



UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

The binary pulsar PSRJ 2145-0750: a system originating from a low or intermediate mass x-ray binary with a donor star on the asymptotic giant branch?

van den Heuvel, E.P.J.

Publication date

1994

Published in

Astronomy & Astrophysics

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

van den Heuvel, E. P. J. (1994). The binary pulsar PSRJ 2145-0750: a system originating from a low or intermediate mass x-ray binary with a donor star on the asymptotic giant branch? *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 291, L39-L42.

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

UvA-DARE is a service provided by the library of the University of Amsterdam (<https://dare.uva.nl>)

Letter to the Editor

The binary pulsar PSRJ 2145–0750: a system originating from a low or intermediate mass X-ray binary with a donor star on the asymptotic giant branch?

E.P.J. van den Heuvel^{1,2}

¹ Astronomical Institute, University of Amsterdam, Kruislaan 403, NL-1098 SJ Amsterdam, The Netherlands

² Center for High-Energy Astrophysics (CHEAF), Kruislaan 403, NL-1098 SJ Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Received 2 June 1994 / Accepted 12 August 1994

Abstract. It is shown that the 6.84-day orbital period and relatively high companion mass of the 16.1ms period binary pulsar PSRJ 2145-0750 find a consistent explanation if the progenitor-system consisted of a donor star in the mass range $1-6 M_{\odot}$ with an orbital period such that this star overflowed its Roche-lobe on the Asymptotic Giant Branch (AGB). The present system then resulted after a phase of Common Envelope (CE) evolution. Initial donor masses in the range $2.4-6 M_{\odot}$ are possible only if, in addition to the orbital gravitational binding energy, other energy sources are available for expelling the envelope. The post-CE remnants of donors in the mass range $4-6 M_{\odot}$ overflow their Roche lobes and continue to transfer mass at a near or super Eddington rate for of order 10^6 yrs. This provides a simple way to explain the rapid spin and weak magnetic field of PSRJ 2145-0750.

Key words: binary pulsars–pulsar individual–stars evolution–close binaries–x-ray stars

1. Introduction

The 16ms binary pulsar PSRJ 2145-0750 has a circular orbit with a period of 6.839 days (Bailes et al. 1994). In this respect it resembles binary millisecond pulsars in the Galactic disk such as PSR 1855+09 ($P_b = 12.33^d$, $P = 5.4$ ms) and J 0437-4715 ($P_b = 5.74^d$, $P = 5.75$ ms).

However, two differences with the latter type of systems are:

1. The companion of PSRJ 2145-0750 has a mass $> 0.43 M_{\odot}$, and probably $> 0.51 M_{\odot}$ (50% probability), whereas the companions in the eight millisecond pulsar binaries with

$P_b < 12.5$ d have $M_c \lesssim 0.26 M_{\odot}$. (The latter companions are helium white dwarfs and the evolution of these systems is well understood, cf. Verbunt 1990, 1993).

2. The spin period of PSRJ 2145-0750 is 16ms, i.e. considerably longer than those of the last-mentioned eight systems, which have an average $\langle P \rangle = 3.8$ ms, the longest period among them being 7.5ms.

We argue here that these differences are due to a different evolutionary history, and that PSRJ 2145-0750 originated from a wide binary system that went through a stage of CE-evolution. A similar suggestion was recently made by Phinney and Kulkarni (1994), who proposed that the evolutionary history of PSRJ 2145-0750 was similar to that of PSR 0655+64, and that these systems started out with companions considerably more massive than $2 M_{\odot}$ ($\geq 5 M_{\odot}$ in the case of PSR 0655+64, cf. Van den Heuvel and Taam 1984). We show here, however, that for PSRJ 2145-0750 also original companions less massive than $2 M_{\odot}$ are possible and that, in fact, the entire mass range $1-6 M_{\odot}$ is probably allowed.

2. Some Evolutionary Considerations

Helium white dwarfs cannot have masses $\geq 0.45 M_{\odot}$ (cf. Kippenhahn and Weigert 1990), which is the limiting mass for helium ignition by a flash. Since the companion of PSRJ 2145-0750 has almost certainly a mass larger than this value, it most likely is a CO white dwarf. It must be a white dwarf, since the ultra-short pulse period and the weak B-field of PSRJ 2145-0750 clearly indicate that it is a recycled pulsar (see e.g. Bhattacharya and Van den Heuvel 1991; Bhattacharya 1992; Verbunt 1993). Hence, its companion must now be at the endpoint of its nuclear evolution; the circular orbit rules out the possibility that it is a neutron star.

There are, basically, two ways to produce a CO-white dwarf:

1. From a normal hydrogen-rich star, when this star has passed through the phase of core-helium burning and is on the AGB,

* Present address:(1, 2) Kruislaan 403, 1098 SJ Amsterdam, The Netherlands, phone +31-20-525.7491, fax +31-20-525.7484, e-mail inst@astro.uva.nl

burning helium and hydrogen in shells around its degenerate CO-core.

2. In a helium star of mass $\lesssim 2.0 M_{\odot}$ (cf. Habets 1985, 1986), during helium shell burning.

The latter model is, however, ruled out for the origin of PSRJ 2145-0750 for the following reasons. Systems consisting of a helium star and a neutron star are already the result of CE-evolution. For helium stars $\leq 2.0 M_{\odot}$ this first CE-evolution always produces orbital periods $\lesssim 1$ day. This is due to the fact that to produce a helium star, the CE-phase should start prior to helium ignition, which implies a rather short initial orbital period. This, in combination with the fact that much orbital energy is required to eject the massive hydrogen-rich envelopes of their progenitors, leads always to post-CE orbital periods < 1 day. The subsequent CE-evolution and/or mass transfer from the helium star to the neutron star is unable to substantially increase this period, as one can easily verify. Therefore, only the first possibility remains for the origin of PSRJ 2145-0750. The present orbital period of the system shows that if one starts with a companion on the AGB, the system must have undergone a deep phase of CE-evolution.

3. The Relation between Initial and Final Orbits

To calculate the ratio of final and initial orbital radius (a_2/a_1) in the case of CE-evolution, we use the formalism of Webbink (1984, 1992):

$$a_2/a_1 = \frac{M_2 \cdot M_{1f}}{M_{1f} + M_{1e}} \cdot \frac{1}{(M_2 + 2M_{1e}/\eta\lambda r_1)} \quad (1)$$

where M_2 is the mass of the neutron star, M_{1f} the mass of the remaining core of the AGB-star, M_{1e} the hydrogen-envelope mass of this star (which is lost), λ a parameter that depends on the density distribution of the star, r_1 is the ratio of Roche-lobe radius to orbital radius before spiral-in, and η is the 'efficiency' factor of CE-evolution. Both λ and r_1 are of order 0.5.

The value of η is more difficult to establish. If the only energy source available for the expulsion of the envelope is the drop in orbital gravitational binding energy of the binary during spiral-in, η will always be ≤ 1 . It is, however, possible that other energy sources are available in the envelope, such as recombination energy of ions and molecules, accretion energy onto the neutron star, etcetera (cf. Iben and Livio 1993). In that case η may become larger than unity. We will consider these two cases separately, and discuss now the possible range in η values.

The change in orbital radius (a_2/a_1) is sometimes expressed differently from Eq. 1, e.g. in the work of Iben and Tutukov (1984, 1985, 1993). These authors use an efficiency factor α_{CE} of CE-evolution, defined for example in Eq. 17 of Iben and Livio (1993). The relation between η and α_{CE} is that for companions in the mass range $2 - 5 M_{\odot}$ to obtain the same change in orbital radius, η should be roughly four times larger than α_{CE} . So on theoretical grounds, when no other energy sources are available in the envelope, one expects α_{CE} to be ≤ 0.25 , which

is indeed confirmed by 2-D and 3-D numerical simulations of CE-evolution, which yield $\alpha_{CE} \simeq 0.15$ (cf. Terman et al. 1994), and η values 0.3 to 0.6 (Taam and Bodenheimer 1989, 1992).

One way to attempt to determine α_{CE} is from observations, as was done by De Kool (1990) and Yungelson et al. (1993) by studying the distribution of orbital periods (and other parameters) of double nuclei of planetary nebulae (PNN). By comparing the observed distribution with distribution resulting from numerical simulations, they find that α_{CE} in the range 0.1 – 1.0 gives the best fit. This would imply η values up to about 4, which is not unreasonable since many PNN may have resulted from AGB stars, which may have had additional energy sources in their envelopes. In view of the above we will consider two possible values of η , $\eta = 1$ and 4, and study what conditions these η values impose on the initial system parameters of PSRJ 2145-0750.

4. Limits to the Initial System Configuration

4.1. The case $\eta = 1$

We will assume that $M_c = M_{1f} = 0.6 M_{\odot}$, which is the most commonly found mass of CO-white dwarfs (Weidemann 1990). For M_2 we assume $1.4 M_{\odot}$. Then, for any given value of M_{1e} one finds with equation (1) the minimum orbital radius a_1 before spiral-in, by using the present orbital radius $a_2 = 0.09 AU$. For example, assuming an initial companion mass $M_1 = 1.4 M_{\odot}$, one has $M_{1e} = 0.8 M_{\odot}$ and one finds that a_1 should have been $> 1.15 AU \simeq 260 R_{\odot}$. One finds that a $1.4 M_{\odot}$ star with a CO-core at this orbital separation overflowing its Roche-lobe, is on the AGB. If η is somewhat smaller than unity, the required a_1 -value and stellar radius required for overflowing its Roche lobe is, of course, even larger. Hence, the spiral-in model with an $1.4 M_{\odot}$ AGB-companion provides a viable scenario for obtaining the present orbit and companion mass of PSRJ 2145-0750.

It is easy to show that the same holds for slightly more massive companions, up to about $2.4 M_{\odot}$. For $M_1 > 2.4 M_{\odot}$ and $\eta = 1$ the minimum orbital radius a_1 required to obtain the present orbital radius a_2 , becomes larger than the largest radius possible for an AGB-star of that mass. Thus, for $\eta \leq 1$ the CE-model cannot work for $M_1 > 2.4 M_{\odot}$. On the other hand, even for AGB-companions in the mass range $1.0 - 1.4 M_{\odot}$, CE-evolution and spiral-in may still have occurred with a $1.4 M_{\odot}$ neutron star, since with a fully convective envelope, CE-evolution occurs even for mass ratios M_1/M_2 as low as 0.5 (Hjellming 1989). The entire mass range $1.0 - 2.4 M_{\odot}$ is therefore allowed for the companion, for $\eta \leq 1$. The resulting white dwarfs in all these cases have masses of about $0.6 M_{\odot}$.

As the entire CE-phase and loss of the envelope on the AGB lasted less than $\sim 10^3$ yrs (cf. Terman et al. 1994), the accretion of $\geq 0.01 M_{\odot}$ required to spin the neutron star up to $P = 16$ ms must have occurred prior to the CE-phase (unless one would assume that highly super-Eddington accretion can take place during a CE-phase, as argued by Chevalier (1993). With companions $\leq 2.4 M_{\odot}$ it is most likely, that this spin-up occurred due to wind accretion during the long-lasting phase as

a normal red giant, prior to the AGB-phase. For stars of $1 M_{\odot}$ and $2 M_{\odot}$ the duration of the brightest part of the normal giant (H-shell burning) phase is about $2 \cdot 10^7$ yrs. During this phase the luminosity is typically $\sim 500 L_{\odot}$ and $R \sim 10^2 R_{\odot}$, which leads, with the formula of Kudritzki and Reimers (1978) to a stellar wind mass loss rate $\dot{M}_w \simeq 1,2 \times 10^{-8} M_{\odot}/\text{yr}$, at a velocity of order 90 km/s .

As the orbital separation before spiral-in was ~ 1.2 AU, one then calculates that the fraction of the wind mass loss captured by a neutron star of $1.4 M_{\odot}$ is about $\dot{M}_a \simeq 0.02 \dot{M}_w \simeq 2.5 \times 10^{-10} M_{\odot}/\text{yr}$. In $2 \cdot 10^7$ yrs the amount captured is about $0.005 M_{\odot}$. Later, after the helium flash, during double shell burning, a similar amount can be captured, such that in total $\sim 0.01 M_{\odot}$ can be accreted. This is just sufficient to spin the neutron star up to its required 16ms-period. Also, at the above accretion rate, the shortest possible spin-period that can be reached (the so-called equilibrium period) is

$$P_{eq} = 2.4 \text{ ms } M^{-5/7} B_9^{6/7} (\dot{M}_a / \dot{M}_{Edd}) \quad (2)$$

where B_9 is the surface dipole magnetic field strength in units of 10^9 G, and \dot{M}_{Edd} is the maximum possible accretion rate: $1.4 \times 10^{-8} M_{\odot}/\text{yr}$. Indeed the shortest spin period that can be reached for $B_9 = 1$ and $\dot{M} = 2.5 \cdot 10^{-10} M_{\odot}/\text{yr}$ is slightly below 16ms. We thus conclude that for $\eta = 1$ the bulk of the spin-up in PSRJ 2145-0750 must have taken place in the various giant phases of the donor star, prior to spiral-in.

Table 1. Initial orbital radius a_1 and initial Roche-lobe radius R_{L1} of PSRJ 2145-0750 for the case $\eta=4$ for four different initial donor masses, M_1 . The two listed values of the final donor mass M_{1f} are: immediately after spiral-in and the final white dwarf mass (according to Iben and Tutukov 1993). Further explanations in the text.

M_1 (M_{\odot})	M_{1f} (M_{\odot})	a_1 (R_{\odot})	R_{L1} (R_{\odot})	R_{EAGB} (R_{\odot}) $R_{TP-AGB1}$ $R_{TP-AGB2}$
3	0.56 → 0.555	470	210	24
				260
				420
4	0.76 → 0.74	585	275	40
				330
				520
5	0.99 → 0.82	830	410	60
				430
				600
6	1.31 → 0.86	760	390	100
				570
				630

4.2. The Case $\eta = 4$

Here three-quarters of the energy required to expell the envelope comes from non-orbital energy sources, such that now heavier envelopes can be expelled. Iben and Tutukov (1993) give the masses of the post CE-remnants of AGB-stars of 3, 4, 5 and

$6 M_{\odot}$, for final Roche-lobe radii of $0.5 R_{\odot}$ and $5 R_{\odot}$. As the latter value is closest to what is required for PSRJ 2145-0750, we adopted here the corresponding remnant masses, which are listed in Table 1. These masses determine the masses of the expelled envelopes. Therefore, with Eq. 1 and $\eta = 4$ one can calculate for each of these four initial donor masses the resulting (a_1/a_2) values, and, with $a_2=0.09$ AU, one then finds the corresponding a_1 values, which are listed in the table. The table lists also the corresponding Roche-lobe radii of the donors prior to spiral-in, as well as the radii of the stars on the onset of the AGB-phase (R_{EAGB}), at the onset of the Thermal Pulsing AGB ($R_{TP-AGB1}$) and at the end of the Thermal Pulsing AGB ($R_{TP-AGB2}$).

If the Roche-lobe radii are larger than the last-mentioned radius, the progenitor system is not possible. The table shows, that with $\eta = 4$ original donors in the mass range $3 - 6 M_{\odot}$ are possible. (A rough estimate shows that even donor masses as high as $7 M_{\odot}$ cannot be excluded.)

A very important point, noted by Iben and Tutukov (1993) is that for original donor masses $4 - 6 M_{\odot}$, the remnant stars, of mass M_{1f} , after spiral-in fill their Roche-lobes and continue to transfer mass to their companions, at a high rate, in the range $10^{-8} M_{\odot}/\text{yr}$ to $10^{-6} M_{\odot}/\text{yr}$, for about 10^6 yrs.

These remnants consist of a degenerate CO-core and a thick helium envelope, and are burning helium at the bottom of this envelope. During this helium shell burning phase the envelope slowly expands giving rise to the mass transfer. The remnants of the $5 M_{\odot}$ and $6 M_{\odot}$ stars transfer mass at a rate $\sim 10^{-6} M_{\odot}/\text{yr}$ for about $(1 - 2) \times 10^5$ yrs, while their mass transfer rate for the entire duration of the transfer (1.5×10^6 yrs and 8×10^5 yrs, respectively) is $\geq 3 \cdot 10^{-8} M_{\odot}/\text{yr}$ and $\geq 10^{-7} M_{\odot}/\text{yr}$, respectively. For the $4 M_{\odot}$ remnant it is $\approx 10^{-8} M_{\odot}/\text{yr}$ for 1.4×10^6 yrs.

It is important to note that the transferred matter is helium, such that the maximum possible accretion rate (Eddington limit) is twice that for hydrogen, i.e. $\sim 3 \times 10^{-8} M_{\odot}/\text{yr}$. Thus, from the remnants of the $5 M_{\odot}$ and $6 M_{\odot}$ stars the neutron star may have accreted $\sim 0.045 M_{\odot}$ and $0.024 M_{\odot}$, respectively, and in the $4 M_{\odot}$ case $0.014 M_{\odot}$. These amounts, transferred by Roche-lobe overflow, are more than sufficient to spin the neutron star up to ≤ 16 ms and will also make the magnetic fields decay to a low value (cf. Taam and Van den Heuvel 1986). Since after the mass transfer phase the companion contracts to become a white dwarf, a system with a rapidly spinning pulsar will stay behind.

5. Discussion and Conclusions

We conclude that

1. If $\eta \leq 1$ (no energy sources other than orbital are available for expelling the envelope of the donor) the donor star prior to spiral-in was an AGB star of mass $\leq 2.4 M_{\odot}$. The bulk of the spin-up of the neutron star, as well as its field decay, must in this case have taken place by stellar wind accretion during various giant phases of the companion, prior to spiral-in. The estimated cumulative amount of accretion in this case was just sufficient

($\sim 0.01 M_{\odot}$ to spin the neutron star up to its present spin period, and the accretion rate was low, $\lesssim 2.5 \times 10^{-10} M_{\odot}/\text{yr}$).

2. If other energy sources for expelling the envelope are available, i.e. η considerably larger than unity, initial companions in the mass range $2.4 - 6 M_{\odot}$ are also possible. Also here the CE-phase started when the companion was on the (probably early) AGB-phase. For initial donors of $4 - 6 M_{\odot}$ the post-CE remnant continued to transfer helium by Roche-lobe overflow for of order 10^6 yrs at near- or super-Eddington rates, amply sufficient (cumulative $0.014 - 0.045 M_{\odot}$) to spin the neutron star up to its present spin period, and decrease the strength of its magnetic field.

It has not escaped our attention that if the initial donor had been slightly more massive (i.e. $8 - 12 M_{\odot}$) a similar type of evolution would have taken place, though the mass of the CO-core would have been sufficiently massive to collapse to a neutron star. As in this case the mass of CO-core and its helium mantle were $\gtrsim 2 M_{\odot}$ a second phase of orbital shrinking would have occurred, presumably followed by the same type of helium transfer to the neutron star, for of order 10^5 yrs or longer. This will have decreased its magnetic field and will have spun-up its rotation to a short period. We suggest that this prolonged post-CE mass transfer stage is the cause of the abnormally weak B-fields and rapid spin also of the Hulse-Taylor and Wolszczan pulsars.

Recently, a system closely resembling PSRJ 2145-0750 was discovered by Fernando Camilo at Princeton. This new system, PSRJ 1023+10, has $P=16.45\text{ms}$, $P_{\text{orb}}=7.8$ days, a circular orbit and a companion of mass $\geq 0.72 M_{\odot}$ (most likely a value $0.87 M_{\odot}$). Its evolutionary history must have been very similar to that of PSRJ 2145-0750, with an initial donor in the mass range $4 - 6 M_{\odot}$ or even slightly higher.

Acknowledgements. I thank Frank Verbunt and Alvio Renzini for very useful referee's comments, and Fernando Camilo for informing me early about his discovery of the PSRJ 1023+10 system, and for inspiring discussions.

References

- Bailes, M., Harrison, B.A., Lorimer, D.R., Johnston, S., Lyne, A.G., Manchester, R.N., D'Amico, N., Nicastro, L., Tauris, T. and Robinson, R. 1994, *ApJ*, 425, L41
- Bhattacharya, D. 1992, in 'X-ray Binaries and Recycled Pulsars' (eds. E.P.J. van den Heuvel and S.A. Rappaport), Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 257
- Bhattacharya, D. and Van den Heuvel, E.P.J. 1991, *Phys. Rep.*, 203, 1-124
- Chevalier, R.A. 1993, *ApJ*, 411, L33
- De Kool, M. 1990, *ApJ*, 358, 189
- Habets, G.M.H.J. 1985, PhD thesis, Univ. of Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- Habets, G.M.H.J. 1986, *A&A*, 187, 209
- Hjellming, M.S. 1989, PhD thesis, Univ. of Illinois, Urbana, Ill.
- Iben, I. and Livio, M. 1993, *PASP*, 105, 1373
- Iben, I. and Tutukov, A.V. 1984, *ApJS*, 54, 335
- Iben, I. and Tutukov, A.V. 1985, *ApJS*, 58, 661
- Iben, I. and Tutukov, A.V. 1993, *ApJ*, 418, 343
- Kippenhahn, R. and Weigert, A. 1990, 'Stellar Structure and Evolution', Springer, Heidelberg, 468pp
- Kudritzki, B.P. and Reimers, D. 1978, *A&A*, 70, 227
- Phinney, E.S. and Kulkarni, S.R. 1994, *ARAA* (in press)
- Taam, R.E. and Bodenheimer, P. 1989, *ApJ*, 337, 849
- Taam, R.E. and Bodenheimer, P. in 'X-Ray Binaries and Recycled Pulsars' (eds. E.P.J. van den Heuvel and S.A. Rappaport), Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 281
- Taam, R.E. and Van den Heuvel, E.P.J. 1986, *ApJ*, 305, 235
- Terman, J.L., Taam, R.E. and Hernquist, L. 1994, *ApJ*, 422, 729
- Van den Heuvel, E.P.J. and Bitzaraki, O. 1994, *Mem.Soc.Astron.Ital.* (in press)
- Van den Heuvel, E.P.J. and Taam, R.E. 1984, *Nature*, 309,235
- Verbunt, F. 1990, in 'Neutron Stars and Their Birth Events' (W. Kundt, ed.) Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 179-218
- Verbunt, F. 1993, *Ann. Rev. A&A*, 31, 93
- Webbink, R.F. 1984, *ApJ*, 277, 355
- Webbink, R.F. 1992, in 'X-Ray Binaries and Recycled Pulsars' (eds. E.P.J. van den Heuvel and S.A. Rappaport), Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 269-280
- Weidemann, V. 1990, *Ann. Rev. A&A*, 28, 103
- Yungelson, L.R., Tutukov, A.V. and Livio, M., 1993, *ApJ*, 418, 794

This article was processed by the author using Springer-Verlag L^AT_EX A&A style file L-AA version 3.