Effects of corticosterone on mild auditory fear conditioning and extinction; role of sex and training paradigm

Lesuis, S.L.; Catsburg, L.A.E.; Lucassen, P.J.; Krugers, H.J.

DOI  
10.1101/lm.047811.118

Publication date  
2018

Document Version  
Final published version

Published in  
Learning & Memory

License  
CC BY-NC

Citation for published version (APA):  

General rights  
It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations  
If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

UvA-DARE is a service provided by the library of the University of Amsterdam (https://dare.uva.nl)
Effects of corticosterone on mild auditory fear conditioning and extinction; role of sex and training paradigm

Sylvie L. Lesuis, Lisa A.E. Catsburg, Paul J. Lucassen, and Harm J. Krugers

Brain Plasticity group, Swammerdam Institute for Life Sciences, Center for Neuroscience, University of Amsterdam, 1098 XH Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Multiple lines of evidence suggest that glucocorticoid hormones enhance memory consolidation of fearful events. However, most of these studies involve male individuals. Since anxiety, fear, and fear-associated disorders present differently in male and female subjects we investigated in mice whether male and female mice perform differently in a mild, auditory fear conditioning task and tested the modulatory role of glucocorticoid hormones. Using an auditory fear conditioning paradigm with different footshock intensities (0.1, 0.2, and 0.4 mA) and frequencies (1x or 3x), we find that intraperitoneal injections with corticosterone (2 mg/kg) immediately after training, altered freezing behavior when repeated footshocks were applied, and that the direction of the effects were opposite in male and female mice. Effects were independent of footshock intensity. In male mice, corticosterone consistently increased freezing behavior in response to the tone, whereas in female mice, corticosterone reduced freezing behavior 24 h after training. These effects were not related to the phase of the oestrous cycle. In addition, corticosterone enhanced extinction learning for all tones, in both male and female mice. These results emphasize that glucocorticoid hormones influence memory consolidation and retrieval, and underscore sex-specific effects of glucocorticoid hormones in modulating conditioned fear responses.

[Supplemental material is available for this article.]
Results

Effects of shock intensity and frequency on freezing behavior in male and female mice
Male and female mice were trained in an auditory fear conditioning paradigm with varying footshock intensities (0.1, 0.2, or 0.4 mA) and frequencies (1× or 3×), and freezing behavior to the tone was measured 24 h later in a novel context by exposing mice to six tones (Fig. 1A). Both the footshock intensity and the frequency of footshocks at training together determined freezing behavior at retrieval (Fig. 1B). Twenty-four hours after training, the three footshock paradigm increased freezing levels relative to a single footshock of the same intensity (frequency effect: \( F_{(1,65)} = 31.78, P = 0.001 \)). Increasing the footshock intensity also increased the freezing levels in response to a tone 24 h later, also in both sexes (intensity effect: \( F_{(2,65)} = 40.89, P = 0.001 \)). Female mice overall displayed more freezing behavior than male mice during the retrieval phase (sex effect: \( F_{(1,65)} = 14.01, P = 0.001 \); sex × intensity interaction effect: \( F_{(2,65)} = 3.31, P = 0.05 \)). Following a three footshock training paradigm with an intensity of 0.2 mA, female mice displayed increased freezing behavior when compared to males (post hoc: \( P < 0.05 \)). However, following a three footshock training paradigm with an intensity of 0.4 mA, male and female mice again displayed comparable freezing levels (post hoc: \( P > 0.05 \)).

Effects of corticosterone treatment on freezing behavior
To investigate the effects of glucocorticoids, corticosterone or control saline injections were given immediately following the training. Corticosterone significantly affected freezing behavior to the first tone, but differently in male and female mice, and depending on the frequency of the footshock (treatment × sex × frequency: \( F_{(2,65)} = 40.89, P = 0.001 \)). Freezing levels to the first tone, but differently in male and female mice, and depending on the frequency of the footshock (treatment × sex × frequency: \( F_{(2,65)} = 40.89, P = 0.001 \)).

\( F_{(1,65)} = 14.01, P = 0.001 \); sex × intensity interaction effect: \( F_{(2,65)} = 3.31, P = 0.05 \)). Following a three footshock training paradigm with an intensity of 0.2 mA, female mice displayed increased freezing behavior when compared to males (post hoc: \( P < 0.05 \)). However, following a three footshock training paradigm with an intensity of 0.4 mA, male and female mice again displayed comparable freezing levels (post hoc: \( P > 0.05 \)).

Corticosterone also significantly affected freezing behavior to the six tones. Corticosterone resulted in an overall effect on freezing behavior to the tones during retrieval, although differently in male and female mice (sex × treatment effect: \( F_{(1,65)} = 17.21, P < 0.001 \)).

\( F_{(2,65)} = 3.31, P = 0.05 \)) and sex \( \times \) intensity \( \times \) frequency: \( F_{(2,65)} = 4.18, P = 0.02 \).
freezing to the tones at the 3 × 0.1 mA, 3 × 0.2 mA, and 3 × 0.4 mA training paradigms (Fig. 2A). In female mice, corticosterone “decreased” freezing to the tones after 3 × 0.2-mA, and 3 × 0.4-mA footshocks, although no effect of corticosterone was observed at the 3 × 0.1-mA footshock paradigm (Fig. 2A).

Effect of oestrous cycle on corticosterone-induced freezing behavior
No effect of oestrous cycle was observed on freezing behavior, nor on the effects of corticosterone on freezing behavior in female mice (main cycle effect: \( F_{(1,46)} = 1.13, P = 0.29 \); treatment*cycle effect: \( F_{(1,46)} = 0.12, P = 0.73 \) (data not shown).

Effect of corticosterone on extinction learning over the tones
The extinction of freezing responses after repeated tone-exposures, as measured by the difference in freezing level between tone 1 and tone 6, was different between the sexes. These effects also depended on training frequency and treatment (sex*frequency*treatment interaction effect: \( F_{(1,1143)} = 4.8, P = 0.03 \) (Fig. 3). In male mice, corticosterone did not affect the extinction following a single footshock. However, following three footshocks, corticosterone increased extinction over the tones in male mice, independent of footshock intensity. In female mice, an effect of corticosterone treatment on extinction was observed following a single footshock, independent of footshock intensity. Following three footshocks, corticosterone treatment no longer affected extinction levels.

Discussion
In this study we investigated whether male and female mice perform differently in a mild, auditory fear conditioning task with different footshock intensities (0.1, 0.2, 0.4 mA) and frequencies (one or three times) and tested the modulatory role of glucocorticoids. We report that corticosterone treatment after training “enhances” freezing behavior at retrieval in male mice, but “reduces” freezing in female mice. The effects of corticosterone treatment were only apparent after a three-times repeated footshock paradigm, and not following a single footshock, regardless of the footshock intensity. Furthermore, corticosterone treatment increased extinction learning over the tones, in both male and female mice.

Sex differences
As expected, subjecting animals to higher footshock intensities resulted in higher freezing levels. Likewise, exposure to three footshocks at training also resulted in higher freezing levels at retrieval than training with a single footshock of the same intensity. This illustrates that freezing behavior at retrieval reflects the intensity of the learning experience at training, which could be an appropriate measure to assess the intensity of the memory, as suggested by previous studies (Sachetti et al. 1999).

When comparable training parameters were applied, female mice always displayed higher freezing levels than male mice. Only after a three-times repeated footshock of 0.4 mA did we not observe a difference between male and female mice. This may stem from a ceiling effect, as both sexes displayed relatively high freezing levels. For female mice, the freezing levels did not increase further between a three-times repeated footshock of 0.2 and 0.4 mA, which may be attributed to a ceiling effect as well. For male mice, the increase in freezing between a three-times repeated footshock of 0.2 and 0.4 mA was still substantial. These findings suggest that fear memory formation and consolidation might be different between the sexes. This could potentially be modulated by female sex hormone-dependent mechanisms (e.g., oestrogen and progesterone), that may influence plasticity-related associative fear memory. Indeed, a similar dimorphic pattern of corticosterone has been reported on a trace-conditioned eye blink response (Shors 2002), and following a contextual training paradigm, females have also been reported to freeze more than males (ter Horst et al. 2012). However, in the current study, we did not observe any effect of oestrous cycle on freezing levels. Studies on sex differences following fear conditioning have been inconsistent, with studies reporting no effects of oestrous cycle on freezing behavior (Cossio et al. 2016), or decreased freezing levels in females (Maren et al. 1994; Pryce et al. 1999; Gupta et al. 2001; Jasnow et al. 2006; Chang et al. 2009; Bartha et al. 2010; Kashefi and Rashidy-Pour 2014). Although our study cannot explain the discrepancies between these studies, we speculate that they may arise from experimental variations within the fear-conditioning paradigm animal species or strain.

Corticosterone and freezing behavior
Numerous studies have illustrated that glucocorticoids facilitate memory consolidation (e.g., Pugh et al. 1997a; Sandi and Rose 1997; Roozendaal 2000, 2002; de Quervain et al. 2009; Xiong et al. 2015). Also in the current study, we find that corticosterone increases memory consolidation. The use of post-training administration of corticosterone, as opposed to corticosterone administration prior to training, suggests an effect on memory consolidation that is not confounded by possible effects on attentional, motivational, or sensory–perceptual mechanisms, that may have occurred when corticosterone treatment would have been given at the time of conditioning or testing. In both male and female mice, and regardless of footshock intensity, corticosterone administration after training did not affect freezing levels at retrieval following a single footshock. Yet, after three repeated footshocks, corticosterone significantly increased freezing behavior during retrieval. An exception was freezing behavior in female mice after a three-times repeated footshock of 0.1 mA. This effect can possibly be attributed to a floor effect, as freezing levels in control female mice were already very low. The difference between a single versus a repeated training paradigm is not merely due to the fact that a repeated footshock induced overall higher freezing levels. For instance, freezing levels in females after a single 0.4-mA footshock are higher than after 3 × 0.2-mA footshock. Yet, corticosterone resulted in differences when the three-times repeated training paradigm is used. These results suggest that it is not the severity/adversity of the training...
paradigm per se that determines whether corticosterone alters freezing, but that it is the frequency with which the mouse is repeatedly exposed to the tone-footshock that determines the effects of the hormone. Possibly, the learning component in a repeated footshock paradigm is stronger than in a single footshock paradigm, and such a paradigm may therefore be more susceptible to modulation by corticosterone. This notion is supported by the observation by Hui et al. (2004), showing that corticosterone did not enhance freezing behavior following an unpaired presentation of the tone and footshock, or the tone or the shock alone, indicating that a learning process is critical for corticosterone to have an effect.

**Effect of sex on corticosterone-induced freezing behavior**

Interestingly, the effects of corticosterone on freezing behavior were opposite in male and female mice. In agreement with previous literature, showing that corticosterone selectively enhances memory in male rats (Sandi and Rose 1994; Cordero and Sandi 1998; Hui et al. 2004), we found that corticosterone enhanced freezing behavior in male mice. These results are consistent with previous findings indicating that corticosterone, as well as drugs that selectively activate GRs, enhance memory consolidation for several types of training, including discrimination learning, inhibitory avoidance, contextual fear conditioning, water-maze spatial training, and appetitive conditioning (Kovács et al. 1977; Flood et al. 1978; Micheau et al. 1984; Roozendaal and McGaugh 1996; Pugh et al. 1997a,b; Sandi and Rose 1997; Cordero and Sandi 1998; Roozendaal et al. 1999). On the other hand, we found that post-training treatment with corticosterone reduced auditory freezing in female mice, providing evidence that also the glucocorticoid effects on memory in these paradigms are sex-dependent.

In agreement with these findings, previous studies have demonstrated that in females, corticosterone also impairs memory formation in a contextual fear conditioning paradigm and in spatial memory tasks (Smihur et al. 2008; ter Horst et al. 2013). These differences may arise from interactions between HPA axis signaling and female sex-hormone dependent pathways (e.g., oestrogen and/or progesterone signaling). Alternatively, the effects of corticosterone have been reported to follow a Yerkes-Dodson or inverted-U shape dose response relationship, in which optimal enhancing effects on memory are seen at midrange doses, whereas high doses are less effective or may even impair memory (Sandi et al. 2009). Our present study shows that corticosterone treatment immediately after training enhanced the extinction of freezing over the tones 24 h later, when differences in corticosterone levels have already ceased to exist between the groups. The training paradigm and sex also played a role in this extinction, as in male mice, only a three-times repeated footshock paradigm resulted in enhanced extinction, whereas in females a single footshock paradigm enhanced extinction, irrespective of footshock intensity. The current study cannot clarify the nature of this interaction between sex and footshock frequency. However, both in male and female mice, corticosterone treatment after training enhanced extinction learning, in a comparable way in both sexes. The stronger extinction following corticosterone treatment corresponds to other studies showing facilitated extinction of fear behavior after corticosterone treatment in fear conditioning (Bohus 1970; Bohus et al. 1970; Cai et al. 2006) or appetitive operant conditioning tasks (Micheau et al. 1982).

Interestingly, the corticosterone-induced effects on memory strength (enhancing memory in males while impairing it in females) appear to differ from the effects on extinction, as in both male and female mice, corticosterone enhanced extinction learning. This may indicate the involvement of different brain areas in the effects of corticosterone. Numerous studies have shown that auditory fear conditioning is largely dependent on amygdala activation, whereas prefrontal cortex-amygdala circuits are essential for fear extinction learning (Quirk et al. 2000; Santini et al. 2004). This suggests that corticosterone may have different effects in amygdala and/or prefrontal cortex in male and female mice, which could contribute to the divergent nature of the hormone effect on memory consolidation and extinction.

**Conclusion**

The results reported here add to existing evidence that corticosterone hormones influence memory consolidation. These findings emphasize sex-specific effects of corticosterone in a mild auditory fear condition paradigm. Furthermore, corticosterone enhanced extinction of fearful memories to the same extent in male and female mice. Together, these data suggest that fear memories may be better retained in male animals when compared to female animals. They further emphasize the importance of studying both males and females in stress-related phenotypes and warrant more studies into the mechanisms that underlie sex differences.

**Materials and Methods**

**Mice and breeding**

All mice were kept under standard housing conditions (temperature 20°C–22°C, 40%–60% humidity) Standard chow and water were available ad libitum, and mice were housed on a 12/12 h light–dark schedule (lights on at 8 a.m.) and a radio provided background noise (Lesuis et al. 2017). All experimental procedures were conducted under the national law and European Union directive 2010/63/EU on animal experiments, and were approved by the animal welfare committee of the University of Amsterdam. Male and female C57Bl/6 mice were bred in house. After weaning, mice were housed with two to five same sex littermates per cage until the start of experiments.

**Fear conditioning**

Three month (±2 wk) old male and female mice were tested in an auditory fear conditioning paradigm. Two weeks prior to fear conditioning, mice were housed individually. All experimental procedures occurred in the morning between 09.00 a.m. and 11.00 a.m. During testing, the mice were recorded by a camera connected to a computer with Ethovision software (version 6.1, Noldus). Mice were placed in a square chamber with black walls (W × L × H: 30 × 24 × 26 cm) which had a stainless steel grid floor connected to a shock generator (Zhou et al. 2010; Xiong et al. 2015), and which had been cleaned with 1% acidic acid to create a recognizable odor trace. Mice were allowed to explore the context for 3 min,
after which once or three times, a 30-sec tone was applied (2.8 kHz, 76 dB), coupled to a 2-sec footshock (0.1, 0.2, or 0.4 mA) during the last 2 sec of the tone, with an inter-tone-interval of 60 sec (the “training” phase) (see Fig. 1A). After the last tone-footshock pairing, the mice remained in the chamber for 30 sec. Twenty-four hours later, mice were introduced in a novel, circular box (diameter: 35 cm, transparent walls, sawdust floor) cleaned with 25% EtOH. After 3 min, a 30-sec tone was applied for six times, with an inter-tone-interval of 60 sec. Freezing behavior during every trial was scored by an observer blind to the experimental condition, with “freezing” being defined as “no body movements except those related to breathing” (Zhou et al. 2009). Four to 15 mice (seven on average) were used (see Supplemental Table 1 for the number of mice per group).

Corticosterone treatment
Corticosterone (Sigma; 16 mg/mL dissolved in 99.9% EtOH and diluted 40× in saline) or vehicle (2.5% EtOH in saline) were injected intraperitoneally, immediately after the training (final dose: 2 mg/kg, injection volume: 5 μL/g body weight).

Oestrous cycle determination
Twenty microliter of 0.9% saline was used to elute cells from the female’s vagina, which were spread on a glass slide and analyzed directly after sampling by means of a light microscope with a 10× magnification. Cycle stage of every female was assessed and classified as “oestrous” or “non-oestrous,” as described previously (Goldman et al. 2007). Detection of the oestrous phase was performed after fear conditioning. Seventeen mice were in oestrous, and 53 mice were in nonestrous.

Statistical analyses
Data were analyzed using SPSS 22.0 (IBM software). All data are expressed as mean ± standard error of the mean (S.E.M.). Data were considered statistically significant when P < 0.05. Outliers were detected using a Grubb’s test. To determine the effects of treatment on freezing to the tones (Supplemental Table 1; Supplemental Fig. 1), a two-way repeated measures ANOVA was performed using treatment (vehicle versus CORT) as between-subject factors, and freezing behavior to the different tones as the within-subject factor. A 2 × 2 × 3-way ANOVA was performed to assess the difference between groups accounting for sex, footshock intensity, and footshock frequency. A 2 × 2 × 3 × 2-way ANOVA was performed to compare differences between groups accounting for treatment, sex, footshock intensity, and footshock frequency.
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