only a slight bias toward females of their own strain in mixed habitats, suggesting that strain-specific sexual communication is a weak prezygotic isolation barrier (Pashley et al. 1992). Similarly, Lima and McNeil (2009) argued that it is quite unlikely that strain-specific sex pheromone differences alone “would be sufficient to ensure reproductive isolation of the two strains.”

To evaluate whether fall armyworm males exhibit strain-specific attraction toward females of their own strain, we conducted wind tunnel choice assays and male-trapping experiments in Florida (Unbehend et al. 2013). Wind tunnel experiments without plant volatiles revealed that *S. frugiperda* males from laboratory populations show no strain-specific attraction to virgin females of their own strain. Interestingly, males of both strains were mainly influenced by the timing of female calling, and did not discriminate among calling females (Unbehend et al. 2013). However, when testing pheromone lures mimicking the pheromone gland composition of Floridian corn-strain females (i.e., 100% Z9-14Ac, 13% Z11-16Ac, 2% Z7-12Ac, 1% Z9-12Ac), 74% of all trapped corn-strain males in a cornfield were attracted to this corn-strain

lure, and only 26% to the rice-strain lure, i.e., 100% Z9-14Ac, 8% Z11-16Ac, 4% Z7-12Ac, 2% Z9-12Ac (figure 20.2). In rice fields, such a similar strain-specific attraction was not observed, and only 59% of all trapped corn-strain males were attracted to the synthetic corn-strain lure, while 41% were attracted to the rice-strain lure (figure 20.2). This result suggests that strain-specific attraction to different lures depends on the respective (volatile) environment, and hints to a synergistic effect of sex pheromones and host plant volatiles (Dekker and Barrozo, this volume). However, similar to corn-strain males, rice-strain males were also mostly attracted to the synthetic corn-strain lure in the cornfield with 76% of all trapped rice-strain males caught in traps baited with the corn-strain lure (figure 20.2). The pheromone traps that were baited with the so-called rice-strain lure (100% Z9-14Ac, 8% Z11-16Ac, 4% Z7-12Ac, 2% Z9-12Ac) did not specifically attract rice-strain males in a grass field and only 49% of all trapped rice-strain males were attracted to the rice-strain lure (Unbehend et al. 2013). Together, these results indicate that in Florida corn-strain lures are most attractive for both strains in a corn habitat, while there is no preference for a corn- or rice-strain lure in a rice habitat.

#### Importance of Different Pheromone Components for Male Attraction in the Field

To assess strain-specific male response toward the different pheromone components, we also evaluated the importance of single pheromone components in the attraction of corn- and rice-strain males in a corn and a grass field in Florida




FIGURE 20.3 Strain-specific response of *Spodoptera frugiperda* males towards different doses of Z7-12Ac added to 300 µg Z9-14Ac in a cornfield and grass field in Florida. Different letters next to the bars indicate significant differences. Numbers in the bars indicate total number of males caught (see Unbehend et al. 2013, for more details).

(Unbehend et al. 2013) and in different geographic regions in North and South America (Unbehend et al. 2014). As mentioned earlier, fall armyworm males can vary in their attraction toward E7-12Ac, but show stable geographic-independent attraction toward binary blends containing Z9-14Ac and Z7-12Ac (Tumlinson et al. 1986; Andrade et al. 2000; Fleischer et al. 2005; Batista-Pereira et al. 2006; Unbehend et al. 2013). We tested different doses of the critical secondary component Z7-12Ac and found that corn-strain males had a much more pronounced optimum centered at the 2% Z7-12Ac blend, while the rice-strain male optimum was less pronounced with no discrimination between 2% and 4% (Unbehend et al. 2013; figure 20.3). This strain-specific male response is consistent with the strain-specific female pheromone production in Florida, at least in the corn-strain, because corn- and rice-strain females produce around 2% and 4% Z7-12Ac, respectively (Groot et al. 2008; Unbehend et al. 2013). These results suggest that fall armyworm corn-strain males in Florida are adapted to the strain-specific female pheromone differences in the amount of Z7-12Ac, i.e., 2% versus 4%. However, when we tested the male response toward different pheromone lures in different regions, we found that mainly corn-strain males, not rice-strain males, exhibited geographic variation in their attraction (Unbehend et al. 2014). This suggests that rice-strain males exhibit a broader response spectrum toward sex pheromone blends than corn-strain males.

The relative importance of Z11-16Ac is still unclear. In Costa Rica, the ternary blend of Z11-16Ac, Z9-14Ac, and Z7-12Ac captured marginally more males than the binary blend of Z9-14Ac and Z7-12Ac in one test and marginally fewer in another, although neither effect was statistically significant (Andrade et al. 2000). Similarly, addition of Z11-16Ac to binary blends did not significantly increase trap catches in Brazil (Batista-Pereira et al. 2006) or Florida (Tumlinson et al. 1986; Unbehend et al. 2013). However, trapping experiments in Pennsylvania suggest that the addition of Z11-16Ac, together with Z9-12Ac, enhances male attraction to Z9-14Ac and Z7-12Ac (Fleischer et al. 2005). Also, in our field experiments in Florida we found that males were differentially attracted to the two-component blends without Z11-16Ac compared to the four-component blends with Z9-12Ac and Z11-16Ac between corn- and rice-strain habitats (Unbehend et al. 2013). However, in dose-response experiments conducted in Peru, corn males

were equally attracted to blends with and without different doses of Z11-16Ac (Unbehend et al. 2014).

The compound Z9-12Ac has been reported to occur in glands of females from North and South America (Descoins et al. 1988; Batista-Pereira et al. 2006; Groot et al. 2008). In Costa Rica and Florida, fall armyworm males were attracted to traps containing only Z9-12Ac (Jones and Sparks 1979; Andrade et al. 2000). When conducting experiments where we added different relative amounts of Z9-12Ac to the binary blend of Z9-14Ac and Z7-12Ac, we found that all tertiary blends containing Z9-12Ac were similarly attractive as the binary blends without Z9-12Ac, both in corn- and rice-strain habitats in Florida (Unbehend et al. 2013). However, as pointed out above, a synergistic effect between Z9-12Ac and the other compounds cannot be excluded.

In summary, corn- and rice-strain males in Florida were mostly attracted to a corn-strain pheromone blend, at least in cornfields. Thus, there may be synergistic effects of host plant volatiles and sex pheromone components in cornfields. In grass fields, we did not find a preference for a corn- or a rice-strain pheromone blend in either strain. Strain-specific responses were found toward different doses of Z7-12Ac added to the major pheromone component Z9-14Ac, where corn-strain males were mostly attracted to 2% Z7-12Ac and rice-strain males were attracted to a wider range (2–10%). Together, these data suggest that strain-specific differences in the sexual communication of both strains do not cause assortative mating in Florida and thus are a weak prezygotic isolation barrier between the corn-strain and the rice-strain.

### Level and Direction of Hybridization between the Two Strains

The fact that hybridization between the two strains can be observed in the field raises the question: are these strains in the process of divergence or convergence? RC hybrid females have been found to be less likely to mate with any kind of male (C, R, RC, or CR) and to produce a lower number of egg masses when they do mate (Pashley and Martin 1987; Whitford et al. 1988; Groot et al. 2010). Interestingly, RC hybrid males did not show this deficiency and mated readily with all types of females (C, R, and CR) (Groot et al. 2010). The fact



that RC hybrid females are found to be mostly sterile in laboratory experiments seems to conflict with the field observation where mainly RC hybrids are found (see the “Host Association Based on Mitochondrial and Nuclear Markers” section). However, this contradiction makes the “reproductive problem” of RC hybrid females a perfect postzygotic isolation barrier: if the most abundant individuals are at the same time the least fertile ones, gene flow is maximally prevented at this stage. This thus indicates that these strains are in the process of divergence rather than convergence. Given the existence of RC hybrid females in the field, while in the laboratory these hybrid females are hardly able to reproduce, this hybrid incompatibility represents an essential contribution to the process of speciation between the two strains.

### Possible Evolutionary Scenarios on Reproductive Isolation in the Two Strains

Since the host association of the two strains does not seem to be as strict as early studies indicated, ecological specialization based on host plant choice does not seem the most likely cause of differentiation between the two strains in *Spodoptera frugiperda*. Other factors may have influenced a host association between the strains. One of these factors may be the presence of competitors or natural enemies of the ancestral host as has been suggested for other phytophagous insects (Berlocher and Feder 2002). Pashley et al. (1995) reported that over a 2-year period, fall armyworm larval mortality caused by parasites, predators, and pathogens was higher in pastures than in cornfields. For this reason, the corn habitat may constitute a more protected environment than the rice habitats.

On the basis of the distribution of the two strains, particularly the distribution of the respective hybrids, and the behavioral differences between the two strains, we hypothesize that the rice-strain is the ancestral strain and corn-strain the derived strain (Juárez et al. 2014). Higher levels of genetic and behavioral homogeneity observed in the corn-strain than in the rice-strain suggests that the corn-strain went through a bottleneck, i.e., that the corn-strain arose from a few individuals. Additionally, in cornfields a significant portion of rice-strain individuals as well as hybrids are found, specifically RC hybrids, while in rice fields the percentage of corn-strain individuals or hybrids is generally much lower (Prowell et al. 2004; Saldamando and Vélez-Arango 2010). The observation that males of both strains are mostly attracted to a corn-strain sex pheromone blend in cornfields, while this preference is not found in rice fields, is consistent with these results. Hybrid incompatibility is between R mothers and C fathers and not vice versa, i.e., RC hybrids are incompatible with any kind of male, whereas CR hybrids produce fertile and viable offspring. Together, these findings suggest that the rice-strain is the ancestral strain and the corn-strain is the derived strain.

### Conclusion

In reviewing many studies on the host plant association of the two strains, host associations do not seem to be consistent when the mitochondrial COI marker is considered. In cornfields, more rice-strain individuals seem to be found than vice versa, and RC hybrids are also mostly found in corn habitats. Thus, habitat isolation alone does not seem to be strong prezygotic isolation barrier between the corn-strain and the

rice-strain. Similarly, strain-specific differences in the sexual communication system of both strains alone do not appear strong enough to cause assortative mating within strains. However, differences in diel patterns of reproductive behaviors seem to be much more consistent than host-plant associations or differential sexual communication between the strains. Since a shift in timing can immediately inhibit gene flow, the strains may be “timing strains” rather than “host strains” or “pheromone strains.” Furthermore, the postmating barrier of RC hybrid female sterility seems to be most likely a key element in the divergence of these two strains.

### References Cited

- Andrade, R., C. Rodriguez, and A. C. Oehlschlager. 2000. Optimization of a pheromone lure for *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Smith) in Central America. *Journal of the Brazilian Chemical Society* 11:609–613.
- Baker, T. C., and R. T. Cardé. 1979. Endogenous and exogenous factors affecting periodicities of female calling and male sex pheromone response in *Grapholitha molesta* (Busck). *Journal of Insect Physiology* 25:943–950.
- Batista-Pereira, L. G., K. Stein, A. F. de Paula, J. A. Moreira, I. Cruz, M. D. Figueiredo, J. Perri, and A. G. Correa. 2006. Isolation, identification, synthesis, and field evaluation of the sex pheromone of the Brazilian population of *Spodoptera frugiperda*. *Journal of Chemical Ecology* 32:1085–1099.
- Berlocher, S. H., and J. L. Feder. 2002. Sympatric speciation in phytophagous insects: moving beyond controversy? *Annual Review of Entomology* 47:773–815.
- Busato, G. R., A. D. Grutzmacher, A. C. de Oliveira, E. A. Vieira, P. D. Zimmer, M. M. Kopp, J. D. Bandeira, and T. R. Magalhaes. 2004. Analysis of the molecular structure and diversity of *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) populations associated to the corn and rice crops in Rio Grande do Sul State, Brazil. *Neotropical Entomology* 33:709–716.
- Butlin, R., and A. J. Trickett. 1997. Can population genetic simulations help to interpret pheromone evolution? Pp. 548–562. In R. T. Cardé, and A. K. Minks, eds. *Insect Pheromone Research: New Directions*. New York: Chapman and Hall.
- Clark, P. L., J. Molina-Ochoa, S. Martinelli, S. R. Skoda, D. J. Isenhour, D. J. Lee, J. T. Krumm, and J. E. Foster. 2007. Population variation of the fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda*, in the Western Hemisphere. *Journal of Insect Science* 7:5.
- Descoins, C., J. F. Silvain, B. Lalannecassou, and H. Cheron. 1988. Monitoring of crop pests by sexual trapping of males in the French West-Indies and Guyana. *Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment* 21:53–65.
- Fleischer, S. J., C. L. Harding, P. E. Blom, J. White, and J. Grehan. 2005. *Spodoptera frugiperda* pheromone lures to avoid nontarget captures of *Leucania phragmatidicola*. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 98:66–71.
- Groot, A. T., M. Marr, G. Schofl, S. Lorenz, A. Svatos, and D. G. Heckel. 2008. Host strain specific sex pheromone variation in *Spodoptera frugiperda*. *Frontiers in Zoology* 5:20.
- Groot, A. T., M. Marr, D. G. Heckel, and G. Schofl. 2010. The roles and interactions of reproductive isolation mechanisms in fall armyworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) host strains. *Ecological Entomology* 35:105–118.
- Jones, R. L., and A. N. Sparks. 1979. (Z)-9-Tetradecen-1-ol acetate: a secondary sex pheromone of the fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith). *Journal of Chemical Ecology* 5:721–725.
- Juárez, M. L., M. G. Murua, M. G. García, M. Ontivero, M. T. Vera, J. C. Vilardi, A. T. Groot, A. P. Castagnaro, G. Gastaminza, and E. Willink. 2012. Host association of *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) corn and rice strains in Argentina, Brazil, and Paraguay. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 105:573–582.
- Juárez, M. L., G. Schofl, M. T. Vera, J. C. Vilardi, M. G. Murua, E. Willink, S. Hanniger, D. G. Heckel, and A. T. Groot. 2014. Population structure of *Spodoptera frugiperda* maize and rice host forms in South America: are they host strains? *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata* 152:182–199.

- Levy, H. C., A. Garcia-Maruniak, and J. E. Maruniak. 2002. Strain identification of *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) insects and cell line: PCR-RFLP of cytochrome oxidase C subunit I gene. *Florida Entomologist* 85:186–190.
- Lima, E. R., and J. N. McNeil. 2009. Female sex pheromones in the host races and hybrids of the fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Chemoecology* 19:29–36.
- Löfstedt, C. 1993. Moth pheromone genetics and evolution. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society London B* 340:167–177.
- Lu, Y. J., and M. J. Adang. 1996. Distinguishing fall armyworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) strains using a diagnostic mitochondrial DNA marker. *Florida Entomologist* 79:48–55.
- Lu, Y. J., M. J. Adang, D. J. Isenhour, and G. D. Kochert. 1992. RFLP analysis of genetic variation in North American populations of the fall armyworm moth *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Molecular Ecology* 1:199–207.
- Lu, Y. J., G. D. Kochert, D. J. Isenhour, and M. J. Adang. 1994. Molecular characterization of a strain-specific repeated DNA sequence in the fall armyworm *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Insect Molecular Biology* 3:123–130.
- Machado, V., M. Wunder, V. D. Baldissera, J. V. Oliveira, L. M. Fiuza, and R. N. Nagoshi. 2008. Molecular characterization of host strains of *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in Southern Brazil. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* 101:619–626.
- Marr, M. 2009. Differences in pheromone composition between the two strains of the fall armyworm *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Diploma thesis, 64pp. Friedrich Schiller University, Jena. Available at: <http://www.clib-jena.mpg.de/theses/ice/ICE09003.pdf>.
- Martinelli, S., P. L. Clark, M. I. Zucchi, M. C. Silva-Filho, J. E. Foster, and C. Omoto. 2007. Genetic structure and molecular variability of *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) collected in maize and cotton fields in Brazil. *Bulletin of Entomological Research* 97:225–231.
- McMichael, M., and D. P. Prowell. 1999. Differences in amplified fragment-length polymorphisms in fall armyworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) host strains. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* 92:175–181.
- Meagher, R. L., and E. R. Mitchell. 1998. Phenylacetaldehyde enhances upwind flight of male fall armyworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) to its sex pheromone. *Florida Entomologist* 81:556–559.
- Meagher, R. L., and M. Gallo-Meagher. 2003. Identifying host strains of fall armyworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in Florida using mitochondrial markers. *Florida Entomologist* 86:450–455.
- Meagher, R. L., and R. N. Nagoshi. 2012. Differential feeding of fall armyworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) host strains on mericid and natural diets. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* 105:462–470.
- Meagher, R. L., R. N. Nagoshi, C. Stuhl, and E. R. Mitchell. 2004. Larval development of fall armyworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) on different cover crop plants. *Florida Entomologist* 87:454–460.
- Meagher, R. L., R. N. Nagoshi, and C. J. Stuhl. 2011. Oviposition choice of two fall armyworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) host strains. *Journal of Insect Behavior* 24:337–347.
- Mitchell, E. R., J. H. Tumlinson, and J. N. McNeil. 1985. Field evaluation of commercial pheromone formulations and traps using a more effective sex pheromone blend for the fall armyworm (Lepidoptera, Noctuidae). *Journal of Economic Entomology* 78:1364–1369.
- Nagoshi, R. N. 2010. The fall armyworm triose phosphate isomerase (Tpi) gene as a marker of strain identity and interstrain mating. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* 103:283–292.
- Nagoshi, R. N. 2012. Improvements in the identification of strains facilitate population studies of fall armyworm subgroups. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* 105:351–358.
- Nagoshi, R. N., and R. L. Meagher. 2003a. Fall armyworm FR sequences map to sex chromosomes and their distribution in the wild indicate limitations in interstrain mating. *Insect Molecular Biology* 12:453–458.
- Nagoshi, R. N., and R. L. Meagher. 2003b. FR tandem-repeat sequence in fall armyworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) host strains. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* 96:329–335.
- Nagoshi, R. N., and R. L. Meagher. 2004. Behavior and distribution of the two fall armyworm host strains in Florida. *Florida Entomologist* 87:440–449.
- Nagoshi, R. N., R. L. Meagher, J. J. Adamczyk, S. K. Braman, R. L. Brandenburg, and G. Nuessly. 2006a. New restriction fragment length polymorphisms in the cytochrome oxidase I gene facilitate host strain identification of fall armyworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) populations in the southeastern United States. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 99:671–677.
- Nagoshi, R. N., R. L. Meagher, G. Nuessly, and D. G. Hall. 2006b. Effects of fall armyworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) interstrain mating in wild populations. *Environmental Entomology* 35:561–568.
- Nagoshi, R. N., J. J. Adamczyk, R. L. Meagher, J. Gore, and R. Jackson. 2007a. Using stable isotope analysis to examine fall armyworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) host strains in a cotton habitat. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 100:1569–1576.
- Nagoshi, R. N., P. Silvie, and R. L. Meagher. 2007b. Comparison of haplotype frequencies differentiate fall armyworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) corn-strain populations from Florida and Brazil. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 100:954–961.
- Nagoshi, R. N., P. Silvie, R. L. Meagher, J. Lopez, and V. Machado. 2007c. Identification and comparison of fall armyworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) host strains in Brazil, Texas, and Florida. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* 100:394–402.
- Nagoshi, R. N., R. L. Meagher, K. Flanders, J. Gore, R. Jackson, J. Lopez, J. S. Armstrong, G. D. Buntin, C. Sansone, and B. R. Leonard. 2008. Using haplotypes to monitor the migration of fall armyworm (Lepidoptera: noctuidae) corn-strain populations from Texas and Florida. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 101:742–749.
- Nagoshi, R. N., S. J. Fleischer, and R. L. Meagher. 2009. Texas is the overwintering source of fall armyworm in central Pennsylvania: implications for migration into the northeastern United States. *Environmental Entomology* 38:1546–1554.
- Nagoshi, R. N., R. L. Meagher, and D. A. Jenkins. 2010. Puerto Rico fall armyworm has only limited interactions with those from Brazil or Texas but could have substantial exchanges with Florida populations. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 103:360–367.
- Nagoshi, R. N., M. G. Murua, M. Hay-Roe, M. L. Juarez, E. Willink, and R. L. Meagher. 2012. Genetic characterization of fall armyworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) host strains in Argentina. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 105:418–428.
- Pashley, D. P. 1986. Host-associated genetic differentiation in fall armyworm (Lepidoptera, Noctuidae): a sibling species complex. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* 79:898–904.
- Pashley, D. P. 1988a. Current status of fall armyworm host strains. *Florida Entomology* 73:227–234.
- Pashley, D. P. 1988b. Quantitative genetics, development, and physiological adaptation in host strains of fall armyworm. *Evolution* 42:93–102.
- Pashley, D. P. 1989. Host-associated differentiation in army worms (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae): an allozymic and mitochondrial DNA perspective. Pp. 103–114. In H. D. Loxdale, and J. Den Hollander, eds. *Electrophoretic Studies on Agricultural Pests: Systematics Association*. Oxford: Clarendon.
- Pashley, D. P., and J. A. Martin. 1987. Reproductive incompatibility between host strains of the fall armyworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* 80:731–733.
- Pashley, D. P., and L. D. Ke. 1992. Sequence evolution in mitochondrial ribosomal and ND-1 genes in Lepidoptera: implications for phylogenetic analysis. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 9:1061–1075.
- Pashley, D. P., S. J. Johnson, and A. N. Sparks. 1985. Genetic population structure of migratory moths: the fall armyworm (Lepidoptera, Noctuidae). *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* 78:756–762.
- Pashley, D. P., A. M. Hammond, and T. N. Hardy. 1992. Reproductive isolating mechanisms in fall armyworm host strains (Lepidoptera, Noctuidae). *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* 85:400–405.
- Pashley, D. P., T. N. Hardy, and A. M. Hammond. 1995. Host effects on developmental and reproductive traits in fall armyworm strains (Lepidoptera, Noctuidae). *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* 88:748–755.
- Prowell, D. P., M. McMichael, and J. F. Silvain. 2004. Multilocus genetic analysis of host use, introgression, and speciation in host strains of fall armyworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* 97:1034–1044.

- Ritchie, M. G. 2007. Sexual selection and speciation. *Annual Review of Ecology Evolution and Systematics* 38:79–102.
- Saldamando, C. I., and A. M. Vélez-Arango. 2010. Host plant association and genetic differentiation of corn and rice strains of *Spodoptera frugiperda* Smith (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in Colombia. *Neotropical Entomology* 39:921–929.
- Schöfl, G., D. G. Heckel, and A. T. Groot. 2009. Time-shifted reproductive behaviours among fall armyworm (Noctuidae: *Spodoptera frugiperda*) host strains: evidence for differing modes of inheritance. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 22:1447–1459.
- Schöfl, G., A. Dill, D. G. Heckel, and A. T. Groot. 2011. Allochronic separation versus mate choice: nonrandom patterns of mating between fall armyworm host strains. *American Naturalist* 177:470–485.
- Tumlinson, J. H., E. R. Mitchell, P. E. A. Teal, R. R. Heath, and L. J. Mengelkoch. 1986. Sex pheromone of fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith): identification of components critical to attraction in the field. *Journal of Chemical Ecology* 12: 1909–1926.
- Unbehend, M., S. Haenniger, G. Vásquez, M. L. Juárez, J. N. McNeil, R. L. Meagher, D. A. Jenkins, D. G. Heckel, and A. T. Groot. 2014. Geographic variation in sexual attraction of *Spodoptera frugiperda* corn- and rice-strain males. *PLOS ONE* 9:e89255.
- Unbehend, M., S. Hanniger, R. L. Meagher, D. G. Heckel, and A. T. Groot. 2013. Pheromonal divergence between two strains of *Spodoptera frugiperda*. *Journal of Chemical Ecology* 39:364–376.
- Veenstra, K. H., D. P. Pashley, and J. A. Ottea. 1995. Host-plant adaptation in fall armyworm host strains: comparison of food consumption, utilization, and detoxication enzyme activities. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* 88:80–91.
- Velez-Arango, A. M., R. E. Arango, D. Villanueva, E. Aguilera, and C. I. Saldamando B. 2008. Identification of *Spodoptera frugiperda* biotypes (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) through using mitochondrial and nuclear markers. *Revista Colombiana de Entomología* 34:145–150.
- Whitford, F., S. S. Quisenberry, T. J. Riley, and J. W. Lee. 1988. Oviposition preference, mating compatibility, and development of two fall armyworm strains. *Florida Entomology* 71:234–243.