



## UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

### Essays on macroeconomic policies after the crisis

Ciminelli, G.

**Publication date**

2018

**Document Version**

Other version

**License**

Other

[Link to publication](#)

**Citation for published version (APA):**

Ciminelli, G. (2018). *Essays on macroeconomic policies after the crisis*. [Thesis, fully internal, Universiteit van Amsterdam].

**General rights**

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

**Disclaimer/Complaints regulations**

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

**ESSAYS ON MACROECONOMIC  
POLICIES AFTER THE CRISIS**

ISBN: 978 90 3610 539 2

This book is no. 726 of the Tinbergen Institute Research Series, established through cooperation between Rozberg Publishers and the Tinbergen Institute.

# Essays on Macroeconomic Policies After the Crisis

ACADEMISCH PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van de graad van doctor

aan de Universiteit van Amsterdam

op gezag van de Rector Magnificus

prof. dr. ir. K.I.J. Maex

ten overstaan van een door het College voor Promoties ingestelde

commissie, in het openbaar te verdedigen in de Agnietenkapel

op woensdag 19 december 2018, te 10:00 uur

door Gabriele Ciminelli

geboren te Rome, Italië



Promotiecommissie:

Promotor:	prof. dr. M. Giuliadori	Universiteit van Amsterdam
Copromotor:	dr. K. Mavromatis	de Universiteit van Amsterdam
Overige leden:	prof. dr. E. J. Bartelsman	Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam
	prof. dr. R.M.W.J. Beetsma	Universiteit van Amsterdam
	prof. dr. J. de Haan	Rijksuniversiteit Groningen
	prof. dr. F.J.G.M. Klaassen	Universiteit van Amsterdam
	dr. L.C.G. Pozzi	Erasmus Universitait Rotterdam
	dr. C. A. Stoltenberg	Universiteit van Amsterdam
Faculteit:	Economie en Bedrijfskunde	



# *Acknowledgements*

First and foremost, I would like to thank my advisor — Massimo Giuliodori. Without Massimo not only the current thesis would not have been written, but I would have never started a Ph.D. I still remember when we first met. It was the 12th of April 2012. Together with the other students of track Monetary Policy and Banking of the MSc in Economics at UvA, I gave a short presentation of my thesis research proposal. I vaguely wanted to do something about central bank communication. Massimo decided to supervise me and thanks to his guidance I managed to graduate on time and with a thesis which I am still proud of, something that I thought would have been impossible at the beginning of the MSc. That was the start of a fruitful relationship that has lasted over six years. I learned a great deal from him: monetary and fiscal policy, VAR, writing and teaching styles, to name a few. But Massimo has not only been a great academic advisor. He has been like a father in my professional career. It was him who motivated me to apply for a Ph.D. position, and I believe that it was only thanks to him that I got an offer from the Tinbergen Institute. He always supported me, including during the darkest days of TI, which for me were in the spring of the second year. Thanks to his research network, I had the opportunity to collaborate with Rossana and Ekkehard from the ILO and to work at the IMF, which had always been my dream. While waiting to see what the future will bring, I want to say: thank you, Massimo.

In discussing my academic formation, I also would like to thank Renato Moro, who advised me when I was a young student in Political Sciences at Roma Tre University, and Riccardo Rovelli, who literally inspired my passion for economics when I took his course Economics of the EU and provided me with great guidance while writing my thesis at Bologna University. I can not fail to mention Ward Romp and Christian Stoltenberg. I met them the very first day that I started my career at the UvA, as they were responsible for the course Macroeconomics I and also coordinators of the track Monetary Policy Banking. I learned a great deal also from them. I also want to thank the other MInt faculty members, who made feeling like at home in the MInt premises. I also wish to make a special mention for Tanju Yorulmazer, who listened to me and provided much appreciated advice in some tough moments during my time at TI.

I thank all my co-authors. They made my Ph.D. more engaging and interesting experiences. I want to particularly thank Davide Furceri, who is also my direct supervisor at the IMF and a friend. Davide made my life in Washington both more challenging (during office hours) and more fun (in the evenings and weekends). He is also a great economist, and I feel privileged to be working with him closely. I do hope that our collaboration will extend much beyond my time at the IMF. Through Davide, I also met another great economist: Romain Duval. The three of us have worked together on a paper that also forms part of this thesis. I will not forget our discussions after playing tennis or while eating pizza.

My Ph.D. benefited from daily exchanges with all the fellow Ph.D. students at the UvA and my peers at the IMF. I don't know how I would have survived



without such great people. At the UvA, I particularly enjoyed the company of Ron, who enlightened my days with yoga poses in the middle of the office and in-depth discussions about the meaning of life, and Joep, who was the loyal lunch companion during many gray and rainy Amsterdam days. From UvA, I also want to mention Jesper, whom I got to know better only recently and who helped me with the Dutch summary of this thesis. I also thank Ricardo, Suhaib, and Alessandro from the IMF. I am glad that I could share with trusted friends some not always politically correct thoughts.

Then there are all the friends that I met during my fantastic time in Amsterdam. I want to go back to the very origin. During the MSc at the UvA, I bonded with a tremendous group of international people. I want to name my dear Hungarian friend Balázs. It is with him that my days at the Singel library started. It was a real blessing that he was next to me when I had to confront with the Macroeconomics I textbook. I still remember the very few words used in the book ("Hence," "Therefore," "It follows"), which were used to separate one derivation from the other. Balázs, like me, came from a political sciences background, and a complicit look was enough for the two of us to start laughing of desperation. Fortunately, after a not so successful first block, things turned around for both of us. During TI, I was lucky enough to be part of a very smart and united group of people. I also made some great friends. Gavin and Paul, among the boys, and Malin and Aysil, among the girls, are above all others. I cannot count all the memorable experiences we had together. All the nights out, dinners, cinemas, museums, exhibitions, festivals, and all the endless times just spent talking about our dreams. I hope that our paths will meet again in the same city at some point. I also want to thank Robin and Magda, who managed to handle me for almost ten days in Costa Rica (and I am not an easy travel companion), and with whom I had a lot of fun during many Amsterdam weekends. And then there is him, Kostas Mavromatis, my best Greek friend, second supervisor, and example of the joy of living. We became close once drinking mastiha, and since then it was a crescendo. Nobody can count all the laughs we had during our nights out, often also with Joep, Gavin, and Paul. The 'family', composed by 'straordi' Mario, Camille, Alina and Travers, was also extremely important for my well-being in Amsterdam. They let me staying in their house for about a year and, among others, we organized the best parties in the city. Now, they all left Amsterdam, and the next trip after the completion of the Ph.D. defense and the Job Market will be to visit them.

A very special thank goes to my mum. One of her great qualities is the capacity to listen. Although she initially had some doubts, she listened to my project of pursuing a Ph.D., and she was fully on board from day one. I thank her for all the support during these years, and I trust that she will keep supporting me at her fullest during the upcoming years. I value that very much because doing a Ph.D. means being abroad, and almost certainly for an Italian working abroad too. Notwithstanding that I don't have siblings and she is alone, she never — never — made me feel sorry about being away. And that is not all. Often she was the first one to know when I had problems and the first one to cheer me up. Thank you, mum.

The reason that most makes me happy about having embarked in this project is that I met the most special person: Sílvia. Our story started as a great friendship. It then evolved into passion, and finally grew into love. It is the best story of my life. Besides, if it is true that without Massimo I would not have started a Ph.D., without Sílvia I would have never finished it. She always supported me during numerous all-nighters spent preparing for classes, grading exams, working for deadlines, and even writing these acknowledgments. She also cared for me in the moments of lowest energy and boosted my morale when I most needed it. She sacrificed many weekends that we could have been spent together out and instead I ended up in front of the laptop. Hopefully, there will be less of those in the future. Sílvia was also very supportive when I, rather suddenly, moved to DC and then decide to stay on. I will always be grateful for that. The long-distance was tough at times. But I am proud to say all that these sacrifices were worth something, and I know that our future together is bright.



*A papà*

## Declaration of authorship:

- Chapter 2, entitled "*Capital Flow Spillovers of U.S. Macroeconomic News: the Role of Fed's Policies*," is fruit of my own work.
- Chapter 3, entitled "*The Composition Effects of Tax-based Consolidations on Income Inequality*" is based on a joint paper with dr. E. Ernst, prof. dr. M. Giuliadori and dr. R. Merola. I (Gabriele Ciminelli) assembled the dataset, performed the empirical analysis and drafted most of the paper. This paper is forthcoming in the European Journal of Political Economy.
- Chapter 4, entitled "*Employment Protection Deregulation and Labor Shares in Advanced Economies*" is based on a joint paper with dr. R. Duval and dr. D. Furceri. I estimated the elasticities of substitution and layoff rates used in the industry-country-level analysis, performed the industry-country-level analysis and drafted large parts of the paper. This paper was issued as IMF Working Paper No. 18/186.
- Chapter 5, entitled "*Okun's Law and Demographics: Differences Across Labor Markets*" is based on a joint paper with dr. J.C. Bluedorn and Z. An. I performed the empirical analysis and drafted large parts of the paper.

# Contents

<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2 Capital Flows Spillovers of U.S. Macroeconomic News</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1 Introduction	5
2.2 Forward Guidance: Rationale, Practice and Implications	8
2.2.1 Forward Guidance as a Monetary Policy Tool	8
2.2.2 The Experience of the Federal Reserve	9
2.2.3 Implications for the Analysis	12
2.3 Dataset	13
2.3.1 Cross-border Portfolio Flows	14
2.3.2 Macroeconomic Releases and Other Data	16
2.4 Baseline Analysis	18
2.4.1 Methodology	18
2.4.2 Results	18
2.5 The Role of Exchange-traded Funds	25
2.5.1 Background	25
2.5.2 Methodology	25
2.5.3 Results	26
2.6 Conclusions	31
<b>3 Composition Effects of Tax-Based Consolidations on Income Inequality</b>	<b>33</b>
3.1 Introduction	33
3.2 Dataset and Methodology	37
3.2.1 Dataset and Stylized Facts	37
3.2.2 Methodology	43
3.3 Overall Effects of Tax-based Consolidations	45
3.4 Composition Effects	48
3.4.1 Direct and Indirect Tax-based Consolidations	48
3.4.2 Composition Effects of Direct Tax-based Consolidations	53
3.4.3 Composition Effects of Indirect Tax-based Consolidations	55
3.5 Conclusions and Further Extensions	58

<b>4</b>	<b>Employment Protection Deregulation and Labor Shares</b>	<b>61</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	61
4.2	Theoretical Framework . . . . .	64
4.2.1	Competitive Labor Market . . . . .	65
4.2.2	Bargaining Under the Right-to-Manage model . . . . .	65
4.2.3	Efficient Bargaining . . . . .	66
4.2.4	Implications for the Empirical Analysis . . . . .	67
4.3	Dataset . . . . .	67
4.3.1	Employment Protection Legislation Reforms . . . . .	67
4.3.2	Layoff Rates . . . . .	68
4.3.3	Elasticities of Substitution . . . . .	69
4.3.4	Labor Share and Other Data . . . . .	69
4.3.5	Stylized Facts . . . . .	70
4.4	Econometric Framework . . . . .	72
4.5	Baseline Results and Robustness Checks . . . . .	75
4.5.1	Country-level Analysis . . . . .	75
4.5.2	Country-industry-level Analysis . . . . .	77
4.6	Extensions . . . . .	81
4.7	Conclusions . . . . .	83
<b>5</b>	<b>Okun’s Law and Demographics: Differences Across Labor Markets</b>	<b>85</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	85
5.2	Econometric Methodology . . . . .	87
5.2.1	Baseline Methodology . . . . .	87
5.2.2	Channels and Other Extensions . . . . .	89
5.3	Dataset . . . . .	90
5.4	Okun’s Law Across Demographic Groups . . . . .	91
5.4.1	Baseline Results . . . . .	91
5.4.2	Robustness Checks . . . . .	93
5.5	Channels and Other Extensions . . . . .	94
5.5.1	Decomposition Between the Employment and Participation Margins . . . . .	94
5.5.2	The Importance of the Business Cycle . . . . .	96
5.6	Conclusions . . . . .	97
<b>A</b>		<b>101</b>
A.1	Dataset Descriptive Statistics — Cross-border Portfolio Flows . . . . .	101
A.2	Dataset Descriptive Statistics — Macro News . . . . .	106
A.3	Robustness Checks and Other Extensions on the Baseline Analysis . . . . .	108
A.4	Robustness Checks and Other Extensions on the Role of ETFs . . . . .	117
A.5	Additional Results Using Country-level Data . . . . .	120

<b>B</b>	<b>129</b>
B.1 Identification Strategy . . . . .	129
B.2 Breakdown of Tax Instruments . . . . .	131
B.3 Robustness Checks on Overall Effects of Tax-Based Consolidations . .	133
B.4 Robustness Checks on Composition Effects of Tax-based Consolidations	148
<b>C</b>	<b>161</b>
C.1 Dataset — Reforms . . . . .	161
C.2 Dataset — Layoff Rates . . . . .	165
C.3 Dataset — Elasticities of Substitution . . . . .	167
C.4 Dataset — Summary Statistics of Industry Data . . . . .	171
C.5 Stylized Facts . . . . .	172
C.6 Robustness Checks on the Industry-country Analysis . . . . .	178
C.7 Back-of-the-envelope Calculations . . . . .	183
<b>D</b>	<b>185</b>
<b>E Summary in Dutch</b>	<b>189</b>
<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>191</b>





# List of Figures

2.1	Evolution of the actual and future expected federal funds rate during different Fed's guidance regimes . . . . .	14
2.2	Fund flows sensitivity to employment announcements during different Fed's guidance regimes . . . . .	19
2.3	Differential sensitivity of ETFs relative to mutual funds . . . . .	27
3.1	The effects of a 1% of GDP tax-based consolidation shock . . . . .	46
4.1	Cumulative changes in country labor shares around reform years . . . . .	71
4.2	Cumulative changes in industry labor shares around reform years by industry . . . . .	73
4.3	Country-level analysis – baseline results . . . . .	76
4.4	Country-industry-level analysis – baseline results . . . . .	78
A.1	Mean asset distribution . . . . .	104
A.2	Total industry assets and ETF share - March 2009 to May 2018 . . . . .	104
A.3	Total industry equity assets and ETF share - March 2009 to May 2018 . . . . .	105
A.4	Total industry bond assets and ETF share - March 2009 to May 2018 . . . . .	105
A.5	U.S. non-farm payroll employment change and average hourly earnings growth - 2001 to 2018 . . . . .	107
A.6	Non-farm payroll surprise series - March/2009 to May/2018 . . . . .	107
A.7	Surprise outliers . . . . .	114
A.8	Variable used to measure capital flows . . . . .	115
A.9	Retail sales announcements . . . . .	116
A.10	Country flows sensitivities during the calendar-based guidance regime . . . . .	121
A.11	Country flows sensitivities during the normalization guidance regime . . . . .	122
B.1	Construction of the tax shocks . . . . .	129
B.2	Direct taxes . . . . .	131
B.3	Indirect taxes . . . . .	132
B.4	Sample stability . . . . .	142
B.5	Sample stability - direct tax-based consolidation . . . . .	150
B.6	Sample stability - indirect tax-based consolidation . . . . .	150
B.7	Sample stability - personal tax-based consolidation . . . . .	157
B.8	Sample stability - corporate tax-based consolidation . . . . .	158
B.9	Sample stability - SSC-based consolidation . . . . .	158
B.10	Sample stability - GT-based consolidation . . . . .	159

B.11	Sample stability - SGS-based consolidation . . . . .	159
C.1	Major employment protection reforms over time . . . . .	164
C.2	The global decline in the labor share of income - 1970-2015 . . . . .	174
C.3	Time trends in country labor shares . . . . .	174
C.4	Time trends in industry labor shares . . . . .	175
C.5	Within vs. between industry decomposition of changes in labor shares	175
C.6	Time trends in country-industry labor shares by country . . . . .	176
C.7	Country-industry labor shares . . . . .	176
C.8	Time trends in country-industry labor shares by industry . . . . .	177
C.9	Industry-country labor shares . . . . .	177
C.10	Country sample stability . . . . .	181
C.11	Industry sample stability . . . . .	182

# List of Tables

2.1	Federal Reserve’s policy rate forward guidance – a timeline . . . . .	11
2.2	Sensitivity of key U.S. financial variables to employment announcements during different Fed’s guidance regimes . . . . .	21
2.3	Fund flows sensitivity to employment announcements – conditioning on key U.S. financial variables . . . . .	23
2.4	Differential sensitivity of ETFs relative to mutual funds - distinguishing by funds’ size . . . . .	28
2.5	Differential sensitivity of ETFs relative to mutual funds – distinguishing by funds’ size and type of investment . . . . .	30
3.1	Mean values of consolidation episodes (% of GDP) – 1978-2012 . . . . .	40
3.2	Mean changes of different tax instruments (% of GDP) – 1978-2012 . . . . .	41
3.3	Correlation of changes in tax revenues during tax-based consolidation years . . . . .	42
3.4	Mean value and frequency of tax-based consolidation shocks . . . . .	44
3.5	Augmented specification with both gross and net income Gini indexes . . . . .	47
3.6	Composition effects of tax-based consolidations . . . . .	48
3.7	Additional results on indirect tax-based consolidations . . . . .	51
3.8	Composition effects of direct tax-based consolidations . . . . .	54
3.9	Composition effects of indirect tax-based consolidations . . . . .	56
3.10	Additional results on GT tax-based consolidations . . . . .	57
4.1	Country-level analysis – robustness checks . . . . .	77
4.2	Country-industry-level analysis – extension on labor share drivers . . . . .	81
4.3	Extension on sample split according to the elasticity of substitution . . . . .	82
5.1	Okun’s Law Across Demographic Groups . . . . .	92
5.2	Cyclical sensitivity of employment and labor force participation rates . . . . .	95
5.3	Okun’s Law in good and bad states . . . . .	97
5.4	Cyclical sensitivity of employment and labor force participation in good and bad states . . . . .	98
A.1	Number, mean size and other characteristics by investment mandate . . . . .	102
A.2	Flows and NAV returns during different Fed’s guidance regimes . . . . .	103
A.3	Macroeconomic news . . . . .	106
A.4	Employment surprise variable . . . . .	111

A.5	Cutoff date used to distinguish between the calendar-based and normalization guidance periods . . . . .	112
A.6	Positive and negative surprises . . . . .	113
A.7	Inclusion of other macroeconomic announcements . . . . .	118
A.8	Distinction by funds' size . . . . .	119
A.9	Local pull factors . . . . .	124
A.10	Portfolio positions . . . . .	125
A.11	Mean assets and other characteristics by recipient country (1) . . . .	126
A.12	Mean assets and other characteristics by recipient country (2) . . . .	127
A.13	Country characteristics . . . . .	128
B.1	Orthogonality check of tax-based consolidations to GDP news . . . .	130
B.2	Type of consolidation . . . . .	136
B.3	Alternative shock variables . . . . .	137
B.4	Reverse causality issues . . . . .	138
B.5	Alternative deterministic components and lag specifications . . . . .	139
B.6	Estimation from local projections . . . . .	140
B.7	Sample selection . . . . .	141
B.8	Alternative specifications with productivity, hours worked and employment . . . . .	142
B.9	Omitted variables (1) . . . . .	143
B.10	Omitted variables (2) . . . . .	144
B.11	Alternative inequality measures (1) . . . . .	145
B.12	Alternative inequality measures (2) . . . . .	146
B.13	Alternative inequality measures (3) . . . . .	147
B.14	Ordering of shocks . . . . .	149
B.15	Alternative inequality measures - direct tax-based consolidation (1) .	151
B.16	Alternative inequality measures - direct tax-based consolidations (2) .	152
B.17	Alternative inequality measures - direct tax-based consolidation (3) .	153
B.18	Alternative inequality measures - indirect tax-based consolidation (1) .	154
B.19	Alternative inequality measures - indirect tax-based consolidation (2) .	155
B.20	Alternative inequality measures - indirect tax-based consolidation (3) .	156
C.1	Reform events . . . . .	161
C.2	Layoff rates . . . . .	166
C.3	Elasticities of substitution . . . . .	170
C.4	Summary statistics of industry data . . . . .	171
C.5	Sample composition . . . . .	178
C.6	Layoff rates . . . . .	179
C.7	Elasticities of substitution . . . . .	179
C.8	Omitted variables . . . . .	180
C.9	Extensions on the back-of-the-envelope calculation of aggregate effects .	184
D.1	Robustness checks on baseline specification – time fixed effects . . . .	186

D.2	Robustness checks on baseline specification – per capita output gap . . . .	186
D.3	Robustness checks on baseline specification – WEO output gap . . . .	187
D.4	Robustness checks on baseline specification – sample composition . . . .	187
D.5	Robustness checks on baseline specification – first difference specification	188