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One sentence summary: This study demonstrated that soil acts as the main factor driving the sorghum rhizosphere bacterial community composition followed by plant growth stages and genotype.
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ABSTRACT

Plant genotype selects the rhizosphere microbiome. The success of plant–microbe interactions is dependent on factors that directly or indirectly influence the plant rhizosphere microbial composition. We investigated the rhizosphere bacterial community composition of seven different sorghum cultivars in two different soil types (abandoned (CF) and agricultural (VD)). The rhizosphere bacterial community was evaluated at four different plant growth stages: emergence of the second (day 10) and third leaves (day 20), the transition between the vegetative and reproductive stages (day 35), and the emergence of the last visible leaf (day 50). At early stages (days 10 and 20), the sorghum rhizosphere bacterial community composition was mainly driven by soil type, whereas at late stages (days 35 and 50), the bacterial community composition was also affected by the sorghum genotype. Although this effect of sorghum genotype was small, different sorghum cultivars assembled significantly different bacterial community compositions. In CF soil, the striga-resistant cultivar had significantly higher relative abundances of Acidobacteria GP1, Burkholderia, Cupriavidus (Burkholderiaceae), Acidovorax and Albidiferax (Comamonadaceae) than the other six cultivars. This study is the first to simultaneously investigate the contributions of plant genotype, plant growth stage and soil type in shaping sorghum rhizosphere bacterial community composition.
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INTRODUCTION

Interactions between plants and soil-borne microbes influence a wide range of biogeochemical processes, including organic matter mineralization (Fontaine et al. 2007) and the cycling of biologically critical elements such as carbon, nitrogen and potassium (Mendes et al. 2014). The rhizosphere, defined as the narrow zone of adjacent soil that is influenced by the plant roots (Hiltner 1904), is home to numerous microorganisms and thus is one of the most dynamic interfaces on earth (Philippon et al. 2013). Soil microbes drive plant diversity and productivity (van der Heijden, Bardgett and van Straalen 2008) and influence plant health, nutrient acquisition and growth (Mendes et al. 2014; Cipriano et al. 2016).

Several biotic and abiotic factors affect the structure of the rhizosphere microbial community, such as soil characteristics (Singh et al. 2007; Kuramae et al. 2012), land use history (Debenport et al. 2015), plant species (Burns et al. 2015; Lima et al. 2015), plant genotype and plant development stage (Inceoglu et al. 2010; Marques et al. 2014). Soil shapes rhizosphere microbial community composition through physical and chemical traits, including moisture, nutrient availability, texture and pH (Marschner, Crowley and Yang 2004; Fang et al. 2005; Cassman et al. 2016; Taketani et al. 2017), as well as soil management practices (Lima et al. 2015). Plants in turn influence rhizosphere microbial community composition by producing root exudates, which may differ according to plant genotype and developmental stage (Baiz et al. 2006; van Overbeek and van Elsas 2008; van Dam and Bouwmeester 2016). The relative contributions of factors such as soil type, plant genotype and growth stage to rhizosphere microbial community composition have been reported for different plant species. These studies include the effect of plant growth stage on the rhizosphere microbial assemblages of Arabidopsis thaliana (Chaparro et al. 2014) and maize (Li et al. 2014); the effects of soil and plant on the rhizosphere microbial community structures of maize, soybean (Mielthig et al. 2000; Buyer, Roberts and Russek-Cohen 2002) and native legumes (Lima et al. 2015); the effect of plant genotype and plant growth stage on the composition of the rhizosphere microbial communities of potato (van Overbeek and van Elsas 2008; Inceoglu et al. 2010) and sweet potato (Marques et al. 2014); the effects of plant genotype and soil traits as modifiers of the maize rhizosphere microbial community (Aira et al. 2010; Bakker et al. 2015); and the effect of soil type, plant genotype and plant growth stages on the rhizosphere bacterial communities of soybean (Xu et al. 2009) and maize (Chiarini et al. 1998).

However, research on the composition of the rhizosphere bacterial community of sorghum is relatively scarce (Acosta-Martinez et al. 2010), and no study has simultaneously investigated the contributions of plant genotype, plant growth stage and soil type in shaping sorghum rhizosphere bacterial community composition. Sorghum is an important staple food crop and the fifth most cultivated cereal in the world, with a presence in ~47 countries (Ramu et al. 2013). With nutritional properties similar to maize (Sauvant, Perez and Tran 2004) but superior drought resistance (Dutra et al. 2013), sorghum is a promising substitute for maize crops, particularly in arid regions.

Sorghum-breeding programs aim to increase yield and improve plant quality by selecting plants with desired phenotypes (Singh and Lohithaswa 2007), such as resistance to pathogens or characteristics for grain, silage and forage. Thus, characterization of the rhizosphere bacterial community composition of different sorghum cultivars is of extreme importance for plant breeding programs to develop cultivars with superior rhizomicrobes that mitigate biotic and abiotic stresses. Breeding of plants based on a combination of functional genes and plant responsiveness to beneficial microorganism interactions is expected to produce plants with more robust disease protection (Dang, Horvath and Staskawicz 2013; Schlappi and Bulgarelli 2015). Therefore, the rhizosphere plant microbiome should be an important component of plant breeding programs.

Directed selection of plant genotypes that enhance populations of beneficial rhizobacteria may confer protection against pathogens (Mazzola, Funnell and Raaijmakers 2004; Mendes et al. 2011) as well as abiotic stress (Coleman-Derr and Tringe 2014). However, to guarantee good plant performance across variable locations, plant breeding programs should take into account the interaction of a particular cultivar with the soil microbiome in a broad range of environments (Bakker et al. 2012). Hence, characterization of the sorghum rhizosphere bacterial community at different plant growth stages would contribute to biotechnological and agricultural applications aiming to enhance sorghum growth and yield (Ramond et al. 2013). Although some authors have discussed the effects of factors such as soil type, plant growth stage and cultivar as drivers of the soil microbial community, investigations of these factors have generally not been integrated in the same experimental set or analysis. The failure to consider these factors simultaneously might reduce the accuracy of determining the contributions of factors in driving rhizosphere microbial composition. Thus, in this study, we aimed to (i) determine the relative simultaneous contributions of sorghum genotype, developmental stage and soil type to the structure of the rhizosphere bacterial community and (ii) to assess the rhizosphere bacterial taxonomic compositions of different sorghum cultivars.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Soil sampling

Two different soil types from The Netherlands were used in this study as microbiome sources: Arenosol soil collected from Clue Field (CF) (52° 03′ 37.91″N and 5° 45′ 07.48′′E) and Gleyic Podzol soil collected from a field in Vredepeel (VD) (51° 32′ 25.8′′N and 5° 51′ 15.1′′E). CF is an abandoned soil; the last crop was harvested in 1995 (Bakker et al. 2015). By contrast, VD is an arable agricultural field that has been in cultivation since 1955. In the four years before sampling, VD was cropped with potato and rye (2010), carrot (2011), and maize and rye (2012–2014) under normal agricultural practices (Korthals et al. 2014). At each field site, soil samples were collected (0–20 cm topsoil layer) from five equidistant points 50 m from each other, sieved through a 4-mm mesh, and homogenized. Each soil was physically and chemically analyzed.

Sorghum cultivars

To assess the sorghum rhizosphere bacterial community assemblies, seven cultivars with different characteristics and origins were selected: BR5330, a hybrid grain of Sorghum bicolor; BR5509, a sweet hybrid of S. bicolor; BR5655, a hybrid silage type of S. bicolor; BR5802, a hybrid grazing type of S. bicolor; CMSx5912, a variety of S. sudanense; SRN-39, a grain type of S. bicolor; and Shanqui-Red, a landrace grain type of S. bicolor. The seeds of cultivars BR5330, BR5509, BR5655, BR5802 and CMSx5912 originated from Embrapa (Brazil), and the seeds of cultivars SRN-39 and Shanqui-Red originated from Africa and China, respectively.
Mesocosm experiment

Plastic pots (6.5 L) were filled with 6.0 L of either CF or VD soil. The experimental design comprised two soil types, seven sorghum cultivars and four plant growth stages assembled in triplicate, resulting in a total of 168 randomly distributed experimental units. Fifteen seeds of each sorghum cultivar were directly sown in each pot and grown in a greenhouse under controlled photoperiod and temperature conditions (16/8 h light/dark and temperature of 22 °C/17 °C day/night). The plantlets were thinned to five seedlings per pot at day 5. During the experiment, the rhizosphere soil was sampled at four different stages of plant growth. At the emergence of the second (day 10) and third (day 20) leaves, the plants were completely removed from the pots, and 5 g of rhizosphere soil was collected with sterile brushes. At the transition from the vegetative to reproductive stages (day 35) and at the last emergence of a visible leaf immediately before the flowering stage (day 50), rhizosphere samples were collected with a cylindrical auger (6 × 150 mm). The pots were randomly rearranged after each sample collection time point. Rhizosphere soil was sampled at a depth of 0–15 cm from soil loosely adhering to seminal roots as well as soil brushed off the seminal root surface. Bulk soil was sampled from pots without plants. The rhizosphere and bulk soil samples were immediately stored at –80°C until total genomic DNA extraction. At the end of the mesocosm experiment (harvest time, day 50), the shoots and roots of the plants were harvested for measurement of dry weight and for macro- and micronutrient analyses (Table S1, Supporting Information).

DNA extraction and 16S rRNA partial gene sequencing

DNA was extracted from 0.25 g of each soil sample using a PowerSoil DNA isolation kit (Mo Bio Laboratories Inc., Carlsbad, CA, USA). DNA quality was verified by agarose gel (1.5%) electrophoresis in 1X TBE (Tris-borate-EDTA) buffer. The 16S rRNA partial gene was amplified using the primer set 515F and 806R (V3-V4 region) (Bergmann et al. 2012). Sequences were filtered for quality criteria (Phred quality score of 25 and minimum sequence length of 150 bp) using FASTQ-MCF (Aronesty 2011). The filtered FASTQ files were converted to FASTA format and concatenated into a single file. All reads were clustered into operational taxonomic units (OTUs, considering an evolutionary distance of 97%) using UPARSE (Edgar 2010) in VSEARCH version 1.0.10 (Flouri et al. 2015). Chimeric sequences were detected using the UCHIME algorithm (Edgar et al. 2011) implemented in VSEARCH. All reads before the dereplication step were mapped to OTUs using the usearch global method implemented in VSEARCH to create an OTU table and converted to BIOM-Format 1.3.1 (McDonald et al. 2012). Finally, taxonomic information for each OTU was added to the BIOM file using RDP Classifier version 2.10 (Cole et al. 2014). All steps were implemented in a Snakemake workflow (Köster and Rahmann 2012). The 16S rRNA sequence data are available at the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/) under the study accession number PRJEB21895.

Statistical analysis

To evaluate the effects of the factors soil, plant growth stage, and cultivar on sorghum rhizosphere bacterial communities, the bacterial abundance data were subjected to Hellinger transformation (Legendre and Gallagher 2001) using the package ‘vegan’ version 2.4.0 (Oksanen et al. 2016). Between-class analysis (BCA) based on principal component analysis (PCA) was subsequently performed using the package ‘ade4’ (Dray and Dufour 2007). A Monte Carlo test with 999 permutations provided statistical significance of the applied tests. This analysis allowed us to identify the relative contribution of each factor in explaining the total variability of the microbial community structure.

To infer how the rhizosphere bacterial community co-varied with the factors soil, cultivar and plant growth stage, the Hellinger-transformed data were used, and the co-variance was measured by the RV coefficient by multiple factor analysis (MFA) using the package ‘FactoMineR’ (Lê, Josse and Husson 2008) in R version 3.1.3. To evaluate the effect of the factors soil and cultivar on sorghum rhizosphere bacterial communities in each plant growth stage, two different tests were performed. BCA was performed as described above, and a multivariate non-parametric statistical test (two-way PERMANOVA) was performed in FAST (Paleontological Statistics Software) (Hammer, Harper and Ryan 2001) using Bray-Curtis distance matrices with 999 permutations. This analysis aided the identification of the main driver of microbial community structure at each stage of plant growth.

The variation of the rhizosphere bacterial community was evaluated together with soil type, plant growth stage and cultivar in a global principal component analysis (GPCA) after normalization by MFA, which consisted of the ordination of each group of variables and posterior transformation by the first eigenvector. For each group of variables that was active in the construction of the factorial axes, the other two groups of variables were considered supplementary variables and were not taken into account in the analysis. To identify the bacterial taxa significantly responsible for the dissimilarities in the GPCA-MFA analysis (P < 0.05), ascending hierarchical classification (AHC) was performed using the FactoMineR package. To control the false discovery rate, P-values were adjusted. The bacterial taxa significantly responsible for the dissimilarities were identified via AHC.

To explore the dissimilarity between the treatments within each factor, BCA was performed using the package ‘ade4’ (Dray and Dufour 2007).

Strigolactone analysis

Sorghum seeds were surface sterilized in bleach (2%) for 10 min and washed three times with sterile demineralized water. The
seeds were subsequently pre-germinated on Petri dishes for 48 h at 25°C in the dark. Three germinated seeds of each of the seven sorghum cultivars were planted in 0.5 L plastic pots filled with sterilized sand and grown for 3 weeks. The plants were fertilized with 50% Hoagland nutrient solution (v/v) containing 100% phosphate (P) for the first 14 days. To remove P, the pots were washed with 1 L of 50% Hoagland nutrient solution without P. After 1 week under P deficiency, 1 L of 50% Hoagland nutrient solution without P was applied to drain accumulated exudates from the pot. The root exudate that accumulated during the subsequent 48 h was collected by passing 1 L of nutrient solution without P through the pot. After passing the exudates through an SPE C18 column (500 mg), strigolactones were eluted with 4 mL of acetone, and 0.1 nmol/mL GR24, a synthetic strigolactone, was added to each sample as an internal standard for quantification. After evaporating the acetone to dryness, the residue was dissolved in 4 mL of hexane. For further purification, the samples were loaded on a 200 mg silica gel Grace Pure SPE column, and the column was eluted with 2 mL of 10:90 hexane:ethyl acetate. After evaporating the solvent to dryness, the residue was dissolved in 200 μL of 25:75 acetonitrile:water and filtered through a 0.45-μm Minisart SRP filter. Strigolactones were measured by ultrahigh-performance liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (UHPLC-MS/MS) in multiple ion monitoring mode according to the method described by Kohlen et al. (2011) with minor modifications. The retention times and masses of authentic standards (5-deoxystrigol, ent-2-epi-5-deoxystrigol (or 4-deoxyorobanchol), orobanchol, ent-2-epi-orobanchol and sorgomol) were used to identify the detected strigolactones. Data analysis was performed using MassLynx 4.1 and TargetLynx software (Waters).

RESULTS

Soil and plant characteristics

Total N, S and P contents were higher in the CF abandoned soil than those in the VD agricultural soil, whereas K, Ca, Mg, and Na contents and cationic exchange capacity (CEC) were higher in VD than those in CF soil. The organic matter content was similar in the two soils, whereas the pH, C:N ratio and texture (clay, silt and sand content) were slightly different (Table S1, Supporting Information).

Drivers of the sorghum rhizosphere bacterial community

The number of sequenced reads covered an average of 90% of the bacterial diversity as determined by Good’s coverage (Table S2, Supporting Information).

Table 1. Inertia co-variance between the factors soil type, plant growth stage and cultivar with the rhizosphere bacterial community.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil type (%)</th>
<th>Growth stage (%)</th>
<th>Cultivar (%)</th>
<th>Bacteria (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Soil type</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time point</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultivar</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>18.90</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>68.30</td>
<td>14.18</td>
<td>9.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different statistical approaches were applied to test the significance of the three evaluated factors, i.e. cultivar, plant growth stage and soil type, as drivers of sorghum rhizosphere bacterial community composition. BCA revealed that soil, plant growth stage and cultivar explained 15.83% (P = 0.001), 5.19% (P = 0.001) and 4.25% (P = 0.085) of the dissimilarity between the rhizosphere bacterial communities, respectively. Similar results were obtained by co-inertia analysis (RV coefficient), which revealed that soil type, plant growth stage and cultivar co-varied with the rhizosphere bacterial community by 68.30%, 14.18% and 9.69%, respectively (Table 1). When the factors were examined simultaneously, both statistical analyses indicated that the factor soil strongly determined the rhizosphere bacterial community composition, followed by plant growth stage and cultivar.

The variations of soil type and cultivar and their interaction as drivers of rhizosphere bacterial composition over different plant growth stages were examined by two-way PERMANOVA. Until day 20, soil drove the majority of the observed shifts in the structure of the rhizosphere bacterial community. At day 35, soil (F = 19.98; P < 0.001) and cultivar (F = 1.56; P = 0.02) significantly drove sorghum rhizosphere bacterial composition. At day 50, soil (F = 12.35; P < 0.001), cultivar (F = 2.34; P < 0.001) and their interaction (F = 1.58; P = 0.01) had significant effects on the rhizosphere bacterial community (Table 2). To better understand the contribution of the factors soil and cultivar on the total variation of the bacterial community across plant growth stages, BCA was performed for each growth stage. Although the contribution of soil type to the total variation of the rhizosphere bacterial community composition within plant growth stages was significant (P = 0.001), BCA showed that this contribution (given by the percentage of inertia) explained a smaller proportion of the community structure on day 50 (15.63%). Interestingly, the cultivar effect became a significant (P = 0.001) contributor to the dissimilarity of bacterial communities at different growth stages.
explaining the variance in the rhizosphere bacterial community composition only at day 50, explaining 21.89% of the total variance. At this growth stage, the cultivar effect surpassed the contribution of the factor soil (which at day 50 explained 15.63% of the total variance), although soil remained a significant factor in determining the bacterial community structure (Table 3).

### Bacterial community composition

**Bulk soil**

In the soils, the most abundant bacterial phyla were Acidobacteria (CF, 26%; VD, 31%), Verrucomicrobia (CF, 19%; VD, 16%) and Proteobacteria (CF, 15%; VD, 14%). The most abundant classes in both soils were Spartobacteria (CF, 18%; VD, 15%), Acidobacteria subdivisions GP6 (CF, 15%; VD, 14%) and GP4 (CF, 7%; VD, 14%), Alphaproteobacteria (CF, 7%; VD, 6%) and Betaproteobacteria (CF and VD, 6%). The most abundant taxa that could be assigned at the order level were Rhizobiales (CF, 5%; VD, 3%) and Planctomycetales (CF, 5%; VD, 4%). At the family level, the most abundant taxa were Planctomycetaceae (CF, 5%; VD, 4%), Bradyrhizobaceae (CF, 4%; VD, 2%), Sphingomonadaceae (CF and VD, 2%), Chitinophagaceae (CF and VD, 2%) and Xanthomonadaceae (VD, 2%) (Fig. 1A, Supporting Information). MFA revealed the bacterial families that most contributed to the dissimilarities between the bulk soils of CF and VD (Fig. 2A). Among the groups with relative abundances higher than 1%, unclassified Spartobacteria, unclassified Acidobacteria GP4 and GP16 and Xanthomonadaceae together contributed to 70% of the total dissimilarity between the bacterial communities (family level) in the two soils (Table S3, Supporting Information).

**Bulk soil versus rhizosphere**

MFA at the family level revealed the rhizosphere effect for both soil types (Fig. 1B and C). In the treatments with CF soil, the dissimilarity between the bulk soil and the rhizosphere was caused mainly by changes in Bradyrhizobiaceae, Chitinophagaceae, Planctomycetaceae, Sphingomonadaceae, Xanthomonadaceae and Oxalobacteraceae, as well as organisms that could not be classified at the family level belonging to Acidobacteria subdivisions GP1, GP4, GP6 and GP16, Bacteroidetes, Betaproteobacteria, Myxococcales, Rhizobiales and Spartobacteria (Table S4, Supporting Information). In the treatments with VD soil, the distinction between the bulk soil and rhizosphere clusters evidenced by Dim 1 and Dim 2 was related to differences in the abundances of Bradyrhizobiaceae, Burkholderiaceae, Oxalobacteraceae, Sphingomonadaceae, Xanthomonadaceae, Planctomycetaceae and unclassified families at the family level belonging to Acidobacteria subdivisions GP1, GP4, GP6, GP16, Betaproteobacteria, Burkholderiaceae, Rhizobiales and Spartobacteria (Table S5, Supporting Information).

**Rhizosphere CF versus rhizosphere VD soil**

MFA at the family level revealed that the cluster evidenced by Dim 1 explained 30.85% of the total rhizosphere bacterial community variation between CF and VD (Fig. 1D). Among the bacteria driving the dissimilarity (P < 0.05), those with the highest relative abundances included Bradyrhizobiaceae (CF, 6%; VD, 3.9%), unclassified Spartobacteria (CF, 8.9%; VD, 3.6%), unclassified Betaproteobacteria (CF, 8.2%; VD, 6%) and the unclassified Acidobacteria subdivisions GP6 (CF, 8.1%; VD, 5.2%) and GP4 (CF, 4.4%; VD, 5.7%) (Table S6, Supporting Information).

### Influence of plant growth stage on the rhizosphere microbial community

A clear cluster distinction was observed for both soils by analysis of the symmetric variation of the rhizosphere bacterial community over time using plant growth stage as the active factor (MFA). In the treatments with CF soil, the day 10 and day 50 clusters differed significantly (P < 0.05) from each other and from the other clusters (Fig. 2A). The bacterial family groups responsible for the significant dissimilarity at day 10 compared with the other growth stages were Oxalobacteraceae (4.7%), Sphingobacteriaceae (1.3%) and an unclassified Verrucomicrobia from subdivision 3 (1.2%). At day 50, the bacterial family groups that significantly differed from the other growth stages were Bradyrhizobiaceae (4.6%), Chitinophagaceae (3.2%), Comamonadaceae (1.7%), Opitutaceae (1.2%), Oxalobacteraceae (1.6%), Planctomycetaceae (3.7%), Sphingomonadaceae (1.8%), Xanthomonadaceae (1.3%), Acidobacteria subdivisions GP6 (11.8%) and GP16 (2.3%) and a group that could not be classified at the family level that included unclassified Proteobacteria (1.3%), unclassified Burkholderiaceae (1%) and Verrucomicrobia subdivision 3 (1.8%) (Table S7, Supporting Information). In the treatments with VD soil, the rhizosphere microbial communities at day 10, day 20 and day 50 were significantly dissimilar (Fig. 2B). Sphingomonadaceae and Sphingobacteriaceae were responsible for the dissimilarity at day 10 (3.8% and 2.3%, respectively) and day 50 (1.2% and 0.6%). The groups Oxalobacteraceae (6.7 and 3.2, respectively), Xanthomonadaceae (5.5 and 2.7), Acidobacteria subdivision GP6 (2.9 and 7.4), unclassified Proteobacteria (2.2 and 1.5), unclassified Burkholderiaceae (1.8 and 1.3), Caulobacteraceae (1.3 and 0.6), Polyan-giaceae (1.2 and 0.7), Planctomycetaceae (1.2 and 2.8) and Verrucomicrobia unclassified subdivision 3 (0.7 and 1.4) were responsible for the significant dissimilarity at day 20 and day 50. Unclassified Myxococcales (3.6%) and Comamonadaceae (2.9%) were significantly dissimilar at day 20, whereas unclassified Spartobacteria (4.8%) were significantly dissimilar at day 50 (Table S8, Supporting Information).

### Influence of cultivar in shaping the rhizosphere bacterial community

MFA with cultivar as the active variable demonstrated that cultivar had an effect on the dissimilarity of the rhizosphere bacterial community only in CF soil (Fig. 3A) and not in VD soil (Fig. 3B). In the treatments with CF soil, the rhizosphere bacterial community of SRN-39 (C6) was significant dissimilar (P < 0.05) from those of the other cultivars. The bacterial family groups responsible for this dissimilarity were Comamonadaceae (3.4%), Burkholderiaceae (3.6%) and Acidobacteria subdivision GP1 (3.7%) (Table S9, Supporting Information). Burkholderia and Cupriavidus were the genera responsible for the higher relative abundance of Burkholderiaceae, whereas Acidovorax and Albidiferax were the responsible genera of Comamonadaceae (Table S10, Supporting Information).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Growth stage (day)</th>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>% Inertia</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Soil</td>
<td>20.06</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cultivar</td>
<td>13.66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Soil</td>
<td>21.97</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cultivar</td>
<td>13.33</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Soil</td>
<td>18.42</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cultivar</td>
<td>15.66</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>Soil</td>
<td>15.63</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cultivar</td>
<td>21.89</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. MFA with supplementary variables emphasizing the factor soil and showing the bacterial community dissimilarity between (A) bulk soils from Clue Field (CF) and Vredepeel (VD); (B) bulk soil and rhizospheric soil from CF; (C) bulk soil and rhizospheric soil from VD; (D) rhizospheric soil from CF and VD.
Strigolactone profile

Sorgomol was produced by cultivars BRS330, BRS509, BRS655, BRS802 and CMSxS912 and was highly exuded by BRS655 compared with the other cultivars. All cultivars produced 5-deoxystrigol, which was highly exuded by BRS509 and Shanqui-Red and minimally produced by SRN-39. Orobanchoïl was exuded by SRN-39 at levels 300 to 1100 times higher than those of the other six cultivars (Fig. 4).

DISCUSSION

The bacterial taxonomic compositions of the rhizosphere communities of seven *Sorghum* genotypes at different growth stages and cultivated in two different soils were assessed by high-throughput 16S rRNA gene fragment sequencing. Simultaneous evaluation of the three factors revealed that soil type was the main driver of sorghum rhizosphere bacterial community composition, with a co-variance of 68.30%, followed by plant growth stage and plant cultivar, which contributed co-variances of 14.18% and 9.69%, respectively. Although there are no previous reports of the effect of these factors on sorghum rhizosphere bacterial community composition, some studies in different plant species corroborate our findings. For example, in a study of the composition of the soybean rhizosphere bacterial community using denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE), Xu et al. (2009) found that soil played a major role in shaping the rhizosphere bacterial community composition, with plant growth stage as the second main factor. DGGE analyses also demonstrated that soil type and plant growth stage had stronger effects on potato rhizosphere bacterial assembly than genotype (van Overbeek and van Elsas 2008; Inceoglu et al. 2010). Using culture-dependent methods to evaluate the microbial colonization of maize roots, Chiariini et al. (1998) observed that soil type and plant development had a strong influence on the rhizosphere microbial community, whereas cultivar showed no effect. Although partially corroborating our results, these studies did not evaluate these factors simultaneously, and the techniques applied to assess the bacterial community structure (i.e., culture-dependent and DGGE) are rather low resolution compared with the next-generation sequencing approach applied in this study.

In addition, although soil was the major contributor driving bacterial community composition in the sorghum rhizosphere at all evaluated stages of growth, an effect of plant genotype on the composition of the rhizosphere bacterial community was observed only after day 35. Similar results were reported by Inceoglu et al. (2010) for the effect of potato genotype and growth stage on the rhizosphere Betaproteobacteria community, with no effect of cultivar in the earlier stage of plant growth but an obvious effect in later stages. The exudates released at different growth stages can vary among different cultivars, thus affecting the rhizosphere microbial community composition (Micallef et al. 2009; Inceoglu et al. 2010). In a study of the rhizosphere microbiome of *Arabidopsis* throughout plant development, Chaparro et al. (2013) suggested that young plants exude sugars that are used by a wide diversity of microorganisms, whereas at later stages, plants release more specific exudates, such as phenolic compounds, possibly to select more specific microbes. Our results and those of previous studies suggest that the interaction...
between the plant and soil bacterial community is stochastic at earlier stages of sorghum growth and becomes more deterministic over time with the release of more complex compounds by the roots. The apparent lag in the effect of cultivar might also be attributable to the resilience and resistance of the soil microbial community.

The two soils (CF and VD) used as microbial sources for this study had different initial bacterial communities. Among the groups responsible for this dissimilarity were Acidobacteria GP4, which had higher abundance in VD compared with CF, and Bradyrhizobiaceae, which had higher abundance in CF compared with VD. In the rhizosphere, Alphaproteobacteria, Betaproteobacteria, Gammaproteobacteria and Bacteroidetes were considerably enriched, whereas Acidobacteria (GP4, GP6 and GP16) and Verrucomicrobia (Spartobacteria) had much lower relative abundances compared with both VD and CF bulk soils. Similar to our findings, studies based on 16S rRNA sequencing showed an enrichment of a specific subset of Proteobacteria (including Xanthomonadaceae, Oxalobacteraceae, Burkholderiaceae and Sphingomonadaceae) and Bacteroidetes (Chitinophagaceae and Flavobacteriaceae) in the rhizosphere (Li et al. 2014) and a lower proportion of Acidobacteria (Kielak et al. 2009) and Verrucomicrobia (Lima et al. 2015) in the rhizosphere compared with bulk soil.

Among the bacterial groups with significant dissimilarity across plant growth stages, members of Proteobacteria and Bacteroidetes showed the highest abundance in the earlier stages of plant growth, whereas members of Acidobacteria and Verrucomicrobia showed the highest abundance during the last stage of plant growth. Differences in the exudates released during different growth stages among cultivars can affect the rhizosphere microbial community composition (Singh et al. 2007; Berg and Smalla 2009; Inceoglu et al. 2010). In a study of the rhizosphere microbiome in potato, Pfeiffer et al. (2017) suggested that a stable core microbiome over plant growth stages could be related to a similar pattern of plant exudates over time, whereas dynamic core microbiome members may respond to changes in root exudates over plant development.

Cultivar had little effect on sorghum rhizosphere bacterial community composition. However, in CF soil, SRN-39 had significantly higher relative abundances of Acidobacteria GP1, Burkholderia, Cupriavidus (Burkholderiaceae), Acidovorax and Albidiferax (Comamonadaceae) than the other six genotypes. In VD soil, cultivar had no effect on sorghum rhizosphere bacterial community composition. Corroborating our findings, Rasche et al. (2006) observed that the impact of plant variety on the structure of the potato rhizosphere microbial community was strongly dependent on soil type. The Acidovorax genus includes species characterized as iron oxidizers, whereas species belonging to the Albidiferax genus are described as iron reducers (Brown et al. 2015). The cultivar SRN-39 exhibited less iron uptake in shoots and roots than the other cultivars (Table S11, Supporting Information). The cause of the higher relative abundances of these groups in the SRN-39 rhizosphere in CF remains unclear. However, we hypothesize that sorghum root exudates play a role in establishing this specific rhizosphere microbial composition. Indeed, it has been suggested that specific exudates of different sorghum genotypes may influence rhizosphere microbial community composition (Henry 2000; Funnell-Harris, Pedersen

Figure 3. MFA with supplementary variables emphasizing the factor cultivar and showing the rhizosphere bacterial community dissimilarity among cultivars: C1 = Hybrid grain (BRS330), C2 = Sweet hybrid (BRS509), C3 = Hybrid silage (BRS655), C4 = Hybrid grazing (BRS802), C5 = Sorghum sudanense (CMSxS912), C6 = grain (SRN-39) and C7 = grain (Shanqui-Red) in (A) Clue Field (CF) and (B) Vredepeel (VD).
Different sorghum cultivars release different strigolactones, such as orobanchol, 5-deoxystrigol and sorgomol (Czarnota, Rimando and Weston 2003; Mohemed et al. 2016). Orobanchol and 5-deoxystrigol strongly induce hyphal branching in Gigaspora margarita (Akiyama et al. 2010). Sorghum cultivar SRN-39 has a high level of orobanchol and a much lower level of 5-deoxystrigol in its root exudate (Cobena et al. 2017), conferring resistance to the root parasitic weed Striga hermonthica (Del.) Benth. By contrast, the highly striga-susceptible cultivar Shanqui-Red contains a high level of 5-deoxystrigol and a very low level of orobanchol in its root exudate (Mohemed et al. 2016). Our strigolactone analyses of the seven sorghum genotypes confirmed that SRN-39 produced orobanchol at levels 300 to 1100 times higher than the other six genotypes (Fig. 4). Taking into account the high level of orobanchol produced by SRN-39, we postulate that the high production of orobanchol contributed to the high abundances of certain bacterial groups in the rhizosphere of SRN-39 cultivated in CF soil. Plants produce higher amounts of strigolactones in less fertile soils (Jamil et al. 2014). VD soil is more than twice as fertile as CF soil as assessed by base saturation (Table S1), which might explain why the effect of the SRN-39 cultivar on the microbial community was not significant in VD soil. However, further studies are needed to confirm this hypothesis and to exclude effects of other possible differences in the root exudate compositions of these sorghum genotypes.

In conclusion, this work provides evidence that soil is the main factor driving sorghum rhizosphere bacterial community composition, followed by plant growth stage and genotype. An effect of genotype on the microbial community only became apparent at later stages of growth. Additionally, although cultivar was not the main driver of sorghum rhizosphere bacterial community changes, cultivar SRN-39, which has a distinct strigolactone composition in its root exudate, selects its own rhizosphere bacterial community composition, dependent on the soil microbial pool. Further investigations will reveal the mechanism underlying this specific microbial recruitment process.
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