



UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

Adaptive governance of disaster: Drought and flood in rural areas

Hurlbert, M.

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Hurlbert, M. (2016). Adaptive governance of disaster: Drought and flood in rural areas.

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <http://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

Adaptive Governance of Disaster

Drought and Flood in Rural Areas



Margot A. Hurlbert
May, 2016

ADAPTIVE GOVERNANCE OF DISASTER: DROUGHT AND FLOOD IN
RURAL AREAS

ACADEMISCH PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van de graad van doctor

aan de Universiteit van Amsterdam

op gezag van de Rector Magnificus

prof. dr. D.C. van den Boom

ten overstaan van een door het College voor Promoties ingestelde commissie,

in het openbaar te verdedigen in de Aula der Universiteit

op vrijdag 13 mei 2016, te 11 uur

door Margot Hurlbert

geboren te Kinistino, Canada

Promotiecommissie:

Promotor(es): Prof. Dr. J. Gupta, Universiteit van Amsterdam

Copromotor(es): Dr. H.J.L.M. Verrest, Universiteit van Amsterdam

Overige leden: Prof. Dr. I.S.A. Baud, Universiteit van Amsterdam
Prof. Dr. R.A. Boelens, Universiteit van Amsterdam
Prof. Dr. D. Huitema, Vrije Universiteit
Prof. Dr. C. Pahl-Wostl, University of Osnabruk
Prof. Dr. C.J.A.M. Termeer, Wageningen Universiteit

Faculteit der Maatschappij en Gedragwetenschappen

Table of Contents

LIST OF FIGURES	8
LIST OF TABLES	9
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	11
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	14
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	15
SAMENVATTING	22
1. INTRODUCTION	30
1.1 PURPOSE.....	30
1.2 IMPACTS OF CLIMATE CHANGE ON AGRICULTURE AND SOCIETY.....	31
1.3 THE PROBLEM DEFINITION	32
1.3.1 <i>Nature of the problem</i>	32
1.3.2 <i>Why adaptive governance is the best solution for the problem</i>	33
1.3.3 <i>What we know and don't know</i>	34
1.4 THE RESEARCH QUESTIONS	36
1.5 THE LITERATURE REVIEW	37
1.6 THE CASE STUDIES.....	38
1.7 FOCUS	39
1.8 A CRITICAL REALIST APPROACH	40
1.9 INFERENCES	41
1.10 STRUCTURE OF THIS THESIS.....	42
2. ADAPTIVE GOVERNANCE (MANAGEMENT, CO-MANAGEMENT AND ANTICIPATORY) ..	44
2.1 INTRODUCTION	44
2.2 WHY ADAPTIVE GOVERNANCE?	44
2.3 DEFINING ADAPTIVE GOVERNANCE	45
2.3.1 <i>Introduction</i>	45
2.3.2 <i>Evolution of adaptive governance</i>	45
2.3.3 <i>Comparing components of adaptive governance</i>	47
2.3.4 <i>Shortcomings of adaptive governance</i>	52
2.4 ENHANCEMENT OF ADAPTIVE GOVERNANCE	53
2.4.1 <i>Risk</i>	53
2.4.2 <i>Model of adaptive governance and problem structuring</i>	55
2.4.3 <i>Adaptive governance and the split ladder of participation (inclusive development)</i>	59
2.5 GOALS OF ADAPTIVE GOVERNANCE	61
2.5.1 <i>Adaptive governance aims to (a) reduce the risk of d&f</i>	61
2.5.2 <i>Adaptive governance aims to (b) increase livelihood capitals of agricultural producers</i>	62
2.5.3 <i>Adaptive governance aims to (c) enhance the adaptive capacity of institutions</i> ..	63
2.6 IMPLICATIONS OF ADAPTIVE GOVERNANCE FOR CLIMATE RELATED D&F RESEARCH.....	64

3. METHODOLOGY: INSTITUTIONAL ANALYSIS AND ADAPTIVE GOVERNANCE	66
3.1 INTRODUCTION	66
3.2 EVOLUTION OF THE METHOD	66
3.3 CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK AND OPERATIONALIZATION	67
3.3.1 <i>Overview and conceptual model</i>	67
3.3.2 <i>Formal Institutions and organizations</i>	68
3.3.3 <i>Instruments</i>	69
3.3.4 <i>Drivers</i>	72
3.3.5 <i>Policy effects on actors</i>	73
3.3.6 <i>Social Learning</i>	73
3.3.7 <i>Policy effects on society: livelihoods</i>	74
3.3.8 <i>Instrument redesign</i>	75
3.4 METHODS	76
3.4.1 <i>Content Analysis</i>	76
3.4.2 <i>Interviews</i>	77
3.5 LIMITS	77
3.6 INFERENCES	78
4. INTERNATIONAL LEVEL	79
4.1 INTRODUCTION	79
4.2 DRIVERS.....	79
4.3 INTERNATIONAL INSTITUTIONS	81
4.3.1 <i>Four distinct institutional clusters and their evolution</i>	81
4.3.2 <i>Institutions</i>	83
4.3.3 <i>Organizational linkages and interactions</i>	85
4.4 INSTRUMENTS	85
4.4.1 <i>Regulatory instruments</i>	85
4.4.2 <i>Economic instruments</i>	86
4.4.3 <i>Suasive instruments</i>	87
4.4.4 <i>Managerial instruments</i>	90
4.5 ADAPTIVE GOVERNANCE AND PROBLEM STRUCTURING	91
4.6 LEARNING AT THE INTERNATIONAL LEVEL.....	93
4.7 RE-DESIGNING INSTRUMENTS.....	94
5. CASE STUDY SASKATCHEWAN, CANADA	95
5.1 INTRODUCTION	95
5.2 THE CASE STUDY AREA	95
5.3 MAIN DRIVERS IMPACTING RURAL AGRICULTURAL PRODUCERS.....	96
5.4 INSTITUTIONS (ORGANIZATIONS) THAT BUILD CAPACITY FOR CLIMATE CHANGE, D&F.....	98
5.5 INSTRUMENTS RESPONDING TO CLIMATE CHANGE, D&F.....	99
5.5.1 <i>Regulatory instruments</i>	100
5.5.2 <i>Economic instruments</i>	101
5.5.3 <i>Suasive instruments</i>	102
5.5.4 <i>Managerial instruments</i>	102
5.6 ADAPTIVE GOVERNANCE AND PROBLEM STRUCTURING	103

5.7 IMPACTS OF INSTRUMENTS ON ACTORS MEASURED BY MANDATE EFFECTIVENESS	104
5.7.1 <i>Regulatory instruments</i>	104
5.7.2 <i>Economic instruments</i>	105
5.7.3 <i>Suasive instruments</i>	105
5.7.4 <i>Managerial instruments</i>	105
5.8 ASSESSMENT OF LEARNING.....	105
5.9 INSTRUMENT IMPACTS ON LIVELIHOOD CAPITALS.....	106
5.9.1 <i>Human capital</i>	106
5.9.2 <i>Social capital</i>	107
5.9.3 <i>Economic capital</i>	107
5.9.1 <i>Technological capital</i>	108
5.9.2 <i>Natural capital</i>	108
5.10 RE-DESIGNING INSTRUMENTS WITH THE ACW	109
6. CASE STUDY ALBERTA, CANADA	113
6.1 INTRODUCTION	113
6.2 THE CASE STUDY AREA	113
6.3 MAIN DRIVERS IMPACTING RURAL AGRICULTURAL PRODUCERS	114
6.4 INSTITUTIONS (ORGANIZATIONS) THAT BUILD CAPACITY FOR CLIMATE CHANGE, D&F	116
6.5 INSTRUMENTS RESPONDING TO CLIMATE CHANGE, D&F	117
6.5.1 <i>Regulatory instruments</i>	117
6.5.2 <i>Economic instruments</i>	118
6.5.3 <i>Suasive instruments</i>	118
6.5.4 <i>Managerial instruments</i>	119
6.6 ADAPTIVE GOVERNANCE AND PROBLEM STRUCTURING	119
6.7 IMPACT OF INSTRUMENTS ON ACTORS MEASUREBY MANDATE EFFECTIVENESS	120
6.7.1 <i>Regulatory instruments</i>	121
6.7.2 <i>Economic instruments</i>	121
6.7.3 <i>Suasive instruments</i>	122
6.7.4 <i>Managerial instruments</i>	122
6.8 ASSESSMENT OF LEARNING.....	122
6.9 INSTRUMENT IMPACTS ON LIVELIHOOD CAPITALS.....	123
6.9.1 <i>Human capital</i>	124
6.9.2 <i>Social capital</i>	124
6.9.3 <i>Economic capital</i>	124
6.9.4 <i>Technological capital</i>	125
6.9.5 <i>Natural capital</i>	125
6.10 RE-DESIGNING INSTRUMENTS WITH THE ACW	126
7. CASE STUDY COQUIMBO, CHILE	130
7.1 INTRODUCTION	130
7.2 THE CASE STUDY AREA	130
7.3 MAIN DRIVERS IMPACTING RURAL AGRICULTURAL PRODUCERS	131
7.4 INSTITUTIONS (ORGANIZATIONS) THAT BUILD CAPACITY FOR CLIMATE CHANGE, D&F	133
7.5 INSTRUMENTS RESPONDING TO CLIMATE CHANGE, D&F	134

7.5.1 Regulatory instruments.....	134
7.5.2 Economic instruments.....	136
7.5.3 Suasive instruments	137
7.5.4 Managerial instruments.....	137
7.6 ADAPTIVE GOVERNANCE AND PROBLEM STRUCTURING	137
7.7 IMPACT OF INSTRUMENTS ON ACTORS MEASURED BY MANDATE EFFECTIVENESS.....	139
7.7.1 Regulatory instruments.....	139
7.7.2 Economic instruments.....	140
7.7.3 Suasive instruments	140
7.7.4 Managerial instruments.....	140
7.8 ASSESSMENT OF LEARNING	141
7.9 INSTRUMENT IMPACTS ON LIVELIHOOD CAPITALS.....	142
7.9.1 Human capital.....	142
7.9.2 Social capital	143
7.9.3 Economic capital	144
7.9.4 Technological capital	145
7.9.5 Natural capital	145
7.10 RE-DESIGNING INSTRUMENTS WITH THE ACW	146
8. CASE STUDY MENDOZA, ARGENTINA	150
8.1 INTRODUCTION	150
8.2 THE CASE STUDY AREA	150
8.3 MAIN DRIVERS IMPACTING RURAL AGRICULTURAL PRODUCERS.....	151
8.4 INSTITUTIONS (ORGANIZATIONS) THAT BUILD CAPACITY FOR CLIMATE CHANGE, D&F.....	152
8.5 INSTRUMENTS RESPONDING TO CLIMATE CHANGE, D&F.....	153
8.5.1 Regulatory instruments.....	154
8.5.2 Economic instruments.....	155
8.5.3 Suasive instruments	156
8.5.4 Managerial instruments.....	156
8.6 ADAPTIVE GOVERNANCE AND PROBLEM STRUCTURING	156
8.7 IMPACTS OF INSTRUMENTS ON ACTORS MEASURED BY MANDATE EFFECTIVENESS	158
8.7.1 Regulatory instruments.....	158
8.7.2 Economic instruments.....	159
8.7.3 Suasive instruments	159
8.7.4 Managerial instruments.....	159
8.8 ASSESSMENT OF LEARNING	160
8.9 INSTRUMENT IMPACTS ON LIVELIHOOD CAPITALS.....	160
8.9.1 Human capital.....	161
8.9.2 Social capital	161
8.9.3 Economic capital	162
8.9.4 Technological capital	163
8.9.5 Natural capital	163
8.10 RE-DESIGNING INSTRUMENTS WITH THE ACW	164
9. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS.....	169

9.1 INTRODUCTION	169
9.2 COMPARISON OF ACWS	170
9.3 MAIN DRIVERS AFFECTING PRODUCER LIVELIHOODS	172
9.4 MAIN FORMAL INSTITUTIONS RESPONDING TO CLIMATE CHANGE, D&F	174
9.5 MAIN INSTRUMENTS RESPONDING TO CLIMATE CHANGE, D&F	176
9.6 IMPACT ON ACTORS – EFFECTIVENESS AT ACHIEVING MANDATE	179
9.7 COMPARING ADAPTIVE GOVERNANCE AND POLICY STRUCTURING	182
9.8 ADAPTIVE GOVERNANCE AND THE SPLIT LADDER OF PARTICIPATION	185
9.9 IMPACT OF INSTRUMENTS ON LIVELIHOOD CAPITALS	186
9.9.1 <i>Human capital</i>	187
9.9.2 <i>Social capital</i>	187
9.9.3 <i>Economic capital</i>	188
9.9.4 <i>Technological capital</i>	188
9.9.5 <i>Natural capital</i>	189
9.10 INFERENCES.....	189
10. CONCLUSION	191
10.1 RECALLING RESEARCH QUESTIONS.....	191
10.2 DESIGNING A POLICY FRAMEWORK TO BUILD RURAL AGRICULTURAL PRODUCER CAPACITY.....	192
10.2.1 <i>Address drivers and problems</i>	192
10.2.2 <i>Adopt appropriate missing instruments</i>	193
10.2.3 <i>Adopt effective instruments</i>	195
10.2.4 <i>Adopt instruments that build livelihood capital</i>	196
10.2.5 <i>Disband or revise ineffective instruments</i>	196
10.2.6 <i>Redesign based on ACW principles</i>	197
10.3 CONTRIBUTION TO SCIENCE	198
10.3.1 <i>Uncertainty and risk</i>	198
10.3.2 <i>Systemic nature of the problem</i>	199
10.3.3 <i>Complexity (contested values and science)</i>	200
10.3.4 <i>A better theoretical framework to address poor governance</i>	200
10.4 CONTRIBUTION TO METHODOLOGY.....	201
10.4.1 <i>Methodological strengths</i>	201
10.4.2 <i>Methodological challenges</i>	201
10.4.3 <i>Recommendations for the future</i>	202
10.5 THE NEED FOR INCLUSIVE DEVELOPMENT	204
11. REFERENCES.....	207
APPENDICES	264
APPENDIX I INSTITUTION AND INSTRUMENT TABLES	264
1. <i>International</i>	264
2. <i>Saskatchewan, Canada</i>	268
3. <i>Alberta, Canada</i>	274
4. <i>Coquimbo, Chile</i>	280
5. <i>Mendoza, Argentina</i>	285
APPENDIX II CONTRIBUTION OF PREVIOUS PROJECTS TO THIS RESEARCH.....	292

APPENDIX III INTERVIEWS.....	295
APPENDIX IV FIELD GUIDE FOR GOVERNANCE ASSESSMENT.....	295
APPENDIX V ETHICS APPROVAL.....	303

List of Figures

- Figure 2.1 Adaptive governance of disaster: d&f
- Figure 2.2 Four types of policy problems identified in policy analysis
- Figure 2.3 Model of adaptive governance and problem structuring
- Figure 2.4 Adaptive governance and the split ladder of participation
- Figure 3.1 Overview of the sequence of the multi-level institutional analysis
- Figure 3.2 Adaptive capacity wheel (ACW)
- Figure 4.1 International instruments and problem structuring
- Figure 5.1 Study regions in Saskatchewan, Canada
- Figure 5.2 Saskatchewan instruments and problem structuring
- Figure 5.3 Saskatchewan's ACW
- Figure 6.1 Study regions in Alberta, Canada
- Figure 6.2 Alberta's instruments and problem structuring
- Figure 6.3 Alberta's ACW
- Figure 7.1 Study region in Coquimbo, Chile
- Figure 7.2 Coquimbo's instruments and problem structuring
- Figure 7.3 Coquimbo's ACW
- Figure 8.1 Study region in Mendoza, Argentina
- Figure 8.2 Mendoza's instruments and problem structuring
- Figure 8.3 Mendoza's ACW
- Figure 9.1 Abbreviated Methodology
- Figure 9.2 ACWs of Case Studies
- Figure 9.3 Evidence of adaptive governance in case study areas
- Figure 10.1 Multi-level institutional methodology: livelihoods and ACW

List of Tables

Table 1.1 Secondary research questions

Table 1.2 Case study descriptions

Table 2.1 Evolution of adaptive governance literature

Table 2.2 Definition of adaptive governance, adaptive management, adaptive co-management, and anticipatory governance

Table 2.3 Characteristics of co-management, adaptive management, adaptive co-management, and adaptive governance

Table 2.4 Approaches to risk

Table 2.5 Climate change policy problem framing

Table 2.6 Adaptive capacity dimensions, criteria and literature

Table 3.1 Classifications and description of instruments

Table 3.2 Drivers impacting agricultural producers' livelihoods

Table 3.3 D&F: Assessment of learning

Table 3.4 Livelihood capitals of agricultural producers

Table 4.1 International drivers

Table 4.2 Outline of suasive instruments

Table 4.3 Summary of international instruments

Table 4.4 D&F: International policies

Table 5.1 Drivers impacting agricultural producers' capitals

Table 5.2 Institutional water instruments

Table 5.3 D&F: Assessment of learning in Saskatchewan

Table 5.4 Assessment of main instruments

Table 5.5 Redesign options for Saskatchewan

Table 6.1 Drivers impacting agricultural producers' capitals

Table 6.2 Institutional water instruments

Table 6.3 D&F: Assessment of learning in Alberta

Table 6.4 Assessment of main instruments

Table 6.5 Redesign options for Alberta

Table 7.1 Drivers impacting agricultural producers' capitals

Table 7.2 Institutional water instruments

Table 7.3 D&F: Assessment of learning in Coquimbo

Table 7.4 Assessment of main instruments

Table 7.5 Redesign options for Coquimbo

Table 8.1 Drivers impacting agricultural producers' capitals

Table 8.2 Institutional water instruments

Table 8.3 D&F: Assessment of learning in Mendoza

Table 8.4 Assessment of main instruments

Table 8.5 Redesign options for Mendoza

Table 9.1 Drivers impacting agricultural producers' vulnerability to d&f

Table 9.2 Organizations in study areas responding to climate change, d&f.

Table 9.3 Instruments responding to climate change, d&f

Table 9.4 The water property interest by study areas

Table 9.5 Financial instruments of agricultural producers

Table 9.6 Impact of instruments on livelihood capitals in study regions

Table 10.1 Unaddressed drivers and missing instruments

Appendix I

A1 D&F: International Institutions

A2 D&F: Saskatchewan Institutions

A3 Analysis of Effectiveness of Saskatchewan Instruments

A4 Analysis of Saskatchewan Instruments

A5 D&F: Alberta Institutions

A6 Analysis of Effectiveness of Alberta Instruments

A7 Analysis of Alberta Instruments

A8 D&F: Coquimbo Institutions

A9 Analysis of Effectiveness of Coquimbo Instruments

A10 Analysis of Coquimbo Instruments

A11 D&F: Mendoza Institutions

A12 Analysis of Effectiveness of Mendoza Instruments

A13 Analysis of Mendoza Instruments

A14 Interview Details

List of Abbreviations

AARD	Alberta Agriculture and Rural Development
ACCD	Agriculture Climate Change Directorate Argentina
ACW	Adaptive Capacity Wheel
ADRMP	Alberta Drought Risk Management Plan
AEMA	Alberta Emergency Management Agency
AESRD	Alberta Environment and Sustainable Resource Development
ASP	Agricultural Social Programme, Mendoza
CBC	Canadian Broadcasting Corporation
CCNS	Climate Change National Strategy, Argentina
CCS	Carbon Capture Storage
CDM	Clean Development Mechanism
CFCs	Chlorofluorocarbons
CNR	National Irrigation Commission, Chile
COP	Conference of the Parties
CSO	Civil Society Organizations
CWB	Canadian Wheat Board
DC	Developing country (Chile and Argentina)
D&f	Drought and flood
DGA	General Directorate of Water, Chile
DGI	<i>Departamento General de Irrigacion</i> , Mendoza
DOH	Hydraulic Works Authority, Chile
DRR	Disaster Risk Reduction
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization
FAPF	Family Agriculture Provincial Forum, Mendoza
FRWIP	Farm Ranch Water Infrastructure Program
G20	Group of 20
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
GEF	Global Environmental Facility
GHG	Greenhouse Gases

GWP	Global Water Partnership
IC	Industrialized country (Canada)
INDAP	National Institute for Agricultural Development, Chile
INDC	Intended Nationally Determined Contributions
INTA	Institute of Agriculture and Livestock Technology, Mendoza
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
MOE	Ministry of Environment, Chile
NEC	National Environmental Commission, Chile
NGOs	Non-governmental organizations
NRCan	Natural Resources Canada
ONEMI	National Emergency Office, Chile
PARC	Prairie Adaptation Research Collaborative
PROSAP	Provincial Agricultural Services Programme, Argentina
PPWB	Prairie Provinces Water Board
RCMP	Royal Canadian Mounted Police
SBI	Subsidiary Body for Implementation
SCCB	Swift Current Creek Basin
SISS	Superintendent and Sanitary Services, Chile
Sk EmPAct	Saskatchewan Emergency Planning Act
SSRB	South Saskatchewan River Basin
SSRP	South Saskatchewan River Plan
SUCCE	Central Sub Unit Coordination of Emergency, Argentina
UN	United Nations
UNCCD	The United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification
UNDP	United Nations Development Programme
UNECE	United Nations Economic Commission for Europe
UNEP	United Nations Environmental Programme
UNFCCC	The United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change
UNISDR	United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction
USD	United States Dollars
WSA	Saskatchewan Water Security Agency
WSA Act	Saskatchewan Water Security Act

Acknowledgements

Foremost, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my promoter, Dr. Joyeeta Gupta for her support, patience, motivation, enthusiasm and great knowledge she has contributed to this thesis, and my learning. Without her guidance and support this thesis would not have been completed. Also my sincere gratitude to my promoter, Dr. Hebe Verrest for her invaluable assistance, input and knowledge that helped strengthen and added depth to this research and thesis.

I thank all of my colleagues who have worked with me on the research projects listed on Appendix II and a special acknowledgement to the invaluable assistance of Jordan Harris (Adapt Chile), Roxana Borquez, PhD candidate, University of London, and Dr. Paula Mussetta (Conicet, Mendoza) who assisted me and contributed greatly to this research.

I wish to present my special thanks to my good friend and colleague, Dr. Harry (Polo) Diaz. Without his support and guidance this research in Latin America and Canada would not have taken place. His support through these years has been immense.

I would like to show my gratitude to my parents who have encouraged me in pursuing my education. I am sure I would never have made it here without the summers writing books with my father.

I would like to thank Marcel Heemskerk for the preparation of the case study maps, my family, my son Morgan Dynna for creating my figures, my son Michael Dynna, and my husband, Donald Stevens, for their support and understanding while I completed this thesis.