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Reversible cyclometalation is demonstrated as a strategy for the activation of small protic molecules, with a proof-of-principle catalytic application in the dehydrogenation of formic acid in the absence of an exogenous base. The well-defined Rh\(^{I}\) complex Rh(CO)(L)\(_{1}\), bearing the reactive cyclometalated PN(C) ligand L (L\(_{1}\) = PNC\(_{13}\) = 2-di(tet-butylphosphinomethyl)-6-phenylypyridine), undergoes protonolysis of the Rh–C\(_{Ph}\) bond with weak protic reagents, such as thiols and trifluoromethanesulfonamide. This system also displays bifunctional metal–ligand protonolysis reactivity with formic acid and subsequent decarboxylation of the formate complex. Density functional theory (DFT) calculations show that H\(_{2}\) evolution from putative Rh(CO)(H)(L\(_{1}\)) complex A is very facile, proposedly encompassing formal C–H oxidative addition at Rh to give C via agostic intermediate B and subsequent reductive elimination of H\(_{2}\). Complex 1 is a catalytically competent species for base-free formic acid dehydrogenation, with the intermediacy of formate complex 4. DFT calculations reveal accessible barriers for involvement of a flanking phenyl group for both initial activation of formic acid and release of H\(_{2}\), supporting a cooperative pathway. Reversible C–H activation is thus a viable mechanism for metal–ligand bifunctional catalysis.

Metal–carbon bonds are typically rather strong, but their bond energy can be influenced by e.g. strain or non-ideal orbital overlap, as present in cyclometalated species. Reversible cyclometalation at late transition metals using strong acids has been well-documented for stoichiometric scenarios,\(^6\)–\(^8\) but examples with low-valent metal ions such as Rh\(^{I}\) and applications of this type of reactivity in catalytic turnover are rare, to the best of our knowledge. Metal–ligand bifunctional catalysis by reversible cyclometalation has been postulated as a possible mechanism with a few systems (Fig. 1). Mashima et al. discussed this strategy for the dehydrogenative silylation of phenylpyridines catalyzed by a cyclometalated iridium complex.\(^7\) A similar ‘roll-over’ mechanism was suggested for base-free transfer hydrogenation with a ruthenium catalyst.\(^10\) The cooperativity of a cyclometalated fragment in the ligand structure has also been proposed, on the basis of

---

Fig. 1 Complexes that have been proposed to act as cooperative catalysts for different types of transformations via reversible cyclometalation.

---

\(\text{\textcopyright The Royal Society of Chemistry 2016}\)
computational studies, to be suitable for the dehydrogenation of ammonia-borane.\textsuperscript{11–13} However, it was experimentally proven that this mechanism occurs most likely only in the early stage of catalysis\textsuperscript{13} or as a way to generate an active species.\textsuperscript{12}

Computational studies by Vanka \textit{et al.} indicate that reversible cyclometalation can not only be useful for NH\textsubscript{3}BH\textsubscript{3} dehydrogenation but can also be a suitable mechanism for formic acid dehydrogenation to CO\textsubscript{2} and H\textsubscript{2}.\textsuperscript{11} Dihydrogen is considered a key component of many future renewable energy solutions, but efficient and reversible storage and release of H\textsubscript{2}, e.g. in organic liquids such as formic acid (FA), is essential for a hydrogen-based economy. Most catalytic systems for the dehydrogenation of HCOOH to H\textsubscript{2} and CO\textsubscript{2} require the presence of an exogenous base,\textsuperscript{14} which not only decreases the overall hydrogen content from 4.4 wt\% (for pure HCOOH) to 2.3 wt\% (for a typical 5:2 HCOOH/NEt\textsubscript{3} mixture) but also necessitates post-catalysis processing for fuel cell applications (removal of volatile amines).\textsuperscript{15} Hence, catalytic formic acid dehydrogenation should ideally be performed in the absence of such an exogenous base, but to date, only a limited number of systems capable of base-free formic acid dehydrogenation have been reported.\textsuperscript{16}

Given our interest in the design of reactive ligand systems for cooperative bond activation reactions and catalytic processes,\textsuperscript{17} we wondered whether reversible C–H activation in the coordination sphere of a metal could serve as a new methodology to facilitate e.g. formic acid dehydrogenation. In such a strategy, a metal–carbon fragment should function as an internal base for the activation of a suitable protic substrate. A hypothetical cooperative mechanism based on reversible cyclometalation as a bond-activation concept involves i) M–C bond-assisted E–H bond activation and ii) ligand-assisted Y–H bond reductive elimination after productive conversion of the activated M–E moiety into a product-like M–Y fragment (Scheme 1). Reversible cyclometalation by protonation of the M–C bond might result in a weakly coordinating agostic C–H bond.\textsuperscript{16} This fragment could be viewed as masking a vacant site at the metal center, without significant perturbations (structural or electronic) of the global ligand framework, unlike what is often encountered for other reactive ligands. An agostic C–H interaction might also assist in stabilizing catalytically relevant intermediates, with beneficial implications for the overall energy profile of a potential reaction path.

Recently, we synthesized cyclometalated Rh\textsuperscript{I} complex 1 bearing the deprotonated derivative of ligand L\textsuperscript{19} that can act both as a neutral bidentate PN-ligand and as anionic tridentate PNC-system, depending on the reaction conditions.\textsuperscript{19} Based on these initial results, we speculated that the ligand-based reactive carbon center in the coordination sphere of Rh\textsuperscript{I} could be employed as an internal base for the activation and conversion of functionalized protic substrates and that the flexidentate character of the ligand could be beneficial in catalysis. We previously studied the activation of alkynes,\textsuperscript{20} activated amines\textsuperscript{21} and thiols\textsuperscript{22,23} using proton-responsive PN and PNP ligands coordinated to late transition metals using dearomatization/aromatization cooperativity. In this article, we describe the reactivity of the Rh–C bond toward related substrates and we report on the base-free dehydrogenation of formic acid as a proof-of-principle for the use of reversible cyclometalation in metal–ligand bifunctional catalysis.

**Results and discussion**

**Reactivity of 1 toward weak protic donors – thiols**

Cyclometalated complex 1 was shown to be susceptible to Rh–C cleavage by etheral HBF\textsubscript{4} as a strong acid. This generates a Rh\textsuperscript{I} complex with an agostic Rh–(C\textsubscript{Ph}H\textsubscript{2}) bond in the solid state, possibly via protonation of the metal to create a Rh\textsuperscript{III}(hydride) intermediate, with subsequent C–H reductive elimination. Furthermore, facile methylation at the cyclometalated carbon results from the reaction of 1 with MeI. Based on these initial results, the activation of less reactive substrates was investigated. Initial attempts to activate alcohols or phenylacetylene at r.t. did not result in Rh–C cleavage, based on NMR spectroscopy. This may point toward either a pK\textsubscript{a} mismatch between these protic substrates and the metal–carbon bond as an ‘internal base’ or to unfavorable steric interference that prevents formal oxidative addition at the metal center.

Aliphatic thiols did react smoothly with 1, judging from the rapid color change of the solution from red to light-yellow (Scheme 2). \textsuperscript{31}P NMR spectroscopy was found to be very useful in monitoring the chemistry at the Rh–C bond trans to the phosphine donor. Hence, while 1 appears as a doublet at \(\delta = 76.31\) ppm (\(J_{\text{Rh-P}} = 101\) Hz), the reaction with 1,3-propanedithiol led to a doublet at \(\delta = 69.75\) ppm (\(J_{\text{Rh-P}} = 151.7\) Hz) for complex 2. A strong IR-band for the carbonyl was present at \(\nu = 1938\) cm\textsuperscript{-1} (\(\Delta\nu\) of 5 cm\textsuperscript{-1} vs. 1), while the

![Scheme 1](image1.png)\(\text{Proposed pathway involving reversible cyclometalation for metal–ligand bifunctional bond activation and catalysis.}\)

![Scheme 2](image2.png)\(\text{Reactivity of Rh\textsuperscript{I} complex 1 toward 1,3-propanedithiol and trifluoromethanesulfonamide.}\)
pyridine signals were significantly shifted downfield in the 
$^1$H NMR spectrum. These data suggest the decoordination of the pyridine donor and thus the monodentate P-coordination of the PNC framework, induced by the tendency of the thio-
lolate fragments to bridge metal centers. This hypothesis was corroborated by X-ray crystal structure determination of the single crystals of 2 grown from a concentrated acetone-$d_6$
solution (Fig. 2). The geometry around each Rh1-center is
square planar and the overall structural features with a gem-
dithiolate core resemble those reported in the literature.

Similar spectroscopic observations were made when 1 was
allowed to react with benzyl mercaptan. This behaviour is strik-
ingly different from the chemistry observed for Ni-complexes,
although for Cu1, this PNP scaffold was shown to adopt a dinucleating coordi-
nation mode. Because of the decoordination of the pyridine from the
metal, we did not pursue catalytic (hydro-addition) transfor-
mations involving thiol, as the proposed cooperative nature of reversible cyclometalation requires the proximity of the C-H bond to the metal, with the pyridine acting as directing group.

DFT calculations on H$_2$ activation with 1. Having demon-
strated that cyclometalated Rh$^+$-system 1 is reactive toward (weakly) protic substrates, we sought to apply the concept of reversible cyclometalation in cooperative catalysis. Species 1 appeared stable under an H$_2$ atmosphere (20 bar) at r.t., indicating a relatively high barrier for heterolytic cleavage of H$_2$ to generate putative species A, Rh(H)(CO)(H)$_2$.

Catalytic dehydrogenation of formic acid
To capitalize on the apparent facile loss of H$_2$ from putative
species A in combination with the potential reactivity of the Rh-C
bond in dehydrogenative catalysis and to illustrate the concept of reversible metalation for metal–ligand bifunctional substrate
activation, we studied the dehydrogenation of formic acid as a proof-of-principle reaction. Addition of 20 molar equiv. of
HCOOH to 1 in MeCN instantaneously resulted in a yellow com-
plex that was characterized as formate derivative 4 (Scheme 3).

Catalyst 1 showed reproduc-
ible performance during eight consecutive runs (a total TON
Fig. 2 ORTEP plot (50% displacement ellipsoids) for complex 2. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): Rh$_1$–P$_1$ 2.3163(5); Rh$_1$–C$_{11}$ 1.827(2); Rh$_1$–S$_{13}$ 2.3940(5); Rh$_1$–S$_{23}$ 2.3784(5); Rh$_2$–P$_2$ 2.3154(6); Rh$_2$–C$_{22}$ 1.808(2); Rh$_2$–S$_{23}$ 2.3833(5); Rh$_2$–S$_{23}$ 2.3948(5); Rh$_1$–Rh$_2$ 3.0854(2); P$_2$–Rh$_2$–S$_{23}$ 94.682(18); S$_{13}$–Rh$_2$–S$_{23}$ 82.762(18); P$_2$–Rh$_2$–S$_{23}$ 93.690(19); S$_{13}$–Rh$_2$–S$_{23}$ 82.642(18). Angle sums Rh$_1$: 559.991(10); Rh$_2$: 360.441(12)$^\circ$. Dihedral angle between S–Rh–S planes: 61.27(3)$^\circ$.

Fig. 3 DFT (BP86, def2-TZVP, disp3) calculated free energy profile ($\Delta G^{\text{298K}}$ in kcal mol$^{-1}$) of dihydrogen formation from hydride intermediate A(4Me), with methyl instead of tert-butyl groups.
of 1024). The gaseous fraction produced during reaction was analyzed by GC and no CO was found within the detection limit (δ = 10 ppm). Although the TOF achieved is still moderate under these (unoptimized) conditions, this represents the first example of base-free formic acid dehydrogenation using a RhI complex.27

Control experiments using complex 5 ([Rh(Cl)(CO)(PNH)]) bearing a bidentate PNH ligand, that lacks the flanking phenyl arm (Fig. 6)20,21 showed very low conversion in the absence of a base, likely due to blocking of the fourth coordination site by the chloride ligand. Upon addition of one equivalent of strong base to deprotonate the PNH ligand, the system showed a similar TOF but a different reaction profile including significant substrate inhibition, suggesting a different catalytic pathway for this catalyst compared to complex 1 (Fig. 4). This species likely follows a pathway involving ligand ‘dearomatization’. The known RhI-pincer complexes [Rh(CO)(PNN*)] [6] and [Rh(CO)(PCP)] [7] (PNN* = 6-di(tert-butyl)phosphinomethine-2,2’-bipyridine; see Fig. 5)28,29 barely exhibited activity, suggesting that low-coordinate geometries and the presence of a ligand with adaptable denticity are important.

Mechanistic considerations

Based on these catalytic results and supported by DFT calculations, two catalytic cycles are conceivable (Scheme 4). The first intramolecular path involves reversible cyclometalation over the reactive Rh–C fragment to form formate species 4 proceeds with a moderate barrier of 17.4 kcal mol$^{-1}$. The transition state for a concerted hydride–proton-transfer step30 could not be found, most likely because the hydride would be located in an unfavourable axial position (filled dz$^2$ orbital) at Rh. Alternatively, HCOOH could also oxidatively add to form a RhIII intermediate that can undergo reductive elimination of the CPh–H bond. This option could not be ruled out by DFT calculations, as charged species cannot be compared to neutral species in gas phase calculations (see the ESI†).

The resting state 4, which lies −1.9 kcal mol$^{-1}$ lower in energy than 1, converts to monohydride A via rate-limiting β-H elimination (18.2 kcal mol$^{-1}$ relative to 4) concomitant with CO$_2$ release. Subsequent C–H oxidative addition via the RhIII(C–H) agostic species B (a close analogue of a previously isolated cationic derivative19) and facile release of H$_2$ from RhIII...
intermediate C regenerate 1 as the active catalyst. The reversible C-H metatation pathway, providing a hemilabile aryl moiety, is also proposed to stabilize the Rh-species between turnovers.

A second, non-cooperative path has very similar reaction barriers and shares the same rate-limiting step (from 4 to A), followed by oxidative addition of a second molecule of HCOOH to form dihydride intermediate D, which lies 0.8 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) higher in energy than A. Dihydride D generates \(\text{H}_2\) via reductive elimination with a TS of 5.3 kcal mol\(^{-1}\). Given the near-identical overall reaction profiles (with a shared rate limiting step with a barrier of \(~18\) kcal mol\(^{-1}\)), both mechanisms are likely catalytically competent and thus co-exist under catalytic conditions, regenerating red species 1 during and/or after catalysis. The involvement of the cooperative path is supported by selective deuteration experiments, the isolation of an agostic C-H model complex as a relevant intermediate\(^3\) and the spectroscopic observation of 4 in the presence of 10 equivalents of formic acid, followed by the regeneration of 1 with the conversion of HCOOH and release of \(\text{H}_2\).

**Conclusions**

We have shown that reversible cyclometalation may be successfully employed as a motif for cooperative bond activation processes. Complex 1 readily reacts with thiol and activated amines, which leads to the protonation of the anionic carbon of the reactive flexidentate\(^3\) ligand L. DFT calculations show that the release of dihydrogen is facile from putative monohydride complex A. The reaction of cyclometalated complex 1 with a small excess of formic acid results in formate adduct 4. To demonstrate the potential of reversible cyclometalation in metal–ligand bifunctional catalysis, we have successfully employed Rh\(^{1}\) catalyst 1 in the base-free dehydrogenation of formic acid. Experimental observations in combination with DFT studies support the cooperative mode of action based on reversible cyclometalation as a feasible mechanism.

**Experimental**

**General considerations**

All reactions were carried out under an atmosphere of nitrogen using standard Schlenk techniques. The reagents were purchased from commercial suppliers and used without further purification. THF, pentane, hexane and Et\(_2\)O were distilled from sodium benzophenone ketyl. CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) was distilled from CaH\(_2\), and toluene from sodium under nitrogen. The general considerations were measured on a Bruker DRX 500, Bruker AV 400, Bruker DRX 300 or on a Bruker AV 300 spectrometer. The IR spectra (ATR mode) were recorded with a Bruker Alpha-p FT-IR spectrometer. The high-resolution mass spectra were recorded on a JMS-T100GCV mass spectrometer using field desorption (FD).

**Complex 2, Rh\(_2\)(SCH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)S)(CO)\(_2\)(κ\(^1\)-P-H\(_{11}\))**

To a solution of 1 (10 mg, 23 μmol) in CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) (1 mL) was added 1,3-propanedithiol (1.1 μL, 23 μmol), resulting in an immediate color change from red to dark yellow. The solvent was evaporated to yield 2 in quantitative yield (11 mg).

\(^1\)H NMR (300 MHz, 298 K, CD\(_2\)Cl\(_2\), ppm): δ 8.44 (d, \(j = 6.3\) Hz, 2H), 8.14–8.07 (m, 4H), 7.63–7.40 (m, 10H), 6.81–6.83 (m, 4H), 4.21–3.82 (m, 4H, CH\(_2\)P), 2.95–2.69 (m, 4H), 2.42–2.30 (m, 2H), 1.53 (d, \(j_{\text{PPh}} = 12.7\) Hz, 18H, PtBu\(_3\)), 1.41 (d, \(j_{\text{PPh}} = 12.1\) Hz, 18H, PtBu\(_3\)).

\(^{31}\)P NMR (121 MHz, 298 K, CD\(_2\)Cl\(_2\), ppm): δ 67.95 (d, \(j_{\text{RhP}} = 151.7\) Hz). 13C NMR (75 MHz, 298 K, CD\(_2\)Cl\(_2\), ppm): δ 190.59 (dd, \(j_{\text{RHC}} = 73.4\) Hz, \(j_{\text{CF}} = 14.9\) Hz, CO), 157.28 (s, Py-C), 155.81 (s, Py-C), 139.48 (s, Ph-C), 136.22 (s, Py-CH), 128.58 (s, 2C, Pt-CH), 126.75 (s, 2C, Pt-CH), 124.71 (d, \(j = 2.8\) Hz, Py-CH), 117.89 (s, Py-CH), 38.67 (s, S\(_2\)CH\(_2\)S), 37.31 (d, \(j = 16.2\) Hz, PC(\(_2\)H)), 36.93 (dd, \(j_{\text{PC}} = 7.5.5\) Hz, 1H, CH\(_2\)P), 31.71 (d, \(j = 13.0\) Hz, S\(_2\)CH\(_2\)S), 30.16 (dd, \(j = 17.4\), 3.8 Hz, PC(\(_2\)H)). IR (ATR, cm\(^{-1}\)): v\(_{\text{CO}}\) 1938. HRMS (FD): m/z calcd for C\(_{44}\)H\(_{62}\)N\(_2\)O\(_2\)P\(_2\)Rh\(_2\): 966.1888 [M–CO]\(^–\); found: 966.1836.

**Complex 3, Rh(NHSO\(_2\)CF\(_3\))(CO)(κ\(^2\)-P,N-1\(^1\))**

To a solution of 1 (12 mg, 27 μmol) in CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) (1 mL) was added trifluoromethylsulfonamide (4 mg, 27 μmol), resulting in a color change from red to orange within 5 min at room temperature. The solvent was evaporated to yield 3 in quantitative yield (16 mg).

\(^1\)H NMR (300 MHz, 298 K, CD\(_2\)Cl\(_2\), ppm): δ 8.20–8.12 (m, 2H, Ph), 7.90 (t, \(j = 7.8\) Hz, 1H, Py), 7.68–7.59 (m, 3H, Ph), 7.52 (t, \(j = 8.3\) Hz, 2H, Py), 3.75 (d, \(j_{\text{PPh}} = 9.3\) Hz, 2H, CH\(_2\)P), 1.41 (d, \(j_{\text{PPh}} = 14.1\) Hz, 18H, PtBu\(_3\)), 1.14 (s, 1H, NH).

\(^{31}\)P NMR (121 MHz, 298 K, CD\(_2\)Cl\(_2\), ppm): δ 103.70 (d, \(j_{\text{RhP}} = 152.0\) Hz). 19F NMR (282 MHz, 298 K, CD\(_2\)Cl\(_2\), ppm): δ −78.68. 13C NMR (75 MHz, 298 K, CD\(_2\)Cl\(_2\), ppm): δ 189.59 (dd, \(j_{\text{RHC}} = 75.5\) Hz, \(j_{\text{CF}} = 17.7\) Hz, CO), 161.58 (s, Py-C), 161.50 (dd, \(j = 4.7\), 1.8 Hz, Py-C), 139.03 (s, Py-CH and Ph-C), 130.57 (s, Ph-C), 128.62 (s, Py-CH), 128.52 (s, Py-CH), 121.17 (s, Py-CH), 121.48 (d, \(j = 9.2\) Hz, Py-CH), 120.88 (q, \(j_{\text{CF}} = 325.5\) Hz, CF\(_3\)), 35.32 (dd, \(j = 20.8\), 2.3 Hz, CH\(_2\)P), 34.78 (d, \(j = 20.1\) Hz, PC(\(_2\)H)), 28.92 (d, \(j = 4.2\) Hz, PC(\(_2\)H)). IR (ATR, cm\(^{-1}\)): v\(_{\text{CO}}\) 1937. HRMS (FD): m/z calcd for C\(_{45}\)H\(_{63}\)N\(_2\)O\(_2\)P\(_2\)Rh\(_3\): 993.07219 [M\(^–\)]; found: 993.07219.

**Complex 4, Rh(OCH(O)(CO))(κ\(^2\)-P,N-1\(^1\))**

To a solution of 1 (4.4 mg, 10 μmol) in CDCl\(_3\) (0.6 mL) was added formic acid (9.2 mg, 200 μmol), resulting in an immediate color change from red to yellow at room temperature. Due to its unstable nature, this species was only characterized in situ using NMR spectroscopy.

\(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, 298 K, CDCl\(_3\), ppm): δ 8.01–7.94 (m, 2H, o-Ph), 7.82 (ddd, \(j = 7.8\), 7.8, 1.0 Hz, 1H, Py), 7.57–7.39 (m, 5H, 2Py, m-Ph, p-Ph), 3.73 (d, \(j_{\text{RHP}} = 9.6\) Hz, 2H, CH\(_2\)P), 1.38 (d, \(j_{\text{RHP}} = 14.3\) Hz, 18H, PtBu\(_3\)), 31P NMR (162 MHz, 298 K, CDCl\(_3\), ppm): δ 105.29 (d, \(1^{1}\)J\(_{\text{RHP}} = 166.8\) Hz).
Complex 6, Rh(II)(CO)(c^2-P,N-2-methyl-6-((di-tert-butylphosphino)methyl)pyridine)

To a solution of 2-methyl-6-((di-tert-butylphosphino)methyl)pyridine (0.025 g, 0.010 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (0.5 mL) was added a solution of [Rh(CO)₂(Cl)] (0.019 g, 0.005 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL) and the reaction mixture was stirred overnight. After evaporation of the solvent, the product was washed with pentane (1 mL), yielding the desired complex as yellow powder (0.038 g, 0.092 mmol, 92%).

1H NMR (300 MHz, 298 K, acetone-d₆): δ 181.85 (dd, J = 18.4361(5) Å, β = 18.4361(5) Å, γ = 15.710(5) Å), 128.19 (d, J = 2.7 Hz, 2H, CH₃), 1.32 (d, J = 13.9 Hz, 18H, Ph₃P). 13P NMR (121 MHz, 298 K, CDCl₃, ppm): δ 106.12 (d, J = 165.0 Hz). 13C NMR (75 MHz, 298 K, acetone-d₆, ppm): δ 191.85 (dd, J = 18.4361(5) Å, β = 18.4361(5) Å, γ = 15.710(5) Å), 124.56 (s, CH₃), 121.46 (d, CP = 4.5 Hz, P₃), 28.19 (s, Py), 106.12 (CH₃)₃, 21.60 826 reflections were unique (Rint = 0.0399), of which 8330 were observed [I > 2σ(I)]. The structure was solved with Patterson superposition methods using SHELXT. Least-squares refinement was performed with SHELXL-97 (ref. 35) against F² of all reflections. Non-hydrogen atoms were refined freely with anisotropic displacement parameters. All hydrogen atoms were located in difference Fourier maps and refined using a riding model. 508 parameters were refined with no restraints. R₁/wR₂ [all refl.]: 0.0396/0.0580. S = 1.023. Residual electron density between −0.32 and 0.32 e Å⁻³. CCDC 1422009 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper.

DFT calculations

Geometry optimizations were carried out with the Turbomole program package coupled to the PQS Baker optimizer via the BOPpt package, at the ri-DFT level using the BP86 (ref. 39) functional and the resolution-of-identity (ri) method. We optimized the geometries of all stationary points at the de2-TZVP basis set level, using Grimme's dispersion corrections (disp3 version) and a tight energy grid (m5). The identity of the transition states was confirmed by following the imaginary frequency in both directions (IRC). All minima (no imaginary frequencies) and transition states (one imaginary frequency) were characterized by calculating the Hessian matrix. ZPE and gas-phase thermal corrections (entropy and enthalpy, 298 K, 1 bar) from these analyses were calculated using standard thermodynamics.
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Notes and references


25 Notably, the reaction of 1 with thiophenol gave different spectral features, with a doublet at 99 ppm (J_{hh-p} = 136.3 Hz) in MeCN-d$_6$. When C$_6$D$_6$ was used as solvent, two species with similar shifts and coupling constants to complex 2 were observed, i.e. doublets at 66 ppm (J_{hh-p} = 152.1 Hz) and 72 ppm (J_{hh-p} = 152.9 Hz). The species interconvert when the solvent is changed in the same sample. These results indicate that monomeric complexes are formed when polar solvents are used in the reaction, but no conclusive structural evidence could be obtained.

26 The catalytic dehydrogenation of formic acid is not faster when the azeotrope HCOOH/Et$_3$N 5:2 is used, but the TOF can be increased as more equivalents of the azeotrope are added under the same conditions. Increasing the formic acid concentration without a base results in a loss of activity, presumably because dormant species 5 is formed at too high concentrations of acid.


33 G. M. Sheldrick, SADABS, Universität Göttingen, Germany, 2008.


