Erratum

In the method section of Chapter 4 (p. 102-103), perceived control and control beliefs lack information on the scales that have been used. Both variables have been measured with a response scale ranging from 1(disagree) to 7(agree). Therefore, higher scores indicate that participants perceive having less control or believe having less control. Accordingly, this changes the interpretation of the results on p. 104 and p. 106.

- On p. 104 the correct sentence must be “The findings suggest that sad people who do not view the issue of climate change as important believed having more control over climate change after reading the episodic- as opposed to the thematic framed news article.”

- On p. 106 the correct sentences must be “As depicted in Figure 4.1, as long as issue importance was low (e.g., 10th percentile comprising 16.1% of the sample), sad people endorsed stronger control beliefs in response to the episodic than the thematic frame. Angry people, however, displayed the opposite pattern: Their beliefs were more negative in response to the episodic than the thematic frame.”

- In the discussion section on p. 106, the correct sentence must be “Yet, once issue importance was taken into account, the data revealed that sad as opposed to angry participants believed having more control over issue consequences in response to an episodic frame.”