UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

Formation, stability and structure of radical anions of chloroform, tetrachloromethane and fluorotrichloromethane in the gas phase

Staneke, P.O.; Groothuis, G.; Ingemann Jorgensen, S.; Nibbering, N.M.M.

DOI

[10.1016/0168-1176\(94\)04127-S](https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-1176(94)04127-S)

Publication date

1995

Document Version

Final published version

Published in

International Journal of Mass Spectrometry and Ion Processes

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Staneke, P. O., Groothuis, G., Ingemann Jorgensen, S., & Nibbering, N. M. M. (1995). Formation, stability and structure of radical anions of chloroform, tetrachloromethane and fluorotrichloromethane in the gas phase. *International Journal of Mass Spectrometry and Ion Processes*, 142, 83. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-1176\(94\)04127-S](https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-1176(94)04127-S)

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

UvA-DARE is a service provided by the library of the University of Amsterdam (<https://dare.uva.nl>)

ELSEVIER

International Journal of Mass Spectrometry and Ion Processes 142 (1995) 83–93

Formation, stability and structure of radical anions of chloroform, tetrachloromethane and fluorotrichloromethane in the gas phase

P.O. Staneke, G. Groothuis, S. Ingemann, N.M.M. Nibbering*

Institute of Mass Spectrometry, University of Amsterdam, Nieuwe Achtergracht 129, 1018 WS Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Received 23 September 1994; accepted 8 December 1994

Abstract

The gas-phase reactions of the $\text{CH}_2\text{S}^{\cdot-}$ radical anion with chloroform, tetrachloromethane, and fluorotrichloromethane (CXCl_3 ; X = H, D, Cl and F) have been studied using Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance (FT-ICR) mass spectrometry. The primary reactions lead to minor amounts of the molecular radical anions of the halomethanes in addition to CH_2SCI^- and Cl^- , which are formed as the major product ions. The initial step is suggested to be electron transfer with formation of a $[\text{CH}_2\text{S} + \text{CXCl}_3]^-$ ion/molecule complex, which may dissociate to the radical anion of the halomethane or react further to yield a $[\text{CH}_2\text{S} + \text{Cl}^- + \text{CXCl}_2]^-$ complex prior to the generation of the Cl^- or CH_2SCI^- ions. The radical anions of CHCl_3 and CCl_4 react with the parent compounds to yield CHCl_4^- and CCl_5^- ions, respectively, whereas CFCl_3^- transfer a Cl^- ion only to the CH_3SH molecules also present in the FT-ICR cell. On the basis of the facile occurrence of Cl^- transfer, the CXCl_3^- ions are proposed to exist in a structure which can be described as a chloride ion weakly bonded to a CXCl_2 radical. The formation of the CXCl_3^- ions in the reaction with the $\text{CH}_2\text{S}^{\cdot-}$ radical anion places a lower limit of 45 kJ mol^{-1} for the electron affinities of the CXCl_3 molecules. The occurrence of Cl^- transfer from the CHCl_3^- ion to the parent compound leads to an indicated upper limit of 75 kJ mol^{-1} for the electron affinity of chloroform. Similarly, the occurrence of Cl^- transfer from the CCl_4^- ion to the parent compound is used to derive an upper limit of 110 kJ mol^{-1} for the electron affinity of tetrachloromethane. These upper limits suggest that previously reported values overestimate the electron affinities of chloroform and tetrachloromethane.

Keywords: Electron affinities; Electron transfer; Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance; Halomethanes; Radical anions

1. Introduction

Electron transfer reactions involving radical anions and anions have been studied extensively in condensed phase systems [1,2]. For gas-phase systems, the majority of the reported studies is concerned with the determination of

electron affinities [3–9] and the kinetics of electron transfer reactions involving stable radical anions or anions [3,10,11]. Only a limited number of studies have been concerned with the possible occurrence of electron transfer to haloalkanes in the gas phase [12–18] notwithstanding that special attention has been paid to the interplay between dissociative electron transfer and $\text{S}_{\text{N}}2$ substitution in reactions with

* Corresponding author.

these compounds in the condensed phase [1,19]. Furthermore, the reactions of a potential electron donor such as the superoxide ion, $O_2^{\cdot-}$, with halomethanes containing more than a single halogen atom (e.g. freons) are known to play a role in the negative ion chemistry occurring in the upper atmosphere [20,21].

The reported studies of gas-phase reactions with halomethanes indicate that radical anions and anions react preferentially with these molecules by dissociative electron transfer (Eq. (1)) or nucleophilic processes, whereas resonance electron transfer with formation of a stable molecular radical anion (Eq. (2)) is an uncommon process:

In a few instances stable radical anions of halomethanes have been observed. For example, minor amounts of the radical anions of CF_2Cl_2 and $CFCl_3$ are reported to arise by electron transfer from the $O_2^{\cdot-}$ ion [12,17] and in a recent study, we observed that $CBr_4^{\cdot-}$ ions are formed by electron transfer from the radical anion of azobenzene to tetrabromomethane [14]. Low but measurable yields of the tetrachloromethane radical anion have been formed also in the collisions of CCl_4 molecules with neutral potassium atoms with a controlled kinetic energy [22]. Likewise, attachment of electrons to free halomethane molecules in the gas phase is referred to normally as an inherent dissociative process leading mostly to the predominant or exclusive formation of a halide ion [23,24]. Low yields of $CCl_4^{\cdot-}$ ions have been generated, however, by attachment of electrons with an energy close to zero electronvolts to carbon dioxide clusters containing small amounts

of tetrachloromethane [25]. Furthermore, $CF_3Cl^{\cdot-}$ ions have been reported to be formed by electron ionization of chlorotrifluoromethane clusters [26] and also by electron impact induced decarbonylation of CF_3COCl [27].

The rare observations of stable molecular radical anions of simple halomethanes appear to be in conflict with the large and positive adiabatic electron affinities which have been suggested for species such as chloroform, tetrachloromethane and fluorotrichloromethane on the basis of results obtained by experiments [22,28] and high level calculations [29,30]. These apparently inconsistent findings raise questions as to the true adiabatic electron affinities of these molecules as well as to the intrinsic stability of the molecular radical anions with respect to dissociation into a halide ion and a halogen substituted methyl radical. In an attempt to answer these questions, we examined the gas phase reactions of various (radical) anions with selected halomethanes and observed that the thioformaldehyde radical anion reacts uniquely with $CHCl_3$, $CDCl_3$, CCl_4 and $CFCl_3$ to afford minor amounts of stable molecular radical anions. This allowed us to derive upper limits for the adiabatic electron affinities of these molecules and experimentally study the reactivity of the related radical anions.

2. Experimental

The experiments were performed with a Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance (FT-ICR) [31] instrument designed and constructed at the University of Amsterdam [32–34]. In a typical experiment, the primary negative ions were formed by a pulsed electron beam (duration 150 ms) and trapped in a magnetic field of 1.23 T by applying a small negative voltage (≈ -1 V) to the trapping plates of

the cubic inch FT-ICR cell. The $\text{CH}_2\text{S}^{\cdot-}$ and *ortho*-benzyl (C₆H₄^{·-}) radical anions were formed by reacting $\text{O}^{\cdot-}$ with methanethiol [35] and benzene [36,37] respectively. The $\text{O}^{\cdot-}$ ions were formed by dissociative capture of electrons with an energy of ≈ 1.2 eV by nitrous oxide [38]. The ions $\text{CH}_3\text{NO}_2^{\cdot-}$ and $\text{C}_6\text{F}_6^{\cdot-}$ were formed by electron transfer from NO^- , which was generated in a secondary reaction between $\text{O}^{\cdot-}$ and N_2O [39,40]. The reactant ions of interest were isolated by ejecting all other ions from the cell as described elsewhere [41,42]. The reactions of the various ions with the halogenated methanes were studied subsequently as a function of time by varying the delay between the selection of the ions of interest and the start of the excitation pulse [31]. The relative abundances of the isotopic chloride containing product ions were measured with an accuracy of $\leq 5\%$ by following procedures described elsewhere [33,41,43].

The total pressure was around 8×10^{-5} Pa with a background pressure better than 3×10^{-7} Pa. The ratio between the partial pressure of N_2O , the halomethane, and the neutral precursor of the reactant radical anion was typically 1:1:1. The pressures were measured with an uncalibrated ionization gauge placed in the side arm of the main pumping line. The inlet lines and the main vacuum vessel were at room temperature, whereas the temperature of the trapping plate opposite the filament was between 330 and 340 K in a typical experiment. All the chemicals used were commercially available.

3. Results

The $\text{CH}_2\text{S}^{\cdot-}$ ion reacts efficiently with CXCl_3 molecules (X = H, D, Cl and F) in the gas phase to form $\text{CXCl}_3^{\cdot-}$, CH_2SCI^- and Cl^- as primary product ions (Eqs. (3)–(5)) [44].

Fig. 1. Relative intensities of the ions formed in the reaction of $\text{CH}_2\text{S}^{\cdot-}$ with chloroform as a function of reaction time: a, an overall plot of the abundances of all ions present in the cell; b, magnification of the region corresponding to ion abundances lower than 0.06.

The identification of these ions as primary products is based on experiments in which the ion abundances are followed as a function of time as illustrated in Fig. 1 for the reaction with CHCl_3 . Subsequent extrapolation to

Table 1

Normalized abundances (%) of the product ions formed in the reaction between $\text{CH}_2\text{S}^{35}\text{Cl}^-$ and the CXCl_3 ($\text{X} = \text{H, D, F}$ and Cl) compounds at short reaction times and after 0.7 s (see also text)

	CHCl_3		CDCl_3		CFCl_3		CCl_4	
	$t \rightarrow 0$	$t = 0.7 \text{ s}$	$t \rightarrow 0$	$t = 0.7 \text{ s}$	$t \rightarrow 0$	$t = 0.7 \text{ s}$	$t \rightarrow 0$	$t = 0.7 \text{ s}$
Cl^-	50	50	70	60	60	60	85	85
$\text{CH}_2\text{S}^{35}\text{Cl}^-$	35	5	20	5	15	4	10	2
CH_3SHCl^-	0	5	0	5	15	33	0	10
$\text{CXCl}_3^{35}\text{Cl}^-$	15	5	10	5	10	3	5	0
CXCl_4^-	0	35	0	25	0	0	0	3

zero reaction time of the normalized product ion abundances leads to the relative yields of the different product ions unperturbed by secondary processes. The relative yields of the various ions are given in Table 1 for the different CXCl_3 compounds together with the abundances of all product ions present in the cell after a reaction time of 0.7 s.

The time dependence of the relative abundances of the $\text{CH}_2\text{S}^{35}\text{Cl}^-$ and $\text{CHCl}_3^{35}\text{Cl}^-$ ions (Fig. 1b) indicates clearly that these ions react further with the neutral species in the cell. This is also revealed by the results of experiments in which the $\text{CH}^{35}\text{Cl}_3^{35}\text{Cl}^-$ or $\text{CH}_2\text{S}^{35}\text{Cl}^-$ ions are isolated and subsequently allowed to react for 0.2 s. After selection of the $\text{CH}^{35}\text{Cl}_3^{35}\text{Cl}^-$ ions, $\text{CHCl}_3^{35}\text{Cl}^-$ and $^{35}\text{Cl}^-$ are formed in the abundance ratio of roughly 1:1. The formation of the $\text{CHCl}_3^{35}\text{Cl}^-$ species reveals the occurrence of a chloride ion transfer to chloroform (Eq. (6)). The generation of $^{35}\text{Cl}^-$ ions may be a result of low kinetic energy collision-induced dissociation (CID) caused by off-resonance excitation [45] of the translational motion of the $\text{CH}^{35}\text{Cl}_3^{35}\text{Cl}^-$ ions by the r.f. pulses applied in order to isolate these species (see also Section 2):

After isolation of the $\text{CH}_2\text{S}^{35}\text{Cl}^-$ ions, $^{35}\text{Cl}^-$, $\text{CH}_3\text{SH}^{35}\text{Cl}^-$ and $\text{CHCl}_3^{35}\text{Cl}^-$ ions are generated in the abundance ratio of 1:2:4. The

formation of the $^{35}\text{Cl}^-$ ion is also here likely to be a result of low kinetic energy CID, whereas the latter two ions arise by competing chloride ion transfer from $\text{CH}_2\text{S}^{35}\text{Cl}^-$ to CH_3SH (Eq. (7)) and CHCl_3 (Eq. (8)) respectively:

Additionally, the $\text{CH}_3\text{SH}^{37}\text{Cl}^-$ ion was selected and allowed to react for 0.2 s with the molecules present in the cell. This leads only to the formation of $\text{CHCl}_3^{37}\text{Cl}^-$ ions, indicating the occurrence of chloride ion transfer from $\text{CH}_3\text{SH}^{37}\text{Cl}^-$ to chloroform (Eq. (9)):

In the experiments with CCl_4 , minor amounts of CCl_5^- ions are generated in secondary processes (Table 1) together with CH_3SHCl^- ions. Isolation of $\text{CH}_2\text{S}^{35}\text{Cl}^-$ reveals that in the subsequent reactions of this ion, only $\text{CH}_3\text{SH}^{35}\text{Cl}^-$ is formed. The absence of formation of $\text{CCl}_4^{35}\text{Cl}^-$ in this particular experiment indicates that the former CCl_5^- ions arise by Cl^- transfer from CCl_4^- to the parent compound (Eq. 10)):

Fig. 2. Normalized abundances of the $CXCl_3^-$ ($X = H, D, F$ and Cl) ions as a function of reaction time (see also text).

The occurrence of the reaction in Eq. (10) is also supported by experiments in which the CCl_4^- ions are ejected from the cell during the entire reaction period. Under these conditions, no CCl_5^- ions are generated and, in addition, less CH_3SHCl^- ions are formed than if the CCl_4^- ions are not ejected from the cell. These results indicate that CCl_4^- also transfers a Cl^- ion to CH_3SH .

In the $CH_2S'^-/CFCl_3$ system, no $CFCl_4^-$ ions are observed even after prolonged reaction times. With the exception of Cl^- , the CH_3SHCl^- species is the dominant ion after a reaction time of 0.7 s (Table 1). This indicates that the $CFCl_3^-$ radical anion transfers a Cl^- ion only to CH_3SH (Eq. (11)) and not to the parent compound:

The finding that the molecular radical anions of the $CXCl_3$ species react readily in secondary processes is revealed also by the change in the normalized abundances of these ions as a function of reaction time as shown in Fig. 2. From the time dependence of these relative abundances, it can be concluded that the CCl_4^- ion reacts faster with

Fig. 3. Partial mass spectra of product ions present in the cell after the $CH_2S'^-$ ion has reacted with $CHCl_3$ (a) and CCl_4 (b) for 200 ms (see also text).

the neutral species in the cell than the other $CXCl_3^-$ ions.

In order to ascertain the indicated formation of $CHCl_3^-$ and CCl_4^- , the $CH_2S'^-$ ions were allowed to react with $CHCl_3$ and CCl_4 respectively for 200 ms. Just prior to the excitation pulse, an r.f. pulse was applied in order to eject the most abundant product ions present in the cell. This resulted in an improved detection of the less abundant product ions. In Fig. 3 are shown the spectra of the product ions with an m/z ratio between 100 and 200 formed in the reaction of $CH_2S'^-$ with $CHCl_3$ (Fig. 3a) and CCl_4 (Fig. 3b). In Fig. 3a the peaks corresponding to $CHCl_3^-$ ($m/z = 118, 120$ and 122), CCl_3^- ($m/z = 117, 119$ and 121) and $CHCl_4^-$ ($m/z = 153, 155, 157$ and 159) are clearly present. In Fig. 3b, peaks corresponding to CCl_4^- ($m/z = 152, 154, 156$ and 158), CCl_3^- ($m/z = 117, 119$ and 121), and CCl_5^- ($m/z = 187, 189, 191$ and 193) are apparent. The expected relative intensities of the isotope peaks of the different ions are compared with the experimental values in Table 2. These values are almost identical and in agreement with the elemental composition assigned to the different ionic species.

In addition to the $CH_2S'^-$ ion, a number of other potential electron donors were allowed to react with chloroform. The selected electron donors included $CH_3NO_2^-$, $C_6F_6^-$

Table 2

Experimental and theoretical relative abundances of the isotopic product ions corresponding to the peaks in Figs. 3a and 3b.^a

	<i>m/z</i>	Fig. 3a		Fig. 3b	
		Experimental	Theoretical	Experimental	Theoretical
CCl ₃ ^{•-}	117	0.44	0.42	0.44	0.42
	119	0.42	0.42	0.43	0.42
	121	0.14	0.14	0.13	0.14
CHCl ₃ ^{•-}	118	0.42	0.42		
	120	0.44	0.44		
	122	0.14	0.14		
CCl ₄ ^{•-}	152			0.30	0.32
	154			0.44	0.42
	156			0.22	0.21
	158			0.04	0.05
CHCl ₄ ^{•-}	153	0.33	0.32		
	155	0.43	0.42		
	157	0.19	0.21		
	159	0.05	0.05		
CCl ₅ ^{•-}	187			0.24	0.25
	189			0.41	0.41
	191			0.27	0.28
	193			0.09	0.05

^a The experimental abundances are calculated by dividing the intensity of the peak of interest by the sum of the intensities of all peaks contributing to the product ion. The ratio between the natural abundances of the ³⁵Cl and ³⁷Cl isotopes used for calculating the theoretical isotope abundances peaks of the product ions is taken to be 3:1; see also Section 2.

and the *ortho*-benzynes radical anion, C₆H₄^{•-}. The neutral species corresponding to these radical anions all have an electron affinity (EA(CH₃NO₂) = 46 kJ mol⁻¹, EA(C₆F₆) = 52 kJ mol⁻¹ and EA(C₆H₄) = 54 kJ mol⁻¹) [3,28] close to the value for CH₂S (EA = 45 kJ mol⁻¹) [46]. Nevertheless, CHCl₃^{•-} ions are not formed in the reactions with the CH₃NO₂^{•-}, C₆F₆^{•-} and C₆H₄^{•-} radical anions. The main product ion for all three species is Cl⁻. In addition to this product ion, the CH₃NO₂^{•-} and C₆H₄^{•-} ions react to form CH₃NO₂Cl⁻ (Eq. (12)) and C₆H₄Cl⁻ ions respectively:

4. Discussion

4.1. Electron affinities of the CXCl₃ molecules and structure of the CXCl₃^{•-} ions

Under our experimental conditions only

exothermic to thermoneutral reactions are observed normally. Thus the first condition for electron transfer is that the electron affinity of CXCl₃ is larger than the value for thioformaldehyde; this is 45 kJ mol⁻¹ [46]. For the observation of stable CXCl₃^{•-} ions in the reaction with CH₂S^{•-} another requirement is needed since the former species may dissociate to Cl⁻ and CXCl₂[•] as shown in Eq. (13) [47]:

The amount of energy necessary for the dissociation of the CXCl₃^{•-} ions to Cl⁻ and a CXCl₂[•] radical is given by Eq. (14), whereas the heat of formation for CXCl₃^{•-} can be approximated by the relationship in Eq. (15):

$$\text{BDE}(\text{CXCl}_3^{\bullet-}) = \Delta H_f^\circ(\text{CXCl}_2^{\bullet}) + \Delta H_f^\circ(\text{Cl}^-) - \Delta H_f^\circ(\text{CXCl}_3^{\bullet-}) \quad (14)$$

$$\Delta H_f^\circ(\text{CXCl}_3^{\bullet-}) \approx \Delta H_f^\circ(\text{CXCl}_3) - \text{EA}(\text{CXCl}_3) \quad (15)$$

The electron affinity of chloroform has been reported to be $\approx 170 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$, whereas the value for tetrachloromethane has been given as $\approx 190 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ [22,28]. Based on an electron affinity of chloroform of 170 kJ mol^{-1} in combination with known heats of formation of the other species involved in the reaction in Eq. (13), the bond dissociation energy of the CHCl_3^- ion is estimated to be $\approx 155 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$, whereas a value of 140 kJ mol^{-1} is obtained for CCl_4^- if the electron affinity of tetrachloromethane is assumed to be $\approx 190 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$. These dissociation energies should be contrasted with the energy necessary to dissociate the CXCl_4^- ions formed readily in the reaction of the molecular radical anions with the parent compounds. Based on the available heats of formation data, the energy necessary to dissociate the CXCl_4^- ions into a CXCl_3 molecule and a Cl^- ion is estimated to be $\approx 65 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ for CHCl_4^- and $\approx 60 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ for CCl_4^- [28]. These values lead to the prediction that the Cl^- ion transfer reactions to the parent molecules should be endothermic with $\approx 95 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ for chloroform and $\approx 80 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ for tetrachloromethane in contrast to the occurrence of these processes under our experimental conditions.

The reaction enthalpy for chloride ion transfer from the molecular negative ion to the parent compound can be expressed as in Eq. (16):

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta H_f^\circ &= \text{BDE}(\text{CXCl}_2^- - \text{Cl}^-) \\
 &\quad - \text{BDE}(\text{CXCl}_3 - \text{Cl}^-) \\
 &= \Delta H_f^\circ(\text{CXCl}_2^-) + \Delta H_f^\circ(\text{CXCl}_4^-) \\
 &\quad - \Delta H_f^\circ(\text{CXCl}_3^-) - \Delta H_f^\circ(\text{CXCl}_3) \\
 &\approx \Delta H_f^\circ(\text{CXCl}_2^-) + \Delta H_f^\circ(\text{CXCl}_4^-) \\
 &\quad - 2 \times \Delta H_f^\circ(\text{CXCl}_3) + \text{EA}(\text{CXCl}_3)
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{16}$$

The heats of formation of the molecules and radicals can be considered to be accurate within $\pm 8 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$, implying that the electron affinities of the halomethanes are the least reliable parameters in the expression for ΔH_f° of chloride ion transfer. If Cl^- ion transfer to chloroform is assumed to be thermoneutral, we obtain an electron affinity of 75 kJ mol^{-1} for chloroform. Similar considerations lead to an electron affinity of 110 kJ mol^{-1} for tetrachloromethane. If the Cl^- ion transfer reactions from the molecular radical anions to the parent molecules are exothermic, this leads to even lower values for the electron affinities of chloroform and tetrachloromethane indicating that the given numbers represent upper limits for the true values. In conclusion, these considerations indicate that the adiabatic electron affinities of chloroform and tetrachloromethane are significantly lower than previously reported experimental [22,28] and also calculated values [29,30]. The previously reported experimental value [22] for the EA of tetrachloromethane was based upon a determination of the threshold energy for formation of CCl_4^- ions in collisions between potassium atoms with a controlled kinetic energy and CCl_4 molecules. The yield of the CCl_4^- ions was extremely low and in addition, the relative cross-section displayed a non-gradual increase at low values of the relative kinetic energy. The threshold for generation of the CCl_4^- ions was obtained by linear extrapolation of the non-steep part of the curve, indicating that significant errors may have been introduced in the estimation of the EA of tetrachloromethane.

For the CFCl_3 compound, an electron affinity of 106 kJ mol^{-1} has been reported [22]. Based on the occurrence of Cl^- ion transfer from the CFCl_3^- radical anion to CH_3SH , it can be concluded that this process is exothermic or thermoneutral. The energy necessary for dissociating the CH_3SHCl^- ion into

CH_3SH and Cl^- has not been determined. However, we observe a slow Cl^- ion transfer from the CH_3SHCl^- ion to CHCl_3 (see Eq. (9)) indicating that the Cl^- ion affinity of methanethiol should be close to the value for chloroform (65 kJ mol^{-1}) [28]. This suggests that the dissociation of the CFCl_3^- ion to Cl^- and CFCl_2 is associated with an enthalpy change of $\leq 65 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$. Such a bond dissociation energy of the CFCl_3^- ion leads to an electron affinity of $\leq 98 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ for the fluorotrichloromethane molecule. This upper limit is not in serious disagreement with the published value of $106 \pm 30 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ although it may be suggested that this value represents an overestimate of the electron affinity of CFCl_3 .

The present results indicate that the CXCl_3^- ions formed in the experiments react as expected for a species, which can be described as a Cl^- ion loosely bonded to a CXCl_2 radical. It is not possible, however, to conclude whether the Cl^- ion is bonded to the CXCl_2 radical with an elongated C–Cl bond or the Cl^- ion is attached to one of the substituents. For CCl_4^- , the latter possibility implies the existence of species such a Cl^- ion attached to a Cl atom of a CCl_3 radical or — in the extreme — a Cl_2^- radical anion coordinated to a CCl_2 carbene. For the radical anion of chloroform it may be thought that the chloride ion is coordinated to the hydrogen atom by a weak hydrogen bond even though the proton affinity of Cl^- [28] is about 120 kJ mol^{-1} lower than that of the CCl_2^- radical anion [48].

The suggested $[\text{CXCl}_2\text{Cl}]^-$ structure for the molecular radical anions is in line with recent density functional calculations, which suggest that electron attachment to CCl_4 and CFCl_3 results in a significant elongation of one of the C–Cl bonds [29]. Moreover, the ESR spectra of CCl_4^- generated by γ radiation in a tetramethylsilane matrix at 4 K have been concluded to be consistent with a $[\text{CCl}_3\text{Cl}]^-$ structure [49]. Interestingly, a similar dis-

Scheme 1. Proposed reaction mechanism for the formation of the Cl^- , $\text{CH}_2\text{S}^\cdot$ and $\text{CXCl}_3^\cdot$ ions in the reaction of the $\text{CH}_2\text{S}^\cdot$ with the CXCl_3 (X = H, D, F and Cl) molecules.

cussion applies to the radical cation of tetrachloromethane. This radical cation is formed only in a very low yield by direct electron ionization of tetrachloromethane but can be generated relatively easily by decarbonylation of the molecular radical cation of CCl_3COCl [50]. The CCl_4^+ radical cation formed in the latter process was suggested to have a structure of a $\text{Cl}^\cdot$ atom attached to one of the chlorine atoms of a CCl_3^+ ion on the basis of ab initio calculations. It can also be mentioned that the SF_6^- radical anion has been proposed to exist as an ion/neutral complex, that is a $[\text{SF}_5\text{F}]^-$ species may be generated upon electron attachment to SF_6 in the gas phase [51].

4.2. Mechanistic aspects of the reactions with the CXCl_3 molecules

The suggestion that the CXCl_3^- species may be characterized as a Cl^- ion weakly bonded to a CXCl_2 radical renders it possible to propose a reaction scheme which accounts for the generation of the primary product ions in the reactions of the different radical anions with

halomethanes. This proposal is shown in Scheme 1 for the reactions of the $\text{CH}_2\text{S}^{\cdot-}$ ion.

The first step in the proposed mechanism is formation of an ion/molecule complex of the $\text{CH}_2\text{S}^{\cdot-}$ ion and a CXCl_3 molecule. Electron transfer may then occur with formation of a second complex composed of CH_2S and $\text{CXCl}_3^{\cdot-}$. This complex may dissociate to yield the observed radical anions of the CXCl_3 compounds or react to give a complex of CH_2S , CXCl_2 and Cl^- , which then reacts to give either Cl^- or CH_2SCl^- as indicated in Scheme 1. In addition, this latter complex may expel a CH_2S molecule with formation of the $\text{CXCl}_3^{\cdot-}$ radical anions. Some support for the involvement of the latter complex can be found in the results of the reactions of the $\text{CH}_3\text{NO}_2^{\cdot-}$ (Eq. (12)) and $\text{C}_6\text{H}_4^{\cdot-}$ ions with CHCl_3 . In the reaction of the former ion, $\text{CH}_3\text{NO}_2\text{Cl}^-$ arises and for the latter radical anion, minor amounts of $\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{Cl}^-$ ions are formed. The absence of the formation of $\text{CHCl}_3^{\cdot-}$ ions in the reactions with these ions may then indicate that the Cl^- affinity of the CHCl_2 radical is lower than of the CH_3NO_2 ($\approx 70 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$) [28] and C_6H_4 molecules. The importance of the Cl^- ion affinity of the neutral species related to the reactant (radical) anion is also reflected in the results of the high pressure mass spectrometry (HPMS) study of Knighton and Grimsrud [16]. These authors examined the reactions between a number of halomethanes — including the present CXCl_3 compounds — and the radical anions of several nitrobenzenes as well as azulene. Stable radical anions of the halomethanes were not observed and the authors concluded that their results were consistent with a cluster-assisted dissociative electron transfer mechanism. In this mechanism, initial dissociative electron transfer to, for example, tetrachloromethane yields an ion/molecule complex composed of a Cl^- ion, the neutral species corresponding to the reactant radical anion, A, and a CCl_3 radical. This

complex was suggested to dissociate predominantly to a ACl^- cluster ion, which is in a fast equilibrium with free Cl^- and A molecules present in the HPMS ion source.

Based on our results in combination with observations of Knighton and Grimsrud [16], it may be concluded that stable $\text{CXCl}_3^{\cdot-}$ ions are formed only if the Cl^- affinity of the neutral species related to the reactant radical anion or anion is comparable with or lower than the binding energy of a Cl^- ion to a CXCl_2 radical. Based on the occurrence of Cl^- ion transfer from CH_2SCl^- to chloroform but not to tetrachloromethane, the Cl^- ion affinity of CH_2S is expected to be in between the values for these compounds, that is, between 60 and 65 kJ mol^{-1} (vide supra) [47]. A comparable Cl^- ion affinity of CH_2S and of the CXCl_2 radicals may then explain the formation of CH_2SCl^- as well as $\text{CXCl}_3^{\cdot-}$ as primary product ions (see Table 1).

5. Conclusions

The $\text{CH}_2\text{S}^{\cdot-}$ ion reacts uniquely with CXCl_3 ($\text{X} = \text{H}, \text{D}, \text{Cl}$ and F) molecules to afford observable $\text{CXCl}_3^{\cdot-}$ ions, indicating that the adiabatic electron affinity of the CXCl_3 molecules is larger than the value for CH_2S (45 kJ mol^{-1}). The $\text{CXCl}_3^{\cdot-}$ radical anions can be described as a Cl^- ion relatively weakly bonded to a CXCl_2 radical as indicated by the facile occurrence of Cl^- ion transfer in the reactions with the parent compounds and/or methanethiol. The occurrence of Cl^- transfer from the $\text{CHCl}_3^{\cdot-}$ and $\text{CCl}_4^{\cdot-}$ ions to the corresponding parent compounds leads to upper limits of the adiabatic electron affinities of chloroform ($\leq 75 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$) and tetrachloromethane ($\leq 110 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$). Similarly, the observation of Cl^- ion transfer from $\text{CFCl}_3^{\cdot-}$ to CH_3SH indicates an upper limit of 98 kJ mol^{-1} for the adiabatic electron affinity of fluorotrichloromethane.

Acknowledgement

The authors thank the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (SON/NWO) for financial support.

References

- [1] L. Ebersson, *Electron Transfer Reactions in Organic Chemistry*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987.
- [2] R.A. Marcus and N. Sutin, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 811 (1985) 265.
- [3] P. Kebarle and S. Chowdhury, *Chem. Rev.*, 87 (1987) 513.
- [4] A.A. Christodoulides, D.L. McCorkle and L.G. Christophorou, in L.G. Christophorou (Ed.), *Electron–Molecule Interactions and Their Applications*, Vol. 2, Academic Press, New York, 1984, Chapter 6.
- [5] E.K. Fukuda and R.T. McIver, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 107 (1985) 2291.
- [6] K.D. Jordan and P.D. Burrow, *Chem. Rev.*, 87 (1987) 557.
- [7] J.M. Oakes and G.B. Ellison, *Tetrahedron*, 42 (1986) 6263.
- [8] D.G. Leopold, A.E.S. Miller and W.C. Lineberger, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 108 (1986) 1379.
- [9] T. Heinis, S. Chowdhury, S.L. Scott and P. Kebarle, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 110 (1988) 400.
- [10] E.P. Grimsrud, S. Chowdhury and P. Kebarle, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 83 (1985) 1059.
- [11] C.-C. Han, J.L. Wilbur and J.I. Brauman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 114 (1992) 887.
- [12] F.C. Fehsenfeld, P.J. Crutzen, A.L. Schmeltekopf, C.J. Howard, D.L. Albritton, E.E. Ferguson, J.A. Davidson and H.I. Schiff, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 81 (1976) 4454.
- [13] E. Rinden, M.M. Maricq and J.J. Grabowski, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 111 (1989) 1203.
- [14] S. Ingemann, R.H. Fokkens and N.M.M. Nibbering, *J. Org. Chem.*, 56 (1991) 607.
- [15] R.A. Morris, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 97 (1992) 2372.
- [16] W.G. Knighton and E.P. Grimsrud, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 114 (1992) 2336.
- [17] C.A. Mayhew, R. Peverall and P. Watts, *Int. J. Mass Spectrom. Ion Processes*, 125 (1993) 81.
- [18] P.O. Staneke, G. Groothuis, S. Ingemann and N.M.M. Nibbering, in preparation.
- [19] See for example the following more recent papers and references cited therein:
 - (a) E.C. Ashby, X. Sun and J.L. Duff, *J. Org. Chem.*, 59 (1994) 1270.
 - (b) J.-M. Savéant, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 26 (1993) 455.
 - (c) L. Ebersson and S.S. Shaik, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 112 (1990) 4484.
- [20] E.E. Ferguson, F.C. Fehsenfeld and D.L. Albritton, in M.T. Bowers (Ed.), *Gas Phase Ion Chemistry*, Vol. 1, Academic Press, New York, 1979, Chapter 2.
- [21] D. Smith and N.G. Adams, *Top. Curr. Chem.*, 89 (1980) 1.
- [22] H. Dispert and K. Lacmann, *Int. J. Mass Spectrom. Ion Phys.*, 28 (1978) 49.
- [23] L.G. Christophorou, D.L. McCorkle and A.A. Christodoulides, in L.G. Christophorou (Ed.), *Electron–Molecule Interactions and Their Applications*, Vol. 1, Academic Press, New York, 1984, Chapter 6.
- [24] T. Oster, A. Kühn and E. Illenberger, *Int. J. Mass Spectrom. Ion Processes*, 89 (1989) 1.
- [25] C.E. Klotz and R.N. Compton, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 67 (1977) 1779.
- [26] A. Kühn and E. Illenberger, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 93 (1990) 357.
- [27] O.I. Asobiojo, L.K. Blair and J.I. Brauman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 97 (1975) 6685.
- [28] S.G. Lias, J.E. Bartmess, J.F. Liebman, J.F. Holmes, R.D. Levin and W.G. Mallard, *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data*, 17 (1988) Suppl. 1.
- [29] G.L. Gutsev, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 98 (1993) 7072 and references cited therein.
- [30] S. Roszak, J.J. Kaufman, W.S. Koski, M. Vijayakumar and K. Balasubramanian, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 101 (1994) 2978.
- [31] A.G. Marshall and F.R. Verdun, in *Fourier Transform in NMR, Optical, and Mass Spectrometry*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1990, Chapter 7.
- [32] N.M.M. Nibbering, *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.*, 7 (1993) 747.
- [33] L.J. de Koning, C.W.F. Kort, F.A. Pinkse and N.M.M. Nibbering, *Int. J. Mass Spectrom. Ion Processes*, 95 (1989) 71, and references cited therein.
- [34] R.A.L. Peerboom, S. Ingemann, N.M.M. Nibbering and J.F. Liebman, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans.*, 2 (1990) 1825, and references cited therein.
- [35] J.J. Lee and J.J. Grabowski, *Chem. Rev.*, 92 (1992) 1611.
- [36] H.E.K. Matimba, A.M. Crabbendam, S. Ingemann and N.M.M. Nibbering, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, (1991) 644.
- [37] Y. Guo and J.J. Grabowski, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 113 (1991) 5923.
- [38] P.J. Chantry, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 51 (1969) 3369.
- [39] S.E. Barlow and V.M. Bierbaum, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 92 (1990) 3442.
- [40] R.A. Morris, A.A. Viggiano and J.F. Paulson, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 92 (1990) 3448.
- [41] A.J. Noest and C.W.F. Kort, *Comput. Chem.*, 7 (1983) 81.
- [42] J.C. Kleingeld and N.M.M. Nibbering, *Tetrahedron*, 39 (1983) 4193.
- [43] A.J. Noest and C.W.F. Kort, *Comput. Chem.*, 6 (1982) 115.
- [44] From the present results it is not possible to conclude whether covalent bonded species like $\text{Cl}-\text{CH}_2-\text{S}^-$ and $^-\text{CH}_2-\text{S}-\text{Cl}$ are formed and/or whether the CH_2SCl^- ions exist as a ion/molecule complex of a Cl^- ion and a CH_2S molecule.
- [45] A.J.R. Heck, L.J. de Koning, F.A. Pinkse and N.M.M. Nibbering, *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.*, 5 (1991) 406.
- [46] S. Moran and G.B. Ellison, *Int. J. Mass Spectrom. Ion Processes*, 80 (1987) 83.
- [47] This bond dissociation energy of the CXCl_3^- ions is equal to the chloride affinity of the CXCl_2 radicals. The chloride ion affinity of a neutral chemical species, A, is defined as the

- negative of the enthalpy change for the reaction, $A + Cl^- \rightarrow ACl^-$; see for example J.W. Larson and T.B. McMahon, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 107 (1985) 766.
- [48] J.A. Paulino and R.R. Squires, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 113 (1991) 5573.
- [49] H. Muto and K. Nunome, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 94 (1991) 4741.
- [50] T. Drewello, T. Weiske and H. Schwarz, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 24 (1985) 869.
- [51] P.S. Drzaic and J.I. Brauman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 104 (1982) 13.