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ABSTRACT

We describe the first X-ray observations of five short orbital period ($P_B < 1$ day), $\gamma$-ray emitting, binary millisecond pulsars (MSPs). Four of these—PSRs J0023+0923, J1124−3653, J1810+1744, and J2256−1024—are “black-widow” pulsars, with degenerate companions of mass $\ll 0.1 M_\odot$, three of which exhibit radio eclipses. The fifth source, PSR J2215+5135, is an eclipsing “redback” with a near Roche-lobe filling $\sim 0.2$ solar mass non-degenerate companion. Data were taken using the Chandra X-Ray Observatory and covered a full binary orbit for each pulsar. Two pulsars, PSRs J2215+5135 and J2256−1024, show significant orbital variability while PSR J1124−3653 shows marginal orbital variability. The lightcurves for these three pulsars have X-ray flux minima coinciding with the phases of the radio eclipses. This phenomenon is consistent with an intrabinary shock emission interpretation for the X-rays. The other two pulsars, PSRs J0023+0923 and J1810+1744, are fainter and do not demonstrate variability at a level we can detect in these data. All five spectra are fit with three separate models: a power-law model, a blackbody model, and a combined model with both power-law and blackbody components. The preferred spectral fits yield power-law indices that range from 1.3 to 3.2 and blackbody temperatures in the hundreds of eV. The spectrum for PSR J2215+5135 shows a significant hard X-ray component, with a large number of counts above 2 keV, which is additional evidence for the presence of intrabinary shock emission. This is similar to what has been detected in the low-mass X-ray binary to MSP transition object PSR J1023+0038.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Of the roughly 2000 radio pulsars known, about 10% are millisecond pulsars (MSPs) (Manchester et al. 2005).12 old neutron stars which have been spun-up, or “recycled,” through accretion of material from a companion (Alpar et al. 1982). Many details of this recycling process remain unknown, but it is clear that most known MSPs have degenerate white dwarf companions with masses between 0.2 and 1 $M_\odot$. However, $\sim 1/6$ of the known MSPs in the Galactic field are isolated.13 The process through which these MSPs were formed is unclear. One potentially important method is the ablation of the pulsar companion after the end of the recycling process by energetic particles and/or $\gamma$-rays produced in the pulsar magnetosphere (Ruderman et al. 1989).

The identification of MSPs as strong $\gamma$-ray sources (Abdo et al. 2010; Kuiper et al. 2000) motivates searches for radio pulsations in unidentified Fermi sources with spectral and temporal properties matching those of known $\gamma$-ray MSPs. Bangale et al. (in preparation) observed 49 sources at 350 MHz with the Green Bank Telescope (GBT) and detected 17 MSPs, 10 of which were new discoveries and 16 of which are in binary systems, with seven of them having short orbital periods ($P_B < 1$ day). Three of these pulsars (PSRs J0023+0923, J1124−3653, J1810+1744) and one (PSR J2256−1024) found in a 350 MHz GBT drift-scan survey (Boyles et al. 2013; I. H. Stairs et al., in preparation) and re-detected in the Bangale et al. survey have very small companion masses ($M_{\text{com}} \ll 0.1 M_\odot$) and three have pronounced radio eclipses, classifying them as black-widow pulsars (Stappers et al. 2003). One other pulsar discovered in this survey (PSR J2215+5135) has a short orbital period and eclipses, but a larger companion mass ($M_{\text{com}} = 0.208 M_\odot$; Table 1). Optical observations of the companion suggest it is non-degenerate and nearly Roche-lobe filling and hence may be in an only temporary non-accreting, radio-emitting phase (Breton et al. 2013).

The first pulsar showing evidence for the ablation process was the original black-widow pulsar PSR B1957+20, which shows radio eclipses due to absorption in the wind of the companion and dramatic pulse delays around the time of eclipse due to propagation through the wind (Fruchter et al. 1990). XMM-Newton (Huang & Becker 2007) and Chandra observations (Stappers et al. 2003; Huang et al. 2012) revealed unresolved synchrotron emission that is variable throughout the orbit. On average, the orbital modulation is broadly sinusoidal, peaking near superior conjunction when the companion...
is between the pulsar and observer, but with a narrow dip over \( \sim 0.1 \) of the orbit at superior conjunction. This emission is interpreted as coming from an intrabinary shock of the pulsar’s wind close to the nearly Roche-lobe filling companion’s surface (van Kerkwijk et al. 2011). In addition, the Chandra observations resolved an extended tail of X-ray emission arising from the pulsar outflow shocking the interstellar medium, the first demonstration that MSPs can produce pulsar wind nebulae. Furthermore, magnetospheric pulsations in \( \gamma \)-rays and X-rays have been detected from the point source (Guillemot et al. 2012).

An important link in the MSP formation scenario was made with the discovery of a radio pulsar (PSR J1023+0038) that showed evidence for having an accretion disk in the recent past (Archibald et al. 2009). This very fast (\( P_{\text{spin}} = 1.69 \) ms) eclipsing radio pulsar is in a 4.8 hr orbit around a nearly Roche-lobe filling, non-degenerate companion, and is the prototype of the “redback” class of binary MSPs (Roberts 2011). XMM-Newton (Archibald et al. 2010) and Chandra (Bogdanov et al. 2011) observations of this system revealed significant orbital variability over multiple consecutive orbits, with a pronounced dip in the X-ray flux at superior conjunction (orbital phases of \( \sim 0.1–0.4 \)), when the pulsar is behind the companion and the intrabinary shock produced through the interaction of stellar outflows is obscured (Bogdanov et al. 2011). Because the angular extent of the pulsar as seen from the companion star is small, the width of this dip suggests that the X-ray emission region is much closer to the companion star than to the MSP. This evidence is strengthened further when considering the inclination of the binary system (\( i \sim 46^\circ \), constrained through optical radial velocity measurements; Archibald et al. 2009). The X-ray spectrum consists of a dominant non-thermal component from the shock and at least one thermal component, likely originating from the heated pulsar polar caps. X-ray pulsations were also observed in the XMM-Newton data, indicating that some of the non-thermal point source emission is magnetospheric. For this source, no evidence for extended X-ray emission has been seen in the Chandra data (Bogdanov et al. 2011).

In general, the shock X-ray luminosity for a binary pulsar system will depend on the fraction of the wind intercepted by the companion, the spin-down energy loss rate (\( \dot{E} \)) of the pulsar, and both the post-shock magnetic field strength and the ratio of electromagnetic flux to kinetic energy flux, \( \sigma \) (Arons & Tavani 1993; Kennel & Coroniti 1984). For PSR B1957+20, measurements of the X-ray orbital variability show that the efficiency of X-ray production at the shock is similar to that of pulsar wind nebulae around young pulsars, but it is not clear if this is true in all cases.

The body of knowledge regarding black-widow pulsars is still lacking. For example, intrabinary shocks can produce significant mass loss from black-widow companions by accelerating shocked particles out of the companion’s Roche-lobe (Bogdanov et al. 2005), yet it remains to be shown whether this mass loss can be produced only from companions which are nearly filling their Roche-lobe. It also is not clear whether or not the winds from these pulsars are dominated by kinetic or magnetic energy.

Until very recently, studies were limited by the rarity of these systems. In the last few years however, many nearby systems have been discovered, more than tripling the known population (Ray et al. 2012). In Section 2, we summarize the observations and analysis procedures. In Section 3, we present the results of the spectral and light curve analyses. In Section 4, we offer conclusions. For each of these sources, we compare the X-ray properties to those of PSR B1957+20 and PSR J1023+0038, currently the two best-studied systems.

### 2. OBSERVATIONS AND ANALYSIS

We observed PSRs J0023+0923 (OBSID 14363), J1124–3653 (OBSID 13722), J1810+1744 (OBSID 12465), J2215+5135 (OBSID 12466), and J2256–1024 (OBSID 12467) for 15 ks, 22 ks, 22 ks, 19 ks, and 22 ks respectively, i.e., at least a full orbit in each case. The data were taken using Chandra’s ACIS-S detector and analyzed using Chandra’s data analysis suite, CIAO (ver. 4.2). Source regions were selected by first determining the locations of each source using radio timing positions. Background regions were chosen so that the regions were located on the same chip as the source and did not enclose any point sources. Once source and background regions were selected, point-spread functions (PSFs) were then created for each source using CIAO’s \texttt{psfgen} function. Since the CIAO PSF library has PSFs for five discrete energies, we evaluated the PSF at energies which approximately correspond to where the count rate is a maximum. The energies chosen for PSRs J0023+0923,
Table 2
Spectral Fit Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>$kT$ (eV)</th>
<th>$\Gamma$</th>
<th>$F_x$ (10$^{-14}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>$\log_{10} L_x$ (erg s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\epsilon$</th>
<th>Blackbody Flux (10$^{-14}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>Power-law Flux (10$^{-14}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>$\chi^2$/dof</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Power-law Fit</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J0023+0923</td>
<td>$3.2^{+0.6}_{-0.5}$</td>
<td>$3.0^{+1.3}_{-0.9}$</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>1.0/7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J1124−3653</td>
<td>$2.1^{+0.3}_{-0.3}$</td>
<td>$6.3^{+1.5}_{-1.1}$</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>12.3/7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J1810+1744</td>
<td>$2.1^{+0.4}_{-0.4}$</td>
<td>$2.5^{+0.8}_{-0.7}$</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>2.1/7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J2215+5135</td>
<td>$1.4^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$</td>
<td>$9.7^{+3.0}_{-2.0}$</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>1.8/7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J2256−1024</td>
<td>$2.7^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$</td>
<td>$5.3^{+0.6}_{-0.6}$</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>5.1/7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Blackbody fit</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J0023+0923</td>
<td>$180^{+850}_{-20}$</td>
<td>$1.4^{+1.3}_{-0.9}$</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>3.6/7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J1124−3653</td>
<td>$440^{+1020}_{-80}$</td>
<td>$3.1^{+5.3}_{-2.3}$</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>27.5/7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J1810+1744</td>
<td>$430^{+1240}_{-120}$</td>
<td>$1.2^{+2.6}_{-0.9}$</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>4.9/7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J2215+5135</td>
<td>$700^{+1500}_{-150}$</td>
<td>$5.3^{+7.9}_{-3.7}$</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>13.4/7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J2256−1024</td>
<td>$200^{+200}_{-20}$</td>
<td>$3.2^{+2.6}_{-1.6}$</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>6.4/7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Combined fit</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J0023+0923</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>$1.9^{+0.8}_{-0.6}$</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>$1.5^{+0.5}_{-0.6}$</td>
<td>$1.0^{+0.7}_{-0.6}$</td>
<td>2.7/7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J1124−3653</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>1.3$^{+0.5}_{-0.4}$</td>
<td>$5.4^{+4.5}_{-2.5}$</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>$2.3^{+1.0}_{-1.1}$</td>
<td>$5.4^{+4.4}_{-2.7}$</td>
<td>9.3/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J1810+1744</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>$2.0^{+0.5}_{-0.6}$</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>$0.7^{+0.4}_{-0.4}$</td>
<td>$2.0^{+0.7}_{-0.7}$</td>
<td>2.4/7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J2215+5135</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>1.2$^{+0.4}_{-0.3}$</td>
<td>$8.1^{+5.4}_{-3.3}$</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>$1.2^{+1.0}_{-1.0}$</td>
<td>$9.2^{+5.6}_{-3.5}$</td>
<td>1.5/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J2256−1024</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>1.8$^{+0.7}_{-0.6}$</td>
<td>$4.6^{+2.5}_{-1.6}$</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$2.4^{+1.0}_{-1.0}$</td>
<td>$3.2^{+2.6}_{-1.6}$</td>
<td>2.0/6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. Spectral properties of the five Fermi-associated radio MSPs, including the temperature ($kT$), power-law index ($\Gamma$), the measured absorbed flux ($F_x$), the logarithm of the 0.3–8 keV luminosity ($\log_{10} L_x$), the 0.3–8 keV efficiency ($\epsilon$), and the ratio of the $\chi^2$ value to the degrees of freedom (dof) for each fit. The very low $\chi^2$ values obtained suggest the fits to be overdetermined. Also included for the combined fits are the contributions to the unabsorbed flux from each component. All fits were performed using Chandra’s fitting package, Sherpa. All five sources were fitted with three separate models: a power-law model, a blackbody model, and a combined model with both power-law and blackbody components. The results of all three fits are shown. For the combined fits, values without errors were held constant, as was the temperature for each source (150 eV).

J1124−3653, J1810+1744, J2215+5135, and J2256−1024 were 0.75, 1.25, 1.5, 0.8, and 1 keV, respectively. Once energies were chosen, the mknxptool linearly interpolates a PSF from the five PSFs in the library. We then used these to compare the intensity of the source and PSF in two orthogonal directions.

Lightcurves were then determined for each source using counts in the 0.3–8 keV range, as Chandra has very little effective area outside of that range. The number of background-subtracted counts detected for each source ranged from 43 to 141 (Table 2). Each lightcurve was binned such that each bin represented one tenth of the observation, so that all bins have equal exposure. For these lightcurves, an orbital phase of 0.25 corresponds to the superior conjunction of the system. These lightcurves were then compared to uniform distributions using the $\chi^2$ test and Kolmogorov–Smirnov (K-S) test (Press et al. 1989) to determine their orbital variability. We have left these lightcurves unfolded to show the consistency of the shape from orbit-to-orbit.

Spectra were then analyzed using Chandra’s spectral fitting platform, Sherpa. The data were binned with five bins between 0.3 and 2 keV and four bins between 2 and 8 keV. Bins in the 0.3–2 keV energy range are of equal width (0.34 keV), as are bins in the 2–8 keV energy range (1.5 keV). This binning scheme was used in order to differentiate thermal emission (which we expect below 2 keV) and non-thermal emission (which we expect above 2 keV), which requires multiple bins above 2 keV. The data were then fitted over energies between 0.3 and 8 keV. Due to the small number of background-subtracted counts, we fixed nH, the neutral hydrogen column density along the line of sight to the source at a constant value set by the dispersion measure, assuming 10 free electrons per neutral hydrogen atom as is motivated by He et al. (2013). The resulting column densities are listed in Table 2. Comparing the values to the total Galactic nH as estimated using the HEASARC nH tool (based on the maps of Kalberla et al. 2005; Dickey & Lockman 1990), we found that this gives reasonable values. We fit each source with three separate models: a power-law model, a blackbody model, and a combined model with both power-law and blackbody components. Due to the low number of background-subtracted counts for PSRs J0023+0923 and J1810+1744, temperature and $\Gamma$ were held constant at 150 eV and 1.5, respectively, for their combined fits. These values are consistent with $X$-ray blackbody temperatures typically seen for MSPs (Bogdanov 2008; Bogdanov et al. 2007; Zhang & Cheng 2003) and power-law indices typically seen for non-thermal neutron star emission (Bogdanov et al. 2005, 2011).

Since PSRs J1124−3653, J2215+5135, and J2256−1024 all had higher count rates, we kept their temperatures fixed at 150 eV, but let $\Gamma$ vary. Also due to the low number of counts, all fits were done using cstat, which is Sherpa’s equivalent to XSPEC’s Cash statistic.

3. RESULTS
3.1. PSR J0023+0923

The lightcurve appears uniform (within 1σ errors), and, according to the K-S test, has a probability of 0.99 of being drawn from a uniform distribution. This is consistent with this
pulsar showing no radio eclipse, even at the relatively low observing frequency of 350 MHz. A two-dimensional K-S test yields the probability of being a point source of 0.99 in the x-direction and 0.31 in the y-direction. Therefore, we conclude that there is no evidence for extended emission. There is no detected emission above 2.5 keV, and so we effectively had only six bins (4 degrees of freedom) with which to fit, the very low $\chi^2$ values obtained suggest the fits to be overdetermined. While formally the power-law fit is slightly better than the blackbody fit, the lack of high energy counts and the steep power-law index ($\Gamma \sim 3$) of the power-law fit, and the reasonable temperature obtained from the blackbody fit all suggest the emission is predominantly thermal (see Figure 1).

3.2. PSR J1124−3653

The lightcurve shows marginal orbital variability as evidenced by the K-S test, which yields a probability of 0.10 of being drawn from a uniform distribution. Although the lowest count rate occurs at an orbital phase of 0, there is a local minimum near an orbital phase of 0.25 (superior conjunction), which coincides with the radio eclipse phase (shown in Figure 2). Aside from these minima, the lightcurve is constant within the 1σ error bars. A two-dimensional K-S test yields the probability of
being a point source of 0.10 in the $x$-direction and 0.65 in the $y$-direction. Although the probability of the source being drawn from the same distribution as the PSF in the $x$-direction appears low, we note that the source is actually narrower than the PSF in the $x$-direction (consistent with Poisson variations) and therefore conclude that there is no evidence for extended emission for PSR J1124−3653. The spectrum is well fit by a simple power law while a blackbody fit is formally unacceptable and results in a very high temperature. Since the count rate is higher than for PSR J0023+0923, we fix temperature but let $\Gamma$ vary for the combined fit. Most of the flux from the combined fit is assigned to the power-law component.

3.3. PSR J1810+1744

The lightcurve does not look obviously uniform and the K-S test gives this lightcurve a probability of 0.43 of being drawn from a uniform distribution. The variation in the lightcurve is very broad, covering most of the orbit, making it unlikely that the orbital variation can be attributed to eclipsing of the intrabinary shock emission by the companion. The soft lightcurve (0.3–2 keV) by itself does not show strong evidence for orbital variability. The two-dimensional K-S test yields the probability of being a point source of 0.23 in both directions. Therefore, we conclude that there is no clear evidence for extended emission. We again fix temperature and $\Gamma$ for the combined fit, with most of the flux from the combined fit coming from the power-law component (see Figure 3).

3.4. PSR J2215+5135

The single redback in our sample has a lightcurve which is clearly not uniform and the K-S test confirms this by yielding a probability of 0.04 of being drawn from a uniform distribution. Both the hard and soft lightcurves include clear minima at the same orbital phase as the radio eclipse. A two-dimensional K-S test yields the probability of being a point source of 0.19 in the $x$-direction and 0.27 in the $y$-direction. Therefore, we conclude that there is no strong evidence for extended emission. The spectrum is very hard, with a clear power-law tail (see Figure 4). The blackbody fit resulted in a much higher $\chi^2$ value and an unacceptably high temperature. We fix temperature, but let $\Gamma$ vary for the combined fit. The flux from the combined fit is again dominated by the power-law component.

3.5. PSR J2256−1024

The lightcurve has clear minima near orbital phases of 0.25 and 1.25 and the K-S test gives this lightcurve a probability of 8.8 × 10$^{-3}$ of being drawn from a uniform distribution. Although the dip around 0.25 is pronounced, we only have a single coverage of the minimum. Although we do not see the same dip in the soft lightcurve, the hard lightcurve does seem to have dips at the same orbital phases that the general lightcurve has. The dips coincide with the measured radio eclipses. A two-dimensional K-S test yields the probability of being a point source of 0.96 in the $x$-direction and 0.60 in the $y$-direction. Therefore, we conclude that there is no evidence for extended emission. Both the power-law and blackbody fits are acceptable, with a reasonable temperature and a somewhat steep spectral index (see Figure 5). However, around 5% of the photons are above 4 keV, which, along with the orbital variability, suggests a significant power-law spectral component. We fix temperature, but let $\Gamma$ vary for the combined fit. The $F$-test prefers the combined fit over the power-law fit with a significance of 0.95, and the flux from the combined fit is fairly evenly split between blackbody and power-law components.

4. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

X-ray emission has been detected from roughly 50 MSPs. The emission can be described by either blackbody or power-law models and can originate from the neutron star surface (in the case of a blackbody model) or from the magnetosphere or an intrabinary shock (in the case of a power-law model). We expect

---

15 See http://astro.phys.wvu.edu/XrayMSPs for a full list of sources and parameters used to calculate the luminosities in Figure 6.
emission from the neutron star’s surface and magnetosphere to be steady on timescales longer than the pulse period, and expect orbital modulation in the case of emission from an intrabinary shock. This modulation can be due to Doppler boosting of the flow within the shock, synchrotron beaming, or obscuration by the companion. In the first two cases, we would expect enhanced emission when the flow is coming toward us. Since there is only a weak outflow from the companion, we would expect a Mach cone pointed away from the pulsar with its head near the point on the companion star closest to the pulsar. For a nearly Roche-lobe filling companion, this would be near the L1 point.

We might therefore expect a minimum near inferior conjunction (orbital phase 0.75), and, depending on inclination, a broad peak roughly centered around superior conjunction (orbital phase 0.25). However, the orbital motion would cause the Mach cone to be swept back, in which case a broad enhancement after superior conjunction may result. The companion could also obscure part of the shock near superior conjunction, causing an X-ray dip. The duration and depth of the dip would depend on the ratio of the companion radius to the intrabinary separation, as well as the inclination angle of the system. With any of the above mechanisms, we would expect little if any change in the
observed spectrum of the shock. Extended X-ray emission due to the pulsar wind shocking the interstellar medium has been detected from some MSP binary systems, and this would also be expected to be steady.

For emission arising from an intrabinary shock, the angle subtended on the pulsar’s sky by the companion determines the fraction of the wind involved in the shock as well as affecting the X-ray light curve. If the companion is Roche-lobe filling, this fraction depends only on the masses of the binary components, which can be inferred from the timing modulo the inclination angle of the system. Modeling of the optical lightcurve of the companion can constrain both the inclination angle and the Roche-lobe filling factor of the companion. Breton et al. (2013) have made optical studies of all of our sources except for PSR J1124–3653, and compare them to PSR J1023+0038 and PSR B1957+20. All except PSR J0023+0923 and possibly PSR J2256–1024 seem to be nearly filling their Roche-lobe.

The radius of the companion to PSR J0023+0923 may well be less than 2/3 its Roche-lobe radius, and the diameter subtends only ∼8°. PSR J2256–1024 subtends ∼11°, PSR J1810+1744 ∼15°, and PSR J2215+5135 ∼26°. All are viewed at moderate inclination angles i ∼ 45°–70°. Although we do not have optical information on PSR J1124–3653, if it is nearly Roche-lobe filling as well, it would subtend ∼15°.

We detect X-ray emission from all five observed MSP binary systems (PSRs J0023+0923, J1124–3653, J1810+1744, J2215+5135, and J2256–1024). None of the pulsars show strong evidence for extended emission. In most cases, there is strong evidence for non-thermal emission, with power-law indices ∼1–2 consistent with intrabinary shock emission, similar to what is seen in the modulated emission from PSR B1957+20 and PSR J1023+0038 (Bogdanov et al. 2005, Bogdanov et al. 2011). While not well constrained given our low statistics, the ratio of non-thermal to thermal flux from our sample seems to roughly scale with the solid angle subtended by the companion.

We also note that the X-ray luminosities for our sources are comparable to other pulsars with similar spin-down energy loss rates (see Figure 6 and Pavlov et al. 2007).

Two of the five pulsars show strong evidence for orbital modulation. PSR J2215+5135 shows an X-ray dip for roughly a quarter of the orbit around the radio eclipse. This is seen in both hard and soft lightcurves. Given the large angle the companion subtends on the pulsar sky, we should expect comparatively more intrabinary shock emission and a broader X-ray dip than the other sources, as well as even longer radio eclipses, even at high frequencies. Observations at 2 GHz with the GBT show it to be eclipsed for roughly 1/3 of the orbit. Similarly, we see a dip in the X-ray lightcurve around the radio eclipse for PSR J2256–1024. This dip is more pronounced in the hard lightcurve. We therefore conclude that the power-law spectral components for these two pulsars are primarily due to intrabinary shock emission. Another two of the five pulsars show marginal evidence for orbital variability, with broadly sinusoidal lightcurves. For PSR J1124–3653, the emission appears to peak around half an orbit after the radio eclipse, but comparing the beginning of the observation to the end also hints at orbit-to-orbit variability. This could be due to intrabinary shock emission, though a longer observation is necessary to further probe this. PSR J1810+1744 shows broad orbital variability around the orbit, with possible orbit-to-orbit variations. Given the often chaotic nature of wind shocks, this is only to be expected, as has been observed in both PSR B1957+20 and PSR J1023+0038.

Figure 6. Luminosity vs. spin-down energy loss rate $\dot{E}$ for 24 X-ray detected MSPs. PSRs J0023+0923, J1124–3653, J1810+1744, J2215+5135, and J2256–1024 are shown as filled circles. Open circles represent all other Galactic MSPs from which X-ray emission has been detected. Spin-down energy loss rates were calculated using period derivatives that have been corrected for proper motion, and luminosities were calculated using distances derived from parallax measurements, where possible. PSRs J1023+0038 and B1957+20 are shown as stars for comparison. Luminosities of all sources were converted to equivalent luminosities in the 0.3–8.0 keV range using WebPIMMS. The line represents 0.1% efficiency.

The lightcurve of PSR J0023+0923 is nearly uniform, although due to the small number of counts, it is hard to make any concrete conclusion about variability. However, it also shows no evidence for radio eclipses and no evidence for emission above 2.5 keV. Given the companion’s small angular extent and apparent under-filling of its Roche-lobe, meaning the surface material is much more strongly gravitationally bound than for the Roche-lobe filling systems, any contribution from shock emission is expected to be small.

We conclude that the emission from both PSRs J1124–3653 and J2256–1024 is likely due to a combination of thermal emission from the neutron star and power-law emission from an intrabinary shock. The emission from PSR J2215+5135 is consistent with being due primarily to an intrabinary shock. The temperatures and power-law indices derived are consistent with previous fits to neutron star spectra. For all three of these pulsars, a small magnetospheric contribution is also possible. Further X-ray observations with better timing resolution are necessary to determine this. Given the small number of counts for PSRs J0023+0923 and J1810+1744, it is difficult to make conclusions on the origin of the X-ray emission. However, given the emission from PSR J0023+0923 seems likely to be predominantly thermal, it is likely we are only seeing emission from the pulsar itself, with essentially no contribution from a shock.

The small number of photons detected from all of these sources prohibits a more detailed study or detailed geometrical modeling. However, in all cases the emission is dominated by an unresolved source, and likely comes from within the system with little or no contribution from an extended wind nebula. Therefore, future studies covering multiple orbits with any of the current imaging X-ray telescopes are highly desirable.
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