



UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

Thinking war in the 21st century: Introducing non-state actors in Just war theory

Noorda, H.A.

Publication date

2016

Document Version

Final published version

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Noorda, H. A. (2016). *Thinking war in the 21st century: Introducing non-state actors in Just war theory*. [Thesis, fully internal, Universiteit van Amsterdam].

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

Thinking War in the 21st Century

Introducing Non-State Actors
in Just War Theory

Hadassa Noorda

THINKING WAR IN THE 21ST CENTURY
INTRODUCING NON-STATE ACTORS IN JUST WAR THEORY

ACADEMISCH PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van de graad van doctor

aan de Universiteit van Amsterdam

op gezag van de Rector Magnificus

prof. dr. ir. K.I.J. Maex

ten overstaan van een door het College voor Promoties ingestelde

commissie, in het openbaar te verdedigen in de Agnietenkapel

op donderdag 27 oktober 2016, te 16.00 uur

door Hadassa Anne Noorda

geboren te Leiden

Promotiecommissie

Promotor

Prof. Dr. M. de Wilde, Paul Scholten Center for Jurisprudence, Faculteit der Rechtsgeleerdheid, Universiteit van Amsterdam

Copromotor

Dr. R. Pierik, Paul Scholten Center for Jurisprudence, Faculteit der Rechtsgeleerdheid, Universiteit van Amsterdam

Overige leden

Prof. Dr. B. Roessler, Wijsbegeerte, Faculteit der Geesteswetenschappen, Universiteit van Amsterdam

Prof. Dr. H.G. van der Wilt, Internationaal Strafrecht, Faculteit der Rechtsgeleerdheid, Universiteit van Amsterdam

Prof. Dr. M. de Goede, Politicologie, Faculteit der Maatschappij- en Gedragwetenschappen, Universiteit van Amsterdam

Prof. Dr. M.V.B.P.M van Hees, Wijsbegeerte, Faculteit der Geesteswetenschappen, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam

Prof. Dr. M. Hildebrandt, Law Science Technology & Society, Vrije Universiteit Brussel

Prof. Dr. T.J.M. Mertens, Rechtsfilosofie, Faculteit der Rechtsgeleerdheid, Radboud Universiteit Nijmegen

Faculteit der Rechtsgeleerdheid

Table of Contents

Acknowledgements	1
1	
Introduction	5
1.1 Introduction	5
1.2 Research Approach: Why We Need a Philosophical Theory of War for Non-State Actors	9
1.3 Theoretical Groundings	12
1.4 A Theoretical Gap	21
1.5 Use of Examples	26
1.6 Sketch of the Argument	28
2	
In Defense of an Impartial Set of Principles of War	33
2.1 Introduction	33
2.2 Principles of Just War Theory	35
2.3 Justifying the Application of an Impartial Set of Principles to War	40
2.3 The Revisionist Alternative	43
2.4 The Application of Impartial Principles of War to Conflicts With Non-State Actors	51
2.5 Conclusion	53
3	
Sovereign Equality of States in Wars with Non-State Actors	55
3.1 Introduction	55
3.2 Sovereign Equality	57
3.3 States as Parties in War	61
3.4 Non-State Actors as Parties in War	65
3.5 Sovereign Equality and Conflicts with Non-State Actors	67

3.6 Conclusion	72
4	
Discriminating between Non-State Combatants and Civilians	73
4.1 Introduction	73
4.2 Distinguishing Between Acts of War and Other Forms of Violence	76
4.2.1 Non-State Actors as Parties in War	77
4.2.2 Discriminating between Combatants and Civilians	80
4.3 Non-Uniformed Combatants under the Laws of War: <i>Levees en Masse</i> and Insurrections	82
4.4 Two Approaches to Identifying Group Members: Ascription and Inscription	86
4.5 Discriminating Between Civilians and Non-State Combatants	90
4.6 Conclusion	97
5	
The Non-State Prisoner of War	99
5.1 Introduction	99
5.2 Conflicts with Non-State Actors in Practice	102
5.3 Hierarchically Organized Collectives	104
5.4 Organizational Structure of Non-State Collectives and Prisoner of War-Status	111
5.4.1 Individual Responsibility for Collective Actions	112
5.4.2 Prisoner of War Status	115
5.5 Conclusion	119
6	
Civilians Associated with Non-State Collectives	121
6.1 Introduction	121
6.2 Current Practices of Preventive Constraints	125
6.2.1 Preventive Sanctions against Supposed Terrorists	129
6.3 The Impact on Individual Liberty and Autonomy	134
6.3.1 Individual Liberty	136
6.3.2 Individual Autonomy	139

6.4 Protections for Supposed Terrorists Subjected to Preventive ‘Exprisonment’	142
6.5 Conclusion	145
7	
Implications: The case of the Islamic State	149
7.1 Introduction	149
7.2 Counter-Attacking IS on the Territory of Another State	153
7.3 IS Political Objective and Popular Support	155
7.4 IS Prisoners of War	157
7.5 Discriminating Between IS Combatants and Civilians	160
7.6 Civilians Associated with IS	164
7.7 Conclusion	166
8	
Conclusion	169
Summary	177
Samenvatting	183
Bibliography	191