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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AARD</td>
<td>Alberta Agriculture and Rural Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCD</td>
<td>Agriculture Climate Change Directorate Argentina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACW</td>
<td>Adaptive Capacity Wheel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADRMP</td>
<td>Alberta Drought Risk Management Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AEMA</td>
<td>Alberta Emergency Management Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AESRD</td>
<td>Alberta Environment and Sustainable Resource Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASP</td>
<td>Agricultural Social Programme, Mendoza</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBC</td>
<td>Canadian Broadcasting Corporation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCNS</td>
<td>Climate Change National Strategy, Argentina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCS</td>
<td>Carbon Capture Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDM</td>
<td>Clean Development Mechanism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFCs</td>
<td>Chlorofluorocarbons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNR</td>
<td>National Irrigation Commission, Chile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COP</td>
<td>Conference of the Parties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSO</td>
<td>Civil Society Organizations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWB</td>
<td>Canadian Wheat Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC</td>
<td>Developing country (Chile and Argentina)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D&amp;f</td>
<td>Drought and flood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DGA</td>
<td>General Directorate of Water, Chile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DGI</td>
<td>Departamento General de Irrigacion, Mendoza</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOH</td>
<td>Hydraulic Works Authority, Chile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRR</td>
<td>Disaster Risk Reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAO</td>
<td>Food and Agriculture Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAPF</td>
<td>Family Agriculture Provincial Forum, Mendoza</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRWIP</td>
<td>Farm Ranch Water Infrastructure Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G20</td>
<td>Group of 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDP</td>
<td>Gross Domestic Product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEF</td>
<td>Global Environmental Facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GHG</td>
<td>Greenhouse Gases</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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