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We report a high-field-induced magnetic phase in a single crystal of U(Ru$_{0.92}$Rh$_{0.08}$)$_2$Si$_2$. Our neutron study, combined with high-field magnetization, shows that the magnetic phase above the first metamagnetic transition at $\mu_0 H_{1c} = 21.6$ T has an uncompensated commensurate antiferromagnetic structure with a propagation vector $Q_2 = (\frac{1}{12}00)$ possessing two single-$Q$ domains. U moments of $1.45(9)\mu_B$ directed along the $c$ axis are arranged in an up-up-down sequence propagating along the $a$ axis, in agreement with bulk measurements. The U magnetic form factor at high fields is consistent with both the $U^{1+}$ and $U^{4+}$ types. The low-field short-range order that emerges from pure URu$_2$Si$_2$ due to Rh doping is initially strengthened by the field but disappears in the field-induced phase. The tetragonal symmetry is preserved across the transition, but the $a$-axis lattice parameter increases already at low fields. Our results are in agreement with an itinerant electron model with 5$f$ states forming bands pinned in the vicinity of the Fermi surface that is significantly reconstructed by the applied magnetic field.
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Despite more than three decades of intense study the ground state of the well-established hidden order (HO at $T_{HO} = 17.5$ K)/superconducting (SC at $T_{SC} = 1.5$ K) heavy-fermion system URu$_2$Si$_2$ remains unknown and under heavy dispute [1]. The HO is linked to an antiferromagnetic (AF) order [2–4] and fluctuations characterized by a propagation vector $Q_0 = (100)$ that can be stabilized either by strain or doping [5]. At temperatures where the HO exists, new phases can be created by perturbations. A strong magnetic field is necessary to suppress the HO order and drive the system into distinct metamagnetic transitions (MTs) between 35 and 39 T before reaching a polarized Fermi-liquid state [6,7].

High critical fields can be reduced by a suitable light doping, in particular, by a Rh substitution for Ru [6,8]. Such substitutions quickly destroy both the HO and SC states in the range of a few percent, keeping the heavy-fermion behavior intact, and stabilize (2%–3% Rh) AF order with $Q_0$ [9]. For doping levels above 10% Rh, a long-range AF order with $Q_3 = (\frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{3})$ appears [8].

Although high-field bulk measurements disclose important insights regarding the physical states emerging from HO, only microscopic methods such as neutron diffraction yield information regarding the periodicity and nature of the order. However, it is most challenging to combine this technique with static magnetic fields exceeding $\sim 17$ T. This is due to two main limitations. On one hand, the magnetic field strengths are limited by the construction material of the magnet, and on the other, the orientation of the sample with respect to the magnetic field and neutron beam imposes specific geometrical restrictions.

Challenging neutron experiments in pulsed magnetic fields [10] were recently performed revealing the main features of field-induced magnetic structures in pure URu$_2$Si$_2$ and 4% Rh-doped systems that are found to be different [11,12]. While in the former system it is a spin-density wave (SDW) characterized by $Q_1 = (0,600)$, suspected to be of multi-$Q$ nature [11], in the latter case the propagation vector is $Q_2 = (\frac{1}{3}00)$. However, these experiments suffer from a reduced signal-to-noise ratio because of the pulsed field nature of the magnetic field, and an inability to survey a large portion of the reciprocal space during a single field pulse due to the use of a triple-axis spectrometer that prevents the detector from being tilted out of the scattering plane.

Here, we report results achieved at the recently completed HFM-EXED facility at Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin (HZB) that enables neutron studies in static fields up to 26 T—a study of the field-induced magnetic phase in U(Ru$_{0.92}$Rh$_{0.08}$)$_2$Si$_2$, in which we deliberately suppressed HO and allowed for short-range magnetic order.

The details of our U(Ru$_{0.92}$Rh$_{0.08}$)$_2$Si$_2$ single-crystal preparation, quality, and other physical properties are presented elsewhere [13]. For the present neutron studies, a $4 \times 4 \times 4$ mm$^3$ single crystal was cut using spark erosion to have eight nuclear and four field-induced magnetic Bragg
reflections indexed by two, in tetragonal symmetry equivalent, field-induced propagation vectors, eliminating the drawbacks of pulsed experiments. In the second experiment, in order to observe the signal connected with short-range order at Q \(-3\) and nuclear reflection 101 in the forward detector, we rotated the c axis by about 21° in the horizontal plane. Here, we were able to record three nuclear and four magnetic reflections. Due to the strong uniaxial response of U(Ru0.92Rh0.08)2Si2, this angular deviation does not change the physics of our system [18] and leads only to a lower effective field acting along the c axis. Details about the diffraction geometry are provided in the Supplemental Material [17].

In contrast to the forward direction that is sensitive to both magnetic and nuclear Bragg reflections, predominantly only nuclear reflections are observable in the backscatter detector panels. The 11 detected nuclear reflections (two of them, 200 and 101, in the forward direction) allowed us to verify the crystal structure in zero and elevated fields (of the ThCr2Si2 type, shown in the inset of Fig. 1), to establish the lattice constants and orientation matrix of the crystal with respect to the laboratory system and to evaluate the magnetic moment magnitudes. We found that the intensities recorded in zero field agree well after necessary absorption and extinction corrections with the crystal structure parameters determined previously [13].

Magnetization M(T) measurements in fields up to 58 T generated by discharging a capacitor bank producing a 25-ms-long pulse were performed on a 12.5-mg single crystal originating from the same parent piece. The magnetic signal was detected using compensated pickup coils and scaled to originate from the same parent piece. The magnetic signal tends to saturate at a level of 2 \(\mu_B\)/U. The magnetization step across the first MT amounts to one third of the magnetization with field. Oppositely, the c-axis magnetization exhibits a dramatic steplike increase at 22 T (Fig. 1), followed by another one around 38 T. On decreasing the field a small hysteresis is seen at the lower transition, leading to an average critical field of \(\mu_0 H_c = 21.6\) T, the lowest critical field among Rh-doped U(Ru1-xRh_x)2Si2 [19]. In the high-field limit the magnetization tends to saturate at a level of 2.1\(\mu_B\)/U. The magnetization step across the first MT amounts to 0.46\(\mu_B\)/U and across the second MT at 38 T to 0.94\(\mu_B\)/U. The increase at the former MT amounts to one third of the magnetization increase due to both MTs.

In Figs. 2(a) and 2(b) we show a portion of the diffracted intensity distribution in reciprocal space detected in the forward direction in 17.5 and 23 T directed along the c axis, respectively. In addition to the 200 nuclear reflection visible at both fields, new Bragg reflections having fractionalized indices are visible at 23 T. These reflections, which are resolution limited and are of magnetic origin, can be indexed by two (in tetragonal symmetry equivalent) propagation vectors \(Q^A_2 = (\frac{3}{2}00)\) and \(Q^B_2 = (0\frac{3}{2}0)\) and their associated \(\bar{Q}^A_2\) and \(-Q^A_2\) vectors, respectively. As shown in Fig. 3, the intensities integrated around these positions show a steplike increase at MT, in agreement with the magnetization. The data were collected with decreasing fields after the sample was exposed to 24 T. The propagation vector, which does not change above the MT, suggests that the field-induced phase is commensurate with the crystal lattice. No diffracted intensity has been observed at 100, (\(\frac{3}{2}00\)), (\(\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}0\)), and similar reciprocal positions [see Fig. 2(b)].

To resolve the mutual coupling between U moments of this phase we have used the representation analysis [20] that resulted in very few possible configurations (see Supplemental Material [17]). The best agreement is found for an up-up-down sequence of U moments propagating along the c axis. There are two single-\(Q\) domains [domain A with \(Q^A_2 = (\frac{3}{2}00)\) and domain B with \(Q^B_2 = (0\frac{3}{2}0)\)]. Assuming that U moments order in both domains with identical moment magnitudes, the best fit leads to 1.45(9)\(\mu_B\)/U and populations of 46(1) and 54(1).
vol %, as shown in the inset of Fig. 3. Such an arrangement implies a tendency towards crystal structure distortion because of ferromagnetic and AF couplings along the originally equivalent $a$ axes, which is, however, not realized. We note that another, so-called double-$Q$ structure yielding the same agreement with observed intensities cannot be in principle excluded. However, this structure is unlikely as it consists of highly unequal magnetic moments residing on equivalent crystallographic sites.

In Fig. 4(a) we show the field dependence of the intensity of the 200 reflection, normalized to the zero-field value after the application of the field. It increases by $\sim 20\%$ just below $\mu_0 H_{c1} = 21.6$ T as compared to low- and high-field values that are almost identical. As this reflection has a much stronger crystal structure contribution and is therefore almost insensitive to the magnetic moment, we interpret this observation to be due to field-dependent extinction. Such a conclusion is corroborated by the field dependence of the 200 reflection’s relative full width at half maximum (FWHM), as shown also in Fig. 4(a), establishing a relation with the intensity. Interestingly, the FWHM peaks around $\mu_0 H_{c1}$, with the MT transition takes place but shows at zero field a distinctly larger value than at intermediate fields, where also the intensity is somewhat lower than at zero field. These observations suggest the presence of a field-induced strain influencing the crystal quality. However, the anticipated crystal structure distortion above MT is not realized, otherwise the 200 reflection would split, as in the case of the putative symmetry lowering of URu$_2$Si$_2$ [21]. Comparing the data obtained at 23 and 0 T, we do not observe a crystallographic distortion within our precision at the level of $\sim 2 \times 10^{-4}$.

The refined up-up-down $U$ moment arrangement leads to a net ferromagnetic moment in agreement with magnetization data (see Fig. 1) and imposes an increase of relevant nuclear reflections intensities. As shown in Fig. 4(b), the intensity of the 101 reflection increases at 21.94 T by 32%. This increase is notably larger than the expected increase due to $0.46 \mu_0 \mu_B / U$ seen at the first MT. Since the nuclear 101 reflection is very sensitive to the position of Si atoms, a change of the only free positional parameter $z_{Si}$ by $\sim 0.01$ r.l.u. (reciprocal lattice units) from $z_{Si} = 0.373$ 25 [13] to $\sim 0.363$ induced by the field could explain the observed increase. This mechanism has been named as a possible reason for the missing ferromagnetic signal on top of the 101 reflection in the pulsed experiment on URu$_2$Si$_2$ [11]. However, we can discard such a scenario as the 107 reflection would need to change significantly its intensity as well. Therefore, we attribute the 101 reflection intensity increase to changes in extinction and estimate the increase due to magnetic order to $\sim 8\%$.

Although our crystal does not exhibit any of the phases present in pure URu$_2$Si$_2$, it does exhibit SRO characterized by $Q_1 = (\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2})$ [13]. Such a propagation vector imposes four spatially disjunct single-$Q$ domains. Two of the domains, represented by reflections $(\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2})$ and $(\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2})$, could be recorded with the field inclined by $21^\circ$ from the $c$ axis [see Fig. 2(c)]. Although not immediately apparent from the figure, they are not resolution limited and about three times broader than other Bragg reflections. This is in agreement with our previous study [13]. In Fig. 4(b) we show the field dependence of the intensity of one of these peaks at three representative fields. It is surprising that the intensity of these peaks initially increases with increasing field before they disappear above the MT. One could speculate that this is because of domain redistribution, but the observation is supported by experiments in fields up to 14.5 T, which also show an increase of all SRO peaks, even with a much smaller inclination of field with respect to the $c$ axis [22]. This increase, followed by a sudden disappearance of these reflections, is accompanied by the simultaneous appearance of $Q_2$ reflections above $\mu_0 H_{c1}$. 

![Figure 3](image1.png)

**FIG. 3.** Field dependence of the intensity of representative magnetic reflections observed in U(Ru$_{0.92}$Rh$_{0.08}$)$_2$Si$_2$ single crystal at 1.4 K with the field applied along the $c$ axis. In the inset we show the field-induced magnetic structure consisting of two single-$Q$ domains. The shaded area denotes the range of fields where the MT occurs with lowering the field.

![Figure 4](image2.png)

**FIG. 4.** (a) Field dependence of the relative intensity of the 200 Bragg reflection along with its FWHM. (b) Field dependence of the relative intensity of the 101 Bragg reflection and of the SRO signal at $(\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2})$. (c) Field dependence of the relative lattice constants as determined from measurements with the field along the $c$ axis (open points) and with the $c$ axis inclined by $21^\circ$ (solid points). The field values with the $c$ axis inclined by $21^\circ$ with respect to the applied field were recalculated to effective values projected along the $c$ axis.
Further, we note that the background signal does not change across the MT.

These observations can be explained by the itinerant character of 5$f^2$(U$^{4+}$) states situated in the vicinity of the Fermi surface (FS) that have been shown to exist in pure URu$_2$Si$_2$ [23–25] and in 4% Rh-doped systems [26]. Here, the 5$f$ states are split, forming a narrow pseudogap over a portion of the Fermi surface. Although to date no ab initio calculations exist on our system, it is expected that Rh doping modifies the FS topology in favor of a long-range magnetic order.

For pure URu$_2$Si$_2$ [11] and 4% Rh-doped [12] systems, magnetic moments of $\sim$0.50(5)$\mu_B$/U and $\sim$0.6(1)$\mu_B$/U have been determined assuming equal magnetic domain populations. However, these moment values agree with magnetization data only qualitatively. In the pure case, the magnetic structure is SDW with no ferromagnetic component on the single available nuclear reflection. In the 4% system, the magnetic structure becomes commensurate with a corresponding increase of the 110 nuclear Bragg reflection [12]. Both structures have been determined on a basis of two observable magnetic Bragg reflections. Our results, based on six magnetic and three nuclear reflections, are obtained at stable thermodynamical conditions leading to U moments of 1.45(9)$\mu_B$, in agreement with magnetization data. At 23 T, the U moments are in agreement with a magnetic form factor of the U$^{3+}$/U$^{4+}$ type.

In Fig. 4(c) we show the field dependence of the relative lattice constants determined from the $d$ spacings of the most intense nuclear reflections. While the $a$-axis parameter increases by 0.1%–0.2% at 23 T, the $c$ axis is field independent. This finding is to be compared with the field-induced sample length change along the $c$ axis in pure URu$_2$Si$_2$ [27] that shrinks by $\sim$3 × 10$^{-3}$ at the first MT. Surprisingly, the increase of the $a$-axis parameter is present already below the MT transition. This observation corroborates our working model of the itinerant character of U moments in U(Ru$_{0.92}$Rh$_{0.08}$)$_2$Si$_2$ and field-induced modifications of the FS leading to a stabilization of 5$f$ states, in analogy to the FS reconstruction in the pure system [28,29]. Although still controversial, it has been reported that the HO lifts the fourfold symmetry of the URu$_2$Si$_2$ lattice already in zero field [1,21]. In the case of our system it is evident that both $Q_1 = (1,1,1)$ below $\mu_0 H_{c1}$ and $Q_2 = (0,0,0)$ phases above MT break the time reversal symmetry, although the crystal structure remains undistorted within our error bars. It is remarkable that the magnetic structures in systems with and without the HO are so different, the first being a SDW and in the latter a commensurate one, consisting of two single-$Q$ domains. Further studies including ab initio calculations that take into account doping and magnetic fields are needed to disclose the relation between HO and other types of orders.

In conclusion, our study shows that above $\mu_0 H_{c1} = 21.6$ T an uncompensated antiferromagnetic structure defined by the propagation vector $Q = (\pm 00)$ exists in U(Ru$_{0.92}$Rh$_{0.08}$)$_2$Si$_2$. Two spatially disjunct single-$Q$ domains are almost equally populated. U moments of 1.45(9)$\mu_B$ directed along the $c$ axis are arranged in an up-up-down sequence propagating along the $a$ axis. However, further high-field studies using NMR or uniaxial pressure are necessary to discard definitely a possible double-$Q$ structure that would need to consist of very unequal moments between 0.4$\mu_B$ and 2.4$\mu_B$. The low-field short-range order that emerges from pure URu$_2$Si$_2$ due to Rh doping is initially strengthened by the field but disappears above MT. Our results could be explained by the itinerant model of magnetism.
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