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SUMMARY

Both the Golgi and the endosomes have recently been proposed as the main site of SM-synthase, the enzyme responsible for sphingomyelin (SM) biosynthesis. To settle this confusion, we studied the subcellular distribution of SM-synthase in human liver-derived HepG2 and baby hamster kidney BHK-21 cells. To discriminate between Golgi and endosomes we made use of 3,3-diaminobenzidine (DAB) cytochemistry. Cells were incubated with a conjugate of transferrin (Tf) and horseradish peroxidase (HRP), or with unconjugated HRP, to label the recycling pathway and the complete endocytic pathway (including lysosomes) with peroxidase activity, respectively. After cell homogenization, the peroxidase activity was used to induce a local deposition of DAB-polymer. The total SM-synthase activity was not affected by this procedure, and, in contrast to endosomes labeled with 125I-Tf, organelles containing SM-synthase did not increase in buoyant density as determined by Percoll density gradient fractionation. Thus, little, if any, SM-synthase localizes to the endocytic pathway of HepG2 and BHK-21 cells. In experiments performed at low temperature to inhibit vesicular transport, we found less than 10% of newly synthesized short-chain SM at the cell surface. We conclude that most SM-synthase activity is present in the Golgi, and to a small extent at the cell surface.
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INTRODUCTION

Sphingomyelin (SM) is a membrane lipid unique to animal cells. It is virtually restricted to the luminal leaflet of the organelles of the secretory and endocytic pathways. SM is not equally distributed among these organelles, most SM resides in the exoplasmic leaflet of the plasma membrane, while the ER, for example, contains hardly any SM. It has been proposed that SM is synthesized in the cytoplasmic leaflet of the plasma membrane and is involved in signal transduction and is hydrolyzed upon cell activation (Linardic and Hannun, 1994; Andrieu et al., 1996). To understand the differential distribution of SM within the cell, and the enrichment of SM at the cell surface, it is essential to identify the site(s) of SM synthesis.

The enzyme responsible for the biosynthesis of SM, SM-synthase, transfers the phosphocholine headgroup from phosphatidylcholine to ceramide. The intracellular location of SM-synthase is still a question under debate (Allan and Kallen, 1994; Koval et al., 1995; Kallen and Allan, 1995). SM-synthase activity has been attributed to the plasma membrane (<13%) (Futerman et al., 1990). It was concluded that SM synthesis predominantly occurs in early Golgi and that only a little SM-synthase activity is found at a post-Golgi location. More recently, Allan, Kallen and coworkers (Allan and Kallen, 1994; Kallen et al., 1994) reached an opposite conclusion from experiments in which cell surface SM was hydrolyzed by treating cells with exogenously added bacterial sphingomyelinase (SMase). The resulting ceramide was converted back to SM under conditions in which Golgi-to-plasma membrane transport was inhibited. When, in addition, endocytic uptake was inhibited, resynthesis of SM was no longer observed, suggesting that efficient SM synthesis occurs in the recycling route to the plasma membrane.

The possibility that endosomes of the recycling pathway are the main site of de novo synthesis of SM, was not excluded in the subfractionation studies performed on rat liver, separating plasma membrane from Golgi, the majority of the SM-synthase activity colocalized with the cis/medial-Golgi (87%) and some with the plasma membrane (<13%) (Futerman et al., 1990). It was concluded that SM synthesis predominantly occurs in early Golgi and that only a little SM-synthase activity is found at a post-Golgi location. More recently, Allan, Kallen and coworkers (Allan and Kallen, 1994; Kallen et al., 1994) reached an opposite conclusion from experiments in which cell surface SM was hydrolyzed by treating cells with exogenously added bacterial sphingomyelinase (SMase). The resulting ceramide was converted back to SM under conditions in which Golgi-to-plasma membrane transport was inhibited. When, in addition, endocytic uptake was inhibited, resynthesis of SM was no longer observed, suggesting that efficient SM synthesis occurs in the recycling route to the plasma membrane.

In the present paper we made use of DAB-cytochemistry (Courtoy et al., 1984; Stoorvogel et al., 1988) to separate
endosomes from Golgi and plasma membrane. This method employs endocytosed horseradish peroxidase (HRP) which, in the presence of peroxide (H₂O₂), converts 3,3′-diaminobenzidine (DAB) into a dense polymer. Enzymes present in HRP-containing endosomes may be cross-linked to the DAB-polymer and lose their activity (quenching). Furthermore, in cell fractionation experiments HRP-containing organelles equilibrate at higher densities in density gradients (shifting). We labeled the endocytic uptake and recycling pathway of the transferrin receptor using transferrin conjugated to HRP (Tf-HRP). The complete endocytic pathway, including lysosomes, was labeled using fluid phase endocytosed HRP (Fig. 1). Both HepG2 and BHK-21 cells were used, since, using DAB-cytchemistry, the routing of Tf-HRP and HRP has been extensively studied in the former cell line (Stoorvogel et al., 1987, 1988; Rijnboutt et al., 1992; Strous et al., 1993; van Weert et al., 1995), and an endosomal localization of SM-synthase has been proposed for the latter (Allan and Kallen, 1994).

No substantial amount of SM-synthase activity was detected in the endocytic pathway of either cell line. Instead, most SM-synthase activity was found at the Golgi and less than 10% at the cell surface.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

Cell culture plastics were obtained from Costar (Cambridge, MA) and cell culture media from Gibco (Paisley, UK). Horseradish peroxidase type VI (HRP), transferrin (Tf), 3,3′-diaminobenzidine (DAB), DNase I and bovine serum albumin fraction V (BSA) were from Sigma (St Louis, MO) and Percoll was obtained from Pharmacia (Uppsala, Sweden). N-6-[7-nitro-2,1,3-benzoxadiazol-4-yl] amino hexanolceramide (C₆-NBD-Cer) was from Molecular Probes (Eugene, OR), N-(lissamine rhodamine B sulfonyl)-phosphatidylethanolamine (N-Rh-PE) from Avanti (Alabaster, AL), Desferal from CIBA-Geigy (Basel, Switzerland), and MES and silica 60 TLC plates were from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). The NBD-analog of galactosylceramide (C₆-NBD-GalCer) was synthesized using the method described before (van Meer et al., 1987). All other chemicals and solvents were of analytical grade and obtained from Riedel-de Haën (Seelze, Germany).

125I-labeling of Tf and conjugation of HRP to Tf was carried out as described before (Stoorvogel et al., 1988).

Cell culture

Cells were grown and maintained as monolayer cultures on plastic dishes in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂ in air. HepG2 clone A16 was cultured in MEM with 10% FCS as described (Stoorvogel et al., 1987), and the fibroblast-like cell line BHK-21 clone C-13 (ATCC, Rockville, ML) was cultivated in Glasgow MEM with 10% FCS. Cells were free of mycoplasma contamination. For experiments, semi-confluent cell monolayers on 6 cm dishes were used.

DAB cytchemistry

DAB-cytchemistry was principally performed according to Stoorvogel et al. (1991). Cells were washed three times and incubated for 30 minutes at 37°C in MEM without bicarbonate, supplemented with 20 mM Hepes/NaOH, pH 7.2 (MEMH). Subsequently, cells were incubated in the presence of Tf-HRP (25 µg/ml) or HRP (3 mg/ml) in MEMH (1.5 ml) for 1 hour at 37°C, to load the recycling route to the plasma membrane and the complete endocytic pathway, respectively. In both cases the endosomal marker ¹²⁵Ι-Tf (2 µg/ml) was added as a positive control to validate the DAB-induced density shift protocol. Endocytosis and recycling was stopped by washing the cells with ice-cold MEMH. Tf and Tf-HRP bound to the cell surface was removed by incubating the cells with an acidic medium followed by an alkaline medium: cells were washed sequentially at 0°C, three times with MEMH (10 minutes in total), twice with 150 mM NaCl, 50 µM Desferal, 25 mM MES/Tris pH 5.0 (10 minutes in total), twice with MEMH (10 minutes in total), and twice with 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM CaCl₂, 50 µM Desferal, 10 mM glycine pH 9.0 (30 minutes in total). The pH 9 wash also efficiently removes extracellular HRP sticking to the plasma membrane (Geuze et al., 1988). Finally, cells were washed twice with ice-cold homogenization buffer (HB; 250 mM sucrose, 1 mM EDTA, 10 mM Hepes/NaOH, pH 7.2), scraped in 600 µl HB containing 0.2 mM PMSF, and homogenized by passage through a 23-gauge needle mounted on a syringe (10 strokes); essentially all cells were broken. Nuclei and intact cells were removed by centrifugation for 1 minute at 2,900 g. The postnuclear supernatant (PNS) contained 70-90% of the SM-synthase activity, endosomes (internalized ¹²⁵Ι-Tf), and plasma membrane (125I-Tf or N-Rh-PE bound in the cold, see below), and was not specifically enriched in SM-synthase activity, endosomes, or plasma membrane. The post-nuclear supernatant (PNS) of BHK-21 cells was treated with DNase I (50 µg/ml) for 10 minutes at 20°C to digest DNA released during cell homogenization. This DNA stuck to membranes and interfered with cell fractionation preventing the density-shift of HRP-loaded endosomes (DNaese treatment did not affect SM-synthase activity). Aliquots of PNS (250 µl) were mixed with 250 µl HB, containing both 2 mg/ml DAB and 0.04% H₂O₂, lacking both DAB and H₂O₂, containing H₂O₂ only, or containing DAB only. After a 20 minute incubation at 20°C, the SM-synthase activity was determined either directly (quench experiment) or after fractionation (shift experiment). For fractionation, 400 µl samples were layered on top of a 7.4 ml 20% Percoll solution in HB and centrifuged for 25 minutes at 32,000 rpm and 4°C in a Ti50 rotor (Beckman Instruments, Inc., Fullerton, CA). Twelve fractions (650 µl) were collected by downward displacement. For each fraction, ¹²⁵Ι-Tf was counted in a gamma-counter (LKB 1282 Wallac, Turku, Finland), and SM-synthase activity was assayed as indicated below.

Determination of sphingomyelin synthesis

SM-synthase activity was determined using the fluorescent short-chain analog C₆-NBD-Cer (10 µM). Aliquots of 200 µl were mixed with 200 µl C₆-NBD-Cer in HB containing 1% BSA (w/v), 2 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM MnCl₂, and incubated for 1 hour at 37°C. Lipids were extracted according to Bligh and Dyer (1959); all aqueous solutions were acidified to 10 mM acetic acid. After collecting the organic (lower) phase, the aqueous (upper) phase was reextracted with chloroform. Organic phases were pooled, dried under N₂, and applied to TLC plates using chloroform/methanol (2:1 v/v). Lipids were separated by two-dimensional TLC, using in the first dimension chloroform:methanol:ammonia:water (50:20:10:5 v/v). Fluorescent lipid spots were detected under UV-light, scraped, and quantified in a fluorimeter (Kontron, Zürich, Switzerland) as described before (van Meer et al., 1987).

Markers

Surface-bound and endocytosed ¹²⁵Ι-Tf were used as markers for the plasma membrane and the endosomes in the recycling route, respectively. To label the plasma membrane, cells were incubated with 2 µg ¹²⁵I-Tf/ml for 30 minutes on ice, and homogenized after extensive washing with ice-cold MEMH and HB. To label the recycling route, cells were incubated in the presence of 2 µg ¹²⁵I-Tf/ml for 1 hour at 37°C, after which cell surface-bound ¹²⁵I-Tf was removed (see above, under DAB-cytchemistry). ¹²⁵I-radioactivity was determined using a gamma counter.

The non-exchangeable long-chain fluorescent lipid N-Rh-PE was used as a second, independent, marker for the plasma membrane (Kok
et al., 1990); cells were incubated for 30 minutes at 0°C in the presence of 2 μM N-Rh-PE in Hanks’ balanced salt solution, supplemented with 10 mM Hepes, pH 7.35 (HBSS), and subsequently washed extensively with PBS and HB at 0°C. After fractionation and gradient centrifugation, N-Rh-PE was extracted, separated on TLC and quantified (Burger et al., 1996).

Ceramide glucosyltransferase (CGlT) was used as a Golgi marker (Futerman and Pagano, 1991; Jeckel et al., 1992; Strous et al., 1993). In short, gradient fractions were incubated for 1 hour at 37°C in HB containing 10 μM C6-NBD-Cer, 1 mM UDP-glucose, 1 mM MgCl2, and 1 mM MnCl2. The resulting C6-NBD-glucosylceramide was quantified after lipid extraction and TLC (as described in Determination of sphingomyelin synthesis).

When indicated, endocytosed C6-NBD-GalCer was used as a marker for endosomes and lysosomes (Kok et al., 1991); cells were incubated for 30 minutes on ice in the presence of 5 μM C6-NBD-GalCer (0.03% BSA in MEMH). After 3 washes with PBS and 2 with MEMH at 0°C, cells were incubated for 30 minutes at 37°C to allow endocytic uptake of C6-NBD-GalCer. C6-NBD-GalCer remaining at the cell surface was removed by incubating the cells in MEMH containing 2% BSA for 30 minutes at 0°C. After washing with MEMH and HB, the cells were fractionated and the C6-NBD-GalCer content of each gradient fraction was determined after lipid extraction and TLC analysis (see Determination of sphingomyelin synthesis).

**Sphingomyelin synthesis at the cell surface**
Cells were incubated at 10°C in HBSS supplemented with 1% (w/v) BSA (HBSS+BSA), and increasing concentrations of C6-NBD-Cer (van Helvoort et al., 1994). After 3 hours, the medium was refreshed and the incubation continued for 30 minutes at 10°C. Only the C6-NBD-SM synthesized at the cell surface is removed by the BSA present in the medium. Fluorescent lipids were extracted from the cells and the pooled media, and analyzed as described (see Determination of sphingomyelin synthesis).

**RESULTS**

**SM-synthase activity does not localize to endosomes**
To determine whether SM-synthase is localized to endosomes, DAB-cytochemistry was used. The endocytic pathway was labeled with peroxidase activity by allowing endocytic uptake of either Tf-HRP or HRP (Fig. 1). The cells were homogenized and incubated in the presence of DAB and H2O2. As a consequence of the HRP-activity, DAB polymerizes in the endosomes and/or lysosomes. This results in cross-linking of the endosomal protein content to the DAB-polymer (Ajikoa and Kaplan, 1987; Stoovogel et al., 1988, 1989; Rijnboutt et al., 1992), and may be accompanied by inactivation of some of the endosomal enzymes (Draye et al., 1988). In addition, the equilibrium density of endosomes is markedly increased by the presence of dense DAB-polymer. The addition of H2O2 alone did not affect SM synthesis in the PNS prepared from Tf-HRP- or HRP-loaded HepG2, or BHK-21 cells (Fig. 2A and B, respectively). The addition of DAB alone also did not affect the SM-synthase activity in the PNS of HepG2 cells, but the activity increased 1.3- to 1.5-fold in the PNS of BHK-21 cells (Fig. 2B). This increase in synthase activity may be due to the insertion of the rather hydrophobic DAB into membranes, somehow stimulating SM-synthase activity. We cannot explain why this effect was observed only for BHK-21 cells and not for HepG2 cells. Addition of both DAB and H2O2 resulted in the deposition of DAB-polymer in HRP-containing endosomes and/or lysosomes (see below) but did not affect SM-synthase activity as compared to addition of DAB alone. From these data we conclude that either SM-synthase is not present in the endosomes, or the activity of endosomal SM-synthase is not affected by DAB-polymerization. To exclude the latter explanation we determined whether the equilibrium density of membranes containing SM-synthase was affected by the formation of a DAB-polymer inside endosomes.
Cells were labeled with either Tf-HRP and $^{125}$I-Tf, or with HRP and $^{125}$I-Tf. Subsequently, the cells were homogenized and the post-nuclear supernatant (PNS) fractionated on Percoll density gradients. When the PNS was not treated with DAB and H$_2$O$_2$ prior to fractionation, the marker for early endosomes ($^{125}$I-Tf) and SM-synthase activity colocalized and peaked in fraction 7 of the Percoll density gradient (Fig. 3). When the PNS was fractionated after DAB-polymerization, $^{125}$I-Tf-labeled early endosomes shifted towards dense fractions of the gradient, both in cells loaded with Tf-HRP and in cells loaded with HRP (Fig. 3A and B, respectively). In contrast, the distribution of the SM-synthase activity did not change (Fig. 3C and D). Principally the same observations were made for BHK-21 cells. After cell fractionation on a Percoll density gradient, the marker for early endosomes ($^{125}$I-Tf) and SM-synthase activity largely colocalized and peaked in fraction 6 or 7 (Fig. 4). Fractionation of the PNS after DAB-polymerization revealed a clear shift of early endosomes towards the bottom of the gradient (Fig. 4A and B). However, SM-synthase activity did not shift under any of these conditions (Fig. 4C and D).

The high concentrations of Percoll in the bottom fractions

Fig. 2. Effect of DAB-cytochemistry on SM-synthase activity. HepG2 and BHK-21 cells were loaded with Tf-HRP or HRP as described in Materials and Methods. After homogenization, samples of the PNS were incubated, in the absence of DAB and H$_2$O$_2$, in the presence of DAB only, H$_2$O$_2$ only, or both DAB and H$_2$O$_2$. SM-synthase activity was assayed as described in Materials and Methods. Data are expressed relative to SM synthesis in the absence of DAB and H$_2$O$_2$ (arbitrary units; range with $n=2$).

Fig. 3. Effect of DAB-cytochemistry on the density distribution of $^{125}$I-Tf labeled endosomes and SM-synthase containing organelles in HepG2 cells. HepG2 cells were loaded with $^{125}$I-Tf and either Tf-HRP (A and C) or HRP (B and D). Samples of PNS were incubated in the absence (■) or presence (■) of DAB and H$_2$O$_2$, and fractionated on Percoll gradients. (A and B) The distribution of $^{125}$I-Tf loaded endosomes. (C and D) The SM-synthase activity in the same gradient. The same distribution of SM-synthase was obtained when 50 µM instead of 10 µM C6-NBD-Cer was used (not shown). As a control, Percoll gradient fractions lacking membranes were mixed with a fixed volume of PNS, and the SM-synthase activity analyzed (△ in C). One out of two independent experiments, each performed in duplicate, is shown. Range of values falls within symbol size. For additional marker analysis see Fig. 5.
of the gradient did not mask the SM-synthase activity nor influence the efficiency of lipid extraction. This was shown in a control experiment in which fractions of a Percoll gradient that had not been loaded with PNS were mixed with PNS and assayed for SM-synthase activity (triangles in Fig. 3C). To exclude the possibility that an SM-synthase activity in endosomes is not detected because of the presence of an SMase, experiments were performed in the absence of Mg\(^{2+}\) and Mn\(^{2+}\), but in the presence of 1 mM EDTA to inhibit the neutral SMase (Futerman et al., 1990): no effect on SM-synthase activity was found, neither on the gradient profile nor on the total amount of synthesis (data not shown). The results are also not affected by the activity of the acidic SMase present in endosomes because this enzyme is inactive at the assay conditions used (at pH 7.2 and in the absence of ATP the endosome is pH neutral).

We conclude that in HepG2 and BHK-21 cells SM-synthase is not located in significant amounts in organelles reached by Tf-HRP or HRP, i.e. the early or late endosomes and lysosomes.

**SM synthesis at the cell surface**

Our data clearly indicate that SM-synthase is absent from the endocytic route, but do not exclude other post-Golgi locations of SM-synthase. Futerman et al. (1990) found that part of the SM-synthase activity cofractionated with the plasma membrane. Assuming that the same enzyme is present in the Golgi and plasma membrane, the luminal orientation of SM-synthase in the Golgi (Futerman et al., 1990; Futerman and Pagano, 1991; Jeckel et al., 1992) predicts that the SM-synthase in the plasma membrane has its active center at the cell surface. Indeed, we concluded from experiments performed at low temperature that part of the SM-synthase activity was present at the outer leaflet of the plasma membrane (van Helvoort et al., 1994). Because the plasma membrane was not separated from the Golgi in Percoll density gradients (Fig. 5), we used the low temperature assay to determine the relative SM-synthase activity at the cell surface. At 10°C, short-chain C\(_6\)-NBD-Cer permeates through membranes and reaches all possible locations of SM-synthase activity within the cell and at the cell surface. However, at this temperature intracellularly synthesized C\(_6\)-NBD-SM does not reach the cell surface because vesicular transport is inhibited. Therefore, only the C\(_6\)-NBD-SM made at the cell surface is removed from the cells by BSA in the incubation medium. Since the amount of C\(_6\)-NBD-SM synthesized can be expected to be dependent on the local concentration of C\(_6\)-NBD-Cer, and the availability of C\(_6\)-NBD-Cer may be higher at the plasma membrane compared to the Golgi, we determined the C\(_6\)-NBD-SM synthesis as a function of the C\(_6\)-NBD-Cer concentration. Fig. 6 (insert) shows the relative amount of C\(_6\)-NBD-SM synthesized at the cell surface as a function of the C\(_6\)-NBD-Cer concentration used. Under conditions where both the intracellular and the cell surface SM-synthase activities were saturated we detected about 4% of the SM-synthase activity at the cell surface of HepG2 cells, while this was 8% in BHK-21 cells (Fig. 6). We conclude that a small proportion of SM-synthase activity is located at the cell surface.

**DISCUSSION**

In subfractionation studies, SM-synthase was found to colo-
calize with early Golgi markers (Futerman et al., 1990; Jeckel et al., 1990). However, these subfractionation experiments did not exclude an endosomal localization of SM-synthase; endosomal markers were not analyzed and endosomes and Golgi often colocalize on density gradients. Recently, Allan, Kallen and coworkers indeed suggested that the major SM pool, plasma membrane SM, is synthesized in the plasma membrane recycling route (Allan and Kallen, 1994; Kallen et al., 1993). We used DAB-cytchemistry to separate endosomes from Golgi, and determine whether SM-synthase is present in endosomes, in the Golgi, or in both.

HepG2 and BHK-21 cells were loaded with Tf-HRP or HRP and DAB-polymerization was induced after cell homogenization. We show that the SM-synthase is not inactivated (Fig. 2) or shifted on density gradients (Figs 3 and 4) upon DAB-polymerization in endosomes or lysosomes. Since Tf-HRP efficiently labeled the early endosomes of the recycling route to the plasma membrane, and HRP reached the complete endocytic route including late endosomes and lysosomes (Stoorvogel et al., 1988; Rijnboutt et al., 1992; Strous et al., 1993), our data indicate that little, if any, SM-synthase is present in the endocytic pathway. An additional argument against the presence of SM-synthase in lysosomes is the almost complete absence of SM-synthase activity at the high density fractions of the Percoll gradient where lysosomes fractionate (Fig. 5; see also van Weert et al., 1995 and Stoorvogel et al., 1991). Finally, in HepG2 cells endocytosed Tf-HRP and HRP are also transported to the trans-Golgi network (TGN) (Stoorvogel et al., 1988; Rijnboutt et al., 1992; Strous et al., 1993), suggesting that at least in this cell line SM-synthase is not present in the TGN. The absence of SM-synthase activity from the TGN of HepG2 cells is consistent with other experiments: in brefeldin A-sensitive cell lines, brefeldin A induces transfer of endosomal markers to the TGN (Lippincott-Schwartz et al.,
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ceramide, the substrate of SM-synthase, is present at the plasma membrane (Hannun, 1994; Andrieu et al., 1996). This ceramide can easily cross the cytosolic leaflet of the plasma membrane (Linar dic and translocate across the plasma membrane and reach the SM-generating high concentrations of ceramide presumably in the exoplasmic leaflet of the plasma membrane. Currently there is no evidence available indicating that de novo synthesized ceramide, the substrate of SM-synthase, is present at the plasma membrane. Ceramide is synthesized in the ER, and plasma membrane proteins lacking an internalization signal, only about 10% is present in endosomes (Courtoy, 1991).

Since over 90% of SM-synthase activity is located inside the cell and the enzyme is absent from the endocytic route and TGN, we conclude, in agreement with the subfractionation data available from the literature (Lipsky and Pagano, 1985a; Futerman et al., 1990; Jeckel et al., 1990; Schweizer et al., 1994), that the majority of the SM-synthase activity is located in the Golgi. A small proportion of SM-synthase activity, 4% in HepG2 and 8% in BHK-21 cells, is localized at the exoplasmic leaflet of the plasma membrane. Currently there is no evidence available indicating that de novo synthesized ceramide, the substrate of SM-synthase, is present at the plasma membrane. Ceramide is synthesized in the ER, and therefore expected to reach the Golgi more readily than the plasma membrane. Thus the relative contribution of cell surface SM-synthase in the biosynthesis of SM may be much less than 4-8%. However, surface SM-synthase may play a role in signal transduction at the plasma membrane. Cell activation often results in the stimulation of a plasma membrane SMase generating high concentrations of ceramide presumably in the cytosolic leaflet of the plasma membrane (Linar dic and Hannun, 1994; Andrieu et al., 1996). This ceramide can easily translocate across the plasma membrane and reach the SM-synthase at the exoplasmic leaflet. Thus, SM-synthase may have an important function in removing ceramide from the plasma membrane and releasing diacylglycerol. Interestingly, SM-synthase may also be capable of catalyzing the reverse reaction, the formation of phosphatidylcholine from diacylglycerol and SM, and may therefore regulate the concentration in the plasma membrane of the two important second messengers, ceramide and diacylglycerol (van Helvoort et al., 1994).

The conclusion that the major site of SM-synthase is located in endosomes in the recycling route (Allan and Kallen, 1994; Kallen et al., 1993) was based on experiments in which cells were treated with bacterial SMase yielding high concentrations of ceramide in the exoplasmic leaflet of the plasma membrane. This ceramide was found to be converted back to SM even in cells that were pretreated with brefeldin A (Allan and Kallen, 1994; Kallen et al., 1993), a drug that inhibits vesicular traffic between the Golgi and plasma membrane. Because surface SM-synthase is not inhibited by brefeldin A (data not shown), we consider it likely that resynthesis of SM in brefeldin A-treated cells is catalyzed by the SM-synthase at the cell surface, and not by a SM-synthase in the endocytic route. However, we have currently no explanation for why resynthesis of SM was inhibited in mitotic and energy-depleted cells, in which SM-synthase activity at the cell surface is expected to be normal.

Together, our data are consistent with the literature (Futerman et al., 1990; Jeckel et al., 1990), and strongly indicate that SM-synthase is present in the Golgi and at the plasma membrane, and is virtually absent from the endocytic route and the TGN. Unfortunately, attempts to purify SM-synthase or clone its encoding DNA have not been successful so far. This purification is an important objective for future research, since it will allow antibodies to be generated against the protein. These antibodies will be useful to confirm the intracellular distribution of SM-synthase by immuno-electron microscopy. SM-synthase-encoding cDNA could be used to screen for homologous proteins and answer the question of whether the SM-synthase in the Golgi and plasma membrane are identical or whether different isoenzymes are present at different locations. In the end this will allow us to better understand how the SM content of the various intracellular membranes is regulated.
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