The ESCRT-III Protein CHMP1A Mediates Secretion of Sonic Hedgehog on a Distinctive Subtype of Extracellular Vesicles

Highlights

- CHMP1A is required for progenitor proliferation in developing brain
- Loss of CHMP1A decreases sonic hedgehog secretion
- Loss of CHMP1A decreases intraluminal vesicles within multivesicular bodies
- CHMP1A regulates SHH secretion on the extracellular vesicle subtype ART-EV

In Brief

Extracellular vesicles (EVs) are essential for cell-to-cell communication in developing brain. Coulter et al. show that the human microcephaly gene CHMP1A is required for neuroprogenitor proliferation through regulation of vesicular secretion of the growth factor sonic hedgehog (SHH). CHMP1A specifically impairs SHH secretion on a distinctive EV subtype, ART-EV.
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SUMMARY

Endosomal sorting complex required for transport (ESCRT) complex proteins regulate biogenesis and release of extracellular vesicles (EVs), which enable cell-to-cell communication in the nervous system essential for development and adult function. We recently showed human loss-of-function (LOF) mutations in ESCRT-III member CHMP1A cause autosomal recessive microcephaly with pontocerebellar hypoplasia, but its mechanism was unclear. Here, we show Chmp1a is required for progenitor proliferation in mouse cortex and cerebellum and progenitor maintenance in human cerebral organoids. In Chmp1a null mice, this defect is associated with impaired sonic hedgehog (Shh) secretion and intraluminal vesicle (ILV) formation in multivesicular bodies (MVBs). Furthermore, we show CHMP1A is important for release of an EV subtype that contains AXL, RAB18, and TMED10 (ART) and SHH. Our findings show CHMP1A loss impairs secretion of SHH on ART-EVs, providing molecular mechanistic insights into the role of ESCRT proteins and EVs in the brain.

INTRODUCTION

Extracellular vesicles (EVs) are increasingly recognized as essential mediators of specialized cellular secretion, but the mechanisms of EV function are not well understood, partly because of the diversity of EV subtypes (Bobrie et al., 2012; Kowal et al., 2016) and the lack of tools to specifically disrupt individual EV subtypes. EVs are essential for cell-to-cell communication, allowing hydrophobic signaling molecules (Korkut et al., 2009), RNA (Tietje et al., 2014), and other specialized cargo (Budnik et al., 2016) to travel through an aqueous extracellular environment. At the Drosophila neuromuscular junction, EV-mediated wingless secretion is required for synapse growth, EV-mediated Synaptotagmin 4 secretion is required for retrograde signaling, and EV-mediated transfer of Arc1 is required for synapse maturation (Koles et al., 2012; Korkut et al., 2013; Ashley et al., 2018). Cultured mammalian
mRNA, a master regulator of activity-dependent glutamate re-in vivo mammalian neurons remain somewhat speculative because EVs may mediate pathological transfer of prion-like pro-tic plasticity by mediating neuron-to-neuron transfer of EV function.

Sonic hedgehog (Shh) is a hydrophobic secreted factor essential for embryonic development, serving as a morphogen (Cohen et al., 2015; Roelink et al., 1995), a mitogen (Nielsen et al., 2003), and a regulator of synapse formation (Harwell et al., 2012). In developing cerebellum, Shh stimulates proliferation of granule cell precursors (GCPs), progenitor cells that generate granule neurons, the most abundant neuron in the brain (Zhou et al., 2007); because of this role, loss of Shh causes profound cerebellar hypoplasia (Corrales et al., 2006). Whereas the source of secreted Shh that regulates GCP proliferation is Purkinje cells (PCs) (Wechsler-Reya and Scott, 1999), the mechanism of Shh secretion is unclear, because studies have reported multiple different secretion mechanisms, including oligomeric complexes (Zeng et al., 2001), lipoprotein particles (Panáková et al., 2005), and EVs (Matusek et al., 2014; Vyas et al., 2014).

Endosomal sorting complex required for transport (ESCRT) machinery regulates EV formation and release, as well as other membrane remodeling processes in the cell. ESCRT members are grouped into four subunits (0–III) that drive different steps in membrane remodeling, including deformation, budding, and scission (McCullough et al., 2013). The ESCRT-III complex comprises eleven subunits designated CHMPs (charged multivesicular body proteins) that are particularly important for membrane scission. Several conflicting potential mechanisms have been proposed to explain why loss-of-function (LOF) mutations in an ESCRT-III member, CHMP1A, cause microcephaly with pon-tocerebellar hypoplasia and short stature in humans (Mochida et al., 2012; Howard et al., 2001). Here, we show that, analogous to Drosophila (Matusek et al., 2014), CHMP1A is an essential mediator of vertebrate SHH secretion during brain development. Chmp1a null mice show widespread defects in forebrain and hindbrain development with evidence of disrupted Shh signaling, which can be rescued by activation of downstream signaling. Furthermore, the Shh protein level in the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) of Chmp1a null embryos is markedly reduced compared to littermate controls. Chmp1a is specifically required for vesicular SHH secretion. Chmp1a loss impairs EV biogenesis by reducing intraluminal vesicles (ILVs) within multivesicular bodies (MVBs) and disrupts secretion of a distinctive SHH-pos-itive EV subtype we call ART-EV (AXL, RAB18, and TMED10 extracellular vesicle). The Chmp1a null mice highlights the di-versity of EV subtypes but also provides a crucial vertebrate model to dissect the various functions of EVs in the nervous system.

RESULTS

Chmp1a Is Required for Embryonic Development

We created a Chmp1a gene trap (GT) mouse line (Figure S1) that removes Chmp1a protein. A GT cassette (Stryke et al., 2003) inserted in intron 1 of Chmp1a contains a strong splice acceptor from En2 fused to the coding sequence for β-galactosidase (Figure S1A). Embryonic stem cells (ESCs) containing this GT allele were injected into mouse blastocysts to generate Chmp1a GT chimeras, which were outcrossed to create germline Chmp1a GT allele carriers. DNA sequencing confirms that in homozygous GT embryos, Chmp1a intron 1 is fused to En intron 1 (Figure S1C). Heterozygous GT mice show reduced Chmp1a protein expression compared to wild-type (WT), and homozygous GT mice express no detectable Chmp1a protein (Figure S1B), confirming a null mutation.

Chmp1a is required for normal embryonic development and postnatal survival in mice, with Chmp1a null embryos being 32% smaller than littermate controls at birth (two-tailed t test, p < 0.0001) (Figures 1A and 1C). Chmp1a null mice die at or soon after birth (Figure S1D), with brains that are 14% smaller than controls (two-tailed t test, p = 0.01) (Figures 1B and 1D), while Chmp1a heterozygous mice are indistin-guishable from WT controls and hence are combined with WT in all analyses (Figures S1E and S1F). Chmp1a null mice have smaller olfactory bulbs, a smaller and thinner cerebral cortex, a smaller striatum, and a smaller cerebellum with reduced foliation (Figures 1E–1H and S1G). Altogether, the reduced body size, microcephaly, reduced basal ganglia, and cerebellar hypoplasia in Chmp1a null mice closely model the phenotype of CHMP1A null patients (Figure S2) (Mochida et al., 2012).

Chmp1a Is Expressed in Postmitotic Neurons and Choroid Plexus during Brain Development

Insertion of lacZ into the Chmp1a locus provided crucial infor-mation about normal expression that suggested potential mech-anisms. Heterozygous GT mice show expression of Chmp1a-lacZ in developing cerebellum and hindbrain choroid plexus (Figures 2A and S3C). At postnatal day (P) 4, the peak of GCP proliferation, Chmp1a-lacZ is specifically expressed in the PC layer (Figure 2A) and is undetectable in GCPs. Immunofluorescence confirmed the localization of Chmp1a protein in choroid plexus and in PCs in the developing cerebellum, with little expression in GCPs of the external granule layer (EGL) (Figures 2B and S3D). RNAscope in developing human cerebellum (gestational week [GW] 20) confirmed CHMP1A expression in the PC layer, identified as the cell layer expressing SHH and super-ficial to the PTCH-positive internal granule layer (Figure 2C), and further showed that SHH, CHMP1A, and CD63 are ex-pressed in the same cell population, suggesting co-expression in PCs (Figure 2D). Immunostaining showed Chmp1a expression in AQP1-positive choroid plexus epithelial cells (Figure S3A), confirming RNA sequencing from purified epithelial cells (Lun et al., 2015). In developing mouse cerebral cortex, Chmp1a immunoreactivity is enriched in postmitotic neurons of the cortical plate compared to ventricular zone progenitors (Figure 2E), and RNAscope in developing human cortex.
GW20 confirmed CHMP1A expression in the cortical plate, along with CD63, whereas the downstream SHH target PTCH is expressed in a complementary fashion in dividing progenitors of the ventricular zone (Figures 2F and S3B). The similar expression patterns of SHH, CD63, and CHMP1A in postmitotic neurons, with the complementary expression of PTCH in progenitors, suggest non-cell-autonomous roles for CHMP1A in proliferation via regulation of one or more secreted factors, such as SHH.

**Chmp1a Is Essential for Neuroprogenitor Proliferation**

Chmp1a null mouse embryos show defects in progenitor proliferation in cerebellum, cortex, and basal ganglia (Figure 3). At P0, the latest age we can study due to lethality, GCP proliferation in the developing cerebellum is significantly impaired in Chmp1a null mice with 38% fewer mitotic GCPs than littermate controls (labeled with phosphorylated histone H3 [pH3], two-tailed t test, p = 0.0001) (Figure 3A). This deficit is twice as large as the reduction in mitotic progenitors in the developing cortex and matches human CHMP1A null patients, whose cerebellar hypoplasia is strikingly severe in relation to more modest microcephaly (Figure S2) (Mochida et al., 2012). The overall size of the cerebellum is already reduced at P0 in Chmp1a null mice (Figures 1G and 1H), with a 23% reduction in mitotic GCP density compared to controls (two-tailed t test, p = 0.0003) (Figure 3A), whereas PCs are not detectably affected in mutant mice (Calbindin-positive area: 37% versus 37%, two-tailed t test, p = 0.97) (Figures S4A and S4B).

Chmp1a null embryos have decreased anterior-to-posterior cerebral cortex length (Figure 1B), a 13% thinner cortex (two-tailed t test, p = 0.03), and defects in cortical layers (Figures 1E and 1H), all suggesting defects in cortical neurogenesis. Superficial cortical layers (II-IV, Cux1-positive neurons) are reduced by 25% in the mutants (two-tailed t test, p = 0.002), while deep cortical layers (V-VI, Ctip2-positive neurons) are less affected (9% reduction, two-tailed t test, p = 0.08) (Figures 1E and 1H). Preferential reduction of upper cortical layers commonly reflects defects of cortical neurogenesis (Lizarraga et al., 2010), because cortical layers form in an inside-out sequence, with deep-layer neurons born first and upper-layer
Figure 2. Chmp1a Is Expressed in Neurons and Choroid Plexus Epithelial Cells in Developing Brain

(A) In P4 cerebellum, Chmp1a-LacZ is detected in the Purkinje cell layer and absent from EGL. LacZ is also strongly expressed in hindbrain choroid plexus (ChP, arrowhead).

(B) Chmp1a immunoreactivity in cerebellar Purkinje cells (P4). Merge of Calbindin and Chmp1a highlights Chmp1a in Purkinje cell cytoplasm and dendrites (arrowhead) with absence from the nucleus (asterisk).

(C) RNAscope expression of \textit{CHMP1A}, \textit{SHH}, and \textit{PTCH} in developing human cerebellum.

(D) RNAscope expression of \textit{CHMP1A}, \textit{SHH}, and \textit{CD63} in developing human cerebellum.

(E) Mouse Chmp1a protein is expressed in postmitotic neurons (Ctip2) in the cerebral cortex, but not in progenitors (Sox2) at E14.5.

(F) \textit{CHMP1A}, \textit{PTCH}, and \textit{CD63} expression in developing human cortex by RNAscope shows analogous localization of CHMP1A in cortical plate (CP) more than in ventricular zone (VZ).

All panels are representative images of \textgreater 2 experiments.
neurons born last (Custo Greig et al., 2013). Quantification of mitotic ventricular zone progenitors at embryonic day (E) 14.5 showed 19% fewer mitotic ventricular zone progenitors in mutants compared to controls (two-tailed t test, p = 0.004) (Figure 3B), and at E13.5, mutant embryos had 26% fewer Tbr2-positive intermediate progenitors than controls. Control, n = 6; Chmp1a null, n = 6. (D) MGE and LGE show 34% fewer ph3-positive mitotic progenitors in Chmp1a null embryos. Control, n = 3; Chmp1a null, n = 3. (E) In situ hybridization (ISH) for Ptc1 shows reduced expression in Chmp1a null P0 cerebellum. Control, n = 8; Chmp1a null, n = 3. (F) CSF concentration of Shh in the 4th ventricle at E14.5 is reduced 38% in Chmp1a null embryos. Control, n = 10; Chmp1a null, n = 5. Two-tailed, unpaired t test, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01. Error bars are SEM.

Figure 3. Chmp1a Is Required for Progenitor Proliferation in Telencephalon and Hindbrain
(A) Chmp1a null P0 pups have 38% fewer mitotic GCPs than control littermates. Control, n = 7; Chmp1a null, n = 4.
(B) 19% reduction in pH3-positive mitotic cortical progenitors in E14.5 Chmp1a null embryos. Control, n = 13; Chmp1a null, n = 10.
(C) E13.5 Chmp1a null embryos have 26% fewer Tbr2-positive intermediate progenitors than controls. Control, n = 6; Chmp1a null, n = 6.
(D) MGE and LGE show 34% fewer ph3-positive mitotic progenitors in Chmp1a null embryos. Control, n = 3; Chmp1a null, n = 3.
(E) In situ hybridization (ISH) for Ptc1 shows reduced expression in Chmp1a null P0 cerebellum. Control, n = 8; Chmp1a null, n = 3.
(F) CSF concentration of Shh in the 4th ventricle at E14.5 is reduced 38% in Chmp1a null embryos. Control, n = 10; Chmp1a null, n = 5. Two-tailed, unpaired t test, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01. Error bars are SEM.

Two-tailed, unpaired t test, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01. Error bars are SEM.

Chmp1a Is Essential for Shh-Mediated Progenitor Proliferation
Because Shh is the primary mitogen that drives GCP proliferation (Corrales et al., 2004; Dahmane and Ruiz i Altaba, 1999), reduced GCP proliferation in Chmp1a null mice prompted examination of whether Chmp1a is required for Shh-mediated proliferation. In control mice at birth, Shh signaling is more active anteriorly than dorsally in the cerebellum (Corrales et al., 2004), with almost twice the number of mitotic GCPs (ph3+) in anterior EGL compared to dorsal EGL. In Chmp1a null mice, this difference was substantially reduced to 1.28-fold (two-tailed t test, p = 0.005) (Figures S4F and S4G), consistent with defective Shh signaling. In situ hybridization for Ptc1, a downstream target of Shh signaling, revealed lower...
Ptch expression in Chmp1a null cerebellum that was especially pronounced in the Shh-responsive EGL (Figure 3E), providing further evidence for decreased Shh signaling in the absence of Chmp1a.

**Chmp1a Is Required for Shh Secretion In Vivo**

Direct measurement of total Shh protein concentration with a highly sensitive ELISA showed 38% less Shh in embryonic CSF from Chmp1a null mouse embryos compared to controls at E14.5 (two-tailed t test, p = 0.009) (Figure 3F), providing direct evidence that Chmp1a is required for Shh secretion in the developing brain. In parallel, smoothened agonist (SAG) stimulation of Chmp1a null and control MEFs showed no significant defect in downstream Shh signaling, as measured by Gli1 activation (two-tailed t test, p = 0.39) (Figure S5H), consistent with Chmp1a acting upstream of Shh. Furthermore, we performed a rescue experiment with a Ptch heterozygous null mouse, a genetic tool that increases Shh signaling in vivo (Goodrich et al., 1997), and found that Chmp1a null embryo microcephaly at E18.5 was reversed in Chmp1a null:Ptch heterozygous embryos and not significantly different from control (two-tailed t test, p = 0.22) (Figure S5G). This result provides additional evidence that microcephaly in Chmp1a null mice reflects decreased Shh function.

**CHMP1A Is Essential for Human Cerebral Organoid Formation**

To further examine CHMP1A’s role in SHH-driven neuroprogenitor proliferation, we generated CHMP1A null human cerebral organoids using CRISPR/Cas9 mutagenesis and found impaired progenitor maintenance and premature neuronal differentiation (Figures 4A and S6A). Immunostaining of day-38 organoids for PAX6 and CTIP2 showed a 65% decrease of PAX6-positive progenitor cell area and 130% increase of CTIP2-positive postmitotic neuron area (two-tailed t test; CTIP2, p = 0.001; PAX6, p = 0.003) (Figures 4B and 4C). RNA sequencing of induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) before differentiation, organoids at day 14 during differentiation, and organoids at day 38 after differentiation (Figures S6B and S6C) further defined the defect in organoid formation as impaired progenitor maintenance and proliferation.
premature neuronal differentiation. Gene ontology (GO) pathway analysis of upregulated genes in CHMP1A null organoids showed enrichment of terms for neuronal differentiation (Figure 4D). RNA sequencing revealed distinct groups of up- and downregulated genes in the absence of CHMP1A, including loss of progenitor markers (SOX1, SOX2, HMGA1, and HESS) and early induction of differentiated neuron markers (DCX, SYT4, ROBO2, and NRXN1) (DESeq2 adjusted p value, each gene: p < 0.05) (Figures 4E and 4F; Tables S1 and S2). CHMP1A null day-38 organoids correlated more closely with RNA sequencing data from GW12 human cortex (Allen Institute), while control organoids correlated more closely with GW9 cortex, providing additional evidence for premature differentiation (Figure 4G). By RNA sequencing at day 38, CHMP1A null organoids show decreased expression of progenitor neuron marker PAX6 and increased expression of postmitotic neuron marker CTIP2 (Figure 4H).

RNA sequencing revealed impaired SHH signaling with 48% reduction of GLI1 expression in mutant iPSCs at the start of organoid differentiation (DESeq2 adjusted p value, iPS: p < 0.0001) (Figure 4I). Activation of SHH signaling with exogenous administration of SAG on differentiation day 35 (with harvest on day 38) (Figure 4A) induced GLI1 and PTCH expression equally in WT and CHMP1A null organoids (two-tailed t test; GLI1, p = 0.75; PTCH, p = 0.84), suggesting no downstream SHH signaling defect in the absence of CHMP1A (Figure 4J). Rather, SHH signaling activation rescued decreased expression of PAX6 in CHMP1A null organoids, a defining marker of impaired progenitor maintenance in the absence of CHMP1A (DESeq2 adjusted p value; PAX6 no treatment, p < 0.0001; PAX6 +SAG, p = 0.11) (Figure 4K), although some gene expression differences were not rescued (Figure S7). These data suggest that progenitor proliferation and maintenance defects in the absence of CHMP1A result from upstream impairment of SHH signaling via decreased SHH secretion, although other functions of CHMP1A may also play a role.

**Defective ILV and MVB Structure in Chmp1a Null Embryos**

Because Shh secretion has been reported on EVs and ESCRT-III is involved in ILV biogenesis in MVBs, we used three-dimensional focused ion beam (FIB) scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (Xu et al., 2017) to examine choroid plexus (ChP) epithelial cells, a primary source of Shh in embryonic mouse brain (Nielsen and Dymecki, 2010; Lun et al., 2015), and found abundant MVBs near the ventricular surface. SEM revealed choroid plexus’s remarkably structure as a monolayer of epithelial cells forming grape-like clusters that maximize ventricular surface area (Figure 5A) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) showed the ventricular surface cross-section (Figure 5B). FIB-SEM imaging revealed that 75% of MVBs in choroid plexus epithelial cells were located within 2 μm of the ventricular surface, raising the possibility that some could be secretory MVBs (Figures 5C and 5D). Supporting this idea, one MVB was in contact with the ventricular surface membrane (Figure 5E). CHMP1A immunoreactivity in human hindbrain choroid plexus epithelial cells distributed with CD63-immunoreactive MVBs near the ventricular surface (Figure S6A), providing additional evidence of secretory MVBs. MVB fusion with the plasma membrane releases ILVs as EVs and exosomes (Tietje et al., 2014). Thus, our imaging provides evidence that choroid plexus epithelial cells are a source of EVs in the developing brain.

TEM showed disrupted MVB structure in Chmp1a null embryonic choroid plexus. The number of ILVs per MVB was 37% lower in mutant choroid plexus epithelial cells than in control (Mann-Whitney test, p = 0.0003) (Figures 5F, 5G, and S6C), and some Chmp1a null MVBs contained abnormally large ILVs (Figure 5F, arrowhead), a known consequence of impaired ILV budding (Lee et al., 2007). Apart from the observed defects in EV biogenesis, the choroid plexus ventricular surface and microvilli appeared otherwise normal in Chmp1a null embryos (Figure S8B). As in choroid plexus epithelial cells, P0 mutant cerebellar PC MVBs showed 24% fewer ILVs per MVB compared to controls (Mann-Whitney test, p = 0.0005) (Figures 5H, 5I, and S8D). These data raise the possibility that defective Shh secretion from choroid plexus in CSF and from PCs in cerebellum may involve defective ILV formation in the MVB. In addition, we did not observe defects in two other ESCRT functions, cytokinesis and epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) degradation, in the absence of Chmp1a. As described earlier, cytokinesis was not impaired in Chmp1a null cortical progenitors and CHMP1A null iPSCs (Figures S5A–S5C). We measured degradation of EGFR following EGF stimulation—an established measure of ESCRT-mediated MVB-to-lysosome maturation (Slagsvold et al., 2009)—in HeLa cells and found no detectable difference in EGFR degradation in CHMP1A-depleted cells compared to controls (Figures S5E and S5F).

TEM showed MVBs are abundant in dendrites of both PC and cortical projection neurons. At P4, cerebellar PC MVBs are common in the dendrites that extend toward the EGL, where Shh-responsive GCPs are located (Figure S9A). In mouse cerebral cortex, serial reconstruction of hundreds of TEM images of cortical pyramidal neurons (Lee et al., 2016) shows that MVBs are surprisingly abundant in pyramidal cell dendrites (Figure S9B). The dendritic arbor and axon of a single pyramidal cell contained at least 80 MVBs, often near synapses, which could be interpreted as active dendritic EV secretion sites. Shh released from pyramidal cell dendrites during postnatal development is required for synapse formation between layer V corticofugal projection neurons and callus projection neurons in the cortex (Harwell et al., 2012). These findings suggest EV-mediated secretion is widespread across multiple time points and anatomic regions of the developing brain.

**CHMP1A Is Required for Vesicular SHH Secretion In Vitro**

To dissect the mechanism underlying regulation of SHH secretion by CHMP1A, we used the human fetal glial cell line SVG-A and generated CHMP1A null monoclonal lines through CRISPR/Cas9 mutagenesis (Figures S10A and S10B). TEM of mutant cells showed a 57% reduction of ILVs per MVB in CHMP1A null cells compared to WT (Mann-Whitney test, p = 0.005) (Figures 6A and 6B), confirming our in vivo results.
from choroid plexus and PCs (Figure 5). Serial ultracentrifugation of conditioned medium obtained from SHH-expressing SVG-A cells enabled EV collection and initial separation based on size (Figure 6C) (Experimental Procedures) (Kowal et al., 2016): large EVs and cell debris pelleted in the 2,000 $g$ (2K) fraction, medium-sized EVs pelleted in the 10,000 $g$ (10K) fraction, and small EVs, including microvesicles and exosomes, pelleted in the 100,000 $g$ (100K) fraction. The exosome-enriched markers CD9, CD81, CD63, TSG101, and Syntenin were either restricted to or highly concentrated in the 100K pellet, while exosome-excluded markers, such as actin and endoplasmic reticulum (ER)-resident protein GP96 were absent from this fraction (Figure 6D), confirming previous reports (Lo¨tvall et al., 2014). In the CHMP1A null 100K pellet, CD63 was decreased 36% and Syntenin was decreased 55% (two-tailed t test; CD63, $p = 0.001$; Syntenin, $p = 0.037$), while CD9, CD81, and TSG101 were not detectably changed (two-tailed t test; CD9, $p = 0.42$; CD81, $p = 0.75$; TSG101, $p = 0.61$) (Figure 6E). Although SHH was detected in all vesicular fractions, it was significantly decreased only in the 100K EV fraction derived from CHMP1A null cells (49% reduction, two-tailed t test, $p = 0.003$) (Figure 6F). Similar results were obtained using a heterogeneous pool of CHMP1A null cells (Figure S10C) instead of a monoclonal population. The 100K fraction contained biologically active SHH that induced Gli1 expression in NIH 3T3 cells. At equal protein content, the signaling potency of the 100K EV fraction from CHMP1A null cells was reduced 79% compared to EVs of WT cells (6 $\mu$g protein, two-tailed t test, $p = 0.008$) (Figure 6G), demonstrating that CHMP1A is required for secretion of active SHH-containing EVs.

**SHH Is Secreted on a Specific EV Subtype**

Because the 100K pellet formed following ultracentrifugation is a complex mixture of several distinct EV subtypes, we used immunosolation to determine which subtype was SHH positive (Figure 7A) (Kowal et al., 2016). We immunosolated with anti-CD9 or anti-CD63 antibodies to test for the presence of SHH on exosomes. Western blot (WB) analysis of bound and unbound material showed modest SHH amounts on CD9-positive EVs and minimal SHH on CD63-positive EVs (Figure 7B). Similarly, we observed minimal co-localization of SHH and either CD9 or CD63 by confocal immunofluorescence microscopy (Figure 7C), suggesting that most SHH in the 100K fraction is secreted on vesicles distinct from classical exosomes.

To define the hallmark of SHH-bound vesicles, we immunosolated SHH-positive EVs from the 100K pellet and subjected the
bound material to mass spectrometry analysis to identify other components of these EVs (Figure 7D). This analysis revealed a unique set of proteins significantly enriched in the SHH fraction, including Ras-related protein Rab-18 (RAB18), tyrosine-protein kinase receptor UFO (AXL), and transmembrane emp24 domain-containing protein 10 (TMED10), that have not been reported as major components of exosomes (Welch’s t test; RAB18, p = 0.008; AXL, p < 0.001; TMED10, p = 0.001) (Figure 7E; Table S3) (Lo¨tvall et al., 2014). Moreover, the exosomal markers CD63, CD9, and Syntenin were not enriched, while CD81 was present (Table S3). By immunoprecipitation of CD63 or SHH, we found that RAB18, AXL, and TMED10 mark a specific EV subtype that is distinct from CD63-positive exosomes (Figure 7F), which we label ART-EV (AXL, RAB18, and TMED10 EV).

CHMP1A is Present on ART-EVs
ESCRT components participate in the process of exosome biogenesis, but they are also found associated with EVs in the extracellular environment (Chiasserini et al., 2014). To investigate whether CHMP1A is associated with ART-EVs, we isolated SHH-containing vesicles from the 100K fractions of SVG-A WT and CHMP1A null cells by immunoprecipitation. WB analysis of the bound fractions revealed the presence of CHMP1A only in ART-EVs recovered from WT cells, confirming the specificity of the detection (Figure S10 D). In contrast, AXL and RAB18 were similarly detected in ART-EVs from both WT and CHMP1A null cells. High-resolution time-lapse confocal microscopy of the intracellular co-distribution of ectopically expressed SHH and CHMP1A in live SVG-A cells showed that both proteins distributed mostly in independent compartments, but a subset of CHMP1A and SHH structures overlap over time (Figure S10E; Video S1). Altogether, these findings suggest that CHMP1A physically associates with SHH-positive ART-EVs. To confirm that ART-EVs are present in the adult nervous system in vivo, we isolated the 100K EV fraction from human adult CSF (collected from a patient with glioblastoma multiforme) and purified SHH-containing vesicles, as well as CD63-positive exosomes from the 100K CSF pellet, by immunoprecipitation. Pull-down of SHH co-purified AXL and RAB18, which were absent from the CD63-positive exosome fraction (Figure 7G), thus confirming the specific protein signature of ART-EVs identified in...
SVG-A cells. Altogether, our data strongly suggest that SHH is secreted in vivo on a distinctive EV subtype: ART-EV.

**DISCUSSION**

By characterizing Chmp1a null mice and CHMP1A null human cerebral organoids, we show that CHMP1A is essential for neural progenitor proliferation and maintenance. Furthermore, we can be ascribed to impaired SHH signaling and the specific defect in SHH secretion we demonstrate. We define a specific EV subtype, ART-EV, on which SHH is secreted, and show that ART-EVs exist in vivo. Our findings provide evidence for a previously undescribed mechanism of SHH secretion in vertebrate brain development with broad potential relevance to secretion of growth factors and bioactive molecules in the CNS.
Chmp1a Null Phenotype Is Consistent with Hypomorphic Shh Signaling

Several of the most obvious neurodevelopmental defects in Chmp1a null mice—including a thin cerebral cortex, small basal ganglia, cerebellar hypoplasia, small somatic size, and perinatal lethality—are consistent with partial loss of Shh, because these defects have been observed in previous mouse models of decreased Shh signaling through hypomorphic alleles (Huang et al., 2007; Chamberlain et al., 2008). In addition, both impaired progenitor maintenance in CHMP1A null organoids and microcephaly in Chmp1a null mice can be partially rescued by downstream Shh signaling activation either chemically or genetically. However, the lack of digit or spinal cord patterning defects in Chmp1a null mice compared to null Shh mutations (Zhu and Mackem, 2011; Fuccillo et al., 2006) is consistent with our observed incomplete blockage of Shh secretion and the observation that spinal cord Shh is secreted on large EVs (Tanaka et al., 2005), whereas depletion of CHMP1A specifically impairs secretion of SHH on small EVs (Figure 6F). However, the absence of these phenotypes and the lack of strong dysregulation of SHH signaling in CHMP1A null organoids, as well as incomplete correction of defects in some progenitor and differentiation markers in CHMP1A null organoids by SAG rescue (Figure S7), highlights the complexity of the system and leaves open the possibility that CHMP1A may regulate other pathways.

Multiple Specialized SHH Secretion Mechanisms

In vivo Hedgehog (Hh) secretion has been studied primarily in the Drosophila imaginal disk, where the proposed underlying mechanism remains controversial. Matusek et al. (2014) showed Hh secretion occurs on larger EVs called ecosomes released from the plasma membrane in an ESCRT-dependent manner. In their study, disruption of MVB biogenesis did not impair Hh secretion, suggesting it did not occur via MVB-derived exosomes. In contrast, Parchure et al. (2015) reported Hh secretion via ESCRT-mediated ecosome-like vesicles derived from MVBs. Shh secretion at the embryonic mouse ventral node appears to occur via large, plasma membrane-derived EVs (Tanaka et al., 2005), which are not obviously impaired in the absence of Chmp1a. These results highlight the complexity and confusion surrounding vesicular Shh secretion. Our finding that small EVs distinct from exosomes carry Shh in developing mammalian brain highlights the diverse mechanisms of Shh secretion.

**SHH Secretion via ART-EVs**

We report SHH secretion on a specific EV subtype, ART-EV, defined by marker proteins that distinguish it from CD63-positive exosomes. To our knowledge, RAB18, AXL, and TMED10 have not been previously reported as components of SHH-positive EVs and thus can provide insights into the mechanism of SHH secretion. RAB18 is a protein with emerging roles in ER to Golgi retrograde trafficking and other cellular trafficking (Vazquez-Martinez et al., 2007; Dejgaard et al., 2008), and LOF mutations in RAB18 are associated with Warburg micro syndrome, establishing a critical role of RAB18 in eye and brain development and neurodegeneration (Bern et al., 2011). The transmembrane proteins AXL and TMED10 may function in biogenesis of specific vesicles in SHH-producing cells, because AXL is a receptor tyrosine kinase expressed at the plasma membrane that is required in microglia for apoptotic cell removal (O’Bryan et al., 1991; Fourgeaud et al., 2016) and TMED10 (TMP21/P23) is a transmembrane protein that is involved in early secretory pathway trafficking and is a member of the Presenilin complex (Blum et al., 1996; Chen et al., 2006).

**CHMP1A Regulates Secretion of Multiple EV Subtypes**

Previous studies have established important roles for different members of the ESCRT machinery in ILV biogenesis (Colombo et al., 2013; Baietti et al., 2012). We found fewer ILVs within MVBs in the absence of CHMP1A and, consistent with this phenotype, observed a decrease in secretion of CD63-positive EVs. Exosomes have been defined as EVs of MVB origin co-enriched in tetraspanins CD9, CD81, and CD63 and endosome markers TSG101 or Syntenin (Lötvall et al., 2014). However, in our experimental system, we observed reduced secretion of some exosome markers (CD63 and Syntenin), but not others (CD9, CD81, and TSG101), indicating the existence of a heterogeneous EV population in the 100K fraction. This finding supports previous work suggesting that MVB-derived EVs are heterogeneous with respect to their size and cargo, that cells contain distinct MVBs, and that ILVs of the same MVB display different structures and compositions (Colombo et al., 2013; Briebie et al., 2012; van Niel et al., 2001; Février and Raposo, 2004; Möbius et al., 2002; White et al., 2006). Although the parallel effects of Chmp1a loss on both MVB structure and ART-EV secretion may be explained by an MVB origin of ART-EVs, additional experiments would be required to definitively determine whether ART-EVs derive from the MVB or the plasma membrane.

**Many Potential EV Functions in the CNS**

Whereas the developmental defects caused by the absence of CHMP1A—microcephaly, cerebellar hypoplasia, and short stature—highlight the importance and widespread function of EV-mediated cellular communication during development, the persistence of ART-EVs in the adult human CSF suggests that there may be continued requirements for EV-mediated factor secretion in adult brain. The widespread distribution of MVBs along dendrites of pyramidal neurons in the cerebral cortex hints at complex forms of cellular communication, perhaps involving retrograde synaptic signaling. Two recently published papers show that EVs mediate transfer of the synaptic plasticity regulator Arc between neurons and from neurons to muscles, illustrating the widespread function of EVs in neuronal communication (Pastuzyn et al., 2018; Ashley et al., 2018). In providing a vertebrate model with specific defects in EV function in the CNS, we provide a potential tool to assess the functions of EVs in these diverse and fundamental processes.
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## STAR★METHODS

### KEY RESOURCES TABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Antibodies</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat anti L1CAM</td>
<td>Millipore</td>
<td>MAB5272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti TUJ1</td>
<td>Biolegend</td>
<td>801201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti CHMP1A (WB)</td>
<td>Stan Hollenberg</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti CHMP1A (IHC)</td>
<td>ProteinTech</td>
<td>15761-1-AP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti Beta actin</td>
<td>AbcamTech</td>
<td>AC-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti Calbindin</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>AF2E5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti AQP1</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>SC25287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat anti CTIP2</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>AB18465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goat anti SOX2</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>SC17330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti CD63</td>
<td>Pelicluster</td>
<td>M1544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti CX1</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>SC13024 M-222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat anti phospho Histone H3</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>H9908</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti SHH</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>AB73958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti Cleaved caspase 3</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>AB13847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti Beta catenin</td>
<td>BD</td>
<td>610153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti Atypical PKC</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>SC216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti Aurora A</td>
<td>BD</td>
<td>611082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti CD9</td>
<td>Millipore</td>
<td>CBL162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti CD9</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>ab92276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti CD81</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>sc-166029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti CD81</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>ab59477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti TSG101</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>ab83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti TSG101</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher</td>
<td>MA1-23296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti EGFR</td>
<td>Genentech</td>
<td>13-A9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti Syntenin</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>ab133267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat anti GP96</td>
<td>Enzo Life Sciences</td>
<td>ADI-SPA-850-F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti AXL</td>
<td>Cell Signaling</td>
<td>8661S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti RAB18</td>
<td>MilliporeSigma</td>
<td>SAB4200173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti TMED10</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>HPA047139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goat anti SHH</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>sc-1194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti PAX6</td>
<td>Covance</td>
<td>PRB-278P-100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Biological Samples</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human CSF</td>
<td>Eric Wong</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human choroid plexus</td>
<td>Hart Lidov</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoothened agonist (SAG)</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>ab142160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Critical Commercial Assays</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynabeads Antibody Coupling Kit</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
<td>14311D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNAScope</td>
<td>ACDBio</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shh ELISA</td>
<td>R&amp;D Systems</td>
<td>MSHH00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Deposited Data</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNA sequencing</td>
<td>GEO</td>
<td>GSE115867</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteomics</td>
<td>ProteomeXchange</td>
<td>PXD007990</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued on next page)
### CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by Lead Contact, Christopher A. Walsh (christopher.walsh@childrens.harvard.edu).

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Experimental Models: Cell Lines</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVG-A</td>
<td>T. Kirchhausen</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iPSC (IMR90)</td>
<td>WiCell</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIH 3T3</td>
<td>ATCC</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chmp1a null MEF</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Experimental Models: Organisms/Strains</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chmp1a GT mouse</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ptch mutant mouse</td>
<td>JAX</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oligonucleotides</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHMP1A gRNA</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luciferase gRNA</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gli1 qPCR F primer</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gli1 qPCR R primer</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rpl27 qPCR F primer</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rpl27 qPCR R primer</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chmp1a WT F primer</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chmp1a GT F primer</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chmp1a WT R primer</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chmp1a GT R primer</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse Ptch in situ probe</td>
<td>Constance Cepko</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mNG-SHH</td>
<td>Corey Harwell</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TagRFP-CHMP1A</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cas9 GFP</td>
<td>Kirin Musunuru</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cas9-E2-Crimson</td>
<td>Feng Zhang</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gRNA backbone</td>
<td>Kirin Musunuru</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHMP1A siRNA</td>
<td>Thermo</td>
<td>4392420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control siRNA</td>
<td>Thermo</td>
<td>4390843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNAscope probe Hs CD63</td>
<td>ACDBio</td>
<td>505901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNAscope probe Hs SHH</td>
<td>ACDBio</td>
<td>600951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNAscope probe Hs PTCH</td>
<td>ACDBio</td>
<td>422161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNAscope probe Hs CHMP1A</td>
<td>ACDBio</td>
<td>505911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Software and Algorithms</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visiview</td>
<td>Visitron Systems</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imaris</td>
<td>Bitplane</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ImageLab</td>
<td>Bio-Rad</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFX Manager</td>
<td>Bio-Rad</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ImageJ</td>
<td>NIH</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XCalibur</td>
<td>Thermo</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSConver</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MaxQuant</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prostar</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prism 7</td>
<td>GraphPad</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Human Samples
Operating under an approved institutional review board (IRB) protocol, normal human newborn choroid plexus was identified and collected at autopsy by pathologists at Boston Children’s Hospital.

Operating under an approved institutional review board (IRB) protocol, adult human CSF was collected by neurologists at Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center.

Operating under an approved institutional review board (IRB) protocol, GW20 human fetal whole brain tissue was collected at autopsy by pathologists at Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center.

Animal Use
All animals were cared for humanly and all experiments were approved by Boston Children's Hospital IACUC. All mice for analysis were collected during embryonic ages or on the day of birth (P0), and because the animals were so young, the sex could not be readily determined.

Chmp1a GT mouse generation and mouse breeding
Mouse ES cells with a GT cassette inserted into Chmp1a were obtained from BayGenomics (B6;129P2-Chmp1a^{Gt(XC472)Byg}/Mmucd) and injected into blastocysts of WT mice. Resulting chimeras were out crossed with WT C57/Bi6 mice to generate heterozygous GT mice. Heterozygous GT mice were backcrossed to C57/Bi6 for 7-8 generations. Mouse DNA was genotyped with the following primers: WT primer F: GAGACAGCGGGTGCCCTAAC, WT primer R: AACACACACTCGAACCGAAAG, GT primer F: GAGACAGCGGGTGCCCTAAC, GT primer R: GGTCTTAGCTGAGGTCTCG.

Ptch null mouse
Ptch null mouse line (Goodrich et al., 1997) was purchased from Jackson Labs and genotyped according to their protocol.

CHMP1A null cell line generation
Monoclonal SVG-A CHMP1A null line and iPSC CHMP1A null lines were generated using CRISPR-Cas9 mutagenesis (Veres et al., 2014): Cas9 was expressed from a plasmid encoding Cas9 and GFP (Gift of Chad Cowan and Kirin Musunuru), gRNA targeting CHMP1A was expressed from a co-transfected plasmid, CHMP1A protospacer sequence: GAAGGACTCCAAGGCGGAGC. GFP positive cells were grown as single colonies isolated and then sequenced to identify homozygous frameshift mutations in CHMP1A. Controls were monoclonal lines from the same experiments shown to be WT by Sanger sequencing. Primers used to genotype were F: GAAGACAGCGGGTGCCCTAAC, R: CAGAAGACAAACCAGGAGAGTCA.

Heterogeneous CHMP1A null cell line generation
SVG-A CHMP1A null cell line (heterogenous pool of either WT or KO cells) was generated using CRISPR-Cas9 mutagenesis (Veres et al., 2014): Cas9 was expressed from a lentiviral plasmid (Gift of Feng Zhang, MIT) also encoding the far-red fluorescent protein E2-Crimson, the puromycin resistance gene, and gRNA targeting CHMP1A (GAAGGACTCCAAGGCGGAGC). Lentivirus was harvested 48 h after transfecting the Cas9 packaging plasmids together with plasmids encoding HIV Gag-Pol and VSV-G envelope in Hek293T cells using JetPrime (Polyplus transfection) according to the manufacturer's instructions. SVG-A cells were incubated with lentivirus for 24 h in the presence of 1 μg/ml Polybrene (EMD Millipore). 3 days later, cells were exposed to puromycin (2 μg/ml) for one week for selection, after which survivors were bulk sorted by FACS based on high E2-Crimson expression. A CRISPR control cell line was generated using a similar strategy and a lentivirus construct encoding gRNA targeting the luciferase gene (CTTCGAAATGTCCGTTCTG).

Cell lines and culture conditions
Human brain astroglial cell line SVG-A and mouse fibroblast line NIH 3T3 were cultured at 37°C and 5% CO2 in high-glucose DMEM (GIBCO) supplemented with 10% FCS and 1% penicillin-streptomycin. iPSCs (line IMR90-4, from WiCell) were grown on Matrigel (BD) in mTESR media (Stem Cell Tech). MEFs were cultured in DMEM high glucose supplemented with 10% FBS, 1% P/S, and 2 mM L-glutamine.

Cerebral organoid culture and isolation
Cerebral organoids were harvested at 14 days or 38 days post differentiation, and generated according to the following method: iPSC colonies were grown on a feeder layer of MitC treated mouse embryonic fibroblasts (35,000 cells/cm²) and cultured in W0 medium (DMEM/F12, 20% KOSR, 2 mM L-glutamine, 1x NEAA, 1x P/S, 50 μM B-mercaptoethanol (Thermofisher) + 8 ng/ml FGF2 (added fresh daily, Sigma). After iPSC colonies reached 2mm in diameter, they were liftet with a cell scraper and transferred to ultra-low attachment 60mm culture dishes (Comin), containing W0 + 1x sodium pyruvate (Differentiation medium, no FGF2). After 24 hours, initial embryoid bodies are formed (defined as day 0) and 50% of medium was replaced with Differentiation medium + small molecule inhibitors to the following final concentrations: 10 μM SB-431542 (Sigma), 1 μM Dorsomorphin (Sigma), 3 μM IWR-1-Endo (Sigma) and
1 μM Cyclopamine (Sigma). Differentiation medium + small molecules was then replaced every other day. On day 4, 60mm plates containing organoids were placed on a hi/lo rocker inside the incubator. On day 18, medium was replaced with Neurobasal/N2 medium (Neurobasal, 1x N2 supplement, 2 mM L-glutamine, 1x P/S) supplemented with 1 μM Cyclopamine. On day 26 medium was replaced with Neurobasal/N2 medium without cyclopamine. At day 35, organoids were cultured in Neurobasal/N2 medium supplemented with 1 μM SAG (treated), or DMSO (control), and refreshed daily. At day 38 organoids were isolated in TRIzol (Thermofisher) for RNA extraction. For immunostainings, organoids were washed twice with PBS and fixed by incubation for 10 minutes in 3.8% PFA / PBS. After fixation, organoids were washed three times in 0.1% BSA / PBS. Then organoids were incubated in 15% sucrose / PBS at 4°C for 2 hours, followed by incubation in 30% sucrose / PBS overnight at 4°C. Using a cut off pipette tip, single organoids were transferred to embedding molds containing Shandon M-1 embedding matrix (Thermofisher) and stored at −80°C.

Generation of Chmp1a null MEFs
Chmp1a GT heterozygous mice were crossed and E14-15 embryos were collected. Embryos were decapitated and visceral organs removed. The remaining tissue was dissociated with Trypsin and then plated and maintained in DMEM high glucose supplemented with 10% FBS, 1% P/S, and 2 mM L-glutamine. MEFs were assayed before passage 4.

METHOD DETAILS

pH3 analysis
Matching coronal telencephalon sections at E12.5 or E14.5, or midline sagittal cerebellum sections at P0 were immunostained for pH3. pH3+ cells lining the cortical ventricular surface were counted at E14.5, pH3+ cells in the MGE and LGE were counted at E12.5, and pH3+ cells in the cerebellar EGL were counted at P0.

LacZ staining
Chemical staining for beta-galactosidase activity was performed with the beta-gal staining kit from Invitrogen (K146501). Briefly, tissue was fixed overnight in 2% gluteraldehyde, microtome sectioned (70 μM), and then stained for beta-gal activity according to the kit instructions.

In situ hybridization
In situ hybridization was performed as previously described (Arlotta et al., 2005). Ptc1 in situ probe was a gift from C Cepko and A Joyner. RNA was synthesized with the Megascript kit from Invitrogen. DIG dNTPs and anti-DIG Fab fragments were ordered from Roche.

Mouse embryo CSF collection and SHH ELISA
CSF was collected from the 4th ventricle of E14.5-E15.5 Chmp1a null mouse embryos and littermate controls using a pulled micropipette. CSF was centrifuged at 10000 G for 5 minutes and then used in the SHH ELISA. SHH ELISA kit was purchased from R and D systems (MSHH00) and used according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Human choroid plexus immunostaining
Choroid plexus tissue was fixed in 4% PFA, frozen, and sectioned at 15 μm on a cryostat. Cultured iPSCs were fixed with 4% PFA for 10 minutes at RT. Tissue or cells were permeabilized with 0.04% Tween in PBS and blocked in 0.04% tween, 2.5% donkey serum, and 2.5% goat serum in PBS. Primary antibodies were diluted with primary antibody diluting in blocking buffer overnight at 4C. Sections or cells were then stained with Alexa secondary antibodies and Hoechst. Imaging was done on a Zeiss 510 confocal microscope.

Mouse brain and human organoid immunostaining
Tissue was fixed overnight at 4 C in 4% PFA and sectioned at 70μm using a Vibratome. Antigen retrieval was performed with Retrie-vagen A. Tissue was permeabilized and blocked in 3% BSA, 0.3% Triton X-100, 0.3% sodium azide in PBS. Primary antibodies were diluted in blocking buffer and incubated overnight at 4 C. Sections were then stained with Alexa secondary antibodies and Hoechst. Imaging was done on a Zeiss 510 confocal microscope.

Two results confirm the specificity of the CHMP1A antibody used for immunostaining (ProteinTech 15761-1-AP). First, immunoblot of Chmp1a null MEF cell lysate with this antibody shows no reactivity (Figure S1B). Second, tissue sections from Chmp1a null mice incubated with this antibody show nearly complete loss of signal (Figure S3D).

RNAscope
RNAscope on human fetal brain tissue was performed according to manufacturer’s protocol (ACDbio).
RNA sequencing library preparation
Cerebral organoid RNA was isolated according to standard TRizol protocol. RNA was treated with DNaseI (Roche) according to standard protocol for DNA clean-up in RNA samples. RNA was then isolated by column purification (Zymo RNA clean & concentrator 5) and stored at -80 °C. For RNA sequencing, first mRNA was isolated from total RNA using polyA selection Dynabeads mRNA DIRECT Micro Purification Kit (Thermofisher). Library was prepared using strand-specific Ion Total RNA-Seq Kit v2 (Thermofisher) and Ion Xpress RNA-Seq Barcode 1-16 (Thermofisher) to label different samples. Sequencing was done using IonProton sequencer, generating single-end reads of around 100bp in length (Thermofisher).

Mapping of RNA sequencing data
RNA sequencing data was processed using the Tuxedo package. Briefly, samples were mapped using Tophat2 (Kim et al., 2013), using Bowtie2 (Langmead and Salzberg, 2012) as the underlying alignment tool. The iPSC input fastq files consisted of paired end reads with each end containing 100bp for iPSC data. The cerebral organoid input fastq consisted of single-end reads of around 100bp length for cerebral organoid data. The target genome assembly for these samples was GRCh38/UCSC hg38, and Tophat was additionally supplied with the gene annotation of ENSEMBL84 (GRCh38.p5). Reads mapped per exon were counted using HT-Seq count (union mode) and summed per corresponding gene. HT-Seq count output was normalized using DEseq2, and pairwise comparisons were made to determine significant differences in control and CHMP1A null iPSCs or cerebral organoids. Pairwise comparisons were made based on the negative binomial distribution, where the Wald test was used to test for significance. Independent filtering was performed with default settings to correct for multiple testing and the resulting adjusted p values are shown (Love et al., 2014). For generation of heatmaps, z-score was calculated using log-transformed normalized counts. Expression level and fold-change for all mapped transcripts are provided in Table S1.

GO pathway enrichment analysis
For genes with at least a 2-fold change between WT and CHMP1a null, enrichment of gene sets for GO- Biological Processes (BP), FAT) and KEGG-pathways was determined by DAVID (Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery) Functional Annotation Clustering (v6.7). For each analysis raw RNaseq read counts were normalized using DEseq2. For week 2 and week 5 respectively, all genes with mean read count > 64 and read count > 32 were included as background. Clusters of GO-terms and KEGG pathways were manually given a name that best represented all individual GO-term categories within each cluster. The DAVID Functional Annotation Tool provides an ‘enrichment score’ but does not provide a measure of statistical significance for Functional Annotation Clusters. For each Functional Annotation Cluster, the benjamini-corrected p value for the highest-ranking individual GO-term in each cluster is displayed next to the bar graphs. GO analysis results and input gene lists are provided in Table S2.

For Figure 4D, the top 250 differentially expressed genes (DESeq2) were selected at d14 or d38 samples from pairwise comparisons between CHMP1A null and control cerebral organoids. Uregulated or downregulated genes were placed into separate gene lists. Analysis was done using Panther Overrepresentation test on GO biological processes with Bonferroni correction (Panther release 20170413). Background gene list consisted of all genes, which had on average 10 counts or more mapped in the analyzed samples. In this analysis, the downregulated genes did not show relevant enrichment for brain related GO terms. For Figure S7C, the analysis was also done according to these parameters. Genes that were already differentially expressed between untreated d38 control and d38 CHMP1A null organoids were excluded. The remaining genes were selected by DESeq2 adjusted p value < 0.01 instead to limit the amount of genes for analysis.

Gene expression correlation human brain and cerebral organoids
Cerebral organoid RNA-seq data was compared to developing human brain RNA-seq data (Brainspan, Allen institute). For Figure 4G, d14 and d38 CHMP1A null and control organoids, the top 250 differentially expressed genes (DESeq2) were selected. For Figures S7A and S7B, genes with adjusted p value < 0.01 were selected to limit the number of genes for analysis. Their corresponding expression data was acquired from Brainspan data. Cerebral organoids have best correlation with Brainspan week 9 dorsofrontal cortex data (w9 DFC). Several other time-points of DFC data were used to assess temporal identity of organoids. Genes were ranked by their expression level from high to low, using normalized counts for organoid data, and FPKM for Brainspan data. Then, pairwise calculation of Spearman’s rank correlation was done for each pair and plotted using multi-experiment viewer.

Shh signaling in MEFs
MEFs from Chmp1a null embryos and littermate controls were cultured as described above. The cells were then cultured in 0.5% FBS with 1 uM SAG for 48 hours. Then total RNA was isolated with RNaseasy kit (Ambion) and cDNA was created with Superscript III kit (Invitrogen). Taqman qRT-PCR assay was run on StepOnePlus (Applied Biosystems) with probes for Gli1 and Beta-actin to measure gene expression.

Extracellular vesicle isolation
SVG-A cells were either mock-transfected or transfected with a plasmid encoding hSSH using JetPrime according to the manufacturer’s instructions. 24 h later, cells were washed once with PBS and cultured for another 48h in media supplemented with exosome-depleted FBS (System Biosciences) instead of normal FBS, before harvesting cells for lysis and supernatants (SN) for EV isolation.
EVs were isolated from conditioned media by differential ultracentrifugation as previously described (Kowal et al., 2016). Briefly, SN was centrifuged for 10 min and 300xg to pellet cells and large debris. The SN was transferred to new tubes and further centrifuged at 2,000xg for 20 min to obtain the 2K pellet. The resulting SN was then transferred to ultracentrifuge tubes and centrifuged in an SW28 rotor (Beckman Coulter) for 40 min at 10,000xg (10K pellet) before a final centrifugation at 100,000xg using the same rotor (100K pellet). Except for the 300xg pellet, all pellets were washed in 5 mL PBS before being recentrifuged at the same speed using a SW55Ti rotor (Beckman Coulter) and finally resuspended in 50 μL cold PBS. All steps were performed at 4°C. The 300xg pellet was pooled with cells harvested from dishes for lysis and WB analysis.

A similar protocol was applied for the isolation of EVs from human CSF (18 mL).

**Immunosolization pull-down assays**

2 μg of either anti-CD9 (Milipore), anti-CD63 (PeliCluster), anti-Shh (Abcam) or Mouse IgG antibodies were coupled overnight to 1 mg of magnetic Dynabeads using Dynabeads Antibody coupling kit (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. As described in Kowal et al. (2016), coated beads were washed twice in 1 mL washing buffer (PBS-Tween 0.001%) and incubated overnight using rotation at 4°C with 10 μg of EVs from the 100K pellet resuspended in 500 μL of washing buffer. Beads were washed five times with washing buffer, and, where indicated the unbound material was pooled together with the SN of the washes and centrifuged at 100000xg in a SW55Ti rotor for concentration. Both bound material (PD) and unbound material pellet (flow through [FT]) were resuspended in 30 μL of 2x SDS-PAGE sample buffer (Bio-Rad) and boiled for 5 min at 95°C prior to loading on gel. For MS analysis, Shh pull-down reaction was scaled-up approximately five times.

**Liquid Chromatography-Tandem Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS/MS)**

Samples were lysed using Laemmli buffer (10 mM Tris pH 6.8; 1mM EDTA; 5% β-mercaptoethanol; 5% SDS; 10% glycerol) and were homogenized for 40 minutes. Samples were briefly centrifuged and the supernatant was collected leaving the magnetic beads in the tubes thanks to a magnet. Samples were then frozen at −80°C until use. Protein concentrations were determined using the RC-DC protein assay (Bio-Rad, Hercules, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions using BSA as standard. 50 μL of each sample were concentrated down to 20 μL (SpeedVac, Savant, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, USA) and heated at 95°C for 5 minutes before being loaded onto an in-house poured 1D SDS-PAGE stacking gel in order to focus proteins into a single “stacked” band. Electrophoresis was performed under a continuous voltage of 50 V for 40 minutes. The proteins were fixed with 50% ethanol and 3% phosphoric acid. After three washes, gels were stained with Colloidal blue.

For each sample, the “stacked” protein-band was excised and cut into four equal pieces. After destaining, DTT reduction and IAM alkylation using an automatic pipetting device (MassPrep, Waters, Milford, MA, USA), proteins were in-gel digested with trypsin (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) overnight at 37°C. Tryptic peptides were extracted first in 60% acetonitrile/0.1% formic acid in water for 90 minutes, followed by a second extraction in 100% acetonitrile for 10 minutes, at 45 rpm on an orbital shaker. Acetonitrile was evaporated under vacuum and samples were adjusted to 8μL using 0.1% formic acid before nanoLC-MS/MS analysis.

NanoLC-MS/MS analyses were performed on a nanoACQUITY Ultra-Performance-LC system (UPLC) coupled to a Q-Exactive Plus Orbitrap (Thermo Fisher Scientific) mass spectrometer.

The Q-Exactive Plus Orbitrap mass spectrometer is equipped with a nanoelectrospray ion source. The UPLC system consisted of a solvent degasser nanoflow pump, a thermostat-controlled column oven set to a temperature of 60°C and a thermostat-controlled autosampler at 10°C. Mobile phase A (99.9% water and 0.1% FA) and mobile phase B (99.9% acetonitrile and 0.1%FA) were delivered at 450 nL/min by the nanoAcquity. Samples were loaded into a Symmetry C18 precolumn (0.18 mm particle size, Waters) over 3 minutes in 1% buffer B at a flow rate of 5 μL/min. Sample loading was followed by reverse-phase separation at a flow rate of 450 nL/min using an ACQUITY UPLC® BEH130 C18 separation column (200mm x 75 μm id, 1.7 μm particle size, Waters). The Q-Exactive plus Orbitrap instrument was operated in data-dependent acquisition mode by automatically switching between full MS and consecutive MS/MS acquisitions. Survey full scan MS spectra (mass range 300-1,800) were acquired in the Orbitrap at a resolution of 70,000 at 200 m/z with an automatic gain control (AGC) fixed at 3 × 10^6 ions and a maximal injection time set to 50 ms. The ten most intense peptide ions in each survey scan with a charge state ≥ 2 were selected for MS/MS. MS/MS spectra were acquired at a resolution of 17,500 at 200 m/z, with a fixed first mass at 100 m/z, AGC was set to 1 × 10^5, and the maximal injection time was set to 100 ms. Peptides were fragmented in the HCD cell by higher-energy collisional dissociation with a normalized collision energy set to 27. Peaks selected for fragmentation were automatically included in a dynamic exclusion list for 60 s, and peptide match selection was turned on. MS data were saved in RAW file format (Thermo Fisher Scientific) using XCalibur. Raw data collected were processed and converted with MConvernt in .mgf peak list format.

Raw files were processed using MaxQuant (v1.5.5.1). Peak lists were searched using the decoy mode of the Andromeda search engine implemented in MaxQuant against a protein database created using MSDA, our home-developed software suite (Carapito et al., 2014). The database contained human and bovine protein sequences (UniProtKB-SwissProt Taxonomy ID: 9606 and 9913 respectively; 26191 entries), which were downloaded in May 2017. Sequences of common contaminants like keratins and trypsin (247 entries, included in MaxQuant) were finally added to the protein database. Regarding search parameters, MS tolerance was set to 20 ppm for the first search and 4.5 ppm for the main search. A maximum number of 2 missed cleavages was accepted, and carbamidomethylation of cysteine residues was set as fixed modification, while acetylation of protein N-termini and oxidation of methionine residues were set as variable modifications. False discovery rates (FDR) were set to 1% for both peptide spectrum...
matches (minimum length of 7 amino acids) and proteins. Finally, based on the principle of parsimony, shared peptides between proteins were attributed to the protein with the highest number of assigned peptides.

Regarding quantification, data normalization and estimation of protein abundance was performed using the MaxLFQ (label free quantification) option implemented in MaxQuant (Cox et al., 2014). “Match between runs” was enabled using 0.7 minutes time windows after retention time alignment. MaxLFQ quantification was applied using a minimal ratio count of one. Both unmodified and modified (acetylation of protein N-termini and oxidation of methionine residues) peptides were considered for quantification, but shared peptides were not. All other MaxQuant parameters were set as default. The MaxQuant results were visualized and treated with Prostar software for statistical analysis (Wieczorek et al., 2017). Only proteins with at least three intensity values in at least one of the two groups to be compared were retained. Welch’s t test was applied to identify differentially expressed proteins at a p value < 0.05.

Western blotting
SVG-A cells were lysed in a buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 0.3 M NaCl, 0.5% Triton X-100, and protease inhibitors (1x, Roche) for 20 min on ice, with intense vortexing at the beginning and end of the incubation. Lysates were cleared by centrifugation at 18000xg for 15 min at 4°C before transferring the supernatant to new tubes. The protein content in the lysates and in the purified EV fractions was measured in the presence of 0.2% SDS, using Pierce BCA protein assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. For each WB, 20 μg of lysates or 3 μg of EV pellets were loaded on 4%–12% NuPAGE Bis-Tris Protein Gels (Invitrogen) and ran under non-reducing conditions. Transfer was done using iBlot2 NC Transfer stacks (Invitrogen) prior to primary antibody incubation overnight at 4°C. Membranes were revealed by chemiluminescence using Clarity or Clarity Max Western ECL Blotting Substrates (Bio-Rad) and images were acquired using ChemiDoc Touch system (Bio-Rad).

Immunostaining and Time-lapse microscopy
SVG-A cells were grown on glass coverslips in 24-well plates for 24 h, prior to transfection with a plasmid encoding for mNeonGreen-Shh using JetPrime according to the manufacturer’s instructions. 24 h later, cells were washed once with PBS and fixed for 20 min at room temperature (RT) with 4% paraformaldehyde. Blocking and permeabilization was done with PBS-0.1% Triton X-100 and 0.5% BSA for 30 min. Cells were incubated with the indicated primary antibodies for 2 h at RT, followed by incubation with secondary antibodies for 45 min, diluted in blocking buffer (Alexa Fluor Donkey anti-Mouse-647 or Alexa Fluor Donkey anti-Rabbit-647). Coverslips were mounted with Fluoromount (Sigma-Aldrich). Image acquisition was performed using an AxioObserver.Z1 inverted microscope (Zeiss) mounted with a spinning disc head (Yokogawa), a back-illuminated EMCCD camera (Evolve, Photometrics) and a X100, 1.45 NA oil objective (Zeiss) controlled by Visiview v.3.3.0 software (Visitron Systems). For live cell imaging, cells were co-transfected as described above with mNG-SHH and TagRFP-CHMP1A on coverslips in 6-well plates, and imaged 48h later in cell media at 37°C and 5% CO2 in a dark incubation chamber. Z stacks of 0.5 μm interval with two-channel detection were acquired every 5 s, and time-lapse images were analyzed with Imaris v.9.0.1 (Bitplane).

SHH signaling and RT-qPCR
NIH 3T3 cells were seeded in 48-well plates to confluency and cultured for 24 h in complete DMEM. Cells were then grown in media without FBS for another 24h, prior to incubation for another 48 hours with the indicated amounts of SHH-containing 100K vesicles or mock (PBS). As positive control of pathway activation, cells were incubated with 250nM SAG reconstituted in DMSO (Abcam) or 250 ng/mL EGF was added to the media at 250 ng/mL and cells were fixed at 0 and 2 hours. Cells were permeabilized in PBS, 0.5% DMSO as control. Total RNA was isolated using ReliaPrep RNA Cell Miniprep System (Promega) and cDNA was created with SuperScript VILO Master Mix (Invitrogen) by manufacturer’s instructions. qRT-PCR assay was run on the CFX96 Touch Real-Time PCR Detection System and gene expression was measured with primers specific for the SHH downstream target gene GLI1 (F: TGGATTGAACTAGGCCTCT and R: CTTTCTTGAGTGCTGATGA). Gene expression is shown as fold change relative to mock-treated cells, following normalization to housekeeping gene RPL27 (F: GTGAGATGGCAGTTCAT and R: GCTTGGCGATCTTCTTGG).

Cytokinesis analysis
Mouse cortex at E14.5 and cultured iPSCs were fixed in 4% PFA. Cortical ventricular surface or cultured iPSCs were immunostained with antibodies against aurora A kinase, pH3 and Hoechst. Aurora A labels the midbody in anaphase through telophase while pH3 labels histones from prophase through metaphase. A high-power field was imaged and the number of pH3 positive early mitotic cells and the number of aurora A positive late mitotic cells was counted. The ratio of midbodies to pH3 positive cells was calculated as a measure of cytokinesis duration.

EGFR degradation assay
WT HeLa cells were transfected with siRNA targeting CHMP1A (Thermo, #4392420) or a negative control (Thermo, #4390843) from Ambion Silencer Select according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Cells were grown for 48 hours, and then placed in serum free media for 2 hours. EGF was added to the media at 250 ng/mL and cells were fixed at 0 and 2 hours. Cells were permeabilized in PBS, 0.5%
BSA, 0.05% saponin, and stained with anti-EGFR antibody (13A9, Genentech) for 1 hour and then Alexa fluorescent secondary for 30 minutes. Fluorescence was then quantified at the single cell level for hundreds to thousands of cells using a BD FACS Aria flow cytometer.

**Electron microscopy**

Embryonic mouse choroid plexus and P0 mouse cerebellum was fixed in 2.5% Glutaraldehyde and 2% Paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate buffer (pH 7.4) at 4°C overnight. Sections were washed in 0.1 M cacodylate buffer and postfixed with 1% Osmiumtetroxide (OsO4) and 1.5% Potassiumferrocyanide (KFeCN6) for 1 hour, washed in water 3x and incubated in 1% aqueous uranyl acetate for 1 hour followed by 2 washes in water and subsequent dehydration in grades of alcohol (10 min each; 50%, 70%, 90%, 2x 10 min 100%). The samples were then infiltrated for 15 min in a 1:1 mixture of propyleneoxide and TAAB Epon (Marivac Canada Inc. St. Laurent, Canada). The samples were embedded in drops of TAAB Epon between two sheets of aclar plastic (Electron Microscopy Sciences) and polymerized at 60°C for 48 hours. Ultrathin sections (about 80 nm) were cut on a Reichert Ultracut-S microtome, placed onto copper grids, stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate and examined in a JEOL 1200EX transmission electron microscope. Images were recorded with an AMT 2k CCD camera.

SEM samples were postfixed in 1.0% osmium tetroxide in 0.1M cacodylate buffer (pH 7.4) for 1 hour at room temperature. Following postfixation, the samples were rinsed with buffer then dehydrated through a graded series of ethanol. The specimens were then critical point dried with CO2 using a Samdri PVT-3 critical point dryer (Tousimis Corp. Rockville, MD). The specimens were attached to specimen mounts using conductive adhesive tabs, coated with 5nm platinum using a Cressington 208HR sputter coater (Cressington Scientific Instruments, Ltd. Walford, UK). SEM images were collected on a Hitachi S-4800 at Northeastern University.

For FIB-SEM, Durcupan embedded sample was first mounted on a Cu stud, then imaged by a customized Zeiss NVision40 FIB-SEM system previously described (Xu et al., 2017). The sample was biased at 400 V to improve image contrast by filtering out secondary electrons. The block face was imaged by a 1 nA electron beam with 1.5 keV landing energy at 500 kHz. The x-y pixel resolution was set at 8 nm. A subsequently applied focused Ga+ beam of 27 nA at 30 keV strafed across the top surface and ablated away 2 nm of the surface. The newly exposed surface was then imaged again. The ablation – imaging cycle continued about once every minute for multiple days. The sequence of acquired images formed a raw imaged volume, followed by post processing of image registration and alignment using a Scale Invariant Feature Transform (SIFT) based algorithm. The aligned stack was binned by a factor of 4 along z to form a final isotropic volume of 28x34x5 μm³ with 8x8x8 nm³ voxels, which can be viewed in arbitrary orientations.

**QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

In all analyses, mean values are presented for pooled data and errors bars are SEM.

Relative intensities of the WB bands were quantified using ImageLab software version 5.2.1 (Bio-Rad) using Volume Tools, global background subtraction and linear regression method. Band intensities of WT samples were set as 100% and used to calculate the protein levels in the KO samples, plotted as percentage of WT (Figures 6E and 6F).

For mass-spec experiments, Welch’s t test was applied to identify differentially expressed proteins at a p value < 0.05 (Figure 7E, Table S3).

For RNA-sequencing of cerebral organoids, the significance of gene expression differences was calculated with the DESeq2 adjusted p value. For GO pathway analysis, each Functional Annotation Cluster, the benjamini-corrected p value for the highest-ranking individual GO-term in each cluster is displayed next to the bar graphs. For comparison between organoid gene expression and human developing cortex, pairwise calculation of Spearman’s rank correlation was done for each comparison.

For all other quantifications, statistical significance was determined using a two-tailed, unpaired t test or a Mann-Whitney test. All counts of ILVs per MVB failed the D’Agostino and Pearson normality test (p < 0.01). As a result, the nonparametric Mann-Whitney test was used to test significance of ILV per MVB differences. Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism version 7.

**DATA AND SOFTWARE AVAILABILITY**

RNA sequencing data have been deposited in GEO (GSE115867).

Proteomics data have been deposited in ProteomeXchange (PXD007990).