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Abstract

Objective: We compared the effectiveness of atosiban and fenoterol as a uterine relaxant in women undergoing ECV for breech presentation.

Design: A multicenter, open label, randomized controlled trial.

Setting: Eight hospitals in the Netherlands.

Participants: 830 women with a singleton fetus in breech presentation and a gestational age beyond 34 weeks were randomly allocated in a 1:1 ratio to either 6.75 mg atosiban (n=416) or 40 μg fenoterol (n=414) for uterine relaxation.

Main outcome measures: The primary outcome measures were a fetus in cephalic position 30 minutes after the procedure and cephalic presentation at delivery. Secondary outcome measures were mode of delivery, neonatal outcome and adverse events during ECV. All analyses were done on an intention-to-treat basis.

Results: Cephalic position 30 minutes after ECV occurred significantly less in the atosiban group than in the fenoterol group (34% v 40%, RR 0.73, 95% CI 0.55 to 0.93). Presentation at birth was cephalic in 35% (n=139) of the atosiban group and 40% (n=166) of the fenoterol group (RR 0.86, 95% CI 0.72 to 1.03), and caesarean delivery was performed in 60% (n=240) of women in the atosiban group and 55% (n=218) in the fenoterol group (RR 1.09, 95% CI 0.96 to 1.20). No significant differences were found in neonatal outcomes or drug related adverse events.

Conclusions: In women undergoing ECV for breech presentation, uterus relaxation with fenoterol increases the cephalic rate immediately after the procedure. No statistically significant difference was found for cephalic presentation at delivery.

Trial registration: Dutch Trial Register, NTR 1877.
Introduction

Breech presentation occurs in up to 4% of pregnancies at term. Since publication of the Term Breech Trial in 2000, elective caesarean delivery has been the dominant mode of delivery in most countries.1–3 In 2015 the World Health Organization reported that globally caesarean delivery was overused.4,5 Breech presentation is the third most common indication for elective caesarean delivery.6 External cephalic version (ECV) is a safe obstetrical procedure that reduces non-cephalic birth and caesarean delivery by approximately 50%.7 In 2014 the American College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists and the Society for Maternal-Fetal Medicine published a joint consensus statement on the safe prevention of elective caesarean delivery, in which ECV is highly recommended.6 This is especially relevant in low and middle income countries. recommendation is specifically relevant in low and middle income countries where the impact of caesarean delivery on morbidity and mortality is more severe.8

Several methods have been proposed to enhance the outcome of ECV, including uterine relaxation with tocolytic medication, epidural or spinal analgesia, and amnio-infusion, as well as complementary methods such as vibro-acoustic stimulation, acupuncture and moxibustion.9 Drug induced uterine relaxation using nitric oxide, beta mimetics, calcium channel antagonists, or oxytocin antagonists, can increase the success rate of ECV.6,10 Most studies evaluating the effectiveness of tocolysis have used beta mimetics (nine studies of beta mimetics versus placebo, pooled RR 1.6 (95% CI 1.2 to 2.0) for cephalic presentation after ECV attempt).9 However, beta-mimetics have known adverse maternal cardiovascular side effects such as flushing and palpitations.9

Randomized trial data show that nifedipine, a calcium channel blocker, is not effective for increasing cephalic presentation after ECV compared with no drugs (RR 1.1, 95% CI 0.85 to 1.5).11 Atosiban, an oxytocin receptor antagonist, has no cardiovascular side effects and is becoming more widely used for ECV, yet no randomized controlled trial has assessed its effectiveness. We compared the effectiveness of atosiban with the beta mimetic fenoterol for achieving a cephalic presentation 30 minutes after ECV and cephalic presentation at delivery.
Methods

Study Design and participants
We performed a multicenter, open label, randomized controlled trial in one academic and seven teaching hospitals in The Netherlands. Together, these hospitals are responsible for 16,000 increased risk deliveries a year, with annual hospital delivery rates ranging from 1500 to 3000. We followed the CONSORT guidelines to report this study.

Women with a singleton fetus in breech position who were scheduled for ECV were eligible for the study. Exclusion criteria were maternal age less than 18 years, gestational age less than 32 weeks, any contraindication to vaginal birth (such as placenta praevia), any contraindication for ECV according to the Guideline of the Dutch Association for Obstetrics and Gynecology (scarred uterus other than transverse in the lower segment, known uterine anomalies, history or signs of placental abruption, severe pre-eclampsia or HELLP syndrome, bleeding less than seven days before ECV attempt, and ruptured membranes), any known contraindication to one of the two study drugs, suspected intrauterine growth restriction (defined as estimated fetal weight less than the fifth centile for gestational age assessed by ultrasonography), severe oligohydramnios (deepest pool <2 cm), fetal anomalies, or non-reassuring fetal heart rate. Midwives, residents, or gynecologists identified eligible women. After counselling and reading the patient information form, patients were asked for written informed consent.

Randomization
The women were randomly allocated (1:1) to receive atosiban (intervention group) or fenoterol (control group). An independent data manager assigned the women to groups based on a computer generated random sequence, stratified by hospital and parity. EVC was scheduled within seven days after randomization. Participants and investigators were aware of allocation; blinding was not possible owing to obvious maternal side effects that commonly occur with fenoterol, such as tachycardia, dizziness, and flushing.

Interventions
In each hospital, a team of experienced obstetricians and midwives performed the ECV. A trained sonographer, obstetrician, or midwife carried out ultrasonography to assess the position of the fetus, including type of breech (frank, complete, or footling), location of the placenta, estimation of fetal weight, and estimation of amniotic fluid volume, including measurement of the largest pocket depth. Fetal wellbeing was established with electronic fetal heart rate monitoring for at least 30 minutes before and after ECV. Fifteen minutes before ECV a doctor gave the mother an intravenous bolus of atosiban (6.75 mg in 0.9 mL (7.5 mg/mL)) or fenoterol (40 μg in 0.8 mL (0.5 mg/10 mL)). ECV could comprise a forward
and a backward roll. Fetal heart rate was monitored intermittently with ultrasonography. The duration of any fetal bradycardia was registered. Women with non-sensitized Rh negative blood received anti-D immunoglobulin (1000 IU intramuscularly) after ECV.

Outcomes
The primary outcome measures were cephalic presentation 30 minutes after the procedure, confirmed by ultrasound, and cephalic presentation at delivery. Secondary outcomes were mode of delivery, and complications of ECV events due to atosiban or fenoterol. Complications of ECV were persistent non-reassuring fetal CTG after ECV, occult or overt umbilical cord prolapse, placental abruption and emergency delivery. Adverse events due to atosiban or fenoterol were defined as chest pain, nausea, vomiting, headaches, flushing, dizziness, hypotension (associated with fainting or CTG abnormalities), tachycardia resulting in palpitations, local reaction of the skin on injection of the medication, anaphylactic shock, cessation of treatment due to side effects.

Post hoc outcomes were gestational age at delivery, time to delivery, admission to neonatal intensive care for >24 hours, Apgar <7 at 5 minutes, birth weight, blood loss, women requiring blood transfusion, maternal admission in hospital postpartum in days, maternal complication postpartum defined as puerperal fever, (suspected) endometritis, mastitis, operation for placental rest, pulmonary embolism. Appendix table 1 lists all outcomes.

The data were collected on web based electronic case record forms, and uploaded cases were stored in a database. Paper delivery forms were supplied to participants who did not deliver in a participating hospital and their primary caretakers, such as midwives in primary-care settings, were asked to ensure that these forms were returned after the study.

Sample size
The trial was designed to detect a 10% improvement in the primary outcome of cephalic presentation 30 minutes after ECV, assuming a 50% success rate in the fenoterol group (b error 20%, a error 5%, two sided test). We calculated that 806 women needed to be randomized to show an improvement from 50% to 60% with atosiban.

Analysis
To ensure intention-to-treat analysis, we carried out five imputations on the primary outcome using baseline covariates. The primary analysis was subsequently performed on imputed datasets, and we pooled estimates from these datasets using Rubin’s rule. Complete case analysis was used to analyze secondary outcomes. Baseline data were analyzed descriptively for the women and their pregnancies. The $c^2$ test was used to compare the rates of the primary and secondary outcomes between the two groups. We considered a
P value of less than 0.05 to indicate statistical significance. For each outcome we calculated relative risks and appropriate 95% confidence intervals (CI).

We performed three post hoc sensitivity analyses for the primary outcome of cephalic presentation 30 minutes after ECV retrospectively. The first was a complete case analysis. The second sensitivity analysis was performed because of an imbalance in baseline characteristics, despite randomization. We adjusted the imputed data for age, parity, gestational age at ECV, ethnicity, body mass index, estimated fetal weight, type of breech presentation, location of the placenta, and estimated amniotic fluid index. A third post analysis was performed to evaluate the robustness of the treatment effect on cephalic presentation 30 minutes after ECV between centers. The results were pooled into relative risks, and heterogeneity was explored in a random effects meta-analysis model. An interim analysis was planned half way through inclusion to evaluate safety. Serious adverse events were reported to an independent data safety monitoring board. They noted no conditions to stop the trial.

**Patient involvement**

No patients were involved in setting the research question or the outcome measures, nor were they involved in developing plans for design or implementation of the study. No patients were asked to advise on interpretation or writing up of results. Information will be used in a guideline and will be added to patient information brochures.
Results

Between August 2009 and May 2014, 830 women were enrolled and randomized; 416 to atosiban (intervention group) and 414 to fenoterol (control group). The mean gestational age at which ECV was performed was 36 weeks, and 62% of the women were nulliparous. Baseline characteristics were comparable between the two groups (table 1). For the complete case analysis, primary outcome data were available for 410 women in the atosiban group and 408 in the fenoterol group (Figure 1).

The primary outcome of cephalic presentation 30 minutes after ECV occurred in 34% (n=140) of women in the atosiban group compared with 40% (n=166) in the fenoterol group (RR 0.73, 95% CI 0.55 to 0.93). At delivery, 35% (n=139) of fetuses in the atosiban group and 40% (n=160) in the fenoterol group were in the cephalic position (RR 0.86, 95% CI 0.72 to 1.03). Caesarean delivery was performed in 60% (n=240) of women in the atosiban group and 55% (n=218) in the fenoterol group (RR 1.09, 95% CI 0.96 to 1.22; Table 2).
### Table 1. Baseline characteristics of study participants by intervention group for complete case analysis. Values are numbers (percentages) unless stated otherwise

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Atosiban (n=410)</th>
<th>Fenoterol (n=408)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) age (years)</td>
<td>32.1 (4.0)</td>
<td>32.4 (4.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiparous women</td>
<td>154 (38)</td>
<td>153 (27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) gestational age at ECV (weeks)</td>
<td>35.8 (0.9)</td>
<td>35.9 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White ethnicity</td>
<td>350 (85.4)</td>
<td>355 (87.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) body mass index</td>
<td>24.1 (4.3)</td>
<td>24.2 (4.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) estimated fetal weight</td>
<td>2622 (391.0)</td>
<td>2572 (457.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frank breech presentation</td>
<td>300 (76)</td>
<td>273 (69.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior placental</td>
<td>127 (33)</td>
<td>144 (37.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) estimated amniotic fluid index</td>
<td>13.8 (4.9)</td>
<td>13.7 (4.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ECV = external cephalic version.

1 Missing data: n=234 for atosiban, n=250 for fenoterol. 2 Missing data: n=392 for atosiban, n=391 for fenoterol. 3 Missing data: n=386 for atosiban, n=386 for fenoterol. 4 Missing data: n=263 for atosiban, n=281 for fenoterol.

### Table 2. Results for primary and secondary outcomes in intention-to-treat analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Atosiban (n=416)</th>
<th>Fenoterol (n=414)</th>
<th>Relative risk (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cephalic presentation 30 minutes after ECV (No; %)</td>
<td>140 (34)</td>
<td>166 (40)</td>
<td>0.73 (0.55 to 0.93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cephalic presentation at delivery (No; %)</td>
<td>139 (35)</td>
<td>160 (40)</td>
<td>0.86 (0.72 to 1.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode of delivery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaginal delivery (No; %)</td>
<td>163 (40)</td>
<td>180 (45)</td>
<td>0.89 (0.76 to 1.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spontaneous</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>167</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instrumental</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caesarean delivery (No; %)</td>
<td>240 (60)</td>
<td>218 (55)</td>
<td>1.09 (0.96 to 1.22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elective</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>158</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No progress of labor</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspected fetal distress</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ECV = external cephalic version.

1 Imputation for primary outcome. 2 Missing data: n=402 for atosiban, n=397 for fenoterol. 3 Missing data: n=403 for atosiban, n=398 for fenoterol.
In the atosiban group, of the 139 women with a fetus in the cephalic position at delivery, 109 (81%) had a spontaneous vaginal delivery, 15 (11%) an instrumental delivery, and 9 (6%) an intrapartum caesarean delivery. In the fenoterol group, of the 160 women with a fetus in the cephalic position at delivery, 125 (78%) had a spontaneous vaginal delivery, 13 (8%) an instrumental delivery, and 19 (12%) an intrapartum caesarean delivery. Emergency caesarean delivery for suspected fetal distress was more common in the fenoterol group: two cases immediately after ECV and 19 during labor. In the atosiban group, four of the 204 women who had planned a vaginal birth had emergency caesarean delivery for suspected intrapartum fetal distress compared with 19 of 218 participants in the fenoterol group (RR 0.75, 95% CI 0.24 to 1.29).

The frequency of poor fetal and maternal complications did not differ between the two groups (table 3). The average time between ECV and delivery was 22 days in both groups. Labor was induced only for additional indications such as hypertension. No perinatal or neonatal mortality occurred in the atosiban group, whereas two children died in the fenoterol group. One neonate, born five weeks after ECV, died of an autosomal recessive congenital disorder. The other baby died two hours after a vacuum assisted delivery for prolonged second stage of labor. ECV had been performed five weeks before labor. The baby had normal Apgar scores (9 at five minutes), and autopsy did not reveal cause of death.

The frequency of fetal and maternal complications after ECV did not differ significantly between groups (table 4). No woman required emergency delivery in the atosiban group but two did in the fenoterol group. In one woman, the fetal heart rate was non-reassuring after an ECV attempt, and in the other woman, ultrasonography showed the umbilical cord to be lying before the fetal head as presenting part after successful ECV. No drug related adverse events were reported in the atosiban group. The procedure was stopped in one woman in the fenoterol group because she experienced severe hypotension. A significant difference in side effects was found between the groups, with 15 women (5%) in the atosiban group compared with 209 women (71%) in the fenoterol group experiencing palpitations, (RR 0.07, 95% CI 0.04 to 0.12).

Complete case analysis showed a significant difference in favor of fenoterol for cephalic presentation 30 minutes after ECV (relative risk 0.82, 95% CI 0.69 to 0.98). After adjusting for baseline characteristics the odds ratio for successful ECV was 0.85 (95% CI 0.72 to 1.02). The pooled result of the post hoc analysis indicated a consistent treatment effect and no heterogeneity was identified among centers (RR 0.82, 95% CI 0.69 to 0.98), I² 0% (see supplementary appendix 1).
Table 3. Neonatal and maternal outcomes in complete case analysis. Values are numbers (percentages) unless stated otherwise.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Atosiban (n=410)</th>
<th>Fenoterol (n=408)</th>
<th>Relative risk (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) gestational age at delivery (weeks)</td>
<td>38.9 (1.3)</td>
<td>38.9 (1.9)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) time to delivery in days (mean; SD)</td>
<td>22 (9.1)</td>
<td>22 (9.6)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fetal mortality</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neonatal mortality</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>2 (0.1)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admission to neonatal intensive care for &gt;24 hours (days)</td>
<td>16 (0.4)</td>
<td>17 (0.4)</td>
<td>0.94 (0.48 to 1.8)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apgar &lt; 7 at 5 minutes</td>
<td>6 (1)</td>
<td>13 (3)</td>
<td>0.45 (0.17 to 1.20)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) birth weight (g)</td>
<td>3356 (460)</td>
<td>3364 (523)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>204 (50)</td>
<td>204 (50)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.87 to 1.14)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) blood loss (ml)</td>
<td>474 (473.2)</td>
<td>470 (447.3)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women requiring blood transfusions</td>
<td>6 (1)</td>
<td>7 (2)</td>
<td>0.86 (0.29 to 2.5)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) maternal admission in hospital postpartum (days)</td>
<td>290 (3.1)</td>
<td>287 (3.1)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal postpartum complications</td>
<td>15 (4)</td>
<td>16 (4)</td>
<td>0.94 (0.74 to 1.9)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Missing data: n=399 for atosiban, n=397 for fenoterol. 2 Missing data: n=399 for atosiban, n=397 for fenoterol. 3 Missing data: n=394 for atosiban, n=396 for fenoterol. 4 Missing data: n=390 for atosiban, n=389 for fenoterol. 5 Missing data: n=400 for atosiban, n=401 for fenoterol. 6 Missing data: n=398 for atosiban, n=395 for fenoterol. 7 Missing data: n=385 for atosiban, n=386 for fenoterol. Postpartum complication: puerperal fever, (suspected) endometritis, mastitis, operation for placental rest, pulmonary embolism.
Table 4. Complications of external cephalic version (ECV) and drug related adverse events in complete case analysis. Values are numbers (percentages) unless stated otherwise

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complication</th>
<th>Atosiban (n=410)</th>
<th>Fenoterol (n=408)</th>
<th>Relative risk (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-reassuring CTG registration after ECV attempt resulting in emergency delivery</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (0.2)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placental abruption</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency delivery</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (0.4)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adverse events due to medication</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (0.2)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cessation of treatment due to side effects</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (0.2)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor side effects</td>
<td>86 (30.0)</td>
<td>224 (75.7)</td>
<td>0.40 (0.33 to 0.48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palpitations</td>
<td>15 (5)</td>
<td>209 (71)</td>
<td>0.07 (0.04 to 0.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dizziness</td>
<td>25 (9)</td>
<td>55 (19)</td>
<td>0.47 (0.30 to 0.73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flushes</td>
<td>17 (6)</td>
<td>99 (34)</td>
<td>0.18 (0.11 to 0.29)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Minor side effects are defined as one or a combination of the following complaints: palpitations, nausea, vomiting, headaches, flushing, dizziness.

1 Missing data: n=402 for atosiban, n=399 for fenoterol. 2 Missing data: n=392 for atosiban, n=390 for fenoterol. 3 Missing data: n=391 for atosiban n=391 for fenoterol. 4 Missing data: n=399 for atosiban n=396 for fenoterol. 5 Missing data: n=399 for atosiban, n=396 for fenoterol. 6 Missing data: n=399 for atosiban, n=396 for fenoterol. 7 Missing data: n=287 for atosiban, n=296 for fenoterol. 8 Missing data: n=287 for atosiban, n=296 for fenoterol.
Discussion

In this randomized controlled trial of 818 women with singleton pregnancies of more than 34 weeks' gestation, uterine relaxation with atosiban for ECV resulted in a lower rate of fetuses in the cephalic position after 30 minutes compared with fenoterol. This led to a higher risk of caesarean deliveries after atosiban treatment than after fenoterol treatment. Although the difference was not statistically significant, it is highly plausible given the lower rate of successful ECV with atosiban.

Strengths and limitations of this study

A beta-mimetic rather than placebo was used for the control because at the start of the trial it was the best treatment in a clinical setting, with a 10% absolute increase in cephalic presentations at delivery.9 To allow the drugs to reach a full therapeutic blood level and to overcome unintended protocol violations, the protocol for both drug administrations were equalized; the ECV attempt was started 15 minutes after administration of one of either drug. The mean time for both drugs to reach their maximum concentrations in serum is 30 minutes, with a half life of 1.4 hours for atosiban and 2 hours for fenoterol.13-17

A limitation of our study is that doctors and patients could not be blinded to treatment owing to the obvious and common side effects of beta mimetics. However, side effects were never a reason for stopping the intervention, and bias due to non-blinding was virtually absent.

Our success rate for cephalic presentations at 30 minutes after ECV was lower than anticipated,9 which might be due to the referral of some women after an initial unsuccessful attempt by independent midwives in an out of hospital setting. A cohort study of ECV attempts by midwives outside the hospital setting in the Netherlands reported a higher success (41%) rate than ours.18 The success rate in our study corresponds to that in other cohort studies and randomized controlled trials comparing tocolytic agents.10,11,19,20 Our sample size calculation was still adequate to detect the anticipated increase in cephalic presentations at 30 minutes after ECV, as lower or higher baseline rates have more statistical power to detect similar changes of 10% absolute risk difference.

Even though there was a difference in effect size between the imputed analysis and complete case analysis, the results are still likely to be generalizable. Missing data were balanced between groups and assumed to be random. We included a wide range of both positive and negative predictors for successful ECV in our imputation model. Correction for confounding from potential baseline imbalance showed a strong correlation between baseline characteristics and successful ECV. Uterine relaxation might not be the strongest effector influencing the success rate of ECV.
After adjustment for potential baseline imbalance, the relative risk increased from 0.73 to 0.85, indicating a smaller magnitude of effect. However, the majority of the confidence intervals still favored fenoterol.

**Comparison with other studies**

A Cochrane review on uterine relaxants for ECV concluded that, compared with placebo, beta mimetics were the most effective drugs for increasing cephalic presentation in labor and thereby reducing the caesarean section rate (six studies, 742 participants, RR 0.77, 95% CI 0.67 to 0.88).9 Our results indicate that beta mimetics are the most effective in achieving cephalic presentation after ECV. Moreover fenoterol is much cheaper than atosiban (€0.90 (£0.80; $1.00) versus € 31.80 in the Netherlands).

Our study clearly shows that fenoterol has more side effects than atosiban (71% v 5.2%). Discomfort due to palpitations was the most reported side effect, and severe hypotension occurred in one participant after taking fenoterol. Women must be adequately counselled about the side effects in relation to the effectiveness of beta mimetics, as evidence shows that women are willing to undergo treatment if the gain in success outweighs the possible adverse side effects.21 In 2011 one in three deliveries in the United States was a caesarean delivery, making caesarean delivery the most common major surgical procedure for women in the country.22,23 Without clear evidence of an accompanying decrease in maternal and neonatal morbidity or mortality, caesarean delivery might be overused. Therefore, prevention of planned caesarean section is one of the main ways to improve maternal and neonatal outcome in current obstetric practice.6,24 As breech presentation is the third most common indication for primary caesarean delivery in the United States, and ECV is proven to be a safe procedure, implementation of beta-mimetics as routine uterine relaxant in ECV should be high priority.25-28

**Conclusions**

Fenoterol is more effective than atosiban for uterine relaxation before ECV. Fenoterol use is recommended to increase the success rate of ECV and decrease the caesarean delivery rate.
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## Supplementary material

**Appendix table 1. All outcomes**

**Primary:**
- cephalic presentation 30 minutes after the procedure
- cephalic presentation at delivery

**Secondary:**
- mode of delivery
- complications of ECV
- adverse events due to atosiban or fenoterol

**Post hoc:**
- gestational age at delivery
- time to delivery
- admission to neonatal intensive care for >24 hours
- Apgar score <7 at 5 minutes
- birth weight
- blood loss
- women requiring blood transfusion
- maternal admission in hospital postpartum in days
- maternal complication postpartum