Predicting the duration of antiviral treatment needed to suppress plasma HIV-1 RNA


Published in:
The journal of clinical investigation

DOI:
10.1172/JCI9079

Citation for published version (APA):
Rizzardi, G. P., de Boer, R. J., Hoover, S., Tambussi, G., Chapuis, A., Halkic, N., ... Pantaleo, G. (2000). Predicting the duration of antiviral treatment needed to suppress plasma HIV-1 RNA. The journal of clinical investigation, 105, 777-782. DOI: 10.1172/JCI9079

General rights
It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations
If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: http://uba.uva.nl/en/contact, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.
Predicting the duration of antiviral treatment needed to suppress plasma HIV-1 RNA

G. Paolo Rizzardi,1 Rob J. De Boer,2 Shelley Hoover,3 Giuseppe Tambussi,4 Aude Chapuis,1 Nermin Halkic,5 Pierre-Alexandre Bart,1 Veronica Miller,6 Schlomo Staszewski,6 Daan W. Notermans,7 Luc Perrin,8 Cecil H. Fox,3 Joep M.A. Lange,7 Adriano Lazzarin,4 and Giuseppe Pantaleo1

1Laboratory of AIDS Immunopathogenesis, Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Vaudois, University of Lausanne, 1011 Lausanne, Switzerland
2Theoretical Biology, University of Utrecht, 3584 CH Utrecht, the Netherlands
3Molecular Histology Inc., Gaithersburg, Maryland 20879, USA
4Division of Infectious Diseases, San Raffaele Scientific Institute, 20127 Milan, Italy
5Department of General Surgery, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Vaudois, University of Lausanne, 1011 Lausanne, Switzerland
6Klinikum der Johann Wolfgang Goethe-Universitat, Klinik III Infektiologie, Institut für Medizinische, Frankfurt, Germany
7National AIDS Therapy Evaluation Centre (NATEC) and Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases, Academic Medical Centre, University of Amsterdam, 1105 Amsterdam, the Netherlands
8Central Laboratory of Virology, Hôpitaux Universitaires de Genève, University of Geneva, 1211 Geneva, Switzerland

Address correspondence to: Giuseppe Pantaleo, Laboratoire d’Immunopathologie du SIDA, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Vaudois BT02/222, 1011 Lausanne, Switzerland. Phone: 41-21-3141063; Fax: 41-21-3141070; E-mail: Giuseppe.Pantaleo@chuv.hospvd.ch.

Received for publication December 6, 1999, and accepted in revised form January 25, 2000.

Effective therapeutic interventions and clinical care of adults infected with HIV-1 require an understanding of factors that influence time of response to antiretroviral therapy. We have studied a cohort of 118 HIV-1–infected subjects naive to antiretroviral therapy and have correlated the time of response to treatment with a series of virological and immunological measures, including levels of viral load in blood and lymph node, percent of CD4 T cells in lymph nodes, and CD4 T-cell count in blood at study entry. Suppression of viremia below the limit of detection, 50 HIV-1 RNA copies/mL of plasma, served as a benchmark for a successful virological response. We employed these correlations to predict the length of treatment required to attain a virological response in each patient. Baseline plasma viremia emerged as the factor most tightly correlated with the duration of treatment required, allowing us to estimate the required time as a function of this one measure.


Introduction
It has been clearly demonstrated that HIV-1 protease inhibitor–containing regimens (1–4) induce effective suppression of HIV replication. There is, however, large variation in the time observed for the levels of viremia to decrease below the limit of detection of the standard assays used for the determination of viremia (i.e., 50 HIV-1 RNA copies/mL). The decrease of viremia below the level of 50 HIV-1 RNA copies is widely accepted to reflect effective virological response to antiretroviral therapy (5). Previous studies have shown that the extent and the duration of viral suppression after combination antiretroviral therapy were dependent upon the levels of plasma viremia at study entry and at the nadir, respectively (6–10). However, the ability of virological and immunological factors in blood and lymphoid tissue to predict the time of the virological response to treatment has not been fully delineated, and the estimates of the average time of treatment required to decrease the levels of viremia below 50 copies have not yet been determined. To address these issues, it is crucial to study cohorts of HIV-infected subjects naive to highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART), because in HAART-experienced patients the antiviral activity of antiretroviral drugs may be negatively influenced by preexisting protease inhibitor–resistant and nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor–resistant virus mutants (11).

Methods

Study cohorts. In this study, 118 HIV-infected adults were included. All patients were naive to any antiretroviral drug, had a baseline CD4+ T-cell count greater or equal to 250 cells/μL, and a baseline viremia greater or equal to 5,000 HIV-1 RNA copies/mL of plasma. All patients were enrolled in different clinical trials: (a) 33 HIV-1–infected patients enrolled in the CNAB2006 study (12) are being treated with abacavir (300 mg every 12 hours) and amprenavir (1,200 mg every 12 hours); (b) 22 HIV-1–infected patients enrolled in the AVIB study (13) are being treated either with abacavir (300 mg every 12 hours), nelfinavir (1,250 mg every 12 hours), and saquinavir soft gel cap-
sules (1,200 mg every 12 hours) (n = 12) or abacavir (300 mg every 12 hours), nelfinavir (250 mg every 12 hours), and amprenavir (1,200 mg every 12 hours) (n = 10); (c) 19 HIV-1–infected patients, enrolled in the outpatient cohort of the Department of Infectious Diseases, San Raffaele Scientific Institute, Milan, Italy, are being treated with stavudine (40 mg every 12 hours), lamivudine (150 mg every 12 hours), and nelfinavir (750 mg every 8 hours); (d) 34 HIV-1–infected patients enrolled in the ADAM and NUCB.2019 studies (14, 15) have been treated with either stavudine (40 mg every 12 hours), lamivudine (150 mg every 12 hours), nelfinavir (750 mg every 8 hours), and saquinavir hard gel capsules (600 mg every 8 hours) or zidovudine (300 mg every 12 hours), lamivudine (150 mg every 12 hours), and ritonavir (600 mg every 12 hours); (e) 10 HIV-1–infected patients enrolled in the CNA3005 study (16) are being treated with Combivir (zidovudine 300 mg/lamivudine 150 mg) twice daily in combination with either indinavir (800 every 8 hours) or abacavir (300 mg every 12 hours). Fifty-three out of 118 subjects underwent an excisional lymph node biopsy before the initiation of HAART. In all subjects, plasma viremia was measured at study entry, at weeks 2 and 4, once every 4 weeks until week 24, and every 12 weeks thereafter. All patients gave informed consent.

**Determination of plasma viremia.** Determination of plasma HIV-1 RNA concentration was routinely performed with the Amplicor HIV Monitor assay (Roche Pharma, Basel, Switzerland) with a limit of detection of either 400 or 50 RNA copies/mL.

**Virological measures in lymph nodes.** Viral load in lymph nodes was distinguished between virus-expressing cells and RNA encapsidated in virions on the surfaces of follicular dendritic cells (FDC) (17–24). Quantification of these 2 forms of virus in lymph nodes was performed by in situ hybridization using HIV-specific radiolabeled active RNA probes (20, 25) and imaging analysis (26, 27).

For determinations of quantitative imaging of in situ hybridizations 2 reference standards were used. First, a viral control reference was prepared by constructing an artificial tissue (26) containing a known number of viral particles in reference to the numbers of picocuries, the virus control reference was used. Varying known amounts of beta radiation striking the imaging plate. Carbon was selected as a reference standard for isotopic sulfur because the decay energy of $^{13}$C (0.156 Mev) is only slightly less than that of $^{35}$S (0.167 Mev). This slide was exposed with each group of test slides. By including a control radiation standard and hybridization standard we could compensate for differences in lots of radiolabeled riboprobe. Tissues were hybridized with either $^{35}$S HIV sense or antisense probes by a well-established protocol (25). The resulting slides were exposed on BAS 5000 imaging plates (Fuji Medical Systems Inc., Stamford, Connecticut, USA) for 4 days at room temperature. The image plates were read, and the data were transferred to compact disks for storage and analysis. The slides were then made into autoradiograms by dipping into Kodak NPT 2 emulsion (Eastman Kodak Co. Scientific Imaging Systems, New Haven, Connecticut, USA) followed by 4 days exposure at 4°C. Determination of single HIV-expressing cells was done by imaging sections of lymph nodes on Fuji 5000 phosphorstorage imager (Fuji Medical Systems Inc.) at a resolution of 25 mm, and results were expressed as the number of virus-expressing cells/100 mm$^2$. The resulting images were compared with the autoradiograms. HIV-1 RNA–expressing cells were identified and circled with MacBAS 2.5 software (Fuji Medical Systems Inc.), and the number of photo-stimulated luminescence (PSL) radiation units were measured. For calculation, the total PSL per cell and/or per lymph node tissue section were determined using MacBAS 2.5 software. To compare results obtained from different runs using varying lots of radiolabeled riboprobe, the radioactivity of the tissue sections in relation to the carbon reference standard was determined, measured in picocuries. To this extent, 16 dilutions of the $^{13}$C radiation standard were used. The number of PSL for each dilution was measured and divided by the corresponding carbon-specific activity expressed in picocuries, and the average number of PSL per picocuries of the 16 dilutions was calculated. For each sample lymph node section obtained from individual patients the number of PSL per square millimeter was divided by the average number of PSL per picocure of the carbon standard run in the same session. This allowed expression of the number of picocuries per square millimeter for each patient’s tissue section analyzed. Then, to determine the numbers of viral particles in reference to the numbers of picocuries, the virus control reference was used. Varying known amounts of viral particles per square millimeter were divided by the corresponding value of picocuries per square millimeter obtained using the carbon standard as explained previously, and the number of viral particles per picocure was calculated. Based on these calculations, the average number of virus particles per picocure was 1650.9; this number was used as a constant to calculate the number of viral particle equivalents per square millimeter. Therefore, the number of picocuries
per square millimeter of tissue sections from individual patients was multiplied by this constant number (1650.9) to calculate the estimates of the number of viral particles per square millimeter for each patient. The results were expressed as viral genomic equivalents (VGE) per square millimeter, where 1 VGE corresponds to 2 HIV-1 RNA copies. With this approach it is possible therefore to: (a) count the number of HIV-1–expressing cells on lymph node sections and thus assess the number of cells per 100/mm² of tissue and (b) calculate for each sample the number of VGE per square millimeter, which corresponds to the FDC-associated HIV-1 RNA. To distinguish between cell-associated and FDC-associated HIV-1 RNA, the percentage of PSL due to virus-expressing cells were calculated for each sample and then subtracted from the total PSL; this allows a precise quantitation of the FDC-associated HIV-1 RNA. The mean percent of PSL due to virus-expressing cells in 53 lymph node samples was 3.16, ranging between 0.29 and 10.9%. Therefore, consistent with previous studies (28, 29), the FDC-associated HIV-1 RNA in HIV-1–infected persons with established chronic infection represented ≥ 90–95% of the total virus detected in the lymph nodes. Furthermore, using this technique the estimates of the number of viral particles per cell were also similar to those reported previously (28, 29). The average number of viral particles per PSL was 34. Because the number of PSL per cell was never greater than 6, the estimated number of viral particles per cell was generally below 200.

Flow cytometry. Flow cytometry analysis was performed as described previously (21). Anti-CD3, anti-CD4, and anti-CD8 mAbs conjugated with either FITC, phycoerythrin (PE), or peridinin chlorophyll (PerCP) were used (Becton-Dickinson Immunocytometry Systems, San Jose, California, USA). Determination of percent of CD4 and CD8 was performed on freshly isolated blood and lymph node mononuclear cells.

Statistical analysis. A series of virological and immunological measures were assessed in both blood and lymph node before the initiation of HAART and evaluated for their ability to serve as a predictor of the time of response to HAART. The virological response was defined as a plasma viremia below 50 copies/mL. To estimate the date at which the viral load dropped below 50 copies/mL, we reviewed each patient’s time series to find the “last sample” at which load was greater than 50 and the “first sample” at which RNA load was less than 50. The second phase of viral decline was fitted by a linear regression on the log data starting after week 4 and ending with the first sample at which RNA was greater than 50. By the regression line we calculated the exact date at which the RNA load was expected to be 50 copies. If this predicted date was not between the dates of the last and first samples, we dropped the first data point of the time series and recalculation the regression line. This was repeated until the prediction fulfilled the constraint of being between the last and first samples. The worst case of this procedure is a simple interpolation, between the last and first samples. The 95% confidence intervals (see Figure 3) is twice the standard error, because the Student t value is 2 for P values less than 0.05 and df = 116.

Results
In this study, 118 treatment-naive HIV-infected adults with CD4+ T-cell count of ≥ 250 cells/µL and plasma HIV-1 RNA ≥ 5,000 copies/mL, were treated with HAART (12–16). Fifty-three out of 118 subjects underwent an excisional lymph node biopsy before the initi-
Correlation among virological and immunological measures in blood and lymph node. The relationships among the different virological and immunological measures in both blood and lymph node were first analyzed. In previous studies, the combination of quantitative imaging analysis of viral load in lymphoid tissue with mathematical modeling provided evidence that the viral load in lymphoid tissue was correlated with the amount of virus detected in blood (28, 29). These findings were confirmed with a large number of patients (n = 53) in the present study. The numbers of HIV-1–expressing cells measured in lymph nodes of HIV-1–infected subjects at baseline, i.e., before the initiation of HAART, were significantly correlated with both the levels of plasma viremia (r = 0.35; P = 0.01; n = 53) and with the FDC-associated HIV-1 RNA (r = 0.51; P < 0.0001; n = 49) (Figure 1). There was, however, only a trend toward correlation between FDC-associated HIV-1 RNA and plasma viremia (r = 0.25; P = 0.07; n = 49) (Figure 1). Similar to previous studies (30, 31), the CD4 T-cell count was inversely correlated with levels of plasma viremia (r = –0.34; P = 0.002; n = 83). Furthermore, the percent values of CD4 T cells in lymph node were inversely correlated with both the numbers of virus-expressing cells (r = –0.33; P = 0.014; n = 53) and FDC-associated HIV-1 RNA (r = –0.43; P = 0.002; n = 49). These analyses were necessary to validate the use of these measures for the correlation with the time of response to treatment and for the assessment of the estimates of the time required to attain the virological response.

Relationship between virological and immunological measures and the time of virological response to HAART. To determine the relationship between the virological and immunological measures discussed above and the time of the virological response to HAART, i.e., viremia below 50 HIV-1 RNA copies/mL, it was important to study cohorts of subjects in whom the institution of HAART was associated with a suppression of plasma viremia below 50 HIV-1 RNA copies/mL over time. Indeed, after HAART, a decrease of plasma viremia below 50 HIV-1 RNA copies/mL was observed after varying periods of time, ranging between week 2 and week 24, in all 118 subjects studied. It was, therefore, tested whether each of the virological and immunological measures in blood and lymph node were correlated with the time to decrease plasma viremia below 50 copies/mL (see Methods). Among the virological measures, baseline plasma viremia (r = 0.48; P < 0.0001; n = 118), baseline numbers of virus-expressing cells in lymph node (r = 0.45; P = 0.001; n = 53) and FDC-associated HIV-1 RNA (r = 0.31; P = 0.032; n = 49) were significantly correlated with the time to suppress viremia below 50 copies/mL (Figure 2).

For each patient we determined the exponential slope of viral decay between week 2 and the time point at which viremia dropped below 50 copies/mL. This “second phase” exponential slope of viremia of each individual patient was not correlated with baseline viremia (r = –0.055, P = 0.61). There was little variation in our estimate of the late decay rate (mean ± SD, –0.049 ± 0.04), which corresponded to a half-life of 14 days. This
is in good agreement with the second-phase virus dynamics obtained by Perelson et al. in previous studies (32, 33). In our cohort there were too few data points before week 2 to estimate the first-phase decay rate. The fact that patients differ little in the second-phase slopes explains the good correlation between baseline plasma viremia and baseline virological measures in lymph node and baseline plasma viremia and the time of response to HAART.

Regarding the immunological measures, both baseline percentage of CD4 T cells in lymph node and blood CD4 T-cell count were weakly correlated with the time to suppress viremia below 50 copies/mL (r = 0.26, P = 0.06; n = 53; and r = 0.26, P = 0.02; n = 83, respectively).

Analysis of the ability of virological measures in blood and lymph node to serve as predictors of the time of response to HAART. Based on these results, it was determined whether baseline plasma viremia and virological measures in lymph nodes may serve as a predictor for the expected time of response to HAART. This estimated time period (see Methods) was correlated with the log₁₀ baseline viremia, with the log₁₀ baseline number of HIV-1–expressing cells per 100 mm² of lymphoid tissue and with the log₁₀ baseline amount of FDC-associated HIV-1 RNA. The regression lines in Figure 2 provide a prediction for the expected time to drop levels of viremia below 50 HIV-1 RNA copies/mL, as a function of each baseline value. In the cohort of 118 subjects studied, the average baseline viremia was 4.78 log₁₀ copies/mL. The baseline numbers of virus-expressing cells (n = 53) and of FDC-associated HIV-1 RNA (n = 49) were 1.86 log₁₀ cells per 100 mm² of lymph node tissue and 3.86 log₁₀ VGE/mm² (which corresponds to the FDC-associated HIV-1 RNA), respectively. For the average baseline numbers of virus-expressing cells and VGE in the lymph node, the expected time of treatment required to attain a virological response was 83 days, whereas the time predicted by the mean baseline plasma viremia was 73 days. Therefore, these virological measures from both blood and lymph nodes had comparable ability to predict the length of treatment required to attain a virological response.

Estimates of the duration of treatment required to suppress viremia below 50 HIV-1 RNA copies/mL. Estimates of the duration of treatment required to attain a virological response at the study population level can be calculated according to different values of baseline viremia. As mentioned previously, the correlations between baseline viremia and the time of response to therapy allowed calculation of the average time of treatment required (73 days) to attain a virological response in our population of HIV-infected adults with a mean plasma viremia of 4.78 log₁₀ copies/mL. The regression line in Figure 2a can be used for estimating the average time of response to therapy for different baseline viremia. On average, for patients with baseline levels of viremia of 3.0 (1,000 HIV-1 RNA copies), 3.5 (3,200 HIV-1 RNA copies), 4.0 (10,000 copies), 4.5 (32,000 copies), 5.0 (100,000 copies), 5.5 (317,000 copies), and 6.0 (1,000,000 copies) log₁₀ HIV-1 RNA copies/mL, the estimated required number of days (with 95% confidence limits of the mean) was 15 ± 20, 31 ± 15, 48 ± 10, 64 ± 7, 81 ± 6, 97 ± 10, and 113 ± 15, respectively (Figure 3).

Discussion

Overall, these results indicate that baseline plasma viremia is the best predictor of the time of the virological response to HAART. Therefore, baseline viremia is not only the best predictor of the rate of progression of HIV-1 disease (30, 31) but also of the time of response to treatment. Most importantly, evidence is provided that viral load in blood and in lymph nodes has comparable power in predicting the time of the virological response to HAART. This is particularly important from a practical standpoint, because it indicates that an accurate evaluation of the time to attain the virological response to HAART can be obtained by the rather simple determination of viral load in the plasma. Furthermore, the results shown in this study provide the first accurate estimate of the duration, i.e., number of days, of treatment required to suppress viremia below 50 copies/mL according to varying levels of baseline plasma viremia. This analysis has been performed at the study population level. As expected, at the level of the individual patient, a larger variability in the response time to HAART has been observed (see Figure 2a). This is likely because of a series of factors, including suboptimal adherence to therapy and food- and/or drug-to-drug interactions that might partially affect the efficacy of HAART. Nonetheless, the estimates at the study population level are representative of the
large majority of the 118 HIV-infected adults studied, because 73% of patients had a time of response to treatment within the upper 95% confidence interval of the mean estimate calculated according to varying baseline levels of plasma viremia. These estimates conceivably can be applied to any effective antiretroviral treatment. In fact, the antiviral potency of the HAART combinations used in this study was at least comparable to that observed in previous studies (1–3). These estimates are potentially of great practical value in evaluating the efficacy of the therapeutic regimen used and in guiding the clinical management of HIV-infected patients.
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