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We associate Sr atom pairs on sites of a Mott insulator optically and coherently into weakly bound ground-state molecules, achieving an efficiency above 80%. This efficiency is 2.5 times higher than in our previous work [S. Stellmer, B. Pasquiou, R. Grimm, and F. Schreck, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 115302 (2012)] and obtained through two improvements. First, the lifetime of the molecules is increased beyond one minute by using an optical lattice wavelength that is far detuned from molecular transitions. Second, we compensate undesired dynamic light shifts that occur during the stimulated Raman adiabatic passage (STIRAP) used for molecule association. We also characterize and model STIRAP, providing insights into its limitations. Our work shows that significant molecule association efficiencies can be achieved even for atomic species or mixtures that lack Feshbach resonances.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Over the last fifteen years considerable experimental effort has been invested into the realization of ultracold molecular samples. Ultracold molecules hold promise for unveiling novel phases of matter near quantum degeneracy, implementing quantum-information protocols, and enabling precision measurements beyond atomic physics [1–3]. Ultracold dimers in their rovibrational ground state can be created in a two-step process from ultracold atoms. In the first step, atom pairs are associated into weakly bound molecules and in the second step, the molecules are transferred from a weakly bound state to the rovibrational ground state [4,5]. So far the first step in these experiments has relied on the existence of magnetically tuneable Feshbach resonances [6,7]. By ramping an external magnetic field adiabatically across such a resonance, a coherent transfer between a pair of free atoms and a molecular bound state can be accomplished. Such magnetoassociation is hard or even impossible for a vast class of atomic systems of interest, for instance combinations of an alkali metal and an alkaline-earth metal or pairs of alkaline-earth metal atoms. The former systems possess only extremely narrow magnetic Feshbach resonances [8,9], the latter none at all.

Production of ultracold weakly bound ground-state Sr$_2$ molecules was achieved in our previous work [10] and in [11], relying respectively on coherent and noncoherent optical transfer schemes, thus overcoming the absence of magnetic Feshbach resonances in the nonmagnetic ground state of these atoms. More recently, two-photon coherent transfer of cold Rb atom pairs into ground-state Rb$_2$ molecules was demonstrated using a frequency-chirped laser pulse [12]. The coherent population transfer of [10] was stimulated Raman adiabatic passage (STIRAP), which evolves a dark state from a pair of atoms into a molecule [13,14]. Unfortunately, because of losses by nonadiabatic coupling and short lifetime of the molecules, the molecule association efficiency was only 30%, far below the efficiency potentially achievable by STIRAP. Moreover, the short lifetime hindered further usage of the molecular sample.
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In this article we show how to overcome these limitations. As in [10] we investigate the production of $^{84}$Sr$_2$ ultracold ground-state molecules by STIRAP starting from a Mott insulator (MI). We increase the lifetime of the molecules to over one minute by using an optical lattice wavelength that, unlike before, is far detuned from any molecular transition. We identify that the resulting STIRAP efficiency of slightly above 50% is limited by the finite lifetime of the dark state arising from unwanted light shifts. We show how to overcome these light shifts with the help of an additional compensation beam [15], leading to a STIRAP efficiency above 80%. Our work validates a general way of producing large samples of weakly bound molecules without relying on Feshbach resonances. This will open the path for new classes of ultracold dimers useful for metrology experiments [16–18], for ultracold chemistry [19,20], and for quantum-simulation experiments relying on a strong permanent electric dipole moment [21–23].

This article is organized as follows. In Sec. II we present an overview of our experimental strategy. In Sec. III we introduce the model used to describe the STIRAP and we discuss the constraints imposed on the relevant experimental parameters when high transfer efficiency is required. In Sec. IV we describe the experimental sequence leading to the initial atomic sample and the optical scheme for the creation of photoassociation (PA) laser light. In Sec. VA, we measure relevant parameters of the system and we show the effect of the lattice on the free-bound Rabi frequency. In Sec. VB we use STIRAP to associate atom pairs into molecules, achieving a transfer efficiency of ~50%, and we show that this process is limited by the finite lifetime of the dark state, arising from unwanted light shifts on the binding energy of the ground-state molecule. This challenge is overcome in Sec. VC by modifying the standard scheme with the addition of a light-shift compensation beam, reaching an efficiency higher than 80%. Finally, in Sec. VD we characterize the effects of the lattice light shifts on STIRAP and we measure the molecule lifetime.

II. EXPERIMENTAL STRATEGY

We will now discuss how one can optically and coherently associate pairs of atoms into ground-state molecules. We will
explain how STIRAP works and can reach near-unit molecule association efficiency. We motivate the use of a MI as initial atomic state and here.

STIRAP overcomes this limitation by minimizing losses from |e⟩ and provides coherent population transfer even when the condition \( \Omega_{FB,BB} \gg \gamma_e \) is not satisfied. To simplify the discussion we first introduce STIRAP without loss from the excited state (\( \gamma_e = 0 \)) and with lasers \( L_{FB} \) and \( L_{BB} \) on resonance with the transitions \(|a⟩ − |e⟩\) and \(|m⟩ − |e⟩\), respectively. In this system a dark state exists, namely an eigenstate orthogonal to |e⟩. If only one of the two lasers (\( L_{FB} \) or \( L_{BB} \)) is on, then the dark state coincides with one of the eigenstates in the absence of light (\(|m⟩\) or \(|a⟩\), respectively). When both lasers are on, the dark state is \(|\text{dark}⟩ = \cos(\theta) \langle a| - \sin(\theta) |m⟩\) with \( \theta = \arctan(\Omega_{FB}/\Omega_{BB}) \). If the tuning knob \( \theta \) is varied in time from 0 to \( \pi/2 \), then the dark state is moved from \(|a⟩\) to \(|m⟩\). Experimentally this is realized by changing the intensities \( I_{FB,BB} \) of \( L_{FB,BB} \), first switching on \( L_{BB} \), then increasing \( I_{FB} \) while ramping off \( I_{BB} \), and finally switching off \( L_{FB} \). Since an energy gap exists between the dark state and the other two instantaneous eigenstates of the system, the adiabatic theorem of quantum mechanics applies [24,25], provided that the change in the Hamiltonian is slow enough compared to the energy gap. This means that the population is kept in the dark state, providing unit transfer efficiency. State |e⟩ is only used to induce couplings but never significantly populated. As a consequence this scheme works even in the presence of dissipation (\( \gamma_e \neq 0 \)) as will be explained in Sec. III.

In order to detect that atoms have been associated to molecules, we exploit the fact that STIRAP is reversible. After the association STIRAP (aSTIRAP) described above, we push remaining free atoms away with a pulse of resonant light. Molecules are barely affected by this light pulse because they do not have any strong optical transition close in frequency to the atomic transition used. To detect the molecules, we dissociate them by a time-mirrored aSTIRAP, which we call dissociation STIRAP (dSTIRAP), and detect the resulting atoms by absorption imaging. The sequence “aSTIRAP–push pulse–dSTIRAP” constitutes a STIRAP cycle and will be used to prepare samples with homogeneous conditions for molecule association and to measure the STIRAP efficiency; see Sec. VB.

We exploit a deep optical lattice to create a MI of doubly occupied sites with high on-site peak density. The reason for this is twofold. First, the optical lattice increases the free-bound Rabi frequency, see Sec. VA 1, leading to an increase in the STIRAP efficiency. Second, the lattice increases the molecule lifetime by suppressing collisional losses, see Sec. V D 2, leading both to an increase in the STIRAP efficiency and to a longer lifetime of the resulting molecular sample. The A scheme used here is the same as the one employed in our previous work [10]. The relevant potential energy curves of \(^{84}\text{Sr}_2\) are shown in Fig. 1. The electronic ground state is a \( X^1\Sigma_g^+ \) \((J = 0)\) state, asymptotically correlating to two ground-state Sr atoms (\(^1S_0\)) and the excited state is a \( 1(0_u^+) \) \((J = 1)\) state, correlating to one Sr atom in the ground state and one in the optically excited state \(^3P\). The initial state \(|a⟩\) consists of two atoms in the lowest vibrational level of an optical lattice well. At short range, their relative-motion wave function is proportional to a scattering state above the dissociation threshold of \( X^1\Sigma_g^+ \) \((J = 0)\). Our target state \(|m⟩\) is the second to last vibrational level \((v = −2)\) supported by this potential, with binding energy \( E_m = h \times 644.7372(2)\) MHz,
where \( h \) is the Planck constant. As intermediate state \(| e \rangle\) we chose the \( v = -3 \) vibrational level of the \( 1(0^+)_1 \) potential, with binding energy \( E_e = h \times 228.38(1) \) MHz. The STIRAP lasers are appropriately detuned from the \( |S_0\rangle \rightarrow |P_1\rangle \) transition of Sr at 689 nm, which has a linewidth of \( \Gamma_p = 2\pi \times 7.4 \) kHz. For the push beam we use the \( |S_0\rangle \rightarrow |P_2\rangle \) transition at 461 nm, which has a linewidth of \( \Gamma_p = 2\pi \times 30.5 \) MHz.

### III. THEORY

In this section we will introduce a model for molecule association via STIRAP. We will discuss the conditions on experimental parameters under which STIRAP is efficient. An important insight will be that finite two-color detuning \( \delta \) can lead to a significant reduction in the dark state lifetime and therefore efficiency. We give an overview of the sources for this detuning in our experiment and ways to overcome it.

#### A. Model

A model for STIRAP starting from two atoms in the ground state of an optical lattice is given by the time-dependent Schrödinger equation applied to the three relevant states, which in the rotating-wave approximation takes the form [26,27]

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ e \\ m \end{pmatrix} = -\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} i\gamma_a & \Omega_{FB} & 0 \\ \Omega_{FB}^* & i\gamma_e - 2\Delta & \Omega_{BB} \\ 0 & \Omega_{BB}^* & i\gamma_m - 2\delta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ e \\ m \end{pmatrix}.
\]

(1)

The amplitudes \( a(t) \), \( e(t) \), and \( m(t) \) correspond to the states \(|a\rangle\), \(|e\rangle\), and \(|m\rangle\), respectively. Losses from these states are described by \( \gamma_a\), \( \gamma_e\), and \( \gamma_m\), which are responsible for the coupling of the three-level system to the environment. When loss rates are set to zero, the normalization condition is \(|a|^2 + |e|^2 + |m|^2 = 1\). Finally, \( \Delta \) and \( \delta \) are the one- and two-color detunings; see Fig. 1. In the remainder of this section we will study the STIRAP efficiency under the following assumptions. STIRAP is executed during the time interval \([0,T]\), outside of which the two Rabi frequencies \( \Omega_{FB, BB} \) are zero. For times \( t \in [0,T] \) the Rabi frequencies are described by cosine pulses of identical amplitude \( \Omega_m \), \( \Omega_{BB} = \Omega_m \cos(\theta) \) and \( \Omega_{FB} = \Omega_m \sin(\theta) \), with \( \theta = \pi t / 2T \). The scattering rate \( \gamma_e \) is the dominant loss term in the system, i.e., \( \gamma_e \gg \gamma_a,\gamma_m \).

The two-color detuning depends on time only through \( \theta \), i.e., \( \delta = \delta(\theta) = \delta_m f(\theta) \), where \( \delta_m \) is the (signed) extremum of \( \delta(\theta) \) with highest amplitude, and \( f(\theta) \) describes the time variation caused by the time-dependent light shifts discussed in Sec. III C. Finally, unless stated otherwise, we will assume \( \Delta = 0 \).

#### B. Parameter constraints

We now analyze the constraints on the (experimentally controllable) detunings and Rabi frequencies under which STIRAP associates molecules with near-unit efficiency. We will first consider the resonant case (zero detunings) and derive the parameter constraints analytically, following Ref. [26]. We will then study the effects of deviations from two-color resonance, where an approximate solution and new parameter constraints will be presented. Finally, the effects of one-color and two-color detunings will be compared.

We analyze the problem in the experimentally relevant case of strong dissipation \( \Omega_m \ll \gamma_e \), in which STIRAP has the potential to outperform two appropriate consecutive \( \pi \) pulses. Here dissipation leads to a strong non-Hermitian contribution in the Hamiltonian [28]. By adiabatically eliminating the variable \( e(t) \) as in Ref. [26], we derive the effective Hamiltonian \( \tilde{H}_{\text{eff}} \) for the subspace \(|a\rangle,|m\rangle\),

\[
\tilde{H}_{\text{eff}} = -i \frac{\tilde{\gamma}}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \sin(\theta)^2 & \sin(\theta) \cos(\theta) \\ \sin(\theta) \cos(\theta) & (\cos(\theta)^2 + 2i AF(\theta)) \end{pmatrix},
\]

(2)

where \( \tilde{\gamma} = \Omega_m^2 / \gamma_e \) and \( A = \delta_m / \tilde{\gamma} \).

We first examine the case \( \delta = \Delta = 0 \) and we will show that adiabatic evolution ensures near-unit transfer efficiency [26]. Despite the strong dissipation, this system supports the same dark state as before, the eigenstate \(|\text{dark}\rangle = \cos(\theta) |a\rangle - \sin(\theta) |m\rangle \). The orthogonal lossy state is the bright state \(|\text{bright}\rangle \). In order to gain some insight, we work in the adiabatic representation, i.e., in the instantaneous eigenbasis \(|\text{dark}\rangle, |\text{bright}\rangle \) of the Hamiltonian (2). A wave vector \(|\Psi,t\rangle\) in the basis \(|a\rangle,|m\rangle\) is written \(|\Psi,t\rangle = \tilde{U}(t) |\Psi\rangle \) in this new basis, with the appropriate unitary transformation \( \tilde{U} \). The time-dependent Schrödinger equation reads \( i \frac{d}{dt} |\Psi\rangle = \tilde{H}_{\text{eff}} |\Psi\rangle \) + \( i \tilde{\gamma}_m \tilde{U}^{-1} |\Psi\rangle = \tilde{H} |\Psi\rangle \), where \( \tilde{U} \tilde{H}_{\text{eff}} \tilde{U}^{-1} \) is diagonal and \( (\tilde{\beta} \tilde{U}) \tilde{U}^{-1} \) gives rise to nonadiabatic couplings. If we take \( s = t/T \in [0,1] \) as our independent variable, the Schrödinger equation becomes \( i \frac{d}{ds} |\Psi\rangle = \tilde{T} |\Psi\rangle \). In the case of zero detunings, \( \tilde{T}_{\text{H}} \) is independent of \( s \) and the equation is exactly solvable. The solution for the dark-state amplitude \( d(s) = \langle d(s) = B_e \cdot e^{-i\lambda_+ s} + B_+ e^{-i\lambda_+ s} \rangle \), where \( \lambda_{\pm} \) are respectively the most and least dissipative eigenvalues of \( \tilde{T}_{\text{H}} \), and \( B_\pm \) are constants. In the adiabatic regime, characterized by \( \alpha = \sqrt{\tilde{\gamma} T} \gg 1 \), we have \( \text{Im}(\lambda_{\pm}) \approx -\alpha^2/2 \) and \( \text{Im}(\lambda_{\pm}) \approx -\pi^2/2\alpha \). The transfer efficiency \( \eta \) is then given by \( \eta = |d(s = 1)|^2 \approx |B_+|^2 \approx e^{-\pi^2/\alpha} \). Thus, STIRAP with near-unit efficiency requires \( \alpha \gg \pi^2 \), which can be interpreted as the adiabaticity condition.

Next we examine the case of nonzero two-color detuning, i.e., \( \delta \neq 0 \), and we show that adiabatic evolution does not guarantee high transfer efficiency. Going from zero to finite \( \delta \), the initially dark state is mixed with \(|e\rangle\), resulting in a finite lifetime. For simplicity we all the same continue to use the label “dark state” for this state and, similarly, keep using “bright state” for the other eigenstate. We apply the same method as used previously, with \( \tilde{U} \) being this time the appropriate nonunitary transformation. The time evolution of the system is determined by \( \tilde{T}_{\text{H}} \) which now depends on \( s \) and the solution is not trivial. However, since we are only interested in small \( \delta \) and the populations at the end of the pulse, we replace \( \tilde{T}_{\text{H}} \) with its time average. The imaginary parts of the most and least dissipative eigenvalues are, to leading order in \( A/(\alpha \epsilon) \), \( \text{Im}(\lambda_{\pm}) \approx -\alpha/2 \) and \( \text{Im}(\lambda_{\pm}) = -1/2(\pi^2/\alpha + C A^2 \alpha) \), where \( C = \frac{\pi}{2} \int_0^{\pi/2} \sin(\theta)^2 \cos(\theta)^2 d\theta \). Thus, the transfer efficiency is approximately given for \( \alpha \gg 1, |A| < 1 \) by

\[
\eta \approx e^{-\pi^2/\alpha + C A^2 \alpha},
\]

(3)

which is only a function of the parameters \( \alpha \) and \( A \). We identify two regimes depending on the value of \( |A| \alpha = \delta_m / T \). For \( |\delta_m| T \ll \pi / \sqrt{C} \), the transfer efficiency is limited by nonadiabatic transitions of the dark state to the bright state. For
|δm|T > π/√C, the main limitation arises from the now finite lifetime of the dark state. For a nonzero detuning δ, the transfer efficiency features a maximum when varying pulse time T, which is determined only by the parameter |A| = |δm|/√C, and the maximum efficiency is approximately η_{max} = e^{-2π/√C|A|}.

We now address the case of nonzero one-color detuning Δ ≠ 0, but zero two-color detuning δ = 0, and we show that, as for δ = Δ = 0, adiabatic evolution ensures near-unit transfer efficiency. In this case, the Hamiltonian (1) supports a dark state, and by following the method used for δ = Δ = 0, it can be shown that \(T \tilde{H}\) is independent of \(S\). The eigenvalues of \(T \tilde{H}\) are, to leading order in \(1/\alpha\), given by \(\text{Im}(\lambda_{\pm}) \sim -\alpha/2[1 + 4(\Delta/\gamma e)^2]\) and \(\text{Im}(\lambda_{\pm}) \sim -\pi^2/2\alpha\). This implies that, even for \(\Delta ≠ 0\), we can have \(|\text{Im}(\lambda_{\pm})| \ll 1\) and thus \(\eta \approx 1\) for large enough values of \(\alpha\). To compare the effects of one-color and two-color detunings, we analyze the conditions to ensure high transfer efficiency at large but fixed \(\alpha\). For a constant one-color detuning we derive \(\Delta \ll \gamma e/2\pi\), while for a constant two-color detuning we have \(|\delta m| \ll \gamma e/\sqrt{2\alpha} \ll \gamma e/\sqrt{2C},\) which is a much stronger constraint. The STIRAP efficiency is therefore much more sensitive to the two-color detuning than to the one-color detuning, which is also expected from energy conservation.

It is noteworthy to point out that population transfer between \(|\alpha\rangle\) and \(|m\rangle\) can be obtained by exploiting the coherent dark state superposition in a diabatic evolution, i.e., projection, as opposed to STIRAP. However the efficiency in this case is bound to be at most \(\eta_{proj} = 25\%\), which is the value for equal free-bound and bound-bound Rabi frequencies, as obtained from \(\eta_{proj} = |\text{dark}|\alpha|^2 |\langle m|\text{dark}\rangle|^2 = [1 - \sin(\theta)^2] \sin(\theta)^2 \leq 1/4\). As a consequence surpassing the maximum possible efficiency of \(\eta_{proj}\) can be regarded as a requirement for STIRAP to be relevant.

C. Improving STIRAP efficiency

Finally, we discuss how we can improve the molecule production efficiency based on this theoretical description. We will focus on the limitations arising from light shifts induced on the states of the \(\Lambda\) scheme that contribute to \(\delta\) and \(\Delta\). We distinguish between static and dynamic light shifts, where static and dynamic refer to their behavior during the STIRAP sequence. The lasers \(L_{FB}\) and \(L_{BB}\), whose intensities vary during STIRAP, induce time- and space-dependent shifts \(\Delta_{FB}\) and \(\Delta_{BB}\) on the free-bound transition. In a similar way, these lasers also induce shifts \(\delta_{FB}\) and \(\delta_{BB}\) on the two-photon transition. These shifts correspond to changes \(-\hbar \delta_{BB,FB}\) of the binding energy of molecules in state \(|m\rangle\), which is the energy difference between \(|\alpha\rangle\) and \(|m\rangle\). The optical lattice, which provides the external confinement, induces only the static, space-dependent light shifts \(\Delta\text{Lattice}\) and \(\delta\text{Lattice}\), respectively, onto the free-bound transition and the two-photon transition. Thus, we decompose \(\Delta\) and \(\delta\) into \(\Delta = \Delta\text{Lattice} + \Delta\text{FB} + \Delta\text{BB} + \Delta_0\) and \(\delta = \delta\text{Lattice} + \delta\text{FB} + \delta\text{BB} + \delta_0\). The offsets \(\Delta_0\) and \(\delta_0\) can be freely adjusted by tuning \(L_{FB,BB}\) and depend neither on space nor on time.

These light shifts can influence the molecule production efficiency \(\eta\). In our system the effect of \(\Delta\) on \(\eta\) is negligible, see Sec. V C 3, justifying the approximation \(\Delta = 0\). Since we also fulfill \(\gamma_{e,m} \ll \gamma e\) (see Secs. V A 2 and V D 2), we can use Eq. (3), which shows that the maximum transfer efficiency for optimal pulse time depends only on \(|A| = |\delta m|/\tilde{\gamma}\). The efficiency is highest for small \(|A|\), which means we have to achieve low \(|\delta m|\). The contribution \(\delta\text{Lattice}\) to \(\delta m\) could be reduced by increasing the lattice beam diameter and adjusting \(\delta_0\) to compensate for the average remaining light shift across the sample. However in this work we reduce the inhomogeneity by simply removing atoms on sites with large light shift using a STIRAP cycle; see Sec. V B and Sec. V C 2. The contribution \(\delta\text{FB}\) is proportional to \(I_{FB} \propto \sin(\theta)^2\). Lowering \(\Delta = \delta m/\tilde{\gamma}\) by lowering \(I_{FB}\) is not possible since also \(\tilde{\gamma} \propto I_{FB}\). A straightforward way to halve the effect of this contribution is to adjust \(\delta_0\) such that \(\delta = \delta m[\sin(\theta)^2 - 1/2]\). To go further and essentially cancel \(\delta\text{FB}\) we demonstrate in Sec. V C the use of an additional laser beam \(L\text{COMP}\) that produces the exact opposite light shift of \(L_{FB}\). The last light shift, \(\delta\text{BB}\), can be neglected in our case because \(L_{BB}\) has low intensity.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP AND CREATION OF THE MOTT INSULATOR

We will now describe the experimental setup and the procedure used to prepare the atomic sample that is the starting point for molecule creation, and describe the laser system that generates the PA light.

The experimental apparatus is our Sr quantum gas machine, which is described in depth in [29]. The experimental sequence leading to a Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC) of \(^{84}\text{Sr}\) starts with a magneto-optical trap operating on the \(^{1}\text{S}_0\text{–}^{1}\text{P}_1\) transition, capturing atoms coming from a Zeeman slower. We further cool the atomic cloud by exploiting the intercombination transition \(^{3}\text{S}_0\text{–}^{3}\text{P}_1\) at 689 nm, reaching a temperature of 1.0 \(\mu\text{K}\). We then load the atoms into a crossed-beam dipole trap (DT), consisting of one horizontal beam with vertical and horizontal waists of 20 \(\mu\text{m}\) and 330 \(\mu\text{m}\), respectively, and one near-vertical beam with a waist of 78 \(\mu\text{m}\). After evaporative cooling we obtain a BEC of about \(3.5 \times 10^6\) atoms, with a peak density of 6.0(5) \(\times 10^{13}\) \(\text{cm}^{-3}\). The trapping frequencies are \(\omega_{x} = 2\pi \times 16 \text{ Hz}, \omega_{y} = 2\pi \times 11 \text{ Hz}, \omega_{z} = 2\pi \times 95 \text{ Hz}\), where \(y\) axes points along the horizontal DT beam and the \(z\) axis is vertical. The Thomas-Fermi radii are \(R_{x} = 23 \mu\text{m}, R_{y} = 35 \mu\text{m}, R_{z} = 4.1 \mu\text{m}\), and the chemical potential is \(\mu = 30(2) \text{nK}\). These parameters are chosen to maximize the number of doubly occupied sites in the optical lattice.

The Mott insulator (MI) is realized by adiabatically loading the BEC into a 3D optical lattice, whose standing-wave interference pattern is obtained from three orthogonal, retro-reflected laser beams, with waists of 78 \(\mu\text{m}, 206 \mu\text{m},\) and 210 \(\mu\text{m},\) derived from a single-mode laser with \(\lambda\text{Lattice} = 1064\text{ nm wavelength (Innolight Mephisto MOPA). Orthogonal polarizations and frequency offsets of about 10 MHz between the three laser beams ensure that the optical potential experienced by the atoms can be well described by the sum of three independent 1D lattices. The lattice depth is calibrated through Kapitza-Dirac diffraction [30].\)}}
150 ms and reaches $20E_r$, the system undergoes the superfluid to MI phase transition. We then switch off the crossed-beam DT. The final ramp increases the trap depth to $200E_r$ in 5 ms in order to reduce the size of the lattice site ground-state wave function, which in turn increases the free-bound Rabi frequency; see Sec. V A 1.

Since only atoms in doubly occupied sites will contribute to molecule formation, we maximize that number by varying initial atom number and DT confinement. To determine the number of doubly occupied sites, we exploit three-body recombination and photoassociation. After loading the lattice, number of doubly occupied sites, we exploit three-body initial atom number and DT confinement. To determine the molecule formation, we maximize that number by varying frequency; see Sec. V A1.

The final ramp increases the trap depth to 200 $E_r$. The final ramp increases the trap depth to 200 $E_r$ to MI phase transition. We then switch off the crossed-beam recombination and photoassociation. After loading the lattice, we will characterize the parameters that can be measured before attempting STIRAP (PA Rabi frequencies, dynamic light shifts from PA light, and static light shifts from the lattice); see Table I. We will then apply STIRAP on our sample and identify the finite lifetime of the dark state arising from the light shift $\delta_{FB}$ as the main limitation to the STIRAP efficiency. We will show how to overcome this limitation by minimizing $\delta_{FB}$ using a compensation beam and we will examine the effects of this compensation scheme on STIRAP. Finally we will characterize the spectral properties of the initial atomic sample and the lifetime of the molecular sample.

![FIG. 2. Optical setup used to produce photoassociation light and to compensate the light shift $\delta_{FB}$ in both space and time.](image)

**TABLE I. Relevant parameters for molecule production.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>Theory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$E_n/h$</td>
<td>MHz</td>
<td>644.7372(2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_c/h$</td>
<td>MHz</td>
<td>228.38(1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Omega_{FB}$</td>
<td>kHz cm$^2$/W</td>
<td>$2\pi \times 6.0(1) \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Omega_{BB}$</td>
<td>kHz</td>
<td>$2\pi \times 234(5)$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Gamma_r$</td>
<td>kHz</td>
<td>$2\pi \times 170(1.5)$</td>
<td>$&gt; 2\pi \times 14.8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Gamma_a/\Gamma_{\gamma_1}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.90(8)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau_m$</td>
<td>ms</td>
<td>$(62(2) \times \text{tunnel})$</td>
<td>$\propto \text{tunnel}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma_{FB}$</td>
<td>Hz/W/cm$^2$</td>
<td>$2\pi \times 11(1)$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma_{COMP}$</td>
<td>Hz/W/cm$^2$</td>
<td>$2\pi \times 60(6)$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_{FB}$</td>
<td>kHz/W/cm$^2$</td>
<td>$+2\pi \times 18.3(6)$</td>
<td>$+2\pi \times 20.0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_{BB}$</td>
<td>kHz/W/cm$^2$</td>
<td>$-2\pi \times 10(10)$</td>
<td>$-2\pi \times 11.0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta_{FB}$</td>
<td>kHz/W/cm$^2$</td>
<td>$+2\pi \times 21(1)$</td>
<td>$+2\pi \times 20.0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_{Lattice}$</td>
<td>kHz/W/cm$^2$</td>
<td>$-1.50(6)$</td>
<td>$-1.5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta_{Lattice}$</td>
<td>kHz/W/cm$^2$</td>
<td>$2\pi \times 2.13(2)$</td>
<td>$2\pi \times 2.98$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau_{DarkState}$</td>
<td>ms</td>
<td>2.1(2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. MOLECULE CREATION

We will now discuss molecule creation via STIRAP. First, we will characterize the parameters that can be measured before attempting STIRAP (PA Rabi frequencies, dynamic light shifts from PA light, and static light shifts from the lattice); see Table I. We will then apply STIRAP on our sample and identify the finite lifetime of the dark state arising from the light shift $\delta_{FB}$ as the main limitation to the STIRAP efficiency. We will show how to overcome this limitation by minimizing $\delta_{FB}$ using a compensation beam and we will examine the effects of this compensation scheme on STIRAP. Finally we will characterize the spectral properties of the initial atomic sample and the lifetime of the molecular sample.
now need to determine how this change affects both the shape and the amplitude of the short-range part of $\psi_a$.

The short-range length scales $l_a, e$ of $\psi_{a,e}$ are set by the location of the wave function node with largest internuclear distance and here $l_a, e < 10$ nm. Since in our case the two atoms described by $\psi_a$ are both in the ground state of a lattice well, the total extent of $\psi_a$ is on the order of the harmonic oscillator size, which here means $R \gtrsim 100$ nm. A modification of the wave function at large distance by a change in the lattice confinement can affect the shape of the short-range wave function through a phase shift of order $\delta_\phi \approx (l_a - a_{a,k})$, where $a_{a,k} = 6.6$ nm is the s-wave scattering length and $k \sim 1/R$ is the relative wave vector at long distance. Since $R \gg l_a$ and $R \gg a_{a}$, the phase shift $\delta_\phi$ is negligible and does not affect the value of the integral FCF$_{BB}$. The relative wave function of two noninteracting particles in the external potential, $\phi_0(r)$, is a good approximation of $\psi_a(r)$ for $r \gg l_a$. Since $l_a \ll R$ we can choose $r$ such that $\psi_a(r) \approx \phi_0(r) \approx 0(0)$. As the external trap is changed, the amplitude at short distance is then simply scaled by a factor $\phi_0(0) \propto \sqrt{\langle n \rangle}$, thus leading to $\Omega_{FB} \propto \text{FCF}_{FB} \propto \sqrt{\langle n \rangle}$.

2. Light shifts and loss by $L_{FB, BB}$ light

We now characterize the parameters $\delta_{FB}$ and $\delta_{BB}$, which are the time- and space-dependent light shifts contributing to the two-color detuning $\delta$ that are induced by the free-bound laser $L_{FB}$ and the bound-bound laser $L_{BB}$, respectively. These detunings correspond to binding energy changes $-\hbar \delta_{FB, BB}$ of molecules in state $|m\rangle$. We measure $\delta_{FB}$ ($\delta_{BB}$) by two-color dark-state spectroscopy for different intensities of $L_{FB}$ ($L_{BB}$) at fixed intensity of $L_{BB}$ ($L_{FB}$). We obtain $\delta_{FB} = 2\pi \times 18.3(5)$ kHz$/\sqrt{W/cm^2}$ and $\delta_{BB} = -2\pi \times 10(10)$ kHz$/\sqrt{W/cm^2}$. These values agree with a simple theoretical estimation, which takes into account only transitions toward the optically excited atomic state $|a^*\rangle = |P_1(m = 0)\rangle$. Indeed the light shift induced by $L_{FB, BB}$ on $|m\rangle$ is weak because $L_{FB, BB}$ do not couple this state to any other bound state out of the $\Lambda$ scheme and because the coupling of $|m\rangle$ to $|a^*\rangle$ has to be scaled by a free-bound FCF. By contrast the light shift on $|a\rangle$ is substantial because of the atomic transition $|a^*\rangle = |P_1(m = 0)\rangle$. Therefore the light shift of $\delta$ to $|a\rangle$ has to be calculated. To calculate the light shifts, we note that for our parameters the Rabi frequencies $\Omega_{FB, BB}^\text{FF}$ induced on the atomic transition by $L_{FB, BB}$ are much smaller than the detunings of the lasers from the atomic transition. Taking also into account that two atoms contribute, the light shift of the binding energy can be approximated by $\delta_{FB} \approx -\hbar \Omega_{FB}^\text{FF} / 2E_\gamma = -2\pi \times 20.0$ kHz$/\sqrt{W/cm^2}$ and $\delta_{BB} \approx -\hbar \Omega_{BB}^\text{FF} / 2(E_\gamma - E_\alpha) = -2\pi \times 11.0$ kHz$/\sqrt{W/cm^2}$, which is close to the measured values.

The coupling lasers $L_{FB}$ and $L_{BB}$ also induce time- and space-dependent light shifts $\Delta_{FB}$ and $\Delta_{BB}$ on the free-bound transition. We neglect $\Delta_{BB}$ because $L_{BB}$ has low intensity. To determine $\Delta_{FB}$, we perform one-color spectroscopy at several intensities of $L_{FB}$. We derive $\Delta_{FB} = 2\pi \times 21(1)$ kHz$/\sqrt{W/cm^2}$. The same reasoning as above shows that the one-color shift is also dominated by the light shift of $|a\rangle$ and that $\Delta_{FB} \approx \delta_{FB}$, consistent with the measurement.

Significant atom loss is caused by $L_{FB}$ through off-resonant scattering of photons on the $1S_0-3P_1$ transition, which is the
main contribution to $\gamma_2$. We measure this scattering rate by illuminating an atomic sample in a Mott insulator with $L_{FB}$ detuned from the free-bound resonance by a few MHz. Since the system is in the Lamb-Dicke regime, losses occur only through light-assisted inelastic collisions in sites with at least two atoms. We derive an effective natural linewidth for the free-atom transition of $\Gamma_\text{L} = 1.90(8)\Gamma_\text{fr}$, where $\Gamma_\text{fr}$ is the natural linewidth of the intercombination line. This is consistent with superradiant scattering, which is expected since the harmonic oscillator length is much smaller than the wavelength of $L_{FB}$.

3. Light shifts from lattice light

The lattice light induces static, space-dependent shifts of the two-color detuning ($\delta_{\text{Lattice}}$) and of the free-bound transition ($\Delta_{\text{Lattice}}$), which we now analyze experimentally and theoretically. We measure shifts $\delta_{\text{Lattice}}$ up to a common offset through dark-state spectroscopy for several lattice depths, keeping the lattice well isotropic with $\omega_{x,y,z}$ within 10% of each other. Similarly we measure shifts $\Delta_{\text{Lattice}}$ through one-color spectroscopy up to a common offset. Both shifts are shown in Fig. 4 as a function of the average trap frequency of the central well. As before, the detuning $\delta_{\text{Lattice}}$ corresponds to a change of the molecular binding energy of $-\hbar \delta_{\text{Lattice}}$.

In the following we identify two independent components of the shifts by separating the two-body problem into its center-of-mass (CM) motion and its relative motion (rel), neglecting mixing terms if present. The first component is induced on the CM by the difference in polarizability of atom pairs and molecules. The second component is induced on the eigenenergies of the relative motion Hamiltonian by the external confinement and enables us to measure the zero-point energy of the lattice wells.

We start by deriving the two components of $\delta_{\text{Lattice}}$. First, the difference in polarizability of state $|a\rangle$ and $|m\rangle$ leads to a differential shift $\delta_{\text{CM}} \propto (2\alpha_{(3)} - \alpha_m) I_{\text{Lattice}}$ as the external potentials experienced by the CM differ, where $\alpha_{(3)}$ is the polarizability of a ground-state atom and $\alpha_m$ the polarizability of a molecule in state $|m\rangle$. Since the polarizability of weakly bound molecules for far detuned light is close to the sum of the atomic polarizabilities of the constituent atoms we expect this shift to be small [35, 36]. Second, the external confinement induces a differential shift on the eigenenergies of the relative motion Hamiltonian, leading to $\delta_{\text{rel}}^{\text{Lattice}}$. The relative motion component of state $|a\rangle$ occupies the ground state of the lattice well potential with energy $E = 3\hbar \delta_{\text{Lattice}}/2 \propto I_{\text{Lattice}}^1$, where $\delta_{\text{Lattice}}/2\pi = (\omega_x + \omega_y + \omega_z)/6\pi$ is the average trap frequency. By contrast, the energy of the relative motion component of state $|m\rangle$ is almost insensitive to the external confinement because the corresponding Condon point sets a volume scale which is less than 0.1% of the ground-state oscillator volume. The light shift $\delta_{\text{Lattice}}^{\text{rel}}$ is therefore dominated by the behavior of $|a\rangle$, hence $\delta_{\text{rel}}^{\text{Lattice}} \propto I_{\text{Lattice}}^{1/2}$. In contrast to $\delta_{\text{CM}}$ this shift is even present for $\alpha_m = 2\alpha_{(3)}$. We neglect the density dependent interaction shift $\delta_{\text{Cell}}^{\text{Lattice}} \propto n \propto I_{\text{Lattice}}^{1/4}$, because in our case it is small compared to $\delta_{\text{rel}}^{\text{Lattice}}$.

The total light shift is then given by $\delta_{\text{Lattice}} = \delta_{\text{rel}}^{\text{Lattice}} + \delta_{\text{CM}} = a_1 I_{\text{Lattice}}^{1/2} + b_1 I_{\text{Lattice}}$, with $a_1, b_1$ being constants. We can thus distinguish the two contributions because of their different scaling with intensity.

The magnitude of the two components of $\delta_{\text{Lattice}}$ can be determined from our experimental data. We fit the data as a function of $\delta_{\text{Lattice}} \propto I_{\text{Lattice}}^{1/2}$, i.e., $\delta_{\text{Lattice}} = a_2 \overline{\delta_{\text{Lattice}}} + b_2 \overline{\delta_{\text{Lattice}}}$, using also a common offset to all $\delta_{\text{Lattice}}$ data points as a fit parameter. The fit gives $a_2 = 1.5(3)$, which is consistent with the expected zero-point energy shift $3\hbar \overline{\delta_{\text{Lattice}}}/2$. The fit result for $b_2$ yields an upper bound for the relative polarizability variation between atom pair and molecule of $(2\alpha_{(3)} - \alpha_m)/2\alpha_{(3)} < 1\%$. By assuming $\overline{\delta_{\text{rel}}^{\text{Lattice}}}$ to dominate the shift and refitting while keeping $b_2 = 0$, we obtain $a_2 \approx 1.50(6)$. This measurement directly determines the variation of the harmonic-oscillator zero-point energy with trap frequency and was possible for two reasons: first, the negligible difference in polarizability between atom pairs and molecules, and second, the high precision achievable with dark-state spectroscopy.

We finally analyze the shift $\Delta_{\text{Lattice}}$ of the free-bound transition. Analogously to $\delta_{\text{CM}}^{\text{Lattice}}$, the center-of-mass component of the shift is given by $\Delta_{\text{CM}}^{\text{Lattice}} \propto (2\alpha_{(3)} - \alpha_m) I_{\text{Lattice}}$, where now the molecular state is $|e\rangle$ with polarizability $\alpha_e$. Again assuming that the molecular polarizability is the sum of atomic polarizabilities, we have $\Delta_{\text{CM}}^{\text{Lattice}} \propto (2\alpha_{(3)} - (\alpha_{(1)} + \alpha_{(2)}) I_{\text{Lattice}} = (\alpha_{(1)} - \alpha_{(2)}) I_{\text{Lattice}}$. In contrast to before this shift is not small since the difference in polarizability of $S_0$ and $P_j (m_j = 0)$ states at the lattice wavelength is significant. A smaller shift is induced by changing lattice potentials on the CM zero-point energy difference between $|a\rangle$ and $|e\rangle$, which we neglect. The relative motion component of the shift $\Delta_{\text{rel}}^{\text{Lattice}}$ is given by $\sim -3\overline{\delta_{\text{Lattice}}}/2$, since also here the relative motion energy of molecular state $|e\rangle$ is barely
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influenced by the external potential. The two components add to the one-color detuning shift $\Delta_{\text{Lattice}} = \Delta_{\text{Lattice}}^{\text{rel}} + \Delta_{\text{Lattice}}^{\text{CM}} = a_3 \Delta_{\text{Lattice}}^{\text{rel}} + K (\alpha(\delta_0) - \alpha(\delta_1)) \Delta_{\text{Lattice}}^{\text{CM}}$, where $a_3$ is a free parameter, $K = 3m\kappa_2^2/(4\pi\hbar\alpha(\delta_0))$, $m$ the mass of $^{84}$Sr, and $\alpha(\delta_0) = -234 \text{ a.u.}$ (atomic units, here 1 a.u. = $4\pi\epsilon_0\hbar^2$) calculated for the three lattice beams together, we expect to obtain the mean value of the polarizability for the state $^3P_1 (m_f = 0)$, and $a_3 = -1.4(5)$. Since we experimentally vary the intensity for the three lattice beams together, we expect to obtain the mean value of the polarizability for the state $^3P_1 (m_f = 0)$, which is $-170.4 \text{ a.u.}$ [37], roughly 10% different from the experimental value. This deviation might be explained by our model neglecting the anisotropy in the trap frequencies.

B. STIRAP

We now apply STIRAP to our Mott insulator sample. We prove the association of molecules and measure the molecule association efficiency after optimization of the relevant parameters. We compare this efficiency with our theoretical model and find that dynamic light shifts of the binding energy limit the current scheme. This limit will be overcome in Sec. V C.

In order to demonstrate the production of molecules by STIRAP and to obtain a quantitative measurement of the single-path STIRAP efficiency, we apply a STIRAP cycle; see Sec. II. Between aSTIRAP and dSTIRAP, we selectively remove all remaining atoms with a pulse of light resonant with the atomic $^3S_0 - ^3P_1$ transition. Figure 8(c) shows the intensity profile used for the STIRAP lasers $L_{\text{FB},\text{BB}}$ and the push pulse. The push pulse does not affect molecules because their binding energy is much bigger than the linewidth of the transition $\Gamma_{\text{FB}} \simeq 2\pi \times 30 \text{ MHz}$. Similarly, since absorption imaging is also performed using this transition, only atoms are imaged. Reappearance of atoms on images taken after the full STIRAP cycle is the experimental signature for the presence of molecules after the aSTIRAP. Assuming an equal efficiency for aSTIRAP and dSTIRAP, the single-path STIRAP efficiency is $\eta = \sqrt{N_f/N_i}$, where $N_i$ and $N_f$ are the atom numbers in doubly occupied sites before and after the STIRAP cycle, respectively.

We optimize the STIRAP sequence by maximizing the number of Sr atoms retrieved after two STIRAP cycles, by varying independently the relevant parameters ($\Omega_{\text{FB}}, \Omega_{\text{BB}}, T$, and $\langle n \rangle$). We choose to optimize the atom number after two cycles in order to reduce the influence of the inhomogeneous lattice light shift $\Delta_{\text{Lattice}}$ on the optimization result. During the first aSTIRAP only atoms on a subset of sites with similar light shift are successfully associated. The push pulse removes all remaining atoms, such that after dSTIRAP we are left with a sample of atom pairs on sites with similar light shift. This purification of the sample is also evident when comparing the width of one-color PA spectra taken before and after the first STIRAP cycle; see Sec. V D 1. The second STIRAP cycle is used to measure the efficiency of STIRAP on this more homogeneous sample. The optimized parameters are reported in Table II in the column labeled NO-COMP.

The best single-path STIRAP efficiency is $\eta_{\text{exp}} = 53.0(3.5)%$, which represents a considerable improvement compared to our previous work [10]. This improvement is made possible by a longer molecule lifetime; see Sec. V D 2.

To compare the performance of the experiment with the theoretical expectation, we model the aSTIRAP using Eq. (1). This model requires two not yet determined parameters, $\gamma_e$ and $\gamma_m$, which can only be characterized employing STIRAP. Their measurement will be discussed in Secs. V C 3 and V D 2, respectively. Taking these and all previously determined parameters together with the pulse shape as input for the model, we predict that aSTIRAP has an efficiency of $\eta_{\text{theory}} = 55(5)%$, which is consistent with our measurements.

In order to discriminate whether the main limitation to the STIRAP efficiency is the adiabaticity of the sequence or the lifetime of the dark state we examine the criterion $|A|\alpha = |\delta_m|T / \pi \sqrt{C}$ derived from Eq. (3) for $\delta \approx \delta_{\text{FB}} [\sin(\theta)^2 - 1/2]$ and $\Omega_{\text{FB}} \approx \Omega_{\text{BB}} \approx \Omega_{\text{FB}}$. The latter condition is not fulfilled in the experiment. However, the population transfer happens mainly in the time interval during which $\Omega_{\text{FB}}$ and $\Omega_{\text{BB}}$ are of the same order of magnitude, which makes the criterion approximately valid. We obtain $T = 400 \mu s \gg \pi / (\sqrt{C} \delta_{\text{FB}}) = 88 \mu s$, suggesting that we are in the regime where the main loss mechanism is the dissipation from the finite lifetime of the dark state due to $\delta_{\text{FB}} \neq 0$.

C. STIRAP with light-shift compensation

In order to improve the molecule creation efficiency we need to increase the dark-state lifetime. This lifetime is proportional to $A^{-2}$, where $A = \delta_m/\gamma_e \approx \gamma_m \delta_{\text{FB}} / \Omega_{\text{FB}}^2$, and efficient operation is ensured in the adiabatic regime only if $|A| \ll 1$. We cannot decrease $|A|$ by increasing the intensity of $L_{\text{FB}}$, because $\delta_{\text{FB}} \propto \Omega_{\text{FB}}^2$. In the following, we show how to compensate the shift $\delta_{\text{FB}}$ both in time and space by using an additional “compensation” laser beam. After optimization, the compensation scheme allows us to reach an efficiency of $\eta_{\text{exp}} = 81(2)\%$.

1. Compensation beam

As explained in Sec. V A 2, the two-color detuning shift $\delta_{\text{FB}}$ is dominated by the light shift of $|a|$ in the presence of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>NO-COMP</th>
<th>COMP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\Omega_{\text{FB}}$</td>
<td>kHz</td>
<td>$2\pi \times 32(2)$</td>
<td>$2\pi \times 38(2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Omega_{\text{BB}}$</td>
<td>kHz</td>
<td>$2\pi \times 300(10)$</td>
<td>$2\pi \times 107(3)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A = \gamma_e \delta_{\text{FB}} / \Omega_{\text{FB}}^2$</td>
<td>MHz</td>
<td>$-1.4(3)$</td>
<td>$0.0(1)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta\omega_{\text{COMP}}$</td>
<td>MHz</td>
<td>$2\pi \times 197$</td>
<td>$2\pi \times 197$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{\text{pulse}}$</td>
<td>$\mu s$</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n_{\text{peak}}$</td>
<td>$\text{cm}^{-3}$</td>
<td>$4.6 \times 10^{15}$</td>
<td>$4.6 \times 10^{15}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau_m$</td>
<td>ms</td>
<td>$&gt; 10^5$</td>
<td>$&gt; 10^5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma_e$</td>
<td>kHz</td>
<td>$2\pi \times 44(13)$</td>
<td>$2\pi \times 44(13)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau_{\text{DarkState}}$</td>
<td>ms</td>
<td>2.1(2)</td>
<td>2.1(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\eta$</td>
<td></td>
<td>53.0(3.5)%</td>
<td>81.2(1)%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
because of the atomic $^1S_0-^3P_1$ transition, from which $L_{FB}$ is red detuned by only $|\Delta \omega_r| = (E_r + \Delta)/\hbar \approx E_r/\hbar = 2\pi \times 228$ MHz. The resulting shift $\delta_{FB} \approx h \Omega_{FB}^2/2E_r \propto I_{FB}/\Delta \omega_r$ can be exactly canceled by superimposing an additional compensation laser field $L_{COMP}$ with $L_{FB}$, creating the shift $\delta_{COMP} = -\delta_{FB}$ [15]. To cancel the shift, $L_{COMP}$ has to be detuned by $\Delta \omega_{COMP}$ to the blue of the atomic transition [see Fig. 1(a)] and must have an intensity $I_{COMP} = |\Delta \omega_{COMP}|$. This light-shift cancellation technique is similar to the SCRAP method [38], as it relies on tailoring the light shifts with an off-resonant beam.

Since $I_{FB}$ varies in time during STIRAP the light-shift compensation needs to be dynamic as well [39]. Since we want to keep $\Delta \omega_{COMP}$ and $\Delta \omega_r$ constant for convenience, $I_{COMP}$ has to be varied proportionally to $I_{FB}$ to always keep the light shift canceled. A simple technical solution to obtain such a coordinated change in intensity is to split the free-bound laser beam into two beams, impose on one of the beams a frequency offset $|\Delta \omega_r| + |\Delta \omega_{COMP}|$, and recombine the beams with exactly the same polarization and spatial mode, before passing through an AOM to control the intensity of both frequency components in common. This composite beam is finally recombined with the bound-bound beam into one single mode fiber, from which PA light is shone on the atoms (see Fig. 2). This setup allows us to compensate the light shift $\delta_{FB}$ both in time and space.

To validate our technique we measure the light shift $\delta_{FB}$ induced by $L_{FB}$ (referenced to the nonshifted extrapolated value for $I_{FB} = 0$ W/cm$^2$) as a function of the compensation level defined as $\xi = \frac{I_{COMP}}{I_{FB}} \frac{\Delta \omega_r}{\Delta \omega_{COMP}}$, where we expect perfect compensation for $\xi = 1$. The compensation level is varied by changing the intensity of the compensation beam before AOM 1 while keeping all other parameters fixed. For this measurement we use $\Delta \omega_{COMP} = 2\pi \times 66$ MHz and an intensity of $I_{FB} = 5$ W/cm$^2$, which is of the order of the optimum intensity of $L_{FB}$ for STIRAP. The measured $\delta_{FB}$ is plotted as a function of $\xi$ in Fig. 5 together with a linear fit from which we obtain $\delta_{FB}(\xi = 0) = 1.1(1)$, which is consistent with our assumption of the light shift being dominated by the atomic $^1S_0-^3P_1$ transition. To reduce the off-resonant scattering rate of photons on the atomic transition, we increase $\Delta \omega_{COMP}$ to $2\pi \times 197$ MHz in all further usages of the compensation beam.

2. STIRAP optimization and characterization

We characterize the effect of our dynamic optical compensation scheme on the STIRAP efficiency. For several compensation levels $\xi$ we optimize our STIRAP and show the efficiency achieved during the second STIRAP cycle in Fig. 6. The maximum efficiency rises from 53.0(3.5)% without compensation to 81(2)% and is obtained for $\xi = 1$, corresponding to $A = 0.0(1)$. This proves that a strong limitation to the STIRAP efficiency without compensation indeed originates from the space- and time-dependent light shift imposed on the binding energy by $L_{FB}$ and that our scheme is able to compensate this undesired shift. The optimized parameters, shown in column “COMP” of Table II, lead our theoretical model to describe the experimental data well. The model indicates that now, for $|A| \ll 1$, the limitations to the transfer efficiency are to similar amounts off-resonant scattering of photons from $|a\rangle$ and $|m\rangle$, and the finite dark-state lifetime resulting, e.g., from residual $\delta_{FB}$ and $\delta_{BB}$. All STIRAPs discussed in the remainder of this article use the compensation beam with $\xi = 1$.

We next characterize the evolution of the atom number over several STIRAP cycles, showing the first cycle in Fig. 7 and
FIG. 7. Time evolution of Sr atom number during the first STIRAP cycle, using light-shift compensation.

The lower fraction of returning atoms of the first cycle can be explained by two effects, the existence of singly occupied lattice sites and the lattice-induced inhomogeneity of the molecular binding energy, which leads to a finite dark-state lifetime and therefore loss. An independent one-color spectroscopy measurement shows that our lattice contains $1.1 \times 10^5$ atoms on singly occupied sites, which matches the number of atoms remaining after the first aSTIRAP, letting us conclude that these atoms simply did not have partners to form molecules with. Following the first aSTIRAP these atoms are removed by the push beam pulse. The atom number reduction during the first aSTIRAP of $1.4 \times 10^5$ matches the number of atoms on doubly occupied sites. Assuming an 80% single-path dSTIRAP efficiency as observed in STIRAP cycles beyond the first, the $3.5 \times 10^4$ atoms reappearing after dSTIRAP correspond to $4.4 \times 10^4$ atoms associated into molecules, which is only 30% of the initial atom number on doubly occupied sites, not 80% as during later STIRAP cycles. This difference can be explained by the decrease of STIRAP efficiency $\eta$ with increasing two-color detuning $\delta$, characterized in Sec. V C 3 , in combination with the inhomogeneous spread of $\delta$ across the sample originating from the lattice light shift, estimated in Sec. V D 1 . Both, $\eta(\delta)$ and the fraction of population on sites with detuning $\delta$, $p(\delta)$, show peaks of similar width. The average STIRAP efficiency can be estimated by averaging $\eta(\delta)$ with weight $p(\delta)$ and is about half of the maximum efficiency, consistent with our observation. This indicates that the inhomogeneous shift of the binding energy by the lattice light shift is the main limitation to the fraction of lattice sites usable for molecule association. In future work this limit could be overcome by increasing the width of the lattice beams, while keeping the lattice depth constant. Here we simply use the first STIRAP cycle to remove atoms on sites with large two-color detuning $\delta$ and atoms on singly occupied sites, providing us with an ideal sample to study STIRAP.

As first such study we trace the atom number during five consecutive STIRAP cycles in Fig. 8(a), each identical to the first. The single-path STIRAP efficiency of the second cycle
is 81(2)%. All cycles have efficiencies around 80%, from which we can take two conclusions. First, the cleaning of the sample realized by the first STIRAP cycle is enough to decrease the binding-energy inhomogeneity to a level that is negligible for the STIRAP efficiency. Second, it proves that our MI sample is not heated significantly, which would have decreased the STIRAP efficiency with each cycle.

Next we study the dependence of STIRAP efficiency on pulse time, by recording the atom number after the second STIRAP cycle; see Fig. 9. Thanks to the light-shift on pulse time, by recording the atom number after the reduced STIRAP efficiency with each cycle.

FIG. 9. Number of atoms retrieved after two STIRAP cycles as a function of the pulse time $T$ used for the second STIRAP. The line is a fit using our model, from which we obtain the parameter $\langle \delta \rangle$.

is comparable to the spread in $\delta$, leading to a lower fraction of atoms in doubly occupied sites being associated during the first sSTIRAP compared to consecutive aSTIRAPs.

From our measurement of $N_2(\delta)$ in Fig. 11, we are able to derive the loss term $\gamma_e$ of Eq. (1), which describes the rate at which population is lost from state $|e\rangle$ because of coupling to states outside the subspace corresponding to our $\Lambda$ scheme. It can be viewed as an indicator of how open our quantum system is. The value of $\gamma_e$ relevant for STIRAP can be higher than the natural linewidth of the free-bound transition, i.e., $\gamma_e \gtrsim \Gamma_e$, because of one- or two-photon processes induced by $L_{FB}$, $L_{BB}$, and $L_{COMP}$ that introduce dissipation. To measure

FIG. 10. STIRAP efficiency in dependence of the one-color detuning $\Delta$. The data points show the normalized number of atoms retrieved after two STIRAP cycles, depending on the value $\Delta$ used for the second STIRAP. The solid line is derived from Eq. (1) using no fit parameters.

3. Effect of $\Delta$, $\delta$ on efficiency and determination of $\gamma_e$

We now analyze the efficiency of STIRAP in dependence on the one-color detuning $\Delta$ and the two-color detuning $\delta$; see Figs. 10 and 11 respectively. To this end, we keep the parameters of the first, purification STIRAP constant, and record the atom number $N_2$ after the second STIRAP for varying $\delta$ while keeping $\Delta = 0$, or vice versa. The STIRAP efficiency $\eta \propto \sqrt{N_2}$ exhibits peaks around zero detuning, which have a FWHM of $2\pi \times 0.5$ MHz for $\eta(\Delta)$ and $2\pi \times 20$ kHz for $\eta(\delta)$. The width of $\eta(\Delta)$ is much bigger than the broadened free-bound linewidth, see Sec. V D 1, so that the shift due to the inhomogeneous broadening induced by the lattice light on the free-bound transition can be neglected. However, as explained in Sec. V C 2, the width of $\eta(\delta)$ is

FIG. 11. Dependence of STIRAP efficiency on the two-color detuning $\delta$. The data points show the normalized number of atoms retrieved after two STIRAP cycles. The red dashed line is the shape determined by our model for $\gamma_e = \Gamma_e = 2\pi \times 17.0(1.5)$ kHz, while the gray area spans the widths between $2\pi \times 30$ kHz and $2\pi \times 57$ kHz, which are the fitted values for the positive-detuning and the negative-detuning side, respectively.
\( \gamma_e \), we make use of the fact that the STIRAP efficiency is only high for small \( A \approx \delta \gamma_e / \omega_{\text{res}} \), see Sec. III B, which leads to a \( \gamma_e \) dependence of the \( N_0(\delta) \) peak width. If we assume \( \gamma_e = \Gamma_e \), where \( \Gamma_e \) is determined in Sec. V D 1, our model gives the dashed line shown in the figure, which is broader than the observed peak. Moreover, we observe a slight asymmetry in the data that is not reproduced by the model. We therefore fit the model independently to the data corresponding to positive and negative detunings using \( \gamma_e \) as the only fit parameter and derive \( \gamma_e^+ = 2\pi \times 30(5) \text{kHz} \) and \( \gamma_e^- = 2\pi \times 57(8) \text{kHz} \), respectively. In further uses of our model we fix \( \gamma_e \) to the average value \( 2\pi \times 44(13) \text{kHz} \).

**D. Sample characterization**

1. Inhomogeneous light shifts by lattice light and dark state lifetime

In order to help understand the origin of the difference in STIRAP efficiencies between the first STIRAP cycle and the following cycles, we measure the lattice light shifts on the free-bound transition and on the binding energy of \( |m\rangle \) for both initial and purified samples. We use PA spectroscopy for all measurements, but for the determination of the binding energy spread of the purified sample. Since this spread is below the resolution of our two-color PA spectroscopy, we in this case measure the dark-state lifetime and extract an upper bound of the binding energy spread from that.

In order to measure the inhomogeneous broadening induced by the lattice on the free-bound transition, we take one-color PA spectra using a MI sample, either directly after lattice loading or after one STIRAP cycle; see Fig. 12. These data sets are modeled by Eqs. (1), where \( \gamma_e \) is the fit parameter and all other quantities in the Hamiltonian, except for \( \omega_{\text{res}} \), are set to zero. We retrieve \( \gamma_e = 63(8) \text{kHz} \) and \( 17.0(1.5) \text{kHz} \) for the free-bound transition linewidth before and after STIRAP, respectively. The latter value is consistent with our measurement of the natural linewidth of the molecular transition in a BEC [40], \( \Gamma_e = 2\pi \times 19.2(2.4) \text{kHz} \), while the former is roughly a factor of 4 wider. The reduction of the apparent linewidth after one STIRAP cycle is a result of the sample purification by the STIRAP cycle. Since both the free-bound transition shift and the binding energy shift are strongly dependent on the shift of state \( |a\rangle \) by the lattice light, the STIRAP cycle not only reduces the spread in \( \delta \), but also the inhomogeneous broadening of the one-color PA line. Deconvolving the measured linewidths with twice the atomic \( |S_0\rangle \rightarrow |P_1\rangle \) transition \( 2\pi \times 14.8 \text{kHz} \) [11,41], we derive that the inhomogeneous differential light shifts on the free-bound transition of the initial and the final sample are \( 2\pi \times 61(10) \text{kHz} \) and \( 2\pi \times 8(3) \text{kHz} \), respectively.

In order to measure the inhomogeneous broadening induced by the lattice on the binding energy of \( |m\rangle \), we perform two distinct measurements depending on the sample. We perform two-color spectroscopy on the initial sample using low intensity of \( L_{FB, BB} \), which ensures negligible light shifts from the PA light. The width of the dark resonance is then \( \Delta \omega_{\text{DarkState}} = 2\pi \times 18(4) \text{kHz} \). To deduce an upper bound on the binding energy spread of the purified sample, we measure the dark-state lifetime. We prepare a roughly equal superposition of atomic and molecular state by applying only half of the second aSTIRAP pulse. We wait for a variable time while holding \( L_{FB, BB} \) at constant intensity, and finally apply the second half of the dSTIRAP. The measured lifetime is \( \tau_{\text{DarkState}} = 2.1(2) \text{ms} \), and the experimental data agree with our model when adding a static two-color detuning of \( \delta = 2\pi \times 2.2(2) \text{kHz} \). This value is an upper bound of the binding energy spread induced by the lattice on the purified sample.

The ratio of the free-bound transition linewidth and the two-color detuning spread is consistent with the light-shift calibration, both before and after STIRAP (see Table I). Using this calibration, we conclude that the initial sample was populating lattice sites located off axis by up to \( \approx 30\% \) of the lattice beam waist.

2. Molecule lifetime

The molecules produced in our experiment have a finite lifetime as a result of two loss mechanisms, inelastic collisions with other molecules in the lattice and dissipation caused by optical coupling of state \( |m\rangle \) to states lying outside our \( \Lambda \) scheme. Thus, the lifetime is given by \( \tau_m = 1/\gamma_m = 1/(\gamma_{\text{coll}}^m + \gamma_{\text{opt}}^m) \), where \( \gamma_{\text{coll}}^m \) is the decay rate for collisional losses and \( \gamma_{\text{opt}}^m \) is the scattering rate for optically induced losses.

We measure the molecule lifetime \( \tau_{\text{coll}}^m = 1/\gamma_{\text{coll}}^m \) that results from inelastic collisions by varying the hold time between the push pulse and the dSTIRAP at several lattice depths. During these measurements the lattice well potential is kept isotropic with \( \omega_{x,y,z} \) within 10% from each other. The molecule lifetime depends strongly on the lattice depth; see Fig. 13. The observed change of four orders of magnitude over the lattice depth is consistent with loss of molecules by tunneling to neighboring sites followed by effectively instantaneous chemical reaction with the molecule already present on that site. Collisions between molecules and atoms do not play a role here since all atoms have been removed by
the push pulse prior to lowering the lattice depth. Under these assumptions the lifetime is given by \(r_{\text{coll}} = D \times t_{\text{tunnel}}\), where \(D\) is a constant and \(t_{\text{tunnel}} = h / \sum J_i\) is the total tunneling time, which depends on the tunneling rates along the 3 lattice directions \(J_{1,2,3}\) [42, 43]. We fit the experimental data with this single-parameter model and retrieve \(D = 6(2)\). We observe that the lifetime measured for our deepest lattice is lower than predicted by our model. This can be explained by loss through scattering of lattice photons becoming dominant over the low inelastic collision loss, since here the lattice light intensity is high and the tunnel time scale long.

For the deepest lattice the molecule lifetime is 100(20) s, representing a major improvement compared to our previous paper, where the lifetime was about 60 \(\mu\)s [10]. The main difference between this work and our previous work is the wavelength of the light used for the realization of the optical lattice. In our previous work, the lattice laser source was a Coherent Verdi at 532 nm wavelength, whereas here we use an Innolight Mephisto MOPA at 1064 nm. By shining 532-nm light derived from another Verdi laser on our sample, we confirm a strong reduction of the molecule lifetime from 60 \(\mu\)s up to 100(20) s, which was possible by using lattice light of 1064 instead of 532 nm. The lifetime is now proportional to the tunneling time in the lattice. The efficiency of the STIRAP scheme without compensation beam is limited by the finite lifetime of the dark state. This lifetime is mainly the result of time-dependent light shifts induced by the free-bound laser on the binding energy of the ground-state molecule. We have identified the coupling to the atomic \(|S_0\rangle\rightarrow|P_1\rangle\) transition as the main source of light shift, and we have shown how to cancel it with an auxiliary compensation beam, leading to an efficiency higher than 80%. This efficiency is limited in equal parts by scattering from off-resonant light and finite dark-state lifetime. We have shown the effect of the time-independent inhomogeneous lattice light shifts on STIRAP. In further work these detrimental effects could be suppressed by using lattice beams with bigger waists, leading to larger molecular samples.

We thus demonstrated that, by use of STIRAP, we can optically associate atoms into molecules with an efficiency comparable to that obtained with magnetoassociation through a Feshbach resonance. This general technique can represent a valuable alternative for associating molecules containing nonmagnetic atoms, and in particular for the creation of alkali–alkaline-earth dimers [44–47]. Such dimers have been attracting great attention as they can allow fascinating quantum simulations, thanks to their permanent magnetic and electric dipole moments [21]. Finally, coherent, efficient, and controlled creation of long-lived ultracold Sr2 molecules in the ground state could be useful in metrology experiments, for instance as a probe for the time variation of the electron-to-proton mass ratio [48, 49].

**VI. CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK**

In conclusion, we have used STIRAP to associate pairs of Sr atoms in the ground state of lattice sites into weakly bound ground-state molecules. This association process was efficient despite operating in the regime of strong dissipation \(\Omega_{\text{FB}} \lesssim \gamma_e\). By making use of a deep optical lattice using 1064-nm light, but without compensating dynamic light shifts created by the STIRAP lasers, we were able to reach a transfer efficiency of roughly 50%. The improvement from 30% reached in our previous work [10] is mainly due to the increase in molecule lifetime from 60 \(\mu\)s up to 100(20) s, which was possible by using lattice light of 1064 instead of 532 nm. The lifetime is now proportional to the tunneling time in the lattice.
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