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**Statistical learning**

Inferring word boundaries (Saffran, Johnson & Aslin, 1996; Endress & Bonatti, 2007; Gomez & Gerken, 1999)
Learning words and referents (e.g. Vouloumanos, 2008; Yu & Smith, 2007; Smith, Suanda & Yu, 2014)
Acquiring agreement markers (e.g. Lany, 2014; Lany & Saffran, 2013; Monaghan, Mattock, Davies & Smith, 2015)
Learning a meaningful agreement marker (Spit, Andringa, Rispens & Aboh, under review)

**Explicit instruction and replication**

Lack of replication in general (Cumming, 2014),
and in linguistics in particular (Marsden, Morgan-Short, Thompson & Abugaber, 2018)
Reported positive effects of explicit instruction in adults (Spada & Tomita, 2010), but no studies with children

**Research questions**

Can we replicate our findings that kindergarteners learn a meaningful agreement marker on the basis of distributional properties?
Does explicit instruction influence the acquisition of such a marker?

**Participants**

102 Dutch speaking children (51 females, \( M = 5;7 \)), 50 were explicitly instructed, 52 were not instructed

**Method**

**Exposure**

Miniature language:
Four proper names, three verbs, two grammatical markers,
six frequent nouns and twelve infrequent nouns

**Rule**

\( \text{Pli: probability that the noun has multiple referents} = 1 \)
\( \text{Tra: probability that the noun has multiple referents} = .5 \)

**Test**

Picture matching task with eye tracking
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**Conclusion**

Explicit instruction did not increase accuracy, but it did lead to earlier predictive eye movements
In a follow-up experiment, we will test children on a delayed post-test, to investigate the effect of sleep on the development of kindergarteners’ knowledge