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Summary

A Sea of Change:

Impacts of Reduced Nitrogen and Phosphorus Loads

on Coastal Phytoplankton Communities

The amount of nutrients entering the North Sea and many other coastal waters is highly impacted by anthropogenic activities. For decades, nutrient loading has steadily increased, which eventually resulted in eutrophication of coastal marine ecosystems. To address the negative impacts of nutrient loading, a multi-national agreement was enacted in 1986, to reduce by half the total nitrogen and phosphorus loads entering the North Sea via the major rivers of western Europe. By the early 2000s, the reduction of total phosphorus, at 50-70%, was more successful than the reduction of total nitrogen, at 20-30%. This disproportionate reduction in major nutrients may affect the productivity, species composition and nutritional quality of marine phytoplankton, at the base of the marine food web. To understand how this shift in nutrient loads has impacted the phytoplankton community in the North Sea, three major aims were addressed in this thesis.

➢ The first aim is to determine if multi-decadal de-eutrophication efforts have changed the nutrient stoichiometry of the North Sea and therefore the nutrients limiting the primary production of these waters.

➢ The second aim is to investigate how observed shifts in resource limitation and nutrient stoichiometry may have influenced the species and biochemical composition of phytoplankton communities in the North Sea.

➢ The third aim is to use the North Sea phytoplankton as a model system to contribute to an improved conceptual understanding of how competition between species affects the species composition of natural communities.

Nutrient limitation of the North Sea was investigated on multiple research cruises with the RV Pelagia along a transect which incorporated the nearshore region and extended to the central region (Chapter 2). Analysis of dissolved inorganic nutrients revealed a clear gradient from exceptionally high N:P ratios in the nearshore to low N:P ratios in the central North Sea. The presence of such
high N:P ratios is indicative of P limitation, which is counter to traditional expectations that the productivity of marine ecosystems tends to be N limited. The same stoichiometric gradient was observed in the seston (=phytoplankton and detritus) of the North Sea, which also showed extremely high N:P and C:P ratios in the coastal waters and much lower values in the central North Sea (Chapter 2).

Nutrient enrichment bioassays performed on board of the research vessel confirmed this spatial gradient in nutrient limitation (Chapter 2). Overall, phytoplankton growth in the nearshore region was limited by P, which transitioned to N and P co-limitation further offshore, and to N limitation in the central North Sea. Diatoms in the coastal waters were co-limited by silicate and P, while dinoflagellates and nanoflagellates including many mixotrophic species were co-limited by N and P. The different species responses indicated that further reductions of riverine P loads without concomitant reductions of N loads are likely to further suppress nuisant Phaeocystis blooms, but might be less effective in diminishing harmful algal blooms by dinoflagellates. The potential problems that can be caused by harmful algal blooms are illustrated by a highly toxic Alexandrium ostenfeldii bloom that caused major water quality issues in Zeeland in 2012 (Chapter 6).

Controlled laboratory experiments were utilized to investigate how changes in nutrient limitation would affect the species composition of phytoplankton communities collected from the North Sea (Chapter 3). Classic resource competition theory predicts that changes in nutrient ratios will alter the phytoplankton species composition. The nutrient-load hypothesis extends this classic theory, and predicts that decreasing nutrient loads will also alter the species composition even if nutrient ratios would stay the same, by shifting the species interactions from competition for light to competition for nutrients. Seven chemostats, each with a unique combination of N and P loads, were used to test these predictions. The results showed that both changes in N:P ratios and changes in absolute nutrient loads affected the phytoplankton species composition, in agreement with the theoretical predictions. However, we found a higher species diversity in the experiments than predicted by theory. This may be explained by neutral coexistence of the species, or by differences in pigment composition between diatoms, chlorophytes and cyanobacteria allowing a subtle
form of niche differentiation in which species utilize different portions of the underwater light spectrum.

To better understand how the biochemical composition of phytoplankton is impacted by changes in nutrient limitation, the phytoplankton communities grown in the experiments of Chapter 3 were further analyzed for biomolecule composition and synthesis (Chapter 4). The stable isotope $^{13}$C was added to steady-state communities from the chemostat experiments and incorporation of $^{13}$C into key biomolecules such as amino acids, carbohydrates and fatty acids was investigated. Nitrogen limitation reduced the amino acid content of the cells, and decreased the transformation from non-essential to essential amino acids, when compared to P- or light limitation. The cellular content of glucose, a storage carbohydrate, increased with nitrogen limitation, while structural carbohydrates and structural fatty acids did not vary significantly. P-limitation did not appear to impact amino acid content. The experiments had insufficient biomass for the analysis of $^{13}$C in nucleic acids, but it is well known from other studies that P-limitation suppresses the RNA content of cells. These changes in biomolecule composition may affect phytoplankton growth, and are a major determinant of their nutritional quality for higher trophic levels in the food web (Chapter 4).

Niche-based models and the neutral theory of biodiversity differ in their predictions of how phytoplankton communities will respond to changing nutrient loads. In particular, niche-based models assume that interspecific differences in the utilization of nutrients and light cause changes in species composition, whereas neutral theory assumes that species are equal competitors resulting in random drift of the species. To distinguish between these two fundamentally different conceptual frameworks, additional chemostat experiments were performed using natural phytoplankton collected from the North Sea. Coexistence of two species, the picocyanobacterium Cyanobium sp. and nano-eukaryote Nannochloropsis sp., occurred under P-limiting conditions. Both species were isolated and grown in monoculture to parameterize their growth kinetics. This revealed a nearly equal competitive ability for P, indicative of neutral coexistence of the two species. However, instead of the random drift predicted by neutral theory, pairwise competition experiments showed that the species abundances converged to the same steady state even if the species started from different initial conditions. Again, differences in pigment composition between the species may have played a role. That is, subtle niche differentiation
in the light spectrum may have stabilized the otherwise neutral competition for P, resulting in stable coexistence of the two species.

Overall, the impact of changing nitrogen and phosphorus loads on the phytoplankton community of the North Sea has been substantial. The more effective reduction of the P loads has resulted in P limitation of coastal waters, and an offshore gradient from P to N limitation that is reflected in both the C:N:P stoichiometry of the seston and in the growth response of the phytoplankton (Chapter 2). Furthermore, controlled experiments show that changes in both N:P ratios and total nutrient loads alter the biochemical and species composition of phytoplankton communities, and that these changes in species composition can best be described by niche-based models such as the nutrient-load hypothesis (Chapter 3, 4, 5). Further work is required to understand how higher trophic levels in the food web may be impacted by the low nutritional quality of P-limited phytoplankton. This work supports calls for continued and improved monitoring of the North Sea. Furthermore, this research demonstrates that future de-eutrophication efforts in any aquatic system should be done with balanced reductions of N and P as a core tenet.
Samenvatting

Een zee van verandering:

*Effecten van de afname in stikstof- en fosfaatbelasting op het fytoplankton in kustwater*

De hoeveelheid voedingsstoffen die de Noordzee en andere kustwateren instromen wordt sterk beïnvloed door menselijke activiteiten. Gedurende meerdere decennia nam de nutriëntenbelasting geleidelijk toe, wat uiteindelijk resulteerde in eutrofiëring van mariene kustecosystemen. Om de negatieve effecten van eutrofiëring een halt toe te roepen werd in 1986 in internationaal verband afgesproken om de hoeveelheid stikstof en fosfaat die via Europese rivieren de Noordzee instroomt te halveren. In de beginjaren 2000 was de totale afname van de fosfaatbelasting, met 50-70%, succesvoller dan de totale afname van de stikstofbelasting, met zo’n 20-30%. Deze onbalans in de afname van deze twee belangrijke voedingsstoffen zou kunnen leiden tot verschuivingen in de productiviteit, soortensamenstelling en voedingswaarde van marien fytoplankton aan de basis van het mariene voedselweb. Om beter te begrijpen of en hoe deze verschuiving in de nutriëntenbelasting het fytoplankton in de Noordzee heeft beïnvloed, heeft dit proefschrift zich gericht op de volgende drie doelstellingen:

- Het eerste doel is om vast te stellen of deze meerjarige verandering in de nutriëntenbelasting de verhouding van de nutriëntenconcentraties in de Noordzee heeft veranderd, en of dat heeft geleid tot veranderingen in de nutriënten die limiterend zijn voor de primaire productie van de Noordzee.
- Het tweede doel is om te onderzoeken hoe deze verschuivingen in nutriënt limitatie de soortensamenstelling en biochemische samenstelling van het fytoplankton in de Noordzee zouden kunnen beïnvloeden.
- Het derde doel is om het fytoplankton van de Noordzee te gebruiken als een model systeem voor een beter fundamenteel begrip van de effecten van concurrentie tussen soorten op de soortensamenstelling van ecosystemen.

Nutriënt limitatie in de Noordzee werd onderzocht door meerdere vaartochten met het onderzoekschip *RV Pelagia* langs een transect van de
kustzone naar het midden van de Noordzee (Hoofdstuk 2). Analyse van opgeloste anorganische nutriënten maakte een duidelijke gradïënt zichtbaar van exceptioneel hoge N:P ratio’s in het kustwater tot lage N:P ratio’s in het midden van de Noordzee. De aanwezigheid van zulke hoge N:P ratio’s is een aanwijzing voor fosfaat (P) limitatie, en staat haaks op de traditionele gedachte dat de productiviteit van mariene ecosystemen vooral door stikstof wordt gelimiteerd. Dezelfde gradïënt is echter ook aanwezig in het seston (=fytoplankton en detritus) van de Noordzee, waarbij we ook bijzonder hoge N:P en C:P ratio’s in het seston van de kustzone vonden en veel lagere waarden in de centrale Noordzee (Hoofdstuk 2).

De ruimtelijke gradïënt in nutriënt limitatie werd bevestigd door bioassays met nutriëntenbemesting aan boord van het onderzoeksschip (Hoofdstuk 2). De groei van het fytoplankton in de kustzone werd gelimiteerd door P, er was een overgangszone met co-limitatie van N en P verder uit de kust, en N was limiterend in de centrale Noordzee. Diatomeeën in het kustwater werden ge-co-limiteerd door silicaat en P, terwijl bij dinoflagellaten en nanoflagellaten waaronder veel mixotrofe soorten sprake was van co-limitatie door N en P. De verschillende manieren waarop verschillende soorten reageerden gaven aan dat verdere afname van de fosfaatbelasting zonder gelijktijdige afname van de stikstofbelasting waarschijnlijk zal leiden tot een verdere terugloop van de overlast veroorzakende schuimalg Phaeocystis, maar minder effectief zal zijn in het beperken van schadelijke algengroei door dinoflagellaten. Dat dinoflagellaten kunnen leiden tot schadelijke algengroei met grote problemen voor de waterkwaliteit wordt goed geïllustreerd door de bloei van de bijzonder giftige Alexandrium ostenfeldii in de provincie Zeeland in 2012 (Hoofdstuk 6).

Hoe verschuivingen in nutriënt limitatie de soortensamenstelling van fytoplankton in de Noordzee kunnen beïnvloeden, werd onderzocht in gecontroleerde laboratorium experimenten (Hoofdstuk 3). De klassieke resource competitie theorie voorspelt dat veranderingen in nutriënt ratio’s zullen leiden tot veranderingen in de fytoplankton soortensamenstelling. De nutriëntenbelasting hypothese is een uitbreiding van deze klassieke theorie, die voorspelt dat een afname van de nutriëntenbelasting ook zal leiden tot veranderingen in de soortensamenstelling als de nutriënt ratio’s hetzelfde blijven, doordat de interactie tussen soorten verschuift van competitie om licht naar competitie om nutriënten. Zeven chemostaat experimenten, met elk een unieke
combinatie van stikstof- en fosfaatbelasting, werden uitgevoerd om deze voorspellingen te testen. De resultaten tonen aan dat zowel veranderingen in N:P ratio’s als veranderingen in totale nutriëntenbelasting effect hebben op de fytoplankton soortensamenstelling, in overeenstemming met de theoretische voorspellingen. We vonden echter een grotere diversiteit aan soorten dan was voorspeld door de theorie. Deze hoge diversiteit kan worden toegeschreven aan neutrale coëxistentie van de soorten, of aan verschillen in pigmentsamenstelling tussen diatomeeën, groenalg en cyanobacteriën die een subtiele vorm van niche differentiatie mogelijk maken waarbij soorten gebruik maken van verschillende delen van het onderwater lichtspectrum.

Om beter te begrijpen hoe de biochemische samenstelling van fytoplankton wordt beïnvloed door veranderingen in nutriënt limitatie hebben we de biomoleculen van het fytoplankton in de experimenten van Hoofdstuk 3 nader geanalyseerd (Hoofdstuk 4). Hiertoe voegden we de stabiele $^{13}$C isotoop toe aan fytoplankton uit de chemostaat experimenten en analyseerden we de opname van het toegevoegde $^{13}$C in belangrijke biomolecule zoals aminozuren, koolhydraten en vetzuren. Stikstof limitatie leidde tot een afname van het aminozuur gehalte van de cellen, en afname van de omzetting van niet-essentiële naar essentiële aminozuren, in vergelijking met fosfaat- of licht limitatie. Het glucose gehalte van de cellen, voor opslag van koolhydraten, nam juist toe bij stikstof limitatie, terwijl structurele koolhydraten en vetzuren niet significant varieerden met het type nutriënt limitatie. Fosfaat limitatie bleek geen impact te hebben op het aminozuur gehalte. We hadden onvoldoende biomassa beschikbaar voor de analyse van $^{13}$C in nucleïnezuren, maar het is bekend van andere studies dat P limitatie het RNA gehalte van cellen verlaagd. Deze veranderingen in biochemische samenstelling kunnen een effect hebben op fytoplankton groei, en zijn bepalend voor de voedingswaarde van fytoplankton voor hogere trofische niveau’s in het voedselweb (Hoofdstuk 4).

Niche-gebaseerde modellen en de neutrale theorie voor biodiversiteit verschillen in hun voorspelling hoe de fytoplankton samenstelling zal reageren op veranderingen in de nutriëntenbelasting. Het uitgangspunt van niche-gebaseerde modellen is dat verschillen tussen soorten in hun concurrentiestrijd om nutriënten en licht leiden tot veranderingen in de soortensamenstelling, terwijl de neutrale theorie berust op de aannamer dat alle soorten gelijkwaardige concurrenten zijn wat resulteert in random verschuivingen in de
soortensamenstelling. Om vast te stellen welke van deze twee fundamenteel verschillende uitgangspunten het beste van toepassing is, hebben we een nieuwe reeks van chemostaat experimenten uitgevoerd met natuurlijk fytoplankton uit de Noordzee. P limitatie leidde tot de coëxistentie van twee soorten, de picocyanobacterie *Cyanobium* en de nano-eukaryoot *Nannochloropsis*. Beide soorten werden geïsoleerd en opgekweekt in monocultuur om hun groei-eigenschappen te meten. Hieruit bleek dat de twee soorten een vergelijkbare concurrentiekracht hebben voor fosfaat, wat een indicatie is dat competitie tussen deze soorten zou kunnen leiden tot neutrale coëxistentie. Echter, in plaats van random fluctuaties te vertonen, convergeerden de beide soorten in competitie experimenten tot dezelfde stabiele evenwichts-verhoudingen ongeacht met welke initiële hoeveelheden de beide soorten het experiment begonnen. Opnieuw lijken verschillen in pigmentsamenstelling van de soorten een rol te hebben gespeeld. Dat wil zeggen, subtiele niche differentiatie in het licht spectrum heeft waarschijnlijk geleid tot stabilisering van de anderszins neutrale concurrentiestrijd, resulterend in stabiele coëxistentie van de twee soorten.

Samenvattend zijn de veranderingen in de stikstof- en fosfaatbelasting van grote invloed geweest op het fytoplankton van de Noordzee. De sterke afname van de fosfaatbelasting heeft geleid tot P limitatie van het kustwater, en een gradiënt van P limitatie bij de kust tot N limitatie in de centrale Noordzee die tot uitdrukking komt in zowel de C:N:P verhoudingen als de groei response van het fytoplankton (Hoofdstuk 2). Voorts blijkt uit laboratorium experimenten dat veranderingen in zowel N:P ratio’s als totale nutriëntenbelasting leiden tot verschuivingen in de biochemische samenstelling en soortensamenstelling van het fytoplankton, waarbij verschuivingen in de soortensamenstelling het meest adequaat worden beschreven door niche-gebaseerde modellen zoals de nutriëntenbelasting hypothese (Hoofdstukken 3-5). Verder onderzoek is noodzakelijk om beter te begrijpen hoe de hogere trofische niveau’s in het mariene voedselweb worden beïnvloed door de lage voedselwaarde van P-gelimiteerd fytoplankton. Dit werk onderstrept het belang van een betere monitoring van (de veranderingen in) de Noordzee. Bovendien laat dit onderzoek zien dat verdere inspanningen tot de-eutrofiëring van aquatisch ecosystemen zouden moeten berusten op gebalanceerde reducties van N en P als leidend principe.
Author Contributions

Chapter 2: AB, MS and JH designed the study; AB, MS and LA performed field work; CPDB supervised research cruises; AB and LA analysed the samples; AB, MS and JH performed data analysis and interpretation; AB, MS, and JH wrote the manuscript, all authors commented on the final version.

Chapter 3: AB, MS and JH designed the study; AB, MS, EG and JG performed the field and lab work; AB and EG analysed the samples; AB, MS and JH performed the data analysis and interpretation; AB and JH wrote the manuscript, and all available authors commented on the final version.

Chapter 4: JG and AB designed research; MS, JH and HTSB supervised design and implementation; JG and AB performed research and data analysis; JG, AB, MS, JH and HTSB interpreted the data and wrote the manuscript.

Chapter 5: AB, MS and JH designed the study; AB performed the field work; AB and LM performed the lab work; AB and LM analysed the samples; AB, MS and JH performed data analysis and interpretation; AB and JH wrote the manuscript; and all available authors commented on the final version.

Chapter 6: AB, HCPM, RT and JH designed the study; AB, HCPM and PMV performed the lab experiments; HCPM, PMV, WdB, RT, KS, YvS and JH coordinated the field treatment; AB, HCPM, WdB, PMV and MS collected field samples; AB, PMV and HCPM analysed the phytoplankton samples; WdB analysed the macrophyte/fauna samples; RH and AG analysed the toxin samples; AB, HCPM, PMV, WdB, RT and JH wrote the manuscript and all authors commented on the final version.
Acknowledgements

This PhD would not have been possible without the support, time and effort of many people, none of which I could thank adequately here, but I will try.

I'll start with my fantastic students. You all worked very hard for me and always with zeal even when the task was mundane. You were patient as I learned how to be a mentor on the job, and always humored me as I displayed my own brand of scientific enthusiasm. Evi, we figured out chemostats together and I'm forever grateful to have had such a capable partner in that task. Emma, you were unbelievably adept at the microscopic and at sea, I didn't know what I did to deserve such a dedicated phycologist! Larissa, you made the North Sea waves your own on multiple cruises, working well into the night all the while keeping your classic good humor and energy. Lisette, you probably didn't anticipate the amount of work I'd ask of you when you volunteered to work with me. The truth is, it was a challenge to challenge you, as nothing seems outside of your ability. Thank you to my bachelor project students who produced fantastic data and I hope you had fun and fell a little bit in love with phytoplankton along the way. Also, a thank you to the students I had the pleasure of teaching in L&O courses. You made teaching something I genuinely looked forward to, and it helped keep me motivated to stay in academic work.

Next, I'd like to thank the people I worked with for my bachelor's and master's degrees at SUNY Stony Brook/Southampton. I learned how to do field work in Prof. Gobler's lab, and those skills carried me easily out onto the North Sea. Thank you, Chris, for introducing me to the world of phytoplankton, obviously the passion you show for it is infectious. A special thank you to Jen, Theresa, Matt, Flo, Tim and Stephanie for making research much more fun than it ever felt like work. Sharing a lab, a boat, a bar, a house, and a laugh with you all were moments I cherish. Thanks for being there for reminders on a procedure or technique, you made me look much better at my PhD research than I deserved! To my friends from Galway; Becs, Paula, Owen, Paulina, John, Stephen, and Josie, thank you for supporting my move to Amsterdam even though it meant leaving you all! Thank you for meeting when we could and for staying such great friends. To my friends I have not found in the lab; Jenn and Reine, thank you for listening to me talk about my experiments as if you too were living in the research
bubble. You have cheered me on and picked me up so often to try to count would be ridiculous. Thank you for keeping me grounded and laughing when I needed it most.

Thank you to my fellow CHARLET project participants. To Corina Brussaard for leading so many of the research cruises. Watching you was a lesson in how to be a strong female scientist, confidently doing work of scientific importance. Thank you for your time, advice and support during the cruises and after. Thank you to Eric Boschker for interesting conversations about your field and inspiring new directions for my own research. A special thank you to Julia Grosse, my shipmate, filtering companion, dawn CTD buddy, co-author and all around great friend. Sharing the experience of this project with you has been a pleasure and I would happily join you at sea or in a lab again.

To my fellow PhD’s in Amsterdam, how can I find the right words to thank you for your friendship, support, advice, critical eye, and even the occasional shoulder on which to cry? For lack of a better way I’ll proceed, roughly, in the order in which we became colleagues. Anouk, you are the true expert of North Sea phytoplankton and were always incredibly generous with your knowledge. Although my messy desk constantly encroached on your beautifully organized space, I was still treated to a warm, smiling neighbour each day. Verena, thank you for your fantastic scientific work and especially for your friendly patience as I struggled to wrap my head around it. Gio, you deserve awards for uncomplainingly listening to me talk for four years straight. Our beer and burger meetings in the Polder to compare notes on the trials and tribulations of chemostat work were invaluable and much enjoyed. Fleur, I don’t think I could ever adequately thank you for celebrating every small step forward I made, your encouragement and unfaultering faith kept me going on many occasions. Visits with you, Machiel and Magnus, in whichever country you were to be found, were always such a great lift for me. Jason, the friendship you have gifted me has been wonderful. I am so grateful for every chat in the lab, cocktail after work, shopping trip, sushi making tutorial and many more superb moments with you and Simon and I look forward to many in the future. Catarina, you are an amazingly caring friend and colleague. I truly enjoyed teaching in Portugal with you! You, Tim and little Luísa have become my surrogate family and I always felt so at home when I stayed with you on the many trips back to UvA. Veerle, your strength and kindness inspire me regularly. I could share my struggles and always find a
sympathetic ear and thoughtful advice. Thank you, Jolien and Mike for frequently sharing your home, coffee and a packed lunch with me, there will never be enough cookies to reciprocate. Muhe, I’m so honored to know you and even more so to share the day of our defense. You’ve been a great friend to me and David and I truly appreciate your company when we get to spend time together. Anca your smile always brightens my day, thank you for your always helpful, cheerful way. Charlotte, thank you for many happy chats and I look forward to witnessing how far your incredible ability will take you. To Tom V. and Francesca, although our time at UvA was brief I’m so grateful to now call you both such amazing friends. Tim, Tom, Cherel, Emily, Lex, Ruben, and Estelle although we haven’t spent as much time together you were all instant friends. Your humor and kindness have been a highlight of my time in Amsterdam, especially when games and food were involved! Hoping for more occasions like these in the future! Realisa, thank you for being an amazing flatmate and friend during my hectic final months in Amsterdam. Your generous cooking and sharing of Indonesian food quite literally fuelled me on!

I’d also like to thank my other colleagues in Amsterdam. Michael, I do not know how I would have managed setting up chemostats after my cruises without you consistently there to help when I returned to UvA. Your help, particularly when my mind was tired and body still swaying with the waves, was always such a lifesaver, not to mention always a good laugh. Elisa, I’ve enjoyed every conversation we have shared and thank you for your fantastic sense of humor and joy, and for also agreeing it was always too cold in the office. Merijn, thank you for your confident advice when it came to anything lab related and for your relaxed humor when it came to Friday after 5. Jolanda, it is not often that I meet someone I could discuss anything with without fear. Thank you for many good laughs over cheeky “just one more” biertjes and your much-appreciated advice and support over the years. Petra, thank you so much for always having your door open to me, even if it was just for a quick hello or for the much more extensive job of stepping in to be my temporary supervisor when the need arose. The time you gave me in your very busy schedule was always very much appreciated. In Hans I, like many in our department, found an enthusiasm for science that was truly inspiring and is something I will always remember fondly. Gerard, many thanks for several fun teaching trips to Faro, you have a voice made for karaoke! Finally, a huge thank you to Bas, Pieter and Corrien. There is no way any of us
could do what we do without your support. Thank you for the many, many laughs over the years in between all of the requests for supplies, sampling help, heavy lifting, magical experiment fixes and all the other research related alchemy you all manage on a daily basis.

I was incredibly lucky to have had amazing supervisors. I’d like to thank Maayke for her immense kindness. Her enthusiasm for my research was as motivational and critical to its success as the advice she provided. I always felt inspired and confident after a meeting with Maayke. I’ve learned as much about strength and grace from Maayke as I have about ecology and I am forever grateful to have had the opportunity to call her both my supervisor and my friend. It is with great appreciation of Maayke and her family that I humbly dedicate this thesis to her memory.

To Jef I am also forever grateful. In every meeting, whether it be about developing an experimental design to finalizing a manuscript I have learned so much. You have an incredible ability to communicate complicated concepts in ways which make them manageable. Just as notably, you were always willing to repeat yourself when I would inevitably forget or misconstrue something. Over the years you have helped me learn how to write a quality manuscript, how to design an experiment around solid scientific questions, and how to understand my results in the context of broader ecological theory. But as important as the science, you have been immensely patient and supportive as the at-times harder realities of life made progressing on my thesis challenging. Thank you for getting me over the finish line and thank you for doing so with such profound compassion.

Finally, to my husband and family. David, thank you for keeping me going and driving me to just keep writing. You celebrated with my highs and helped deal with the lows, but never let me lose sight of the end goal. We tackled this adventure together, and like all of our adventures, I’m glad it’s you with me. I love you and thank you for all you do for me. To my brothers Mike and Paul, my sisters-in-law Cari and Desseree and my beautiful nieces and nephews, thank you for your support and love. The life of a graduate student, especially living so far from home, is not conducive to frequent visits despite the desire to do so. I know I have missed much in your lives and I thank you for your understanding and constant support while I complete this stage of my own. To my family in Dublin, thank you for being there for both me and David over the years. Your love and
support has made the difficult times surpassable and amplified the joyful times.

To my parents, thank you for asking six-year-old me ‘what kind of doctor do you want to be?’ with confidence that I would find an answer to that question someday. And when ten-year-old me announced she wanted to work on the ocean, you volunteered for a sea turtle watch program so I could get started on living my dream. Then when eighteen-year-old me chose a university on the other side of the country, off we went, dark sunglasses hiding weepy eyes, to get me on my way. And when thirty-something me asked for help to keep me on track, you sent daily emails asking to see the writing progress. Thank you for all of these moments and the countless ones in between. I’ll be Dr. Amanda Burson, PhD, because of you, your love and your limitless support. I am so, so lucky. Thank you and I love you.
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