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Modified Newtonian dynamics (MOND) is an empirical theory originally proposed to explain the rotation curves of spiral galaxies by modifying the gravitational acceleration, rather than by invoking dark matter. Here, we set constraints on MOND using an up-to-date compilation of kinematic tracers of the Milky Way and a comprehensive collection of morphologies of the baryonic component in the Galaxy. In particular, we find that the so-called “standard” interpolating function cannot explain at the same time the rotation curve of the Milky Way and that of external galaxies for any of the baryonic models studied, while the so-called “simple” interpolating function can for a subset of models. Upcoming astronomical observations will refine our knowledge on the morphology of baryons and will ultimately confirm or rule out the validity of MOND in the Milky Way. We also present constraints on MOND-like theories without making any assumptions on the interpolating function.

If Newtonian gravity holds, the rotation curve of the Milky Way cannot be explained by visible (baryonic) matter only, therefore providing evidence for dark matter in the Galaxy, from its outskirts (e.g. [1–3]) down to inside the solar circle [4]. In this paper we derive constraints on modifications of Newtonian gravity based on the same data set as used in Ref. [4]. We focus our attention on modified Newtonian dynamics (MOND), originally proposed by Milgrom [5–7] (see also [8–12]), MOND postulates that below a characteristic value \( a_0 \) the acceleration \( a \) is modified with respect to that predicted by Newton’s law \( a_N \) by the introduction of an interpolating function \( \mu \) such that [5,6]

\[
\mu \left( \frac{a}{a_0} \right) a = a_N, \tag{1}
\]

where \( \mu(x) = x \) for \( x \ll 1 \) and \( \mu(x) = 1 \) for \( x \gg 1 \) (for modern theories of MOND, see [11]). The theory does not predict the value of \( a_0 \) nor a specific functional form for \( \mu \) from first principles, so different proposals have been made in the literature (see e.g. [10,11]). Two widely discussed functional forms for \( \mu \) are the “standard” interpolating function,

\[
\mu_{\text{std}}(x) = \frac{x}{\sqrt{1 + x^2}}, \tag{2}
\]

and the “simple” interpolating function,

\[
\mu_{\text{sim}}(x) = \frac{x}{1 + x}. \tag{3}
\]

The limit of large accelerations, i.e. \( a \gg a_0 \) or \( x \gg 1 \), is strongly constrained by solar system tests, where MOND is bound to recover Newtonian gravity. The limit of small accelerations, i.e. \( a \ll a_0 \) or \( x \ll 1 \), is usually invoked in MOND studies to highlight how the observed flat rotation curves of spiral galaxies can be reproduced in the absence of dark matter.

In this paper, we set out to test the most common MOND scenarios with the latest data on the rotation curve of the Galaxy. We use a comprehensive compilation of kinematic tracers of the Milky Way and a state-of-the-art modeling of the baryons, both presented in Ref. [4]. Our results and analysis technique are complementary to previous MOND analyses of Milky Way data [13–16] (see also [17–19] for constraints from the vertical force in the discs of galaxies, including our own). The quantitative study of alternative formulations of MOND or other theories of modified gravity is left for future work.

There are two observational inputs required to test MOND in our Galaxy: the observed gravitational acceleration \( a \) and that predicted by Newtonian gravity \( a_N \). These are the two key ingredients of our analysis. The acceleration \( a \) is obtained from the rotation curve through \( a = R \omega_c^2 \), where \( R \) is the Galactocentric radius and the angular circular velocity \( \omega_c \) is set by the compilation of rotation curve measurements of Ref. [4]. This is a
 comprehensive compilation of kinematic tracers optimized to \( R = 3–20 \) kpc and that includes an unprecedented set of published data on gas kinematics, star kinematics and masers. A detailed description of the data used and their treatment can be found in the supplementary information of Ref. [4] (cf. Table S1 therein). The Newtonian acceleration \( a_N = R \omega_b^2 \) is set by the three-dimensional density distribution of baryons in our Galaxy for which we adopt the survey of observation-based models presented in Ref. [4]. This survey includes seven alternative morphologies for the stellar bulge [20–25], five for the stellar disc [19,26–29] and two for the gas [30,31], while the normalization is set by microlensing observations for the bulge [32,33], the local total stellar surface density for the disc [19] and the CO-to-\( \text{H}_2 \) factor for the gas [34,35]. For further details, please refer to the supplementary information of Ref. [4].

We use the morphologies of bulge, disc and gas in all 70 possible combinations, thus including all configurations of the baryonic component of the Galaxy present in the literature. In this way, we bracket the current uncertainty due to baryonic modeling and our conclusions do not rely on a specific model of the visible Galaxy but are solid against baryonic systematics. We adopt a distance to the Galactic center \( R_0 = 8 \) kpc, a local circular velocity \( v_0 = 230 \) km/s and the peculiar solar motion \( (U, V, W)_\odot = (11.10, 12.24, 7.25) \) km/s [36]. The impact of varying these Galactic parameters is quantified later on, showing that our conclusions are robust against current uncertainties. Only kinematic tracers with Galactocentric radii above \( R_{\text{cut}} = 2.5 \) kpc are considered, amounting to \( N = 2686, 2687, 2715 \) individual measurements for \( R_0 = 7.98, 8, 8.68 \) kpc, respectively (cf. below for the adopted uncertainty on \( R_0 \)). The effect of pushing the radius cut to \( R_{\text{cut}} = 4.5 \) kpc is also quantified in order to avoid any influence of the bar (see e.g. Ref. [37]); in this case, \( N = 2159, 2162, 2267 \) for \( R_0 = 7.98, 8, 8.68 \) kpc, respectively.

Figure 1 shows \( \alpha_c \), i.e. the observed rotation curve, and \( \alpha_b \), i.e. the rotation curve expected from baryons under Newtonian gravity. We are now in place to compare these two observation-based quantities and set constraints on MOND by assessing the discrepancy from the Newtonian scenario. The rotation curve predicted by a given MOND theory with fixed \( \mu(x) \) and \( a_0 \) is obtained by solving Eq. (1) for \( a = R \omega_\text{mond}^2 \). We then quantify the goodness-of-fit of the obtained \( \alpha_\text{mond}(R) \) curve using a two-dimensional chi-square against the rotation curve data \( \alpha_c(R) \). The use of this test statistic is mainly motivated by the sizeable uncertainties on both \( \alpha_c \) and \( R \) (see Ref. [4] for a technical discussion).

The reduced chi-square as a function of the assumed \( a_0 \) is shown in the upper panels of Fig. 2 for each baryonic model for \( \mu = \mu_{\text{std}} \) (left) and \( \mu = \mu_{\text{sim}} \) (right). The results

![Fig. 1](https://example.com/fig1.png)

**FIG. 1** (color online). The rotation curve of our Galaxy. The red data points indicate the angular circular velocity of all tracers in the rotation curve compilation, while the grey band brackets the contribution of all baryonic models under the assumption of Newtonian gravity. For further details, see Ref. [4]. The MOND best-fit rotation curves found later on in our analysis for the fiducial baryonic model I [19,20,30] (cf. Fig. 2) are also shown for the standard (simple) interpolating functions with \( a_0 = 3 \times 10^{-10} \) (1.5 \( \times 10^{-10} \) m/s². We stress that these values of \( a_0 \) are incompatible (marginally compatible) with the range of values suggested by external spiral galaxies for the standard (simple) interpolating functions (cf. Fig. 2) and we present these best fits here for illustration purposes only. We have adopted here \( R_0 = 8 \) kpc, \( v_0 = 230 \) km/s and \( (U, V, W)_\odot = (11.10, 12.24, 7.25) \) km/s [36].
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FIG. 2 (color online). Fitting MOND to the rotation curve of our Galaxy. The left (right) panels show the reduced chi-square of the MOND scenario with the standard (simple) interpolating function for different values of $a_0$. The upper panels convey the results for all baryonic models adopting $R_0 = 8$ kpc, $v_0 = 230$ km/s and $(U, V, W)_\odot = (11.10, 12.24, 7.25)$ km/s [36]. The black line in each upper panel marks the reduced chi-square obtained for fiducial baryonic model I [19,20,30]. The bottom panels correspond to fiducial baryonic model I [19,20,30] with different combinations of Galactic parameters and radius cut. The range of $a_0$ found for each interpolating function from the rotation curves of external galaxies [38] is encompassed by the vertical dashed lines, while the thick red line indicates the reduced chi-square corresponding to a $5\sigma$ exclusion.

for what we shall call fiducial baryonic model I [19,20,30] are highlighted in black. Also shown are the values of $a_0$ favored by the observation of rotation curves in external galaxies, namely $a_0 = (1.27 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-10}$ m/s$^2$ for $\mu_{\text{std}}$ and $a_0 = (1.22 \pm 0.33) \times 10^{-10}$ m/s$^2$ for $\mu_{\text{sim}}$ [38], as well as the $5\sigma$ exclusion line to guide the eye. In the case of the standard interpolating function, an acceptable best fit is obtained for most baryonic configurations. However, even in those cases, the preferred values of $a_0$ lie in the range $(2.5–5.0) \times 10^{-10}$ m/s$^2$, clearly above the typical values found in external galaxies, which disfavors the standard interpolating function as being able to accommodate the rotation curve of external galaxies and that of the Milky Way at the same time. Instead, in the case of the simple interpolating function, the best-fit values of $a_0$ drop significantly to $(1.0–3.5) \times 10^{-10}$ m/s$^2$, in line with the range inferred from external galaxies for a subset of baryonic models. Nevertheless, most baryonic models still prefer somewhat large values of $a_0$. These results are roughly in line with previous fits of MOND to Milky Way data [13,14], but here we have expanded upon such analyses by using a comprehensive compilation of the available kinematic data and a wide range of baryonic models.

So far we have kept fixed $R_0 = 8$ kpc, $v_0 = 230$ km/s and $V_\odot = 12.24$ km/s. These values lie well within the ranges encompassing most current measurements, namely $R_0 = 8.0 \pm 0.5$ kpc [39–42], $v_0 = 230 \pm 20$ km/s [42–47] and $V_\odot = 5.25–26$ km/s [36,42,47,48]. It is important to understand how the uncertainties on $R_0$, $v_0$ and $V_\odot$ affect the results. For concreteness, let us focus on two specific measurements: (i) $R_0 = 8.33 \pm 0.35$ kpc [39], provided by the monitoring of the orbits of S-stars around the central supermassive black hole, and (ii) $\Omega_\odot \equiv \frac{v_0^3}{R_0} = 30.26 \pm 0.12$ km/s/kpc [43], provided by the proper motion of Sgr A*. Combining (i) and (ii) with the allowed values of $V_\odot$ reported above, we have the following 1σ configurations:

(a) $\tilde{R}_0 = 7.98$ kpc, $v_0 = 214.52$ km/s for $V_\odot = 26$ km/s;
(b) $\tilde{R}_0 = 7.98$ kpc, $v_0 = 237.18$ km/s for $V_\odot = 5.25$ km/s;
(c) $\tilde{R}_0 = 8.68$ kpc, $v_0 = 235.62$ km/s for $V_\odot = 26$ km/s;
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(d) $R_0 = 8.68$ kpc, $v_0 = 258.45$ km/s for $V_\odot = 5.25$ km/s. We use configurations (a) through (d) to quantify the impact of current uncertainties on our results. This is shown explicitly in the bottom panels of Fig. 2 for fiducial baryonic model I [19,20,30]. It is possible to conclude that, within the same baryonic model, the best-fit values of $a_0$ are relatively insensitive to the actual local circular velocity $v_0$ provided the Sgr A* constraint is met [cf. (a) and (b)]. Moreover, a value of $R_0$ on the high end of the currently allowed range shifts the favoured $a_0$ to higher accelerations, i.e. away from the range inferred from external galaxies [cf. (c) and (d)]. These considerations hold for both $\mu_{\text{std}}$ and $\mu_{\text{sim}}$. Therefore, the conclusions drawn in the previous paragraphs do not change qualitatively when varying the Galactic fundamental parameters. The same applies when pushing the radius cut to 4.5 kpc, as also shown in the bottom panels of Fig. 2.

In Fig. 3 we perform a data-driven test for MOND-like theories that can be applied directly to rotation curve and photometric data. By mapping the modified acceleration with the rotation curve data through $a = R\omega^2$ and the Newtonian acceleration with the baryonic distribution through $\alpha_N = R\omega^2$, we reconstruct $\mu$ directly from Eq. (1) with no dependence on $a_0$, namely $\mu(a/a_0) = \alpha_N/a$. Then, the resulting measurements of $\mu$ are binned in linear intervals of size $\Delta a = 10^{-10}$ m/$s^2$ requiring at least five rotation curve measurements per bin. This is shown in Fig. 3 by the red bars for fiducial baryonic model I [19,20,30], by the blue bars for an additional baryonic model II [20,26,30] and by the grey band for the bracketing of all baryonic models implemented in our analysis. In the same plot we superimpose $\mu_{\text{std}}(a/a_0)$ and $\mu_{\text{sim}}(a/a_0)$ for $a_0 = 10^{-10}$ m/$s^2$. As clear from the figure, the standard interpolating function is in tension with the data for both fiducial baryonic models, whereas the simple interpolating function remains viable for fiducial baryonic model I. Note that for a flat rotation curve $v_c = v_0$ the acceleration reads $a = v_0^2/R$, so the kinematic tracers at small $R$ correspond to large $a$ and vice-versa. Therefore, the importance of baryonic modeling grows for large $a$ since that corresponds to the region of the Galaxy where the baryons become more and more important. Although at present uncertainties are still sizeable, Fig. 3 provides a powerful nonparametric test of the MOND paradigm. Our analysis is complementary to that of Ref. [15], which covers a slightly different acceleration range, and that of Ref. [16], which is more focussed on the outer Galaxy.

From Figs. 2 and 3, it appears that the simple interpolating function provides a better fit to Milky Way data, in agreement with earlier studies which adopt a different setup and approach, e.g. [13,14]. Let us recall that this functional form is strongly disfavored by solar system tests and it has to be modified in the large acceleration limit (see e.g. [11,38]). Modifications of the simple interpolating function

![FIG. 3 (color online). The MOND interpolating function as inferred directly from the rotation curve of our Galaxy. The red and blue bars represent the binned 1σ measurement of $\mu(a/a_0) = \alpha_N/a$ for fiducial baryonic models I [19,20,30] and II [20,26,30], respectively, while the grey band encompasses the 1σ measurements of all baryonic models. The slight shift in $a$ of the red and blue bars is for visualization purposes only. Overplotted are the standard and simple interpolating functions for $a_0 = 10^{-10}$ m/$s^2$. For reference, we also indicate the values of $a$ corresponding to $R_0$, $R_{\text{cut}} = 2.5$ kpc and $R_{\text{cut}} = 4.5$ kpc in the case of a flat rotation curve $v_c = v_0$. We have adopted here $R_0 = 8$ kpc, $v_0 = 230$ km/s and $(U, V, W)_\odot = (11.10, 12.24, 7.25)$ km/s [36].]
have been proposed in the literature (i.e., the family of \( \nu \)-functions [11] or the “improved simple” function [38]) by making \( \mu \) converge to unity for \( a/a_0 \gtrsim 10 \). We note that, for the range of accelerations to which we are sensitive (cf. Fig. 3), these modified functions and the simple function are virtually indistinguishable for typical values of \( a_0 \) and the results presented here for \( \mu_{\text{sim}} \) would also apply to those modified functions. As our measurements of the Galactic rotation curve and our understanding of the distribution of baryons improve, we expect these tests to provide more and more stringent constraints on both \( \mu \) and \( a_0 \). For the time being, however, we can conservatively state—based on an up-to-date compilation of rotation curve data and a comprehensive collection of data-inferred morphologies of the baryonic component—that MOND variants employing the standard interpolating function do not fit simultaneously the rotation curves of external galaxies and that of the Milky Way.

Let us finally point out that we have adopted in our analysis a wide compilation of baryonic models to bracket the current uncertainty on the morphology and composition of our Galaxy. In the coming years, astronomical surveys such as Gaia [49], APOGEE-2 (SDSS-IV) [50], WFIRST [51], WEAVE [52] and 4MOST [53] will eventually help narrow down on the baryonic distribution, thus providing a decisive step toward testing the MOND paradigm in the Milky Way.
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