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Effects of corticosterone on mild auditory fear conditioning and extinction; role of sex and training paradigm
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Multiple lines of evidence suggest that glucocorticoid hormones enhance memory consolidation of fearful events. However, most of these studies involve male individuals. Since anxiety, fear, and fear-associated disorders present differently in male and female subjects we investigated in mice whether male and female mice perform differently in a mild, auditory fear conditioning task and tested the modulatory role of glucocorticoid hormones. Using an auditory fear conditioning paradigm with different footshock intensities (0.1, 0.2, and 0.4 mA) and frequencies (1× or 3×), we find that intraperitoneal injections with corticosterone (2 mg/kg) immediately after training, altered freezing behavior when repeated footshocks were applied, and that the direction of the effects were opposite in male and female mice. Effects were independent of footshock intensity. In male mice, corticosterone consistently increased freezing behavior in response to the tone, whereas in female mice, corticosterone reduced freezing behavior 24 h after training. These effects were not related to the phase of the oestrous cycle. In addition, corticosterone enhanced extinction learning for all tones, in both male and female mice. These results emphasize that glucocorticoid hormones influence memory consolidation and retrieval, and underscore sex-specific effects of glucocorticoid hormones in modulating conditioned fear responses.

[Supplemental material is available for this article.]

Memories for fearful events are generally retained well (McGaugh and Roozendaal 2002; Roozendaal 2002). Extensive evidence from human and animal studies have associated stress hormones like glucocorticoids (corticosterone in rats; cortisol in humans) with altered memory formation (Roozendaal 2000). Glucocorticoid hormones are produced by the adrenal glands and their release is increased during and after exposure to stress or emotional experiences (Joëls and Baram 2009). These hormones readily cross the blood-brain barrier and bind to mineralocorticoid (MR) and glucocorticoid (GR) receptors present in the brain. Via genomic and nongenomic effects, they can influence neural function and memory formation (Sandi 2011; Joëls et al. 2018). Many studies have reported that corticosterone, as well as synthetic GR agonists, can alter cognitive functions and e.g., enhance memory consolidation (McGaugh and Roozendaal 2002; Roozendaal 2002). While these behavioral effects after short term exposure are usually adaptive in nature, prolonged exposure to elevated glucocorticoid hormones may be deleterious and has been associated with stress-associated disorders (de Kloet et al. 2005).

Many studies on the effects of glucocorticoid hormones have predominantly used male individuals to investigate their effects (Beery and Zucker 2011), while memory formation under the influence of stress, as well as many anxiety, stress, and psychiatric disorders are present with distinct sex differences in humans. For instance, the lifetime prevalence of post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) is twice higher in women than in men (Olff 2017). Animal studies have reported striking differences in stress-responsiveness between sexes. For example, female rodents have higher basal corticosterone levels than males (Kitay 1961; Critchlow et al. 1963; Pollard et al. 1975; Le Mevel et al. 1979; Carey et al. 1995; Figueiredo et al. 2002), and a higher binding capacity for hippocampal GRs (Turner and Weaver 1985). In addition, female rodents express less MRs (Liu et al. 2011) as well as a lower binding of corticosterone to these receptors (Turner 1992, 1997). Interestingly, chronic stress in male rats down-regulates GR immunoreactivity in the dentate gyrus and CA1 region of the hippocampus, but increases GR binding in CA1 in females (Karanadrea et al. 2000; Kitraki et al. 2004). In these studies, MR binding was increased in the CA3 region of female, but not in male rats.

Together, these studies suggest sex differences in the action of glucocorticoids. Indeed, sex differences are also present in cognitive performance. Male rodents have been reported to perform better than females in spatial memory tasks like the Morris water maze (e.g., Beiko et al. 2004). Yet, after acute and chronic stress, performance of male rats in spatial memory tasks was impaired (Diamond et al. 1999; Conrad et al. 2003, 2004), whereas female animals improved their spatial memory abilities following stress (Bowman et al. 2001). Sex-differences have also been reported in fear-related memory (Dalla and Shors 2009). However, whether glucocorticoid effects on memory consolidation in auditory fear conditioning paradigm differ between male and female animals, and how different aspects of the training paradigm contribute to these effects, remains largely elusive. We therefore systematically investigated in mice how these hormones regulate fear memory formation in the context of varying training intensities, and whether effects were different between male and female mice.
Results

Effects of shock intensity and frequency on freezing behavior in male and female mice

Male and female mice were trained in an auditory fear conditioning paradigm with varying footshock intensities (0.1, 0.2, or 0.4 mA) and frequencies (1× or 3×), and freezing behavior to the tone was measured 24 h later in a novel context by exposing mice to six tones (Fig. 1A). Both the footshock intensity and the frequency of footshocks at training together determined freezing behavior at retrieval (Fig. 1B). Twenty-four hours after training, the three footshock paradigm increased freezing levels relative to a single footshock of the same intensity (frequency effect: $F_{(1,65)} = 31.78, P = 0.001$). Increasing the footshock intensity also increased the freezing levels in response to a tone 24 h later, also in both sexes (intensity effect: $F_{(2,65)} = 40.89, P = 0.001$). Female mice overall displayed more freezing behavior than male mice during the retrieval phase (sex effect: $F_{(1,65)} = 14.01, P = 0.001$; sex × intensity interaction effect: $F_{(2,65)} = 3.31, P = 0.05$). Following a three footshock training paradigm with an intensity of 0.2 mA, female mice displayed increased freezing behavior when compared to males (post hoc: $P < 0.05$). However, following a three footshock training paradigm with an intensity of 0.4 mA, male and female mice again displayed comparable freezing levels (post hoc: $P > 0.05$).

Effects of corticosterone treatment on freezing behavior

To investigate the effects of glucocorticoids, corticosterone or control saline injections were given immediately following the training. Corticosterone significantly affected freezing behavior to the first tone, but differently in male and female mice, and depending on the frequency of the footshock (treatment × sex × frequency: $F_{(2,65)} = 3.31, P = 0.001$). The effect of corticosterone on freezing behavior. (Figure 2. A) Freezing levels to the first tone. A significant interaction effect was observed between sex × frequency × treatment ($F_{(2,133)} = 10.25, P = 0.002$), and corticosterone increased freezing only in male mice after a training paradigm with three footshocks ($P = 0.049$). (B) Average freezing behavior over the six tones. Corticosterone resulted in an overall effect on freezing behavior to the tones during retrieval, although differently in male and female mice (sex × treatment effect: $F_{(1,133)} = 17.21, P < 0.001$).

Effect of shock frequency (single versus repeated footshock) on corticosterone effects on freezing behavior

After a single footshock, the administration of corticosterone directly after training had no effect on the average freezing levels to the tones during the retrieval, regardless of the footshock intensity or the sex of the animal, as indicated by comparable freezing levels to the tones (treatment effect: $F_{(1,63)} = 0.28, P = 0.60$) (Fig. 2B; Supplemental Fig. 1; Supplemental Table 1). Corticosterone induced an overall effect on freezing behavior to the tones during retrieval, although differently in male and female mice (sex × treatment effect: $F_{(1,133)} = 17.21, P < 0.001$) (Fig. 2B). These effects were irrespective of frequency or intensity of the training (treatment × frequency: $F_{(1,133)} = 0.40, P = 0.53$; treatment × intensity: $F_{(1,133)} = 0.80, P = 0.45$).

Role of sex in corticosterone-enhanced freezing behavior

Interestingly, the effects of corticosterone on memory consolidation were sex-dependent. In male mice, corticosterone “increased”
freezing to the tones at the 3 × 0.1 mA, 3 × 0.2 mA, and 3 × 0.4 mA training paradigms (Fig. 2A). In female mice, corticosterone “decreased” freezing to the tones after 3 × 0.2-mA, and 3 × 0.4-mA footshocks, although no effect of corticosterone was observed at the 3 × 0.1-mA footshock paradigm (Fig. 2A).

Effect of oestrous cycle on corticosterone-induced freezing behavior

No effect of oestrous cycle was observed on freezing behavior, nor on the effects of corticosterone on freezing behavior in female mice (main cycle effect: $F_{(1,46)} = 1.13, P = 0.29$; treatment*cycle effect: $F_{(1,46)} = 0.12, P = 0.73$) (data not shown).

Effect of corticosterone on extinction learning over the tones

The extinction of freezing responses after repeated tone-exposures, as measured by the difference in freezing level between tone 1 and tone 6, was different between the sexes. These effects also depended on training frequency and treatment (sex*frequency*treatment interaction effect: $F_{(1,115)} = 4.8, P = 0.03$) (Fig. 3). In male mice, corticosterone did not affect the extinction following a single footshock. However, following three footshocks, corticosterone increased extinction over the tones in male mice, independent of footshock intensity. In female mice, an effect of corticosterone treatment on extinction was observed following a single footshock, independent of footshock intensity. Following three footshocks, corticosterone treatment no longer affected extinction levels.

Discussion

In this study we investigated whether male and female mice perform differently in a mild, auditory fear conditioning task with different footshock intensities (0.1, 0.2, 0.4 mA) and frequencies (one or three times) and tested the modulatory role of glucocorticoids. We report that corticosterone treatment after training “enhances” freezing behavior at retrieval in male mice, but “reduces” freezing in female mice. The effects of corticosterone treatment were only apparent after a three-times repeated footshock paradigm, and not following a single footshock, regardless of the footshock intensity. Furthermore, corticosterone treatment increased extinction learning over the tones, in both male and female mice.

Sex differences

As expected, subjecting animals to higher footshock intensities resulted in higher freezing levels. Likewise, exposure to three footshocks at training also resulted in higher freezing levels at retrieval than training with a single footshock of the same intensity. This illustrates that freezing behavior at retrieval reflects the intensity of the learning experience at training, which could be an appropriate measure to assess the intensity of the memory, as suggested by previous studies (Sacchetti et al. 1999).

When comparable training parameters were applied, female mice always displayed higher freezing levels than male mice. Only after a three-times repeated footshock of 0.4 mA did we not observe a difference between male and female mice. This may stem from a ceiling effect, as both sexes displayed relatively high freezing levels. For female mice, the freezing levels did not increase further between a three-times repeated footshock of 0.2 and 0.4 mA, which may be attributed to a ceiling effect as well. For male mice, the increase in freezing between a three-times repeated footshock of 0.2 and 0.4 mA was still substantial. These findings suggest that fear memory formation and consolidation might be different between the sexes. This could potentially be modulated by female sex hormone-dependent mechanisms (e.g., oestrogen and progesterone), that may influence plasticity-related associative fear memory. Indeed, a similar dimorphic pattern of corticosterone has been reported in a trace-conditioned eye blink response (Shors 2002), and following a contextual training paradigm, females have also been reported to freeze more than males (ter Horst et al. 2012). However, in the current study, we did not observe any effect of oestrous cycle on freezing levels. Studies on sex differences following fear conditioning have been inconsistent, with studies reporting no effects of oestrous cycle on freezing behavior (Cossio et al. 2016), or decreased freezing levels in females (Maren et al. 1994; Pryce et al. 1999; Gupta et al. 2001; Jasnow et al. 2006; Chang et al. 2009; Bartha et al. 2010; Kashefi and Rashidy-Pour 2014). Although our study cannot explain the discrepancies between these studies, we speculate that they may arise from experimental variations within the fear-conditioning paradigm animal species or strain.

Corticosterone and freezing behavior

Numerous studies have illustrated that glucocorticoids facilitate memory consolidation (e.g., Pugh et al. 1997a; Sandi and Rose 1997; Roozendaal 2000, 2002; de Quervain et al. 2009; Xiong and Rashidy-Pour 2014). In this study, we find that corticosterone increases memory consolidation. The use of post-training administration of corticosterone, as opposed to corticosterone administration prior to training, suggests an effect on memory consolidation that is not confounded by possible effects on attentional, motivational, or sensory–perceptual mechanisms, that may have occurred when corticosterone treatment would have been given at the time of conditioning or testing. In both male and female mice, and regardless of footshock intensity, corticosterone administration after training did not affect freezing levels at retrieval following a single footshock. Yet, after three repeated footshocks, corticosterone significantly increased freezing behavior during retrieval. An exception was freezing behavior in female mice after a three-times repeated footshock of 0.1 mA. This effect can possibly be attributed to a floor effect, as freezing levels in control female mice were already very low. The difference between a single versus a repeated training paradigm is not merely due to the fact that a repeated footshock induced overall higher freezing levels. For instance, freezing levels in females after a single 0.4-mA footshock are higher than after 3 × 0.2-mA footshock. Yet, corticosterone resulted in differences when the three-times repeated training paradigm is used. These results suggest that it is not the severity/adversity of the training.
paradigm per se that determines whether corticosterone alters freezing, but that it is the frequency with which the mouse is repeatedly exposed to the tone-footshock that determines the effects of the hormone. Possibly, the learning component in a repeated footshock paradigm is stronger than in a single footshock paradigm, and such a paradigm may therefore be more susceptible to modulation by corticosterone. This notion is supported by the observation by Hui et al. (2004), showing that corticosterone did not enhance freezing behavior following an unpaired presentation of the tone and footshock, or the tone or the shock alone, indicating that a learning process is critical for corticosterone to have an effect.

Effect of sex on corticosterone-induced freezing behavior

Interestingly, the effects of corticosterone on freezing behavior were opposite in male and female mice. In agreement with previous literature, showing that corticosterone selectively enhances memory in male rats (Sandi and Rose 1994; Cordero and Sandi 1998; Hui et al. 2004), we found that corticosterone enhanced freezing behavior in male mice. These results are consistent with previous findings indicating that corticosterone, as well as drugs that selectively activate GRs, enhance memory consolidation for several types of training, including discrimination learning, inhibitory avoidance, contextual fear conditioning, water-maze spatial training, and appetitive conditioning (Kovács et al. 1977; Flood et al. 1978; Micheau et al. 1984; Roozendaal and McCaugh 1996; Pugh et al. 1997a,b; Sandi and Rose 1997; Cordero and Sandi 1998; Roozendaal et al. 1999). On the other hand, we found that post-training treatment with corticosterone reduced auditory freezing in female mice, providing evidence that also the glucocorticoid effects on memory in these paradigms are sex-dependent.

In agreement with these findings, previous studies have demonstrated that in females, corticosterone also impairs memory formation in a contextual fear conditioning paradigm and in spatial memory tasks (Smihur et al. 2008; ter Horst et al. 2013). These differences may arise from interactions between HPA axis signaling and female sex-hormone dependent pathways (e.g., oestrogen and/or progesterone signaling). Alternatively, the effects of corticosterone have been reported to follow a Yerkes-Dodson or inverted-U shape dose response relationship, in which optimal enhancing effects on memory are seen at midrange doses, whereas high doses are less effective or may even impair memory (Sandi 2011). As female mice have both higher basal corticosterone levels and a stronger corticosterone release upon a stressor (Shors et al. 2001), the currently used dose of corticosterone (2 mg/kg) may not have been effective in enhancing memory in females.

Interestingly, the use of oral contraceptives has been shown to affect HPA axis responsiveness during stress exposure in females, resulting in a blunted cortisol response and a lack of stress-induced effects on memory (Cornelisse et al. 2011; Mordecai et al. 2017). Aside from sex-specific differences in stress sensitivity or responsivity (Loi et al. 2017), in the human population, the effects of stress-induced glucocorticoid release on memory may therefore differ between men and women in part because of the high use of oral contraceptives by females. In future studies, the use of oral contraceptives will be important to take into consideration for proper interpretation of the results.

Corticosterone enhances extinction learning over the tones

Previous research has shown that corticosterone facilitates the extinction process (Aerni et al. 2004; Soravia et al. 2006; de Quervain et al. 2009). Our present study shows that corticosterone treatment immediately after training enhanced the extinction of freezing over the tones 24 h later, when differences in corticosterone levels have already ceased to exist between the groups. The training paradigm and sex also played a role in this extinction, as in male mice, only a three-times repeated footshock paradigm resulted in enhanced extinction, whereas in females a single footshock paradigm enhanced extinction, irrespective of footshock intensity. The current study cannot clarify the nature of this interaction between sex and footshock frequency. However, both in male and female mice, corticosterone treatment after training enhanced extinction learning, in a comparable way in both sexes. The stronger extinction following corticosterone treatment corresponds to other studies showing facilitated extinction of fear behavior after corticosterone treatment in fear conditioning (Bohus 1970; Bohus et al. 1970; Cai et al. 2006) or appetitive operant conditioning tasks (Micheau et al. 1982).

Interestingly, the corticosterone-induced effects on memory strength (enhancing memory in males while impairing it in females) appear to differ from the effects on extinction, as in both male and female mice, corticosterone enhanced extinction learning. This may indicate the involvement of different brain areas in the effects of corticosterone. Numerous studies have shown that auditory fear conditioning is largely dependent on amygdala activation, whereas prefrontal cortex-amygda circuits are essential for fear extinction learning (Quirk et al. 2000; Santini et al. 2004). This suggests that corticosterone may have different effects in amygdala and/or prefrontal cortex in male and female mice, which could contribute to the divergent nature of the hormone effect on memory consolidation and extinction.

Conclusion

The results reported here add to existing evidence that corticosterone hormones influence memory consolidation. These findings emphasize sex-specific effects of corticosterone in a mild auditory fear condition paradigm. Furthermore, corticosterone enhanced extinction of fearful memories to the same extent in male and female mice. Together, these data suggest that fear memories may be better retained in male animals when compared to female animals. They further emphasize the importance of studying both males and females in stress-related phenotypes and warrant more studies into the mechanisms that underlie sex differences.

Materials and Methods

Mice and breeding

All mice were kept under standard housing conditions (temperature 20°C–22°C, 40%–60% humidity) Standard chow and water were available ad libitum, and mice were housed on a 12/12 h light–dark schedule (lights on at 8 a.m.) and a radio provided background noise (Leusis et al. 2017). All experimental procedures were conducted under the national law and European Union directive 2010/63/EU on animal experiments, and were approved by the animal welfare committee of the University of Amsterdam. Male and female C57Bl/6 mice were bred in house. After weaning, mice were housed with two to five same sex littermates per cage until the start of experiments.

Fear conditioning

Three month (±2 wk) old male and female mice were tested in an auditory fear conditioning paradigm. Two weeks prior to fear conditioning, mice were housed individually. All experimental procedures occurred in the morning between 09.00 a.m. and 11.00 a.m. During testing, the mice were recorded by a camera connected to a computer with Ethovision software (version 6.1, Noldus). Mice were placed in a square chamber with black walls (W × L × H: 30 × 24 × 26 cm) which had a stainless steel grid floor connected to a shock generator (Zhou et al. 2010; Xiong et al. 2015), and which had been cleaned with 1% acidic acid to create a recognizable odor trace. Mice were allowed to explore the context for 3 min,
after which once or three times, a 30-sec tone was applied (2.8 kHz, 76 db), coupled to a 2-sec footshock (0.1, 0.2, or 0.4 mA) during the last 2 sec of the tone, with an inter-tone-interval of 60 sec (the “training” phase) (see Fig. 1A). After the last tone-footshock pairing, the mice remained in the chamber for 30 sec. Twenty-four hours later, mice were introduced in a novel, circular box (diameter: 35 cm, transparent walls, sawdust floor) cleaned with 25% EtOH. After 3 min, a 30-sec tone was applied for six times, with an inter-tone-interval of 60 sec. Freezing behavior during every trial was scored by an observer blind to the experimental condition, with “freezing” being defined as “no body movements except those related to breathing” (Zhou et al. 2009). Four to 15 mice (seven on average) were used (see Supplemental Table 1 for the number of mice per group).

Corticosterone treatment
Corticosterone (Sigma; 16 mg/mL dissolved in 99.9% EtOH and diluted 40× in saline) or vehicle (2.5% EtOH in saline) were injected intraperitoneally, immediately after the training (final dose: 2 mg/kg, injection volume: 5 µL/g body weight).

Oestrous cycle determination
Twenty microliter of 0.9% saline was used to elute cells from the female's vagina, which were spread on a glass slide and analyzed directly after sampling by means of a light microscope with a 10× total magnification. Cycle stage of every female was assessed and classified as “oestrous” or “nonoestrous,” as described previously (Goldman et al. 2007). Detection of the oestrous phase was performed after fear conditioning. Seventeen mice were in oestrous, and 53 mice were in nonoestrous.

Statistical analyses
Data were analyzed using SPSS 22.0 (IBM software). All data are expressed as mean ± standard error of the mean (S.E.M.). Data were analyzed using SPSS 22.0 (IBM software). All data are expressed as mean ± standard error of the mean (S.E.M.). Data were analyzed using SPSS 22.0 (IBM software). All data are expressed as mean ± standard error of the mean (S.E.M.). Data were analyzed using SPSS 22.0 (IBM software). All data are expressed as mean ± standard error of the mean (S.E.M.).
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