Supersymmetry and the geometry of Taub-NUT

van Holten, J.W.

Published in:
Physics Letters B

DOI:
10.1016/0370-2693(94)01358-J

Citation for published version (APA):

General rights
It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations
If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: http://uba.uva.nl/en/contact, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

UvA-DARE is a service provided by the library of the University of Amsterdam (http://dare.uva.nl)
Supersymmetry and the geometry of Taub–NUT

J.W. van Holten

NIKHEF-H, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Received 29 September 1994; revised manuscript received 8 October 1994
Editor: P.V. Landshoff

Abstract

The supersymmetric extension of Taub–NUT space admits four standard supersymmetries plus several additional non-standard ones. The geometrical origin of these symmetries is traced, and their algebraic structure is clarified. The result has applications to fermion modes in gravitational instantons as well as in long-range monopole dynamics.

The low-energy dynamics of spinning particles in a curved space with metric $g_{\mu\nu}(x)$ is described by the $d = 1$ supersymmetric $\sigma$-model [1-6]

$$L = \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu}(x) \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu + \frac{1}{2} i \eta_{ab} \psi^a \frac{D\psi^b}{D\tau},$$

(1)

where $\eta_{ab}$ is the flat metric of tangent space: $\eta_{ab} = \delta_{ab}$ for a Euclidean space, and diag ($-,...,+$) for a Lorentzian space-time. The Grassmann variables $\psi^a$ transform as a tangent space vector and describe the spin of the particle. More precisely, the antisymmetric tensor $S^{ab} = -i \psi^a \psi^b$ generates the internal part of the local tangent-space rotations; also, for charged particles its components are proportional to the tensor of electromagnetic dipole moments [7]. The classical equations of motion of the theory can be cast in the form

$$\frac{D^2 x^\mu}{D\tau^2} = \frac{1}{2} S_{ab} R_{ab} x^\nu, \quad \frac{D S^{ab}}{D\tau} = 0.$$  

(2)

All derivatives here are covariant with respect to general coordinate transformations and local tangent-space rotations. Eqs. (2) also hold in the quantum theory when interpreted as functional averages, and therefore have a classical meaning in the sense of Ehrenfest’s theorem.

An interesting consequence of these equations is, that particle spin can be used as a probe of the geometry of space-time. Such information obtained from particle spin is complementary to that from geodesics defined by the worldlines of spinless test particles.

The constants of motion of a scalar particle in a curved space are determined by the symmetries of the manifold, and are expressible in terms of the Killing vectors and tensors. For a spinning particle a similar result holds, with two modifications [11,12]: first, the constants of motion related to a given Killing vector generally contain spin-dependent parts; second, there are Grassmann-odd constants of motion, related to supersymmetries, which do not have a counterpart in the spinless model, although they do have interesting geometrical origins. This is one of the ways in which spin can provide additional information about geometry.

In this Letter we study spinning particles in Taub–NUT space, which is a $D = 4$ self-dual Euclidean space. In a special choice of coordinates the metric takes the form
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\[ ds^2 = (1 + 2m/r) \left( dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2 + r^2 \sin^2 \theta \, d\varphi^2 \right) + \frac{4m^2}{1 + 2m/r} \left( d\psi + \cos \theta \, d\varphi \right)^2. \]  

(3)

The physical applications of the Lagrangian (1) with this metric are either to fermions in a gravitational instanton background for \( m > 0 \) [8], or to the long-range dynamics of interacting magnetic monopoles and their fermion modes [9,10] for \( m < 0 \) and \( r > 2|m| \). However, from the analysis presented below it is clear that the supersymmetric extension also considerably clarifies the structure of the purely bosonic theory. As such the method and ideas seem to be of more general relevance. Some results on spinning Taub–NUT space of which we make use were obtained in Refs. [13-15].

For the purpose of describing symmetries and conservation laws, the covariant Hamiltonian formalism introduced in Refs. [12,16] is most useful. In this formalism the basic phase-space variables are \( (x^\mu, \Pi_\mu, \psi^a) \), with \( \Pi_\mu \) the covariant rather than the canonical momentum:

\[ \Pi_\mu = p_\mu - \frac{1}{2} \omega_{\mu ab} S^{ab}. \]  

(4)

For spinless scalar particles, the two are identical. In terms of these variables the Hamiltonian takes the simple form

\[ H = \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \Pi_\mu \Pi_\nu. \]  

(5)

In the covariant phase space formulation the Poisson–Dirac bracket of two scalar functions \( A, B \) is given by

\[
\{ A, B \} = D_\mu A \frac{\partial B}{\partial \Pi_\mu} - \frac{\partial A}{\partial \Pi_\mu} D_\mu B + R_{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial A}{\partial \Pi_\mu} \frac{\partial B}{\partial \Pi_\nu}
\]

\[ + i (-1)^{a+b} \frac{\partial A}{\partial \psi^a} \frac{\partial B}{\partial \psi^b}, \]  

(6)

with \( R_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} S_{ab} R^{ab}_{\mu} \) the spin-valued Riemann tensor, and

\[ D_\mu A = \partial_\mu A + \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda \Pi_\lambda \frac{\partial A}{\partial \Pi_\nu} + \omega_\mu^{\ a} \, \psi^b \frac{\partial A}{\partial \psi^a}. \]  

(7)

Before applying the formalism to the model (3), we first observe that the elementary constants of motion – those linear in the momentum – for a scalar particle in Taub–NUT space consist of the 3-d total angular momentum \( J \) and a quantity which, for negative mass models, can be interpreted as the relative electric charge \( q \equiv J_0 \):

\[ J_A = R_A \cdot \Pi, \]  

(8)

where the \( R_A^\mu \) with \( A = (0, \ldots, 3) \) and \( \mu = (r, \theta, \varphi, \psi) \) are the components of the four corresponding Killing vectors:

\[ R_0 = (0, 0, 0, 1), \]

\[ R_1 = (0, - \sin \varphi, - \cot \theta \cos \varphi, \csc \theta \cos \varphi), \]

\[ R_2 = (0, \cos \varphi, - \cot \theta \sin \varphi, \csc \theta \sin \varphi), \]

\[ R_3 = (0, 0, 1, 0). \]  

(9)

Expressions for the extension of these constants of motion to spinning particles have been presented in Refs. [13,14]. A fast method to obtain them is by the following theorem:

**Theorem.** If on a manifold with metric \( g_{\mu\nu} \) there exists a Killing vector \( R^\mu \), then the motion of a scalar particle on the manifold conserves the quantity

\[ J = R^\mu \Pi_\mu, \]  

(10)

and the motion of a spinning particle with Lagrangian (1.1) conserves the spin-dependent extension

\[ \mathcal{J} = R^\mu \Pi_\mu + \frac{1}{2} B_{ab} S^{ab}, \]  

(11)

where the base-space components of the anti-symmetric tensor \( B_{\mu\nu} \) are given in terms of the Killing vector by

\[ B_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \left( R_{\nu,\mu} - R_{\mu,\nu} \right). \]  

(12)

All constants of motion of this form are superinvariant:

\[ \{ \mathcal{J}, Q \} = 0, \]  

(13)

and the Lie algebra defined by the Killing vectors:

\[ R_{A,B}^{\mu} R_B^{\nu} - R_B^{\mu} R_A^{\nu} = f_{AB}^\gamma R_C^{\mu}, \]  

(14)

is realized by the constants of motion \( \mathcal{J}_A \) through the Poisson–Dirac brackets:

\[ \{ \mathcal{J}_A, \mathcal{J}_B \} = f_{AB}^C \mathcal{J}_C. \]  

(15)
Note however, that in principle it is possible to add to the solution $B_{\mu \nu}$ in Eq. (12) an improvement term $\beta_{\mu \nu \lambda}$, provided it is covariantly constant:

$$\beta_{\mu \nu \lambda} = 0. \quad (16)$$

If such a tensor exists, the quantity

$$\beta = \frac{1}{2} \beta_{ab} \delta^{ab} \quad (17)$$

is a constant of motion by itself; as a result there is some arbitrariness in the definition of $J$, Eq. (11).

In general, however, improvement terms will change the algebraic properties (13) and (15). Only specific choices of the improvement terms preserve the algebraic structure. The Taub–NUT geometry provides an explicit example of this phenomenon. In any case, without constraints on the algebra of Poisson–Dirac brackets the solutions of the generalized Killing equations, such as those found in Refs. [13,14], are not unique.

In addition to angular momentum and relative charge, the Taub–NUT geometry admits a constant of motion known as the Runge–Lenz vector [10,17], which is constructed out of a second-rank Killing tensor [18]. In the following we show that this constant of motion is closely related to a number of supersymmetries of the spinning Taub–NUT model.

The starting point of our analysis is the observation [12] that the spinning particle action defined by (1) or (5) has a conserved supercharge

$$Q_f = f_{\mu}^{\alpha} \Pi_{\mu} \psi^{\alpha} + \frac{i}{3!} c_{abc} \psi^{a} \psi^{b} \psi^{c} \quad (18)$$

provided the tensors $(f_{\mu}^{\alpha}, c_{abc})$ satisfy the differential constraints

$$D_{\mu} f_{\nu}^{\alpha} + D_{\nu} f_{\mu}^{\alpha} = 0, \quad D_{\mu} c_{abc} + R_{\mu \nu \delta \beta} f_{\nu}^{\delta} c + R_{\mu \nu \beta \delta} f_{\nu}^{\delta} c + R_{\mu \alpha \beta \gamma} f_{\nu}^{\beta} c = 0. \quad (19)$$

The corresponding supersymmetry transformations of the bosonic coordinates are

$$\delta x^{\mu} = -ie f_{\mu}^{\alpha}(x) \psi^{\alpha}. \quad (20)$$

A particularly simple solution is provided by the vierbein field: $f_{\mu}^{\alpha} = e_{\mu}^{\alpha}, c_{abc} = 0$. In Eq. (18) this gives the standard supercharge $Q = \Pi \cdot \psi$, confirming that the theories defined by (1) always possess at least one supersymmetry.

However, depending on the geometry of the manifold, other solutions can exist. In particular, if the metric admits a tensor $f_{\mu \nu} = f_{\mu \nu} e_{\nu}^{\alpha}$ of Killing–Yano type, it implies the presence of a new supersymmetry which anti-commutes with $Q$ [12]. A tensor $f_{\mu \nu}$ is called Killing–Yano if it is anti-symmetric and its field strength satisfies

$$H_{\mu \nu \lambda} \equiv \frac{1}{3} (f_{\mu \nu \lambda} + f_{\lambda \mu \nu} + f_{\nu \lambda \mu}) = f_{\mu \nu \lambda}, \quad (21)$$

which follows in fact from the first eq.(19). Differentiation of $H_{\mu \nu \lambda}$ and use of the Ricci identity then shows, that $-2H_{abc}$ is a solution of the second equation (19) for $c_{abc}$. Hence the existence of a Killing–Yano tensor of the bosonic manifold is equivalent to the existence of a supersymmetry for the spinning particle with supercharge

$$Q_f = f_{\mu}^{\alpha} \Pi_{\mu} \psi^{\alpha} - \frac{1}{3} i H_{abc} \psi^{a} \psi^{b} \psi^{c}, \quad \{Q, Q_f\} = 0. \quad (22)$$

In the Taub–NUT geometry (3) four Killing–Yano tensors are known to exist [17]. Three of these, denoted by $f_i, i = (1, 2, 3)$, are special because they are covariantly constant; therefore they satisfy Eq. (21) trivially by having vanishing field strength. In two-form notation in the coordinates (3) the explicit expressions for the $f_i$ are

$$f_i = 4m \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta} \psi + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \varphi} \right) \wedge dx_i$$

$$- \epsilon_{ijk} (1 + 2m/r) dx_j \wedge dx_k, \quad (23)$$

where the $dx_i$ are standard expressions in terms of the three-dimensional spherical coordinates $(r, \theta, \varphi)$. The corresponding supercharges have the simple form

$$Q_i = f_{i \mu}^{\alpha} \Pi_{\mu} \psi^{\alpha}, \quad (24)$$

and together with $Q_0 = Q$ they realize the $N = 4$ supersymmetry algebra

$$\{Q_A, Q_B\} = -2i \delta_{AB} H, \quad (A, B) = 0, ..., 3. \quad (25)$$

Indeed, the $f_i$ define three anti-commuting complex structures on the Taub–NUT manifold, their components realizing the quaternion algebra

$$f_{ij} f_j + f_j f_i = -2 \delta_{ij}, \quad f_i f_j - f_j f_i = 2 \epsilon_{ijk} f_k. \quad (26)$$

Clearly, the existence of the Killing–Yano tensors is linked to the hyper-Kähler geometry of the manifold,
and our argument shows directly the relation between
this geometry and the \( N = 4 \) supersymmetry of the
theory. We can also give a physical interpretation of
these particular Killing–Yano tensors: they correspond
to components of the spin which are separately con-
served. Namely, three constants of motion are obtained
by rewriting the two-forms (23) in terms of the spin
coordinates:

\[
S_i = \frac{1}{4} f_{iab} \psi^a \psi^b = -\frac{1}{4} f_{iab} S^{ab},
\]

\[
\{S_i, H\} = 0,
\]

which realize an SO(3) Lie-algebra:

\[
\{S_i, S_j\} = \epsilon_{ijk} S_k.
\]

These constant two-forms transform as a vector un-
der rotations generated by the total angular momen-
tum \( J_i \). Obviously, they provide improvement terms
of the type (17) for the components of total angular
momentum. It follows, that we can define an improved
form of the angular momentum

\[
\mathcal{I}_i = J_i - S_i,
\]

with the property that it preserves the algebra:

\[
\{\mathcal{I}_i, \mathcal{I}_j\} = \epsilon_{ijk} \mathcal{I}_k,
\]

and that it commutes with the SO(3) algebra gener-
ated by the spins \( S_i \). Equivalently, we can combine
these two SO(3) algebras to obtain the generators of
a conserved SO(4) symmetry among the constants
of motion, a standard basis for which is spanned by

\[
M^\pm_i = \mathcal{I}_i \pm S_i. \quad \text{Under this SO(4)} \quad \text{the supercharges} \quad Q_A
\]

transform as a four-vector:

\[
\{M^+_i, Q\} = 0, \quad \{M^-_i, Q\} = Q_i,
\]

\[
\{M^+_i, Q_j\} = \epsilon_{ijk} Q_k, \quad \{M^-_i, Q_j\} = -\delta_{ij} Q.
\]

Obviously these SO(4) transformations preserve the
\( N = 4 \) supersymmetry algebra (25). Note also, that
the second equation above implies that the super-
charges \( Q_i \) are \( Q \)-exact and hence trivially superin-
viant. We conclude that the algebra of constants of
motion \( (M^\pm_i, Q_A, H) \) closes. It represents the uni-
versal part of the algebra of any model with \( N = 4 \)
supersymmetry.

However, in the case of the Taub–NUT model, in
addition to the four standard supersymmetries whose
physical and geometrical origin has been clarified, the
metric is known to possess a fourth Killing–Yano ten-
sor, which is not trivial and leads to new constants of
motion. Its components are contained in the two-form

\[
Y = 4m \left( d\psi + \cos \theta \, d\varphi \right) \wedge dr
+ 4r \left( r + m \right) \left( 1 + r/2m \right) \sin \theta \, d\theta \wedge d\varphi.
\]

The field strength has one independent non-vanishing
component, given by

\[
H_{r\theta\varphi} = 2 \left( 1 + r/2m \right) r \sin \theta.
\]

The supercharge \( Q_r \) constructed from \( Y \) is a scalar un-
der rotations, but it is not invariant under the \( SO(3) \)
transformations generated by the \( S_i \). Instead, by com-
puting the brackets we identify another triplet of con-
served supercharges

\[
\Omega_i = \{M^-_i, Q_r\} = -2 \{S_i, Q_r\}.
\]

Although these supercharges provide solutions to eqs.
(18), (19), they are not superinvariant, and therefore
they do not imply the existence of any new Killing–
Yano tensors. Of course, \( Q_r \) itself is superinvariant
by construction; but its brackets with the other super-
charges \( Q_i \) do not vanish: they generate a triplet of
new constants of motion

\[
K_i = \{Q_r, Q_i\}.
\]

The explicit expressions for the components of \( K_i \) are
constructed from (23), (32) and (33) according to
the formulas given in Refs. [12,16]. The results can
be summarized briefly as follows.

(i) The Killing tensors \( K_{iab} \) on the left-hand side
of (34) are those first obtained in Ref. [18] and iden-
tified with a conserved vector of Runge–Lenz type for
the monopole scattering problem in Ref. [17].

(ii) There is a contribution to \( K_i \) linear in the spin
\( S^{ab} \); however, there is no contribution quadratic in the
spin, as observed in Ref. [15]. Comparing with the
general expressions given in Ref. [12] this can be
seen to follow from the fact that the complex struc-
tures \( f_i \) are covariantly constant and the self-duality
of the Taub–NUT geometry, which together imply the
identities

\[
e^{abcd} R_{cd\mu v} f^{\mu}_{i a} f^{\nu}_{j b} = 0, \quad \epsilon_{iabc} = 0.
\]
The Jacobi identities now imply, that the supercharges \( Q_i \) are the lowest (fermionic) components of a super-doublet, the highest (bosonic) components of which form the Runge–Lenz vector, which is generated from them by a supersymmetry transformation:

\[
K_i = -\{Q, \Omega_i\}.
\]  

This vector was used to obtain the spectrum of states of scalar monopole pairs in Ref. [19]. An analogous construction is now obviously possible for the scalar-fermion monopole pairs; this suggests the existence of a dynamical \( SO(4,2) \) symmetry in which the new supercharges may find a natural interpretation.

The remaining brackets between supercharges give constants of motion which are polynomials in the ones already found. In particular, the bracket of \( Q_T \) with itself gives

\[
\{Q_T, Q_T\} = 2iH + i(J^2 - J_0^2),
\]

where \( J \) and \( J_0 \) are the total angular momentum and the relative electric charge of the monopoles (for \( m < 0 \)) introduced before, Eqs. (9) and (11).

Finally we briefly discuss the quantum mechanics of the spinning particle in Taub–NUT space. Using the Lagrangian (1.1) in a path-integral, the symmetries investigated in this paper lead directly to relations between matrix elements in the coordinate representation. We can also investigate these relations at the level of operators by using the correspondence principle

\[
\psi^a \rightarrow i\sqrt{\hbar/2\gamma_5}y^a,
\]

\[
\Pi_\mu \rightarrow -i\hbar D_\mu = -i\hbar (\partial_\mu - \frac{1}{2}\omega_{\mu ab}\sigma^{ab}).
\]

Then the supercharges correspond to generalizations of the Dirac operator:

\[
Q_f \rightarrow i\sqrt{\hbar/2\gamma_5}D_f
\]

\[
\equiv \frac{\hbar^{3/2}}{\sqrt{2}}\gamma'^a\left(f^a_{\mu}D_\mu - \frac{1}{3!}C_{abc}\sigma^{bc}\right),
\]

whilst their anticommutators, representing the operators for \( H \) and \( K_i \), are given by the corresponding Laplacians. The \( N = 4 \) supersymmetry now implies the existence of 4 Dirac operators \( D_A \) with the property

\[
\{D_A, D_B\} = -2\hbar^2\delta_{AB} \Box^{\text{cov}}.
\]

At least two operators from the set \( (D_A, \gamma_5D_A) \) can always be diagonalized simultaneously. However, a zero-mode of any of these Dirac operators is a zero-mode of the covariant Laplacian and vice versa, therefore the kernels of all four operators \( D_A \) coincide.

If a spinor transforms non-trivially under the (global) \( SO(4) \), more possibilities arise. For example, a four-vector of spinors \( \Psi_A \) might satisfy one or both of the \( SO(4) \)-invariant conditions

\[
D \cdot \Psi = 0, \quad D_A\Psi_B - D_B\Psi_A = 0.
\]

If both conditions are satisfied, the \( \Psi_A \) are zero-modes of the Laplacian and hence of all Dirac operators \( D_A \) simultaneously. However, if the \( \Psi_A \) are non-zero modes of the Laplacian (finite mass particles), then they realize a partially broken \( N = 4 \) supersymmetry. Clearly the structure of the space of solutions may become quite intricate.

Finally, the zero-modes of the fifth Dirac-type operator \( D_T \) coincide with those of the other Dirac operators if and only if they are extremal in the sense that the square of their relative charge equals the total angular momentum:

\[
J^2 \Psi = J_0^2 \Psi,
\]

or \( j(j+1) - q^2 = 0 \), where \( j \) is the quantum number of total angular momentum, and \( q \) that of the relative electric charge. If not, the zero-modes of the Laplacian correspond to doublets of the finite eigenvalue equations

\[
D_T\Psi = \lambda\Phi, \quad D_T\Phi = -\lambda\Psi,
\]

for \( j(j+1) - q^2 > 0 \). For \( j(j+1) - q^2 < 0 \) there are no normalizable solutions of eqs.(44) with real \( \lambda \). These bounds correspond to those found to distinguish between monopole bound states and scattering states in Ref. [10].
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