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Preface

At the start of the nineteenth century, the Netherlands was probably the most highly urbanized country in Europe, and the one with the most significant urban patriciate. The evolution of this bourgeois elite from the regents of the Dutch Republic through to the financial and political elites of the twentieth century is of special interest to those interested in the European history of bourgeois society and aristocracy. The Netherlands is equally of interest to those concerned with the relationship between religion and politics, since nineteenth-century modernization is bound up with the typical phenomenon of religious segmentation between Roman Catholics and different Protestant denominations, which is known as pillarization. On both subjects a large amount of work in various parts of the Netherlands has been completed, although a national synthesis of this very complex material does not yet exist. In fact, one of the aims of this study is to show how, parallel to the Grand Narrative of national history, a kaleidoscope of micro-histories reveals the local elite’s contribution to the making of the modern nation.

In contrast to the Golden Age of the Dutch Republic, so vividly portrayed by Anglo-American historians, the nineteenth century history of the Netherlands has received scarce attention from abroad. From a Dutch perspective, however, we are fortunate in having Ernst Kossmann’s The Low Countries (1978), that offers an unsurpassed survey of the history of both the Netherlands and Belgium from the 1780s to 1940, although it is mainly confined to the world of parliamentary politics and intellectual ideas. Compensating for Kossmann’s blind eye for religion, though, the English reader also has at his disposal an outstanding introduction to the complexities of religious life in Michael Wintle’s Pillars of Piety (1987). Yet both studies neglect the role of the elites, and appeared too early to profit from the results of recent historical research into nationalism, liberal culture, and pillarization. Thus the unique way Dutch society was organized on the principles of both class and religion still needs to be put on the map of European history. As a first contribution to this self-proclaimed task, I hope the reader will forgive me for jumping a few times into the morass of theory by challenging some strong preconceptions concerning the conciliatory nature of Dutch history. For the same reason I have to apologize for the way this essay is burdened with references. This is a deliberate attempt to offer the English reader a wide selection of recent Dutch research, that may permit the notables to take their legitimate place in literature.

1 Thus the Netherlands are omitted in recent comparative studies on religion and aristocracy, such as Hugh McLeod (ed.), European Religion in the Age of the Great Cities, 1830-1930 (London/New York, 1993); Dominie Laeven, The Aristocracy in Europe, 1815-1914 (Hampshire, 1992); Jürgen Kocka and Allan Mitchell (eds.), Bourgeois Society in Nineteenth-Century Europe (Oxford, 1995).
I. Introduction: The Age of the Notables

'I love the hoary notables, walking slowly on the square: the vicar, the doctor, and the notary; so unmistakably honest and honourable in their grey suits, while their faces are lined with a sense of duty.' By this 'declaration of love' the Dutch poet Jan Greshoff (1888-1971) revealed his love-hate relationship with an old ruling class in the interwar period, and mercilessly portrayed its outdated respectability. Living in a parochial world of order, embedded in rules, manners and morals, their lifestyle seems to have been a product of immutable laws of nature instead of historical change and social conflicts. Yet, as Greshoff noticed, for those 'living monuments at the square' from whom the world concealed no secrets: 'things would take a turn'.

In fact this prediction had already come true. The old certainties had disappeared long before the First World War, when the notables lost their natural supremacy in trade and banking, politics, and culture. The vicar, the doctor and the notary were the last survivors of a dying class of patricians, enriched by trade and banking, that had dominated Dutch society from the end of the Middle Ages. Yet the rise of the industrial bourgeoisie in the Netherlands is much better documented than the decline and fall of this burgher-aristocracy, which went almost unnoticed. To foreigners Dutch society may seem only to comprehend by viewing it through the spectacles of the age-old antagonism of the merchants and the righteous. Yet among the Dutch themselves the merchant-regency has been erased from collective memory, and its nationwide heritage - canals, townhouses, parks and country seats - functions at best as an object of commercial exploitation.

This has to do with the fact that in the Netherlands the nineteenth century has for long been

---

4 J. Greshoff, Liefdesverklaring quoted from H.J.L. Vonhoff, De zindelijke burgerheren. Een halve eeuw liberalisme (Baarn, 1965), p. 15. For an outline of notable manners and etiquette in this period, primarily based on prescriptive literature, see Ileen Montijn, Leven op stand 1890-1940 (Amsterdam, 1998), and for an outstanding analyses of the decay of aristocratic lifestyle and the rituals of the Season around 1900, based on the diaries of the Frisian 'Freule' A.W. van Andringa de Kempenaer: Yme Kuiper and Mirjam Wagter, 'De uitgaande wereld van Leeuwarden 1860-1900', Leeuwarder Historische Reeks, VI (1997), 210-266.


6 See e.g. D.S. Meldrum, Home Life in Holland (London 1911), and for a recent illustration: Ernst Zahn, Regenten, rebellen en reformatoren. Een visie op Nederland en de Nederlanders (Amsterdam, 1989), a work of a German sociologist, praised by the Dutch historian Ernst Kossmann for its intellectual distinction, though even this eminent Whig historian admitted: 'No, such a tranquillity, so much continuity, such a monotony, could history in this land not have been.' (E.H. Kossmann, 'Een land van tolerante kerkgangers', NRHandelsblad, 6-4-1990).

7 As in England, the heritage industry has contributed to an outpouring of coffee-table books on country houses in particular, but it has only very recently become an object of academical interest: Erik de Jong, Yme Kuiper and Rob van der Laarse (eds.), Beelden van de Hollandse buitenplaats: elitevorming en elitecultuur in de korte 19de eeuw (1815-1880), to be issued autumn 1999 in co-production with Tuinkunst: Jaarboek van Tuin- en Landschapsgeschiedenis (containing English summaries).
regarded a period of stagnation and retardation, whereas the period round about 1900 is considered a time of progress and optimism instead of fin-de-siècle decadence and pessimism. In fact, this contrastive image is strongly influenced by the ‘Writers of the Eighties’ and the following Functionalist Movement (Nieuwe Zakelijkheid), of which Greshoff himself was a descendant. The selfstyled bohemians of the 1880s, that were known as ‘young Holland’ or ‘young Amsterdam’, felt more at home among the beau monde of the new, fashionable quarters of the Amsterdam metropolis or the modern villas in Het Gooi near Hilversum, than among the aristocracy within the old ring of canals; and a decade later, most of them ended up as radical chic. Thus the notable past had become a foreign country not only to the political mass leaders of the middle and lower classes, who were waiting to be admitted to civic society, but also to the bourgeoisie’s own offspring: the cultural avant-garde.  

The purpose of this monograph is to explain the Janus-faced character of Dutch society. In some countries, such as France, democracy developed after some sort of revolutionary break, while in others, such as England, the transition from the ancien regime to modernity took a more gradual form. The Netherlands’ route to democracy was, however, a combination of both change and continuity. The national state itself dates only from the Batavian Revolution of 1795, predated by the Patriot Revolt of the 1780s and completed by the Orangist Restoration of 1813-1815. But there is no vestige in the nation’s memory of this revolutionary episode: nineteenth-century civic ritual revived the old-republican liberation mythology, by commemorating the endless number of sieges of the ‘Protestant Nation’ in the Eighty Years War against Habsburg Spain (1568-1648), accompanied by the victorious Battle of Waterloo (1815), and the Ten Days Campaign (1831) against the rebellious Belgians. The notable fighters - mostly student volunteers and civic guards officers - were honoured by the royalist memorials of the Societies of the Silver and the Metal Cross, a ritual that lasted until the end of century. This heroic calendar made the Low Countries one glorious landscape dotted with lieux de mémoire. 

8 Cf. the special issue on the problem of a Dutch fin de siècle, of Bijdragen en Mededelingen betreffende de Geschiedenis van Nederland, 106 (1991) 4.
10 Cf. E. Koolhaas-Grosveld, ‘Nationale versus goede smaak. Bevordering van nationale kunst in Nederland: 1780-1840’, Tijdschrift voor Geschiedenis, 95 (1982), 605-636; Bram Kempers, ‘Symboliek, monumentaliteit en abstractie. Het beeld van de staat en het beroep van kunstenaar na 1789’, Amsterdam Sociologisch Tijdschrift, 14 (1987) 1, 3-61; J.Th.M. Bank, Het roemrijk vaderland. Cultureel nationalism in Nederland in de negentiende eeuw (inaugural, Leiden 1989; The Hague, 1990); D. Carosso (eds.), Helden van het Vaderland. Onze geschiedenis in 19de-eeuwse taferelen verbeeld (Amsterdam, 1991). Interestingly, the first phase of the Dutch Revolt against the King of Spain, which began in the southern Low Countries, was presented in Belgian historicist painting as a foreshadowing of the revolution of 1830 against the King of the Netherlands:
Among modern scholars, too, there is a strong tendency to present Dutch history from the perspective of continuity and conciliation, instead of breaks and conflicts. Even the introduction of mass politics at the end of the nineteenth century has been interpreted from such a Whig perspective as a rather peaceable process of segmented emancipation, known as verzulering or pillarization - a term that refers to the postwar metaphor of the modern welfare state as a temple, supported by the four pillars of Calvinism, Catholicism, liberalism and socialism. Though attention has focused on the sharp cultural boundaries between these ideological communities, and on the remarkable scope of their institutional networks during the European 'age of the ideological ghettos', the peculiarity of pillarization applies in particular to the state-sponsored character of Dutch segmented organization, covering all aspects of everyday life, such as religion, politics, welfare, health care, education, insurance, sport and the media. According to most historians and political scientists, the Netherlands experienced a unique system of 'consociational democracy' ever since the so-called Pacification of 1917, which settled the religious conflict on education, and the social struggle for universal suffrage, by accepting some age-old pragmatic rules of the game, transforming politics from an ideological struggle into an accounting system of the proportional distribution of public spending. It has become a stereotyped idea that the leaders of the pillars acknowledged each other's right to existence, and, in spite of the almost complete subcultural isolation of their rank and file, maintained narrow political relations at the top. Hence, the paradox of subcultural pluralism and political stability is ascribed to the conflict management of 'prudent elites'.


the one-way integration of the political periphery into an accommodationist elite culture that reaches back to the urban patriciate of the old Republic.\textsuperscript{13}

Strangely, considering the assumption of historical continuity, political developments before the appearance of pillarized mass politics have not attracted much scholarly attention from the perspective of democratization. Yet, by exploring the features of Dutch political culture from the end of the Republic until the Pacification of 1917, I will show that parliamentary democracy in the Netherlands had initially proceeded from a mixture of strategies, initiated by competing national and local elites, long before pillarized democracy took its definite shape. For that reason, the process of democratization will not be approached from the perspective of social emancipation, but viewed in the light of elitist dissension. I will, in particular, draw attention to the way Dutch liberalism had to cope with an internal contradiction between an 'old-republican', federalist, longing for freedom, and a 'new-republican', nationalist, desire for unity. Thus from the early nineteenth-century, those who opposed the king's 'enlightened absolutism' in the name of liberty, and those who criticized 'aristocratic oligarchy' in the interest of the unitary state, were both calling themselves liberals. The argument developed here is that precisely because of this inherent contradiction between individualism and 'unitarianism' the Netherlands witnessed sharp conflicts and unexpected processes of mass-mobilization along lines of class, religion and politics on the one hand, while, on the other, the continuous fragmentation of opposing 'liberal' groups or coteries prevented the realization of modern party politics. Liberal culture could therefore be conceived as an idiom of symbols, rituals, metaphors, narratives, and styles of politics, that contributed to a remarkable conceptual flexibility, rendering various ideological stands - conservatism, liberalism, and radicalism - almost indistinguishable.\textsuperscript{14} Looked at from this angle, it is the dissension \textit{within} this culture of


\textsuperscript{14} For this cultural-political perspective on symbolic continuity and ideological struggle \textit{within} a shared language or idiom, see Robert van der Laarse, Bevoogding and Bevinding: Heren en kerkvolk in een Hollandse provinciestad, Woerden 1780-1930 (Amsterdam-The Hague, 1989, with an English summary), 22-31, which offers a micro-historical demonstration in the case of a Calvinist community; for the narrative discourse of the Netherlands' religion of humanity, see my contribution 'De Deugd en het Kwaad: Het negentiende-eeuwse project van de Verlichting', to appear in a study on the Dutch nation-state, edited by J.C.H. Blom and J. Falmsa, which is currently under review. For a British version, see James A. Epstein, Radical Expression: Political
Enlightenment that (irrespective of party) explains both the long-term success of the 'liberal squires' under the elitist conditions of the electoral system of 1848-1896, and their failure to win the popular vote ever since. For the abolition of the constituency voting system in 1896, and the introduction of proportional representation in 1917, offered new strata of society the opportunity to operate as political communities on their own. Calvinism and Catholicism were politizised then as 'antithetical' idioms. As we will see, the retreat of the notables from modern party politics brought about the disappearance of the conservatives and liberals as 'majority parties' and subsequently favoured the rise of a new arrangement of pillarized politics.
II. Invented Restoration

The united kingdom of William I was essentially a product of European diplomacy. At the Congress of Vienna (1815), the introduction of the monarchical principle was regarded as a restorative guarantee of internal stability, whilst the containment of imperialist France required a political union between the former Dutch Republic and the Austrian Netherlands. But even apart from the temporary link-up of the Low Countries, which in its anachronistic throwback to the old Burgundian heritage lasted only until the Belgian Revolt of 1830, the new Dutch state made a complete break with the past, for William's kingdom - as far as it was not created at the Viennese negotiating table - was strongly influenced by the spirit of the Enlightenment and greatly indebted to the project of Bonapartist unification.

Though originally formulated by radical printers, journalists and Freemasons during the Patriot Revolt of 1785-87, the demand for political centralization was only realized with French political aid after the Batavian Revolution of 1795. Patriotism then was a highly confusing political label for a temporary coalition of at least two sorts of 'patriots': a militant underworld of clubs and societies, which was in favour of social equality and national unity, and an aristocratic group which adhered as 'good regents' to the old federalist or particularist principle of 'true freedom'. The federalist grievances, carefully drawn up in Grondwettige Herstelling van Nederlands Staatswezen (Constitutional Restoration of the Netherlands' Body Politic, 2 Vol., 1784-6) - an anonymously published investigation into the need for the restoration and renewal of the original federalist constitution of the nation's body politic - were directed against the old corruption of the Orangist system of patronage.15 What the aristocrats in revolt demanded was the restoration of the privileges of the provincial estates, embedded in the Union of Utrecht (1579) as the outcome of the sixteenth-

---

century Dutch Revolt. Yet, under the influence of the Enlightenment, some of these aristocrats went even further; for example, Baron Joan Derk van der Capellen tot den Pol (1741-1784), whose anonymously published pamphlet Aan het volk van Nederland (To the People of the Netherlands, 1781) propagated the sovereignty of the people and the right of civic armament. Thus, the aristocrat revolt contributed, paradoxically, to a nationwide militia movement, whose demands for democracy were directed against the aristocracy itself. This ideological jump from the Ancien Regime found final expression in the Batavian Revolution of 1795, when the revolutionary regime dismantled the old regime by proclaiming the unity of the nation; the sovereignty of the people was now legitimized by natural law instead of historical argumentation. This unitary idea of the nation was embodied in the first National Assembly (1796) of chosen representatives, and in the radical (or Jacobin) constitution of 1798, which can be regarded as the first outline of the modern Dutch state.17

Recent research into the character of Dutch elites has revealed a remarkable change in personnel during the first phase of the Revolution: when the estates were broken up, the church and nobility lost their privileges, and new revolutionary administrations were purged of Orangists and federalists. Except for a minority of former patriot noblemen and aristocratic regents, most Batavian representatives and administrators were homines novi, recruited from the landed gentry and commercial classes. But the Revolution could also be mapped by religion. The language of patriotism found its main support among the ‘enlightened’ latidunarians within the Dutch Reformed Church, as well as Catholics and Protestant dissenters (Mennonites, Lutherans, and humanist Remonstrants): the non-Calvinist part of the population that had formerly been excluded from


17 Cf. L. de Gou, et al., Grondwetgeving 1795-1806 (Haarlem, 1997).

government and that opted for some kind of ecumenical egalitarianism." Otherwise, the Calvinist orthodoxy in the old centre of the Republic (i.e. Holland, Utrecht and Zeeland) edged away from radicalism. Here, as local research suggests, the Revolution lost its support among the Protestant majority, who feared the dismantling of the Reformed Church and the nationalization of its funds and buildings on behalf of the Catholic minority. In fact, this geographical and religious imbalance was already reflected in the radical referendum of 1798, when - after the electorate was purged of federalists and orangists - in Holland less than a third of the male population went to the polls, whereas in the Catholic province of Brabant two-third of the male population voted in favour of the draft."

The radicalization of the Revolution produced a wave of anti-Papism which - like all Dutch reform movements in the nineteenth century - would be defeated by Protestant intransigence. From 1800 most Catholic representatives in the revolutionary municipalities in Holland and the northern provinces were replaced by former Orangist regents. This process of consolidation by the Protestant elites signalled the decay of ecumenical radicalism. The revolution from below, which started as a dynamic complex of municipal and regional revolts, became a revolution from above. In 1806 this hierarchic process of state-building resulted in Louis Bonaparte's Kingdom of Holland, which was annexed in 1810 by Napoleon's French Empire. Thus, the initial radical demand for national unification found its final expression in a long-term bureaucratic centralization, pushed


21 On a national scale, some 40 per cent of the adult male population of the Batavian Republic took part in the referendum, of which only 6 per cent voted against the radical constitution, after rejecting in 1797 a federal draft by the same majority; cf. E.H. Kossmann, The Crisis of the Dutch State 1780-1813: Nationalism, Federalism, Unitarism, in J.S. Bromley and E.H. Kossmann (ed.), Metropolis, Dominion and Province (Britain and the Netherlands, IV, The Hague, 1971); reprinted in Beekelaar, Vaderlands Verleden in Veelvoud p. 448.

forward by Bonapartism and completed after the Restoration of 1813 by King William I's programme of national reconciliation.

The Protestant amalgamation from 1800 onwards of former regents and patriot newcomers produced the new ruling class of notables, which dominated social life and politics until the end of the nineteenth century.\(^{23}\) In November 1813 some prominent lords, acting as its representatives, offered the Prince of Orange the title of 'Sovereign'; and in December the son of the last stadtholder William V proclaimed his wish to reign under a 'wise constitution'. Thus royal sovereignty was legitimated by the idea of a social contract. This federalist reflex was even further underlined by the fact that on 30 March 1814, William took his oath on the constitution in the Amsterdam New Church, which is located next to the former town hall - the power centre of the old Republic - that had been converted into a royal palace by Louis Bonaparte (1778-1846). The day before, the draft-constitution had been presented on the same spot to a gathering of some 470 members of the nation's most distinguished families, who made up a special Assembly of Notables. Yet, this meeting also marked the ambiguous position of the elected, as they were personally invited by the sovereign after being carefully selected by the provincial governors. Although the draft was opposed by only 26 representatives (most of them Catholics), another 126 notables (of the invited 600) did not even take the trouble to come to Amsterdam, as it was rightly assumed that the haughty, former patriot Cornelis Felix van Maanen (1769-1846), acting in his new role as 'agent of the king', would prevent any debate taking place.\(^{24}\)

In fact, the term 'notables' (Notabelen) itself - in its nineteenth-century meaning of a national political elite - originated from this occasion. The constitutional committee of 1814 used this term to indicate its twofold ambition of restoration and reconciliation. Thus the notables were a nineteenth-century political invention, signifying a national amalgamation of the old-republican aristocracy and the new-republican elite of patriot officials.\(^{25}\) This new ruling class was defined and nominated by the state, as became perfectly clear when the sovereign, immediately after his inauguration, for the first time used his established right to appoint all the members of the States

\(^{23}\) This process of the making of a new national aristocracy in the 1780-1820s has not attracted much attention of Dutch historians, who, in imitation of Robert Fruin and Johan Huizinga, endorsed the conciliatory myth of Dutch liberal, bourgeois culture as the seventeenth-century heritage of the old Republic. Remarkably though, for all the differences in scale, political regime, and outcome, the Netherland's pattern in this respect closely corresponds with the British case: David Cannadine, Aspects of Aristocracy (Harmondsworth, 1995), ch. 1: 'The Making of the British Upper Classes', 9-37.


General, the Provincial Estates and the Church administration. This autocratic tendency intensified after Napoleon's defeat at Waterloo (1815), when the Dutch sovereign was permitted to 'reunite' the Low Countries. He then arbitrarily retitled himself king William I of the United Netherlands (1772-1843), the constitution was revised in a monarchist direction, and the assembly of notables divided into two Chambers, one of which was chosen by the king. Although Amsterdam remained the capital, king and parliament assembled from that time alternately in Brussels and The Hague, until it took up permanent residence in The Hague in 1830.

Important to William's state-building, and to the making of the notable elite, was a new policy of ennoblement. During the Republic the nobility had been restricted to the old noble families, raised to the peerage before the Dutch Revolt, and recognized in their titles by the regional estates on the principle of ancestry and seigneurial status, even though most of the regents had changed from merchants into landlords, and some 15 per cent had acquired titles by purchasing seignories and foreign patents of nobility. From 1815, however, the Dutch nobility became a national elite which took its official recognition from the crown. Following Napoleon's example, hereditary titles in the Netherlands were not simply bestowed on the principle of birth, but conferred as a reward for merit, defined in bourgeois terms of wealth and money. As a result, the old nobility had to accept in the restored Ridderschappen (the provincial noble estates) the presence of a new nobility, composed of descendants of the former regent aristocracy, and a remarkable number of nouveaux riches. The invented character of the 'restored' nobility was, however, even more accentuated by the corresponding invention of a new patrician estate, that also developed into a hereditary group with an aristocratic lifestyle, and often quite substantial landed estates. This non-titled part of the political class of notables incorporated in particular the rising class of officials and administrators, but also some prominent members of the landed gentry and some of the richest Amsterdam merchant bankers, who refused to buy their dignity from the king.

It was at the request of the Belgium aristocracy that the constitutional committee of 1815 had adopted the English model of a Lower and an Upper House. Yet, afraid of a concentration of land and power among the hereditary peerage, it did not accept the British principle of primogeniture (which allowed the estate to be passed down only to the oldest son), but clung to the French law of partial succession, favouring a division of the legacy among the relatives. Hence the Dutch Senate became a royal instrument, a ménagerie du roi composed for almost 90 per cent of titled noblemen, appointed for life by the king, even though four-fifths of the northern members had only become peers in 1814-1815. Only the Lower House, or Second Chamber, of the States General functioned as a kind of assembly, although the representatives were chosen by means of a very complicated electoral system in which town councils, regional estates and provincial councillors all functioned as electoral bodies, but at two (or more) removes from the voters; a system, quite unlike that of England or France, that resembled most the situation in some German states, such as Saxony, the Rhineland, and Westphalia. Moreover, the franchise restrictions strongly favoured the highest and most prominent taxpayers, notably noblemen and patricians. Interestingly, the delegates from the northern Netherlands - as heirs of the old regency - held twice as many of the most prominent offices, while most of the (professional) businessmen, bankers and manufacturers, who held some 10 per cent of the seats in parliament, were representatives of the more industrialized south.²⁹

Power then was concentrated at the national level and delegated by the government in The Hague to provincial governors (Lord Lieutenants), burgomasters and court presidents, all of whom were appointed by the king. An immense amount of correspondence between these various authorities bears testimony to their function as royal eyes and ears, although this material also demonstrates that the early nineteenth-century government was far from being a monolith.³⁰ The electoral complexity contributed to a lot of corruption and government control, in particular within the provincial administrations where the old nobility and regent aristocracy still played a prominent role.³¹ Yet the king's most powerful administrators were recruited from the Dutch patrician bourgeoisie, such as the Lord Chancellor Van Maanen, who started his career as a radical unitarian


³⁰ Cf. A.J.C. Rüter, Rapporten van de gouverneurs in de provinciën, 1840-1849, 3 volumes (Utrecht, 1941-1950); G.A.M. Beekelaar (ed.), Gelderland tussen 1840 and 1850. Rapporten, verslagen en andere stukken omtrent de toestand van de provincie Gelderland, opgemaakt door de gouverneur in de jaren 1840-1849 (Hilversum, 1997); specific parts of the correspondence between the government and its regional officials have been published with regard to the Belgian Revolt of 1830 and the Afscheiding of 1834, though the practice of government has not yet been studied in detail.

(Jacobin) under Louis Bonaparte and stayed in office until 1842. Altogether, the centralized administration, the royal policy of patronage and the local elite's lean towards particularism produced some kind of a political hybrid.

Considering the above, it is not surprising that William I has been accepted as being the first and only Dutch 'enlightened despot', marked by a Bonapartist presidential style of government as well as a patriarchal self-image, adopted from his relative, Frederick (II) the Great (1712-1786) and his brother-in-law, the Prussian king Frederick William III (1770-1840). For that reason, a Belgian historian recently spoke in rather polemic tones of a Dutch 'Germanic Sonderweg.' According to the German historian Lademacher, however, William's kingdom possessed Europe's most 'liberal' constitution until the Belgian revolution of 1830, one rooted in a long tradition of freedom and tolerance. Yet in my view these contradictory characterizations only confirm the regime's essentially dualist nature. For if the king followed the example of the great European monarchs and governed increasingly by royal decree, beneath the surface of bureaucratic order many local and regional authorities still clung on to what they conceived as a federalist tradition.

So, paradoxically, the restorative element in the new monarchy was responsible for its 'early liberal' appearance. Instead of privileges favoured by the king, liberties were regarded as established rights - rights defended by the urban regents and regional estates against, consecutively, the King of Spain, the stadholders, the French emperor and finally the king of the Netherlands. Though King William I soon regarded the constitution as his gift to the people, the Dutch ruling elite never accepted the monarchic principle. In fact, the question of the legitimation of power was crucial to political opposition until the end of the nineteenth century. But the defence of liberty would also present itself in a religious form. Together this contributed to the specific outcome of the Dutch process of democratization.

35 Horst Lademacher, 'Modernisering en emancipatie. Enkele opmerkingen over de Nederlandse negentiende eeuw', Bijdragen en Mededelingen betreffende de Geschiedenis der Nederlanden, 104 (1989), 1-16; see also Daalder, 'Oud-republikeinse veelheid', passim.
III. The Rise and Fall of an Opposition

How could one guarantee the stability of a nation which by tradition was infected by the virus of particularism, without catching the 'French disease' of bureaucracy? This question of the balance between federalism and national unity had been crucial to the founding fathers of the Dutch constitution. Yet by the 1820s its main architect, Count Gijsbert Karel van Hogendorp (1762-1834), concluded in his 'letters to the nation' that the social contract had been violated by royal despotism.36 To fight the king's informal power politics, grand seigneurs such as Hogendorp and a good many aristocratic governors and burgomasters proposed reforms that surpassed even their 'own' constitution. As the embodiment of the old regency and court nobility, these 'Anglomanian' moderates were now prepared to join hands with patrician radicals, most lawyers and journalists, such as the later minister Dirk Donker Curtius (1792-1864).37 Confronted with censure and repression, they closed ranks around what was known as the British model of parliamentary monism and the French doctrine of the separation of church and state. In reaction to these liberal demands, however, the monarchy affirmed its desire for centralization and unity. Thus, in 1829, the Prince of Orange - the later William II - was appointed head of a 'royal government' and his brother, the Grand Master of Dutch Freemasonry, Prince Frederick of the Netherlands (1797-1881), Admiral of the Royal Navy, while the state's officials were forced by royal proclamation to promote the unity of language, education and religion as being crucial to the survival of the nation.38 Thus, in contrast to theory, it was precisely this sort of nationalism from above that would produce ideological segmentation, and not the other way round.39


39 The Dutch case contradicts e.g. Benedict Anderson's general argument concerning the origin of nationalism, which states that the key to situating this type of 'official nationalism', 'is to remember that it developed after, and in reaction to, the popular national movements proliferating in Europe since the 1820s'. It also contradicts his claim that official nationalism originated from a collective response of an older power group, an aristocracy threatened by marginalization: both in the Northern and Southern Netherlands it was precisely the
The Belgian Revolution of 1830 was the first and most fundamental reaction to this kind of 'official nationalism'. In the north, however, the attack on the honour of the House of Orange weakened the call for reform, and united (at least temporarily) the 'Protestant nation' behind the king. The atmosphere of romantic, popular nationalism in both parts of the Low Countries came to a head a year later, when, under the command of the Princes William and Frederick, the 'mutinous' Belgians were defeated in a military campaign, supported by civic guards and student militia. The European powers, though, were not prepared to intervene and within ten years the financial costs of William's 'policy of perseverance' bankrupted the state. Coupled with the economic crisis in the Netherlands East Indies - which was brought about by the government's misuse of the profits of the Dutch Trading company and the so-called Cultuurstelsel (the state's exploitative cultivation system in Java) - this completely isolated the monarchy from the world of finance. Ironically, however, William had to abdicate in 1840 because he wanted to marry a half-Belgian Catholic countess. Worried about the state's repayment of government bonds, the Amsterdam merchant bankers then called for financial reforms. Appointed prime minister by King William II (1792-1849) under the conditions of a new constitution that at least officially recognized the principle of ministerial responsibility, their political spokesman Floris Adriaan van Hall (1791-1861) was able to restore confidence in the money market. By strengthening its support among the moneyed aristocracy, though, the government's main concern soon became the ideological legitimation of its policy among the nation at large.

Calvinism had been the Republic's official religion until 1795, and even the patriots were initially inspired by the seventeenth-century myth of the Republic as a 'Second Israel'. But from the late eighteenth century, religion was changed in form and meaning. The Dutch Reformed Church lost its control of public life when, under the sign of Enlightenment, the educated elites propagated a new religion of civic virtue, located in man's inner self instead of church-doctrine. In fact, this enlightened theology became crucial to William's general project to unify the nation by moralizing the citizen. From both his Batavian predecessors and his own experience with Prussian old nobility that was opposed to official nationalism; B. Anderson, Imagined Communities. Reflections on the Origin and Spread of Nationalism (London/New York, 1991), 86, 109.

40 The importance of this long-neglected 'moment' of reform is underlined in particular by J.A. Bornewasser, 'Ministeriële verantwoordelijkheid onder koning Willem II', Tijdschrift voor Geschiedenis, 75 (1962) 436-458, and for the government's financial dependence on the Amsterdam money market, see Joost Jonker, Merchant, Bankers, Middlemen. The Amsterdam money market during the first half of the 19th century (Amsterdam, 1996), pp. 85-90. As to Van Hall's Janus-faced policy of reform and stability, which also offers interesting information on the royal administration in the 1840s, we have still to rely on J. Gleichman, Mr. F.A. van Hall als minister - Mededeelingen en Herinneringen (Amsterdam 1904).

41 For the 18th century roots of this 19th century theology of enlightenment, see Joris van Eijnatten, God, Nederland en Oranje: Dutch Calvinism and the Search for the Social Centre (Amsterdam, 1995), and Peter van Roojen, Religieuze regimes. Over godsdienst en maatschappij in Nederland, 1570-1990 (Amsterdam, 1996), pp. 78 ff.
Staatskirchentum, William had inherited a strong belief in Christian unity as crucial to the policy of state-building. Therefore, the Dutch Reformed Church (Nederlandse Hervormde Kerk) was reorganized in 1816 under the supervision of the state as a hierarchic ecclesiastical association, headed by the king, whilst the doctrines of Calvinism were sacrificed for a more intimate, humanist religion of reason under the latitudinarian device of 'belief, hope, and peace'.

As such, this new set-up of religion and politics contributed to new forms of dissent. Although the Calvinists were by tradition staunch adherents of the House of Orange, the orthodox creed was incompatible with the royalist policy of ecclesiastical centralization and religious unification. Hence, the ardent critics of this religion of Enlightenment were to be found among the so-called Reveil, which was the Calvinist branch of European Neo-Pietism. It was composed of some Amsterdam and Hague circles of distinguished men of letters, such as the lawyer, occultist, erotomaniac and religious zealot, Willem Bilderdijk (1756-1831), his protegee in poetry, the Jewish convert Isaac da Costa (1798-1860), the patrician travel writer, Willem de Clercq (1795-1844), and the erudite court-archivist and politician, Guillaume Groen van Prinsterer (1801-1876).

Initially the aristocrat Reveil was accompanied by a grass-roots revivalist movement, combining the doctrines of traditional Calvinism with the 'mad beliefs' of folk religion. In contrast to gentle evangelicalism, though, this popular pietism was systematically expelled from the Dutch Reformed Church, and has thus provided a presbyterian alternative to the 'hierarchical' order of 1816 ever since the Afscheiding (Secession) of 1834.


43 It's significant, considering the Prussian background of William I's royal absolutism until his abdication in 1840, that also in Prussia until the accession of Frederick William IV in 1840, aristocratic federalism found an outlet in neo-pietist revivalism: Christopher M. Clark, 'The Politics of Revival: Pietists, Aristocrats, and the State Church in Early Nineteenth-Century Prussia', in Larry Eugene Jones and James Ratallack (eds.), Between Reform, Reaction, and Resistance. Studies in the History of German Conservatism from 1789 to 1945 (Oxford, 1993), 31-60.

44 See in general M.E. Kluit's, Het Réveil in Nederland (Amsterdam 1936), Michael Wintle's, Pillars of Piety, and Nicholas A. Rupke, 'Romanticism in the Netherlands', in Roy Porter and Mikulás Teich (eds.), Romanticism in National Context (Cambridge, 1988), pp. 191-216. Strangely, though over the years much of their immense correspondence appeared in print, there is a great lack of biographical studies on these Reveil men, except for Bilderdijk: Joris van Eijnatten, Hogere sferen. De ideeënwereld van Willem Bilderdijk (1756-1831), (Hilversum, 1998).

45 The general features of the Secession of 1834 are well treated in P.N. Holtrop, 'De Afscheiding -breukpunt en kristallisatiepunt', in W. Bakker et al. (eds.), De Afscheiding van 1834 en haar geschiedenis (Kampen, 1984), pp. 62-99, and for a recent historiographical survey, see G.J. Schutte, 'De Afscheiding van 1834 herdacht', Bijdragen en Mededelingen betreffende de Geschiedenis der Nederlanden, 101 (1986) 3, 400-416. From the urban historian's point of view, new perspectives concerning the relationship between politics and religion can be found in P.R.D. Stokvis, 'Katholieke emancipatie en hervormde revitalisatie tegen de geest der eeuw en het spook der revolutie in Den Haag', in Blom and Misset, Broeders sluit U aan, pp. 30-64; Van der Laarse, Bevoogding en Bevinding 78-116, and Dirk Jan Wolffram, Bezwaarden en verlichten. Verzuiling in een
Thus we can observe in around 1830 a defense of liberty in religion as well as politics against 'enlightened despotism', legitimized by revivalist doctrines and inspired by romantic imagination. It can be argued that this interesting interweaving of political radicalism and religious opposition was itself a product of a political climate that neglected every form of organized dissidence, and even made the word 'party' taboo. In fact, the government itself raised the ghost of factionism by creating a register of potential 'separatists' involved in the church and in politics: liberal aristocrats, radical democrats, ultramontane Catholics and Calvinist evangelists. The paradox of this self-fulfilling fear of segmentation was made clear by the Amsterdam editor of the moderate newspaper Algemeen Handelsbladin a confidential recommendation made to King William II in 1840. According to this political insider, it was William I's policy of repression that was responsible for the regime's loss of confidence. Government intervention - against the Belgians, the religious 'fanatics' and the radical press - allied moderates and even former king's men with the liberal opposition. Altogether it shows us the making of an opposition.

Without going into further detail, it is clear that the crisis of 1830 only ended with the liberal changeover in March 1848. Perhaps this explains the 'velvet' character of the whole event, which happened peaceably, not least because some radical 'gentleman leaders' gained the new king's confidence as spokesmen of 'the people'. At first most of these romantic aristocrats - such as the impoverished nobleman and journalist Adrian van Bevervoorde tot Oldemeule (1819-1851), who took part in Brussels in the formation of Karl Marx 'Association Démocratique' (1847) - only had...
in common their personal antipathy against Van Hall. Yet, the radicals gathered strength by the petitioning movement of 1844 against Van Hall's financial reforms, and the food- and tax riots during the hunger crises of 1845-1847, caused by the potato blight and directed against the speculation on grain prices and the imposts on bread. As the urban riots were often organized by gentleman leaders, the anti-tax petitions were initiated by liberal landlords in the Outer Provinces. And, as self-appointed spokesmen of the country, these rural squires brought about a general suspicion of the Court.

This repeat of the federalist revolt of the 1780s was entertained by the idea of the government’s fiscal favouritism of the Amsterdam moneyed aristocracy, since stock was free of duty and land was heavily taxed. In the southern duchy of Limburg, the aristocracy even longed for regional separatism. If they had affiliated themselves in the 1830s with Belgian liberalism, in around 1848 they affiliated with the nationalist movement in the German Rhineland. Thus in July 1848 the Frankfurter Parliament declared Limburg a German country. Yet, ironically, the separatist fever was all over before the year was out, as it became clear to the Limburg Catholic aristocrats that federalism had made way for imperialism from the moment the Rhineland liberals lost command to the Protestant king of Prussia.

More important though, at least from the perspective of political mobilization in the Netherlands on the whole, was the founding of a series of ‘debating clubs’. These clubs included the Amsterdam liberal Amstelsociëteit and its departments in other Dutch cities, which operated in secret as local constituency associations for the electoral colleges. Around 1848 there was also a liberal Catholic circle in the capital - La jeune Hollande catholique - which secretly organized the nomination of candidates for parliament. William II was impressed by these activities of radicals, liberals and Catholics and shocked by the February and March revolts in Paris, the Rhineland states,

50 Cf. M.J.F. Robijns, Radicalen in Nederland (1840-1851) (Leiden, 1967), 194 ff.; J.J. Giele, De pen in aanslag. Revolutionairen rond 1848 (Bussum, 1968); Martin Schouten, De socialen zijn in aantogt. De Nederlandse arbeidersbeweging in de negentiende eeuw (Amsterdam, 1976), 7–63. The hunger crises also stimulated emigration to the United States, although most of the emigrants were orthodox Calvinists, and local research suggest that material conditions were closely connected with internal conflicts within the Secessionist movement of 1834; cf. P.R.D. Stokvis, De Nederlandse trek naar Amerika 1846-1847 (Leiden, 1977), and Van der Laarse, Bevoogding en Bevinding pp. 110-1.

51 For an interesting sketch of the day-to-day organization of the so-called separatist-movement in 1844, see Rüter, Rapporten gouverneurs, vol. III, and for the later Rhineland context, though without reference to the Limburg case; Jonathan Sperber, Rhineland Radicals. The democratic movement and the revolution of 1848-1849 (Princeton, NJ, 1991), while the international context is sketched in J.C. Boogman, Nederland en de Duitse Bond (2 volumes, Groningen, 1953), especially, vol. II, p. 638. The tax issue was used by liberal Limburg noblemen as an instrument for liberal political mobilization until the 1860s: Bos, Notabele ingezetenen, pp. 125, 160-5.

Berlin and Vienna, which were echoed by popular demonstrations in The Hague and Amsterdam. Consequently he changed overnight from very conservative to very liberal, as he himself admitted. In fact, even this can be seen as a dialectic proof of kingcraft. Confronted with the radical Camarilla of noble intriguers at Court, profiting from the political vacuum caused by Van Hall's resignation some months earlier, the king was able to split the liberal opposition.\(^{53}\) On the one hand, he appointed his self-satisfied London envoy, Count Gerrit Schimmelpenninck (1794-1864) as 'prime minister' of a reform cabinet that even admitted democrats such as Donker Curtius. On the other, he offered Schimmelpenninck's sworn enemy, the Leiden jurist Johan Rudolf Thorbecke (1798-1872), the possibility of creating, single-handedly, a completely revised constitution. And when it dawned upon the ministers that Thorbecke's draft in its scope went much further than the 'early liberal' proposals of 1844, which inspired their own policy, Schimmelpenninck could only resign.

Though considered 'un-Dutch' for its German 'organicist' orientation and French doctrinaire argumentation, Thorbecke's constitution has so far survived all political changes.\(^{54}\) As it seems to me that the significance of the momentum of 1848 sprouted from a kind of epistemological jump from the discourse of Restoration to the discourse of Revolution, marked by Thorbecke's own conversion from legalist monarchist to doctrinaire liberal. Passing over the old republican and Anglophile orientation of early aristocratic liberalism, this established an imaginary link with democratic patriotism. Thorbecke's own cabinet (1846-1853) completely changed the franchise system and introduced periodic elections by direct vote, with the exception of the Senate, whose members were, and still are, elected by the provincial estates. Yet, if these electoral reforms weakened the power of the king, the new constitutional system was generally more in favour of centralism than federalism, preferring a strong government to parliamentary monism, a Bonapartist nationalization of the taxation system, and a strengthening of the state's control of education and poor relief.\(^{55}\) It was precisely because of this break with particularism, however, that the Thorbeckian revolution from above lacked the necessary support from below.

\(^{53}\) For an almost identical, and even more successful, combination of royalist restoration and constitutional adaptation in Prussia in this period, crucial to the process of conservative political mobilization in the 1850s, see David E. Barclay, 'The Court Camarilla and the Politics of Monarchical Restoration in Prussia, 1848-58', in Jones and Retallack, Between Reform, 123-156.


The liberal abolition of the estates, seigneurial rights and urban excise duties was favourable in particular to the rising commercial bourgeoisie and industrial manufacturers in the Outer Provinces, but not to the Amsterdam merchant aristocracy and the landed interest, which endorsed Van Hall's 'conservative liberal' policy of moderate reform. Moreover, the Thorbeckian separation of church and state alienated the Protestant majority from government, as they feared a gathering influence of Catholic elites on local schools and charitable institutions. Finally, Thorbecke's laissez-faire policy was opposed to the social demands of the 'people's leaders', who still hoped for the king's intervention and opted for a sort of radical royalism. What these three groups had in common was not a longing for the old regime, but an aversion to doctrinaire liberalism, personified by Thorbecke's intellectual hauteur and legalism. So it was a question of religious identity, the language of class and style of politics that explained the 'new liberals' estrangement from the mood of the nation. As Dutch liberal culture bore for years the legalistic stamp of Thorbecke's sophistic personality, 'old liberal' conservatism longed for the Burkian virtues of tradition, prudence and 'Protestant tolerance'. Incapable of philosophical systematizing, its moral language was utterly remote from the scientific attitude and stiff sense of duty of Thorbeckian liberalism, and probably


56 The combination of royalism and social reform can also be found among the enlightened leaders of the so-called Utrecht faction, such as the Professors G.W. Vreede and G.J. Mulder, who had been radical liberals before 1848, and commanded the anti-Papist April Movement in 1833; cf. R. Kuiper, 'G.W. Vreede, conservatisme en agitatie', in R.E. de Bruin and G.J. Schutte (eds.), Drie protestantse conservatieven uit de 19de eeuw (Amsterdam, 1994), pp. 67-88; Ronald van Raak, 'De jobstijding van Gerrit Jan Mulder: Nederlands conservatisme in de negentiende eeuw', Geschiedenis van de Wijsbegeerte in Nederland, 8 (1997), 1/2, 43-70. For the long-term effects of radical royalism and its transformation into moral republicanism, such as in the case of the famous Freethinker and colonial critic Multatuli (Eduard Douwes Dekker), see Jacques Giele, 'De oppositie der 'volksmannen' (1850-1869)', Tijdschrift voor Sociale Geschiedenis (1975) 171-218.

57 For the identity of Dutch liberal culture, see the splendid analysis of the art of cultural critique in Remieg Aerts, De letterheren. Liberale cultuur in de negentiende eeuw: het tijdschrift De Gids (Amsterdam, 1997), and the stimulating comments on the issue of liberal legalism by Henk te Velde, 'Liberalism and Bourgeois Culture in the Netherlands, from the 1840s to the 1880s', and J.P. de Valk, 'Caught between Modernism, Pillarization, and Nationalism: Dutch Liberals and Religion in the Nineteenth Century', in Groenveld and Wintle, Under the Sign of Liberalism, pp. 62-77, and pp. 102-115. For the liberal-conservative debate on political economy, see T.J. Boschloo, De productiemaatschappij en de vraagstuk der armoede in Nederland, 1800-1875 (Hilversum, 1989), and for the successful thorbeckian 'bourgeois' attack on the classicist academic style of enlightened conservatism in the 1860s, the biography of the Groningen professor H.C. van Hall, a brother of the politician: Richard F.J. Paping, 'De waardevolle man': Prof. H.C. van Hall (1801-1874), botanicus, landhuishoudkundige en pionier van het hoger landbouwonderwijs (Groningen, 1996), and for Leiden: W. Otterspeer, De wiekslag van hun geest: De Leidse universiteit in de negentiende eeuw (Den Haag, 1992). The memoirs of the social-liberal professor and banker Quack, who owned his position to the influence of some influential conservatives, are spotted with lively descriptions of Amsterdam and Utrecht academic life: Herinneringen uit de levensjaren van H.P.G. Quack, 1834-1914 (Amsterdam 1915, reprinted Nijmegen 1977).
more akin to the evangelical spirit of English Gladstonian liberalism, although it lacked its immense popular appeal.

The complexity of this new differentiation between liberalism and conservatism may explain the anti-Papist anger of the Protestant nation during the so-called April Movement of 1853. Rallying against Thorbecke's consent for the restoration of the Catholic ecclesiastical organization, sanctioned by the constitutional disestablishment, the Protestants lost confidence in reform from the moment the Catholics began to profit from it - just as the radicals and Catholic patriots had been expelled from office half a century earlier. Though the national organization of this 'no-Popery' Movement is still shrouded in fog, local research suggests it was the combined attack by Thorbecke's government on the vested interests of economy, state and church that created an 'All-Protestant' rapprochement of nonconformist radicals, 'early liberal' conservatives and Calvinist 'anti-revolutionaries'. Most important from the perspective of democratization, though, was its combination of populist strategy and royalist political orientation. Using the instrument of a nationwide people's petition, which was framed by the Dutch Reformed Church and presented to the king, the April Movement was able to mobilize 'the people behind the electorate' against the liberal government. The petitions - signed by the vast majority of the Protestant adult male population (roughly 200,000 signatures) - were presented to the king on two ritual occasions: one in Utrecht - the traditional centre of the Protestant Reformation - the other in Amsterdam, the burgher capital of the notable nation.58


59 Personal petitions to parliament were a normal phenomena in Dutch parliamentary life from 1815, but the instrument of an organized popular petition to influence political decision-making, was used for the first time by the Belgian 'separatists' in 1830: L. François, De petitiebeweging in het Verenigd Koninkrijk der Nederlanden: balans van een onderzoek, in Tamse and Witte, Staats- en natievorming pp. 122-145. In Holland, the liberals took the initiative in coordinating a 'petition movement' in 1844, while most petitions to parliament in 1848-1849 were coordinated by Thorbecke's opponents. The strategy to petition the king in 1853 could have had something to do with the fact that Thorbecke did not respond to any of these petitions, which - interestingly - were not related to the election issue but to that of the separation of church and state: Jaap Talsma, 'Geeft met verschuldigde eerbied te kennen. Petities over kiesstelsel en kiesrecht uit de periode 1848-1850', Tijdschrift voor Geschiedenis, 92 (1979) 3, 438-451.
This confrontation between two models of democracy (populist and representational) resulted in a conservative victory, after which Van Hall took over. Yet, it was not the strength of this anti-parliamentary opposition but the king's unconstitutional behaviour that had forced Thorbecke to resign.°° King William III (1817-1890), who had come to the throne in 1849, passionately denounced his father's consent to the idea of constitutional government. Opposed to the former king's liberal revolution, his royalist coup symbolically confirmed the mythical alliance between the House of Orange and the Protestant Nation, as well as the 'social contract' of 1813 between the sovereign and the notables. Yet, it was only a moment of hope and glory, for, after his finest hour, the last nineteenth-century king of Orange soon found history passing him by.

IV. The Geography of Politics

After Thorbecke stepped down in 1853, the old set-up may have lost its constitutional legitimation but was never seriously challenged in practice. In fact, William I’s class of notables would govern the nation for at least another forty years. Although under the Electoral Law of 1850 the franchise was no longer made up of voters and electives, suffrage still depended on income, while a new discrimination between local and national voters restricted politics as before to the upper classes, at least when the nation was at stake. Before 1850 a relatively large group of some 90,000 urban voters could elect legislators only from a select category of persons in the highest tax bracket, restricted to around 1 per cent of adult males. In 1850 the franchise was extended to approximately 18 per cent for municipal elections, but restricted to about 10 per cent of adult males for parliamentary and provincial elections: roughly 75,000 voters, or 6-7 per cent of heads of households. Moreover, chosen by indirect vote by the provincial councillors, the Upper House continued to be almost completely noble, and in the Lower House (now chosen by direct vote) at least two-thirds of the seats were taken by noblemen and their untitled patrician relatives, most of whom were burgomasters, court presidents or provincial governors. After the Belgian Secession of 1830 and before the electoral reforms of the 1890s, only a few members of parliament belonged to the industrial bourgeoisie, and the middle and lower classes were not represented at all.

To explain this aristocratic continuity after the ‘bourgeois revolution’ of 1848, Dutch historians have generally taken for granted that Thorbecke’s reforms came too early and were too modern. It has even been argued, though without much evidence, that the franchise of 1848 was of ample dimensions, since the elections had a poor turnout, at least until the denominational

---

62 For parliament and government, see Daalder, ‘Consciencialism’, 216-7; J.Th.J. van den Berg, De toegang tot het Binnenhof. De maatschappelijke herkomst van Tweede Kamerleden tussen 1849 en 1970 (Weesp, 1983); W.P. Secker, ‘Alle de aanzienlijken in de regeerij’? De maatschappelijke herkomst van Nederlandse ministers (1848-1986), Mens en Maatschappij 63 (1988), 141-155; A.J.H. Ette, ‘Onze volksvertegenwoordigers 1849-1888’, Jaarboek van het Centraal Bureau voor Genealogie, 8 (1954), 129-174, and ibid., Onze ministers sinds 1798 (Alphen a/d Rijn 1948); for some contemporary impressions of parliamentary sociability, see A. Ising, In de kamers der Staten-Generaal. Herinneringen en indrukken 1850-1886 (’s Gravenhage 1892) and C.K. Elout, De Heeren in Den Haag (Amsterdam 1909), while information on regional representatives is offered in H. van Felius and H. Metselaars, Noordhollandsche Statenleden, 1849-1919 (The Hague, 1994). From their part, in contrast to the older merchant regency, political functions were not held in high esteem among the late nineteenth-century industrial barons, as demonstrated by their biographies: Wim Wennekes, De aartsvaders. Grondleggers van het Nederlandse bijdrijfsleven (Amsterdam/Antwerpen, 1993). The financial elite, on the other hand, was in around 1900 still strongly connected with the aristocracy: Huibert Schijf, Netwerken van een financieel-economische elite. Personele verbindingen in het Nederlandse bedrijfsleven aan het einde van de negentiende eeuw (Amsterdam, 1993).
politicization of the middle classes from the 1870s. And indeed, politics were characterized during this transition period from enlightened royalism to pillarized mass politics by an almost complete lack of formal party organization, and accordingly by individualist voting behaviour in parliament that puzzled even well-informed contemporaries, such as Lord Napier, the British ambassador to the Hague. In fact, even the ideological colour of the 'conservative-liberal' cabinets is hard to classify, for their making and reshuffling was almost independent of parliamentary influence, and thus also of the electoral process. But does this really mean that the nation adapted itself to the prudent leadership of its moderate elites?

An examination of the polls at local elections reveals an interesting pattern, which makes it possible to understand the political indifference from a more rational perspective. Both the constituency voting system and the formal rules of the Electoral Law of 1850 favoured the majority party due to the principle of 'the winner takes all'. To have a chance of representation, political minorities had to either cooperate or take the electoral meetings by surprise. As long as the political factions in the constituencies balanced each other, the polls were generally high. But the turnout lowered as soon as a majority party became firmly entrenched, for voting could hardly be interesting when nothing was at stake. Therefore, the electorate was highly politicized during the political crisis of 1848-1853, when in many boroughs old and new liberals were fighting for votes and power. Things changed, however, after the 'April Storm' of 1853, when the 'Thorbeckians' and their Catholic allies were thrown out by majority vote. For at least a decade, elections became ritual confirmations of the conservative hegemony of the Protestant elites. Of course, the office holders still had to offer themselves as politicians for election by direct vote, and in the aftermath of 1853 some Protestant newcomers from the upper middle class were admitted to office. But the
complexity of the system promoted the periodic re-election of sitting administrators over new candidates, which means they were practically chosen for life.\textsuperscript{66}

These general observations about the electoral mechanism help us to understand why radical changes in many constituencies often became bogged down in religious strife, which favoured political stability in the end. Yet, they do not explain the significant geographical differentiation in Dutch political culture. The social historian Van Tijn has argued that political opposition in the Netherlands from the Patriot Revolt of the 1780s to the rise of pillarization in the 1870s must be seen as provincial movements for emancipation, opposed to the economic and political dominance of ‘the Amsterdam-The Hague axis’.\textsuperscript{67} Although there is not much empirical evidence of such a longterm geographic continuity of patriotism and liberalism, the election results from 1848 support the idea of an ideological contradistinction between Holland and the Outer Provinces. Up to 1870 the central Randstad area between Amsterdam, Utrecht, Rotterdam and The Hague was a bulwark of conservatism, whereas the liberals were mainly returned to parliament by votes from the provincial periphery - an interesting contrast to the current cliche of the backward provinces as opposed to the progressive Randstad. The evidence suggests, however, that here religion rather than regionalism was at stake. The electoral basis of conservatism was primarily situated in the Protestant strongholds of the public church, whilst the liberals won the polls in some latitudinarian areas in the northern provinces and in the Catholic provinces of Brabant and Limburg, in the same way as half a century before the radical patriots were recruited from the dissenter-Protestant and Catholic fringe.\textsuperscript{68} Of course, this does not mean that liberalism was lacking in Holland: early liberalism, as we saw, was firmly rooted especially in Amsterdam, while many Protestant conservatives or ‘conservative liberals’ were of liberal or even radical descent. For years after the April Movement, however, liberalism in this western part of the country was mainly restricted to the Catholic minority, which comprised some 30-40 per cent of urban voters. Thus it


\textsuperscript{67} Th. van Tijn, The Party Structure of Holland and the Outer Provinces in the Nineteenth Century’, in Bromley and Kossmann, Metropolis, Dominion and Province, pp. 176-207; repr. in Beekelaar, Vaderlands Verleden in Veelvoud, especially pp. 564-567, 572; the original idea was put forward by Boogman, who suggested that patriotism and liberalism should both be understood as regional movements of emancipation against the political dominance of Holland; Boogman, ‘Napier’, p. 196; cf. Kossmann, Lage Landen, pp. 129, 204-208.

\textsuperscript{68} For a good survey of religious geography, see Hans Knippenberg, De religieuze kaart van Nederland. Omvang en geografische spreiding van de godsdienstige gezindten vanaf de Reformatie tot heden (Assen, 1992).
seems that 'religiography' explains the fundamental weakness of the liberals in the aftermath of 'their' revolution of 1848.

Map 1: elections for the Second Chamber, 1860:

underline:
One of the strategies used by those holding the reigns of government after 1848 was gerrymandering, i.e. moving the boundaries of constituencies to the advantage of the dominant politico-religious group. The crucial variable, however, was the balance of power within the centre. Things would change if parts of the Protestant majority were to link up with the Catholic periphery. Such a reshaping of political loyalties took place around 1795 en 1848, and again in the 1860s, the decade that has been called the pivot on which the whole period of 1850-1890 hinged. Repeating the pattern of the 1840s, different religious and social minorities then closed ranks against the established elites by supporting each other's candidates.

In fact, all parties from 1848 had been ad hoc coalitions: one such was the 'All-Protestant Party' of 1853, which split up around 1860 when a group of enlightened Protestants tried to win the votes of the Protestant orthodoxy by outbidding the liberals with a mixture of royalism and educational reform. Possibly, this would have resulted in a Dutch variant of Disraeli's Tory Party had another group of Calvinist 'anti-revolutionaries' not exchanged the old-Protestant idea of one church and one nation for a new concept of a free church and a free school liberated from government control. This opened up a new field of coalitions, the immediate outcome of which was a liberal victory at the polls. In 1862, Thorbecke formed a second government that was able to complete the law-making programme of his first administration. And when in 1866 the conservatives returned to power, the king had to appeal to the country for support for 'his' cabinet against the liberal majority in parliament. At first this constitutional crisis strengthened the Conservative party, as it did in 1853. Under the impact of the 'Prussia hysteria' of the Austro-Prussian War of 1866 the Conservatives even succeeded in organizing a nationwide Militia Movement, commanded by king William III, and marked by the same blend of social radicalism and anti-parliamentary Bonapartism as the 'All Protestant Party' of 1853. Yet in the end, party politics eroded the royalists' right to power. The conservatives suffered an electoral defeat after a second dissolution of parliament in 1868, when public opinion learned of secret deals between the government and some Catholic 'ultramontanists' to join forces against the liberals. At that crucial moment, the heirs to the April Movement of 1853 lost not only the battle but the Protestant nation.

69 Kossmann, Lage Landen, p. 145; this notion is however restricted to political discourse, for the decline of political conservatism did not reflect a social transformation as Kossmann suggests.
70 An important issue in the constitutional crisis of 1866-8 was the king's defense policy, as William III used his control over the army as a last stronghold against the liberals, who, ever since the 1840s, opted for parliamentary control of the expensive navy and fortification-system, such as realized with the Fortress Act of 1874; in the period before even Thorbecke had to accept in his cabinets conservative ministers of war. The volunteers, however, offered the king a private army to restore, with the aid of royalists and radicals, 'some Bonapartist or Bismarckian guidance in the nation', to quote the king's confident Count R.J. Schimmelpenninck (a son of Gerrit); W. Bevaart, De Nederlandse defensie (1839-1874), (The Hague, 1993), pp. 417, 413-24.
71 Here too we have at our disposal Van Zuylen's memoirs, though now acting as a leader of a
This remarkable ideological volte-face was the result of the separation of church and state - the constitutional disestablishment of 1848 that in the long run undermined the influence of the notability in church affairs. In the Dutch Reformed Church, the notables lost their ecclesiastical hegemony after the introduction of an electoral system for church offices in 1867, enabling the reveilaristocrats to mobilize the orthodox parishioners against the exponents of the 'Protestant Enlightenment'. Equally, after the episcopal restoration of the Roman Catholic Church in 1853, the liberal-Catholic notables lost influence to a new elite of ultramontanists, who - solidly backed by the clergy - exchanged their allegiance to the democratic parties of 1795 and 1848 for an anti-modernist policy, inspired by the encyclical Quanta Cura (1864).

In politics around 1870, these ecclesiastical changes led to an overall breaking up of the 'All-Protestant' coalition of conservatives and anti-revolutionaries on the one hand, and the dissolving of the 'Papo-Thorbeckian' alliance of liberals and Catholics on the other. In 1860, liberals and conservatives each had 34 seats (of 72 seats) in parliament; by 1877, the liberals occupied 45 seats, while the Conservatives had only 7 (of 80) seats; the rest were divided among the clerical parties: ultramontanists and anti-revolutionaries. Within a few years this reversal of alliances was responsible for the complete transformation of the geography of politics. Liberalism after 1870 lost its hold on the Catholic south, to take its main strength from the latitudinarian north and the old stronghold of Conservatism: the cities of Holland. The anti-revolutionaries conquered the orthodox 'Bible belt' in the middle of the country, and in the southern province of Brabant the Catholic

---

72 A detailed analysis of this process at the local level can be found in Van der Laarse, Bevoaging en Bevinding pp. 140-162, 214-234
74 C.A. Tamse, 'De politieke ontwikkeling in Nederland, 1874-1887', in Algemene Geschiedenis der Nederlanden, XIII (Haarlem/Buusum 1978), p. 219
75 Even as late as 1986 the polls for the elections of the Second Chamber reflect this pillarized political geography: Hans Knippenberg and Ben de Pater, De eenwording van Nederland. Schaalvergroting en integratie sinds 1800 (Nijmegen, 1988), p. 193.
constituency organizations were politically organized on ultramontane foundations. In mixed areas of Protestants and Catholics, where all these forces met, this took the form of anti-Papist charivaris, as during the earlier breaks in political culture in around 1800, 1830 and 1850. But again these rituals of identity only accentuated the essentially pluralist character of the nation, which from 1795 had never been seriously questioned.

V. Politics in a New Key

In the 1870s, the separation of religion and politics, generated by the 1848 disestablishment, culminated in a Kulturkampf. On the one hand, a new generation of 'young liberals', standard-bearers of a 'modern', positivist world-view, tried to cleanse politics from the pollution of religious rivalry; on the other, a new generation of clerical politicians translated religious revivalism into politics. Thus, in 1878, the liberal Prime Minister J. Kappeyne van de Coppello initiated a civilizing offensive to 'enlighten' the nation by way of the state's primary school system, while both the ultramontanists and anti-revolutionaries petitioned the king for state aid for denominational education. Significantly, however, this 'School Act agitation' - aimed at the withdrawal of the liberal Education Bill - mobilized an even greater part of the nation against the government than the no-Popery agitation of 1853. Yet the instrument of a people's petition proved to be out of date, since the monarchy had lost its political power as a result of the constitutional crisis of 1866-1868.77

Although clerical constituency associations dated from the 1860s, the 'school struggle' stimulated their national integration based on party principles. In 1879 the Calvinist preacher-politician Abraham Kuyper founded the Anti-Revolutionary Party (ARP), which is held to be the first modern political party in the Netherlands, and in 1883 the priest-politician H.J.A.M. Schaepman published his ultramontane Manifesto for a Roman Catholic Party. Interestingly, their new strategy of political centralization and ideological isolation was accompanied by a more ' politicized' and 'cosmopolitan' theological orientation. Kuyper distanced himself from the ethical Pietism of the conservative, Reveal aristocracy,78 while Schaepman, in spite of being distrusted by the episcopate for his 'open' Catholicism, initiated an ideological break with the liberal Catholic elites. Together this opened up the prospect of a clerical coalition between the adherents of Rome and those of Calvin. This ideological bridging of the cleavage of the Reformation, which until then had


78 In Dutch historiography Kuyper is regarded a social reformer, because in 1886 he had brought about a schism in the Dutch Reformed Church, known as the Doleantie, in order to liberate the orthodox 'little people' from the control of the 'liberal lords'; that, however, even at the 1890's both the Revealaristocracy and the Pietist bevindelijken or 'grass roots' Calvinists (within and without the Dutch Reformed Church) far outnumbered the Kuyperian 'neocalvinists', is shown in detail by Van der Laarse, Bevoogding en bevinding pp. 258 ff., and Wolfram, Bezwarten en Verlichten, 115-138, 189 ff., and noticed in general by J. de Bruyn (eds.), Een land nog niet in kaart gebracht. Aspecten van het protestants-christelijk leven in Nederland in de jaren 1880-1940 (Amsterdam, 1987), and G.J. Schutte, 'Over '86 en'92 en daarna. Kuypers gereformeerde wereld herdacht', Bijdragen en Mededelingen betreffende de Geschiedenis der Nederlanden, 110 (1995) 4, 513-517.
prevented the conservatives from winning the Catholic vote, goes by the name of the Antithesis: the cultural dichotomy of Christianity and Enlightenment, or - in politics - the dichotomy between right and left.\(^7\)

Thus, as the conservatives had initiated in the 1850s the electoral instrument of local constituency associations, the clericals in the 1870s introduced the modern party organization, featuring a party manifesto, voting discipline and a cult of leadership - a practice followed in the 1880s by the socialists but never perfectly imitated by the liberals. Moulded by the agitation for laissez faire and public spirit in the 1840s and 1860s, liberal culture would adhere for more than a century to the respectable sociability of the Masonic club, the Society for Public Utility (Maatschappij tot Nut van't Algemeen), and the genteel style of the debating society.\(^8\) Still, the education issue contributed not only to the strength of the clerical coalition but also to a 'Protestantization' of liberalism. The conservatives left the stage only because the clericals monopolized the interests of church and religion, and the liberals took over the torch of anticlericalism, as defenders of the unitarian principles of 1795. As such, this ideological polarization marked a decisive division between the secular and the religious. The result was a new political arrangement, in which the secular 'left' (the socialists included) stood firm for the autonomy of the state's public sphere as an endangered remnant of William I's enlightened nation, whereas the clerical 'right' demanded denominational 'particularism', as a corporatist translation of the old republican fear of centralism.

Thus the nationalization of the masses took place in the Netherlands by way of a pillarized segmentation.\(^9\) Yet, this segmented integration should not blind us to the fact that, at least until the end of the century, at the local level the political machinery was still controlled by 'local bosses', functioning as power brokers between the state and their own communities. In most boroughs, a

---

\(^7\) Cf. Kossmann, Lage Landen, pp. 219-221, 261-3; Wintle, Pillars of Piety, pp. 50-61; for Kuyper's role as a mass politician, see also Henk te Velde, 'Ervaring en zingeving in de politiek. Het politieke charisma in de tijd van Abraham Kuyper', Theoretische Geschiedenis 23 (1996) 4, 519-538; ibid., 'Een aparte techniek. Nederlandse politieke acteurs en de massa na 1870', Tijdschrift voor Geschiedenis 110 (1997) 2, 198-212.  


new generation of ultramontane and anti-revolutionary officials simply continued the ‘family government’ of their conservative or liberal fathers and uncles. The clerical ‘emancipators’ were drawn from the patrician class, not from ‘the people’, and often formed a paternalistic elite par excellence. Besides, even the liberal notables in parliament could often survive for years by crossing the poles of the antithesis in their own constituencies, as active members of consistories and christian school boards. Thus, within the ARP as within the Liberal Union (1885) and the Catholic party organizations, the regional notable elites, still acting as a rural and urban ‘squirearchy’, played a prominent role until at least the 1890s.

This aristocratic continuity suggests that parliamentary democracy in the Netherlands did not imply a process of social democratization: the internal dynamic of politics determined the


83 Even in Catholic regions the liberal elites could survive until the introduction of universal (male) suffrage and proportional representation in 1917 - notwithstanding a complete lack of party organization - by way of acting as a non-political ‘middle party’: Ton Duffhues, ‘Van liberaal bolwerk tot politiek meerstromenland. De formatie van politieke partijen in Arnhem 1880-1920’, Bijdragen en Mededelingen Vereniging Gelre, LXXVII (1986) 101-127. In particular in the so-called Bible belt in the middle of the country (a mixed area of Protestants and Catholics), Kuiper’s ‘policy of the antithesis’ was obstructed at the local level by a Protestant orthodoxy that would rather vote paganist than Papist: Von Santen, ‘Politiek leven in de stad Utrecht’, and Van der Laar, Bevoogding en Bevinding pp. 281-296, 316-348; an influential exponent of this orthodox-aristocratic liberalism was the high-bred liberal politician W.H. de Beaufort, who from the 1880s up to 1918 combined a deep aversion to Kuiper’s clerical politics with a strong interest in the local church affairs of his huge Utrecht estate; cf. Dagboeken en aantekeningen van Willem Hendrik de Beaufort, J.P. de Valk and M. van Faassen (eds.), 2 volumes (s Gravenhage, 1993).

84 Although, by the absence of primogeniture, the concentration of noble wealth and power was less spectacular in the Netherlands than in the British case, the position of both the rural and urban elites in the Netherlands, with respect to land, kinship, paternalism, and accommodationist politics, corresponds with the notion of ‘new squirearchy’ as developed for the urban elites in England: John Garrard, ‘Urban Elites, 1850-1914: The Rule and Decline of a New Squirearchy?’, Albion, 27 (Autumn 1995) 3, 583-621, especially pp. 586-8, 601, 611-612. Yet the peculiarity of Dutch notability can probably be at best compared with the aristocracy of Tuscany, that has been characterized by a ‘Renaissance paradigm’ for its absence of primogeniture and the British (or German) opposition between landed elites and urban middle classes, which resulted in a mixture of all sorts of traditional and modern forms of rural and urban seigneurial power and lifestyles, so that the Florentine merchant-bankers shared as much the aristocratic values as did their Amsterdam counterparts: Raffaele Romanelli, ‘Urban patricians and bourgeois society: a study of wealthy elites in Florence, 1862-1904’, Journal of Modern Italian Studies 1 (1995) 1, 3-21.
democratization of politics. Even the atheist 'neo Malthusians' on the radical left of Dutch liberalism, as well as most feminist leaders of the woman's right movement, were from notable, Protestant birth. Thus in 1887 the liberal majority party initiated electoral reform, seeking new voters to outvote the clerical parties at the polls, and to win over the radical adherents of the League for Universal Suffrage (1879). The discrimination between local and national voters disappeared, after which the electorate increased from 122,000 to 292,000 voters (25-26 per cent of the adult male population, or 14 per cent of the adult population at that time). In contrast to the English working-class enfranchisement of 1867 and 1884, however, the Netherlands' extensions of the franchise in the 1880s generally favoured the lower middle classes, and proved to be more favourable for the right than the left. The clerical minorities succeeded for the first time in mobilizing the popular vote 'against liberalism' by combining their lists of candidates. Not only were the liberals defeated at the elections, they also had to face the holy music of a Clerical cabinet (1888-1891) in the old humanist nation of Erasmus and Spinoza.

Yet the reforms of 1887 showed not only the fragility of the liberal order, they also pointed at the weak spot of the clerical coalition. Only two of the eight ministers were Catholics: while the polls suggest that Catholics voted for anti-revolutionaries, Calvinists hardly voted for ultramontanists. Thus, after the Christian coalition altered the liberal Education Act and reached consensus on the possibility of state-aided denominational education, it broke apart as an old-fashioned alliance of interest groups, because there simply was no 'clerical policy' apart from the education issue.

Map 2: elections for the Second Chamber, 1897.

---

85 See in general, Friso Hoeneveld, 'Met behoud van eigen standpunt'. Oud-liberalisme en nationalism in Nederland rond de eeuwwisseling', Theoretische Geschiedenis, 23 (1996) 1, 16-47, and for aristocratic feminism: Maria Grever, Strijd tegen de stilte: Johanna Naber (1859-1941) en de vrouwenstem in de geschiedenis (Hilversum, 1994), while the broad spectrum of feminist opinion is treated in Ulla Jansz, Denken over sekse in de eerste feministische golf (Amsterdam, 1990).

86 After the elections of 1888, the Lower House numbered 28 antirevolutionaries, 26 Catholics, and 44 Liberals (and one Conservative and one Socialist), while 12 of the 28 antirevolutionaries were chosen by Catholic vote but only 4 of the 26 Catholics by orthodox vote; cf. Kossmann, Lage Landen, p. 260 ff.; J.T. Minderaa, 'De politieke ontwikkeling in Nederland 1887-1914', in Algemene Geschiedenis der Nederlanden, XIII, pp. 432-436; Th.B.F.M. Brinkel, 'De Haagse lente', in Th.B.F.M. Brinkel [et al.] (eds), Het kabinet Mackay. Opstellen over de eerste christelijke coalitie (1888-1891) (Baarn, 1990), pp. 52-55; H. Righart, 'Een eeuw confessionele politiek?', in Paul Luyckx and Hans Righart (eds), Van de pastorie naar het torentje; een eeuw confessionele politiek (s Gravenhage, 1991), pp. 175-186.
From 1891 to 1901 the liberals operated again (and for the last time) as a majority party, though behind the liberal-clerical scheme a new segmentation was now at stake. The 1890s was a decade of political radicalization, fostered by the Agrarian Depression as well as the take-off of modern industrialization and the consequential drift from the land to the cities. All parties were then confronted with democratic opposition, which was demanding an extension of the franchise and a change of personnel. For a while this seemed to result in a new, dualist party structure along the lines of class instead of religion. In opposition to the notable factions of their own clerical parties, Kuyper and Schaeppman - the latter now backed by the social encyclical Rerum Novarum (1891) - allied with the young-liberal Prime Minister J.P.R. Tak van Poortvliet in support of his radical ballot bill, which would extend suffrage to the working classes. Though the liberals in 1894 occupied no fewer than 60 (of 100) seats, the 'Takkians' were defeated at the elections by the narrowest of margins. Yet, in the longer run, the democratic element in parliament was strengthened by the moderate electoral law of 1896, which enfranchised most tradesmen, shopkeepers and craftsmen, as well as a small working-class 'aristocracy'.

In all parties this contributed to a withdrawal of notables. Thus in 1896 the 'reveal aristocracy, which was opposed to electoral reform and Kuyper's coalition with Rome, broke away from the ARP and later reunited themselves in a Christian Historical Union (1908), which can be distinguished from Kuyper's following of Christian Reformed 'little people', by its commitment to the 'national' Dutch Reformed Church, and its air of Protestant notability and old-fashioned anti-Papism. This so-called ethical orthodoxy became practically linked up with the 'national liberals', who seceded from the 'Takkian' Union, which also lost its left wing of social liberals in 1901. Only

---

87 Although modern industrialization in the Netherlands only dated from the 1890s, the 'traditional' fields of agriculture, trade and banking experienced a rapid process of growth already from the 1830s, so that in Europe only Great-Britain outranked the Netherlands in terms of GNP: cf. J.A. de Jonge, De industrialisatie in Nederland tussen 1850 en 1914 (Nijmegen, 1968); R.T. Griffiths, Achterlijk, Achter of Anders? Aspecten van de economische ontwikkeling van Nederland in de 19de eeuw (Amsterdam, 1980); J.M.M. de Meere, Economische ontwikkeling en levensstandaard in Nederland gedurende de eerste helft van de negentiende eeuw (The Hague, 1982); J.L. van Zanden, De economische ontwikkeling van de Nederlandse landbouw in de negentiende eeuw, 1800-1914 (Utrecht, 1985).

88 Joined up politically with the radical liberals in the election issue, parliamentary democracy ment to Kuyper and Schaeppman in principle not an extension of the franchise (nor the secrecy of the ballot, which was already ensured by the Electoral Law of 1850) but a proportional representation of religious minorities; otherwise the vast majority of anti-revolutionary and Catholic members of the Second Chamber of Parliament were opposed to any kind of electoral reform, and longed for the idea of a new Conservative Party, made up by Catholics, Antirevolutionaries, and 'Old' or 'National Liberals'; cf. J.W.A. van der Giessen, De opkomst van de demokratie in Nederland (Den Haag, 1948), pp. 227-241; J.A. Bornewasser, 'De katholieke partijvorming tot de Eerste Wereldoorlog', in L.W.G. Scholten [et al.], De confessionelen, ontstane en ontwikkeling van de christelijke partijen (Utrecht, 1968), pp. 23-40.


90 Taal, Liberalen en Radicalen, pp. 230-318; K.E. van der Mandele, Het liberalisme in Nederland. Schets van de ontwikkeling in de negentiende eeuw (Arnhem, 1933), pp.140-2. Curiously enough, in their
the Catholic Party was not split up during the radical 1890s, but reorganized by the clergy as a corporatist league of upper, middle and lower class representatives, in response to popular demands for a progressive Christian Democratic Party.

In 1896 the connection between suffrage and taxpaying was exchanged for a broader definition of electoral capability, which extended the franchise to some 50 per cent of the adult male population (581,000 voters in 1897). But again, as in 1887, electoral reform proved to be most favourable for the right, which returned to power in 1901. Still, headed by Kuyper, this second cabinet of the clerical coalition (1901-1905) contrasted strongly in its social composition with the first coalition government, presided over by the moderate Baron Aeneas Mackay, a descendant of Scottish nobility. In Kuyper's cabinet only a fifth of the ministers was of noble descent, against three-fifths of the ministers of Mackay's cabinet.

Though a royalist and nationalist at heart, Kuyper's populist appearance raised hopes for democratic reform. Yet, after the 'men with the double names' had lost their hold on politics, he exchanged his social policy for one of stabilization. So, on the threshold of the twentieth century, the antithesis was introduced again, though it was no longer directed against liberalism but against socialism. Kuyper lost his democratic reputation after the railway strike of 1903, when the government introduced its notorious anti-socialist 'strangle laws'. In fact, this new identification with law and order contributed to his overthrow in 1905. Yet, in 1913 the Social Democratic Labour Party (the SDAP, founded in 1894) played into the clerics' hands by rejecting a liberal proposal for its participation in government. After the social-democrats further isolated themselves by the social-democrat's participating in the so-called Operetta Revolution of 1918 - 'the revolution that did not come off', as the Marxists put it - the confessional parties were able to monopolize political power up to the Second World War.

After the introduction of universal (male) suffrage, compulsory voting, and proportional representation by the Pacification of 1917, as well as women's suffrage in the years following it, the liberals changed from a majority party into one of the smallest political minorities (from about forty

opposition to Thorbeckian legalism, the conservative and radical liberals shared a common language of civic virtue, rooted in the old-republican protestant tradition: Henk te Velde, Gemeenschapszin en plichtsbef. Liberalisme en nationalisme in Nederland 1870-1918 (The Hague, 1992), and Stefan Dudink, Deugdzaam liberalisme. Sociaal-liberalisme in Nederland 1870-1901 (Amsterdam, 1997).


92 For the revolutionary events, see A.J.C. Rüter, De spoorwegstakingen van 1903. Een spiegel der arbeidersbeweging in Nederland (Leiden, 1935), and H.J. Scheffer, November 1918. Journaal van een revolutie die niet doorging (Amsterdam, 1971), and for a similar bourgeois-clerical isolation of the SDAP in 1924, after the military repression of a 'communist' mutiny in the Netherlands Indies, see J.C.H. Blom, De muterij op de Zeven Provinciën. Reacties en gevolgen in Nederland (Utrecht, 1983, 2nd. ed.).
to fifteen of the hundred seats in Parliament). But the process of democratization also took place at the expense of the socialists. Increased from two parliamentary seats in 1898 to twenty-two in 1918, the socialist vote stagnated until the 1960s at around 20-25 per cent of the electorate. Again this was a case of 'religiography', since socialism (like social liberalism) was almost entirely a latitudinarian phenomenon, restricted to the radical sociability of nonconformists, Freethinkers and Jews in the Randstadarea, and the agrarian proletariat of the northeastern Outer Provinces. In opposition to the old paternalism of the 'liberal elites' as well as the growing influence of the orthodoxy in and outside the Dutch Reformed Church, both regions functioned from the late nineteenth century as the geographical nucleus of atheism in the Netherlands. Therefore, rather than being a vehicle of democratization, socialism was one of de-Christianization. Thus it was hardly accidental that the former Lutheran clergymen Ferdinand Domela Nieuwenhuis, founder of the 'old socialist movement' in the 1880s, was hailed by his followers as 'Our Saviour' and titled his autobiography 'From Christian to Anarchist'.

In the Netherlands it was not the socialists but the ultramontanists who held the key to social democratization. Except for the hinterland of the great port towns of Amsterdam and Rotterdam, modern industrialization was concentrated in those areas where for centuries Catholics had been the majority among the working classes, from the mining industry in Limburg and the tanneries in Brabant to the cotton belt of Twente and the breweries of cities of Holland. Yet the political significance of the working classes also contributed to a remarkable volte-face in the clerical balance of power. The first clerical cabinets of Mackay and Kuyper had been no more than a try-out for the impending politics of pillarization, since they relied on the Catholic vote but reflected a Protestant hegemony. In fact, as we saw, this had been a structural feature of Dutch politics ever since the Batavian Revolution. But this changed after the railway strike of 1903, when the

---

93 For the reliography of de-Christianization, see Knippenberg, Religieuze kaart, Chapter 7, 227-243; and for the prosopography and geography of early socialism, see P. van Horssen and D. Rietveld, 'De Sociaal Democratische Bond. Een onderzoek naar het ontstaan van haar afdelingen en sociale structuur', I/II, Tijdschrift voor Sociale Geschiedenis, (1975) 5-71; (1977) 3-54.

94 Cf. Bert Altena (ed.), 'En al beschouwen alle broeders mij als den verloren broeder'. De familiecorrespondentie van en over Ferdinand Domela Nieuwenhuis, 1846-1932 (Amsterdam, 1997); and for a thorough study of the secularizing power of early socialism in a Zealand town, see Bert Altena, 'Een broeienest der anarchie. Arbeiders, arbeidersbeweging en maatschappelijke ontwikkeling. Vlissingen 1875-1929' (1940), 2 volumes (Amsterdam, 1989). Though attacking feminists and radicals for their bourgeois opinions, Domela was himself from notable birth, and as such unfamiliar with working class culture, as were most prominent socialist and communist intellectuals; cf. Elsbeth Ety, Liefde is heel het leven niet. Henriëtte Roland Holst, 1869-1952 (Amsterdam, 1996); Herman de Liagre Böhl, Met al mijn bloed heb ik voor U geleefd. Herman Gorter 1864-1927 (Amsterdam, 1996).

episcopate started an anti-socialist offensive to unite the Catholic workers into corporatist ‘estates’.\footnote{Cf. Jos Perry, Roomsche kinine tegen roode koorts. Arbeidersbeweging en katholieke kerk in Maastricht 1880-1920 (Amsterdam, 1983); Siep Stuurman, Verzuizing, kapitalisme en patriarchaat, pp. 148 ff.; Don Kalb, Expanding class. Power and everyday politics in industrial communities. North Brabant illustrations, c. 1850-1950 (Utrecht, 1995); and for the internal mechanism of pillarized mobilization, in the South as well as the northern part of the Netherlands, see Duffhues, Generaties en Patronen, pp. 89 ff. Jos Leenders, ‘De papieren kapelaan. Katholiek Hoorn (1905-1911)’, in Blom and Misset, Broeders schijt U aan, pp. 199-246.}

The strength of these pillarized, mass organizations contributed to the defeat of the Reds in 1918 (as it later contributed to the defeat of the Fascist Movement).\footnote{Interestingly, the electoral basis of the fascist National-Socialist Movement (NSB) was as much restricted by religiosity as that of the socialist SDAP, that both attracted at first the Catholic and orthodox workmen, who both were checked by the control of ecclesiastical authorities, after which their electoral basis was confined to those who were opposed to the parliamentary system. For Dutch fascism in general, see A.A. de Jonge, Crisis en critiek der democratie; anti-democratische stromingen en de daarin levende denkbeelden over de staat in Nederland tussen de wereldoorlogen (Assen, 1968), and the monumental work of the ‘official historian’ L. de Jong, Het Koninkrijk der Nederlanden in de Tweede Wereldoorlog 29 volumes (s Gravenhage, 1969-). Strangely, we know very little about fascism and class, though there has been some research done into the subjects of region and religion: H. Dam, De NSB en de kerken. De opstelling van de NSB in Nederland ten opzichte van het Christendom en met name de Gereformeerde Kerken 1931-1940 (Kampen, 1986); L.M.H. Joosten, Katholieken en fascisme in Nederland 1920-1940 (Hilversum, 1964); S.Y.A. Vellenga, Katholiek Zuid Limburg en het fascisme. Een onderzoek naar het kiesgedrag van de Limburger in de jaren dertig (Assen, 1975); G.R. Zonderged, De Friese Beweging in het tijdvak der beide Wereldoorlogen (Leeuwarden, 1978).}

From then the Roman Catholic State Party (RKSP) controlled the popular vote, although the Catholics were still underrepresented within the coalition cabinets, presided, predominantly, by protestant Prime Ministers, such as the authoritarian colonial officer and oil magnate, Hendrik Colijn (1869-1944); Kuyper’s successor as leader of the ARP. In fact, his political rise expressed not so much the emancipation of the Calvinist lower middle classes as the hegemony of a new class of business tycoons, which - in contrast to the old enlightened notability - could afford to leave politics to the clericals by acting as a professional pressure group.\footnote{Cf. for the mechanisms of episcopatal control, faced with the socialist and fascist threat, see Jan Bank, Beheersing en beheersbaarheid van het katholieke volksdeel, and, for the authoritarian character of Colijn’s politics: J.C.H. Blom, ‘De politieke machtspositie van H. Colijn in de jaren ‘30’, both in P.W. Klein and G.J. Borger (eds.), De Jaren Dertig. Aspecten van crisis en werkloosheid (Amsterdam 1979), 228-248, while Colijn’s remarkably successful and heartless colonial career is revealed in Herman Langeveld, Hendrikus Colijn 1869-1944. Dit leven van krachtig handelen, vol. 1 (1869-1933) (Amsterdam, 1998), and his role as Prime Minister, under the gathering criticism of his Catholic allies, though from the perspective of an admirer, in G. Puchinger, Colijn en het einde van de Coalitie: de geschiedenis van de kabinettenformaties 1933-1939 (Leiden, 1993). The political influence of the ‘new’ business elite, which (except for the haughty farmer’s son Colijn himself) was almost completely from liberal, notable descent, is analyzed in Joh. Houwink ten Cate, ‘De mannen van de daad’ en Duiisland, 1919-1939. Het Hollandse zakenleven en de vooroorlogse buitenlandse politiek (The Hague, 1995).}
Yet, so important was class to Catholic policy that the clergy insisted on the eve of the Second World War (following the economic crisis of the 1930s), that the social democrats be invited to join the government. Far from being a socialist victory, however, this so-called Second Pacification of 1939 marked out the Catholics as the pivot of politics. Socialist participation in national politics was made possible only by its symbolic integration with the nation. In fact, the SDAP came to play a vanguard role in the Europe-wide bourgeoisification of the socialists; but the price for its political success was the loss of its ideological soul: its original republicanism, Marxist ideology and trade unionism was replaced by a policy of appeasement towards 'Crown, Church and Capital'. Instead of a class ideology, socialism was regarded as a moral stance against materialism and individualism. This 'personalist' socialism - or 'ethical socialism' in British terms - was in fact so akin to Catholic corporatism that in the aftermath of the Second World War a political fusion of Catholics and socialists seemed at hand. Only episcopal fear of de-Christianization frustrated this 'Breakthrough Movement', although for a decade the country was ruled by a 'Red-Roman Coalition'. The great transformation had to wait until the so-called de-pillarization of the 1960s and 1970s. By then, the Roman Catholic Church had experienced the same process of internal crisis that the Dutch Reformed Church had experienced almost a century before. And it was only after this that the liberal and socialist parties were able to penetrate the Catholic southern provinces, and to govern without clerical consent; a political secularization that contributed strongly to a last swing of the political pendulum: the so-called purple coalition of liberals and socialists of the 1990s.


VI. Democracy and Distinction

At the beginning of this essay, I questioned the idea of the Dutch process of democratization as a gradual, straightforward evolution. In contrast to this conciliatory Leitmotiv of both the political and scholarly community, political development manifested some fundamental discontinuities. But these breaks did not seriously endanger political stability. The argument developed here suggests that political loyalties were moulded in a 'traditional' culture of particularism, and were primarily based on rank, kinship, religion, and education. Moreover, the time-lag between the establishment of parliamentary democracy and the take-off of modern industrialization prevented a fundamental politicization of the lower classes.

Until the end of the nineteenth century, political culture was controlled by a relatively small group of notables, mostly landlords and merchant bankers, who gained power between the Batavian Revolt of 1795 and the democratic reforms of the 1830s and 1840s. Political debate during that period centred on the constitutional legitimacy of kingship. Van Hogendorp's ideal type of one ruling class, though, did not completely fit political reality. Firstly, it was complicated by his own subdivision into three political estates or orders: nobility, gentry and patriciate. Secondly, the division of parliament in 1815 into a First and a Second Chamber of what was then archaistically termed the States General, strengthened the titled aristocracy in its self-confident belief of being a separate elite, a world apart from the untitled gentry and patrician representatives, even though many aristocrats were only recently ennobled.

Yet, the notability was not a completely artificial class. The landed classes' lifestyle appealed also to a broad group of 'bourgeois' merchant bankers, manufacturers, and learned professionals, that longed for aristocratization and shared the squirearchical cult of land, family, and honour. Otherwise, the radicals' attack on the aristocracy's monopoly of power during the revolutionary episode of the late eighteenth century made the nobility, in spite of its social exclusiveness, eager for a 'cultural partnership' with the urban patriciate. This patrician-noble amalgamation was reflected by a shift from the rococo culture of pleasure to the early-liberal language of civic virtue, marked by 'bourgeois' seriousness, utilitarianism, respectability, sobriety, and conventionality.

103 In contrast to England, and to the Dutch situation in the eighteenth century, most nineteenth-century notables (also noblemen) in the Netherlands were university-educated, after being trained at one of the new, prestigious boarding-school, such as Noorthey in Voorschoten; the university of Utrecht attracted in particular the provincial nobility, while the Dutch patriciate was educated, in particular, in 'liberal' Leiden; in Amsterdam and Groningen the atmosphere was more bourgeois and latidunarian. Unfortunately, for this period the old-boys-networks have not attracted much historical attention, although some numerical and biographical information can be found in Otterspeer, De wiek slag van hun geest, 1992), 404-450, Paping, Die waardige man, 51-66, and Kuiper, Adel in Friesland 381-5.

104 The aristocracy's adaptation of 'bourgeois' seriousness in the Netherlands closely resembles the early-Victorian 'cultural partnership' between the nobility and the middle classes in Britain, challenged from below.
In the urban world of the great townhouses, this notable culture found expression in the enlightened sociability of theaters, clubs and societies, which functioned as a nationwide mechanism of elitist accommodation, although their actual composition differed from place to place. At the same time, in the landed world of the manor houses, this cultural shift from the Baroque Age to the age of Romanticism contributed to a complete transformation of landscape. Like the 'Anglomanian' elites in Prussia or Russia, Dutch landlords between the 1780s and 1840s executed a wholesale replacement of their 'French' formal gardens with new, wooded 'English' gardens. This new lay-out of parks, pastures, and forests, spotted with meandering brooks and winding roads, remains characteristic up to the present - as a heritage of the nineteenth-century Manor area - the Netherlands' nature reserve on the sandy soils in the Outer Provinces and the coastal regions of Holland. In fact, the modern concept of nature dates from this period, for the new idea of landscape reflected a Rousseauan image of 'naturalness', as the antipode of civilization, which supplanted the former Cartesian idea of landscape as a civilized control of nature.

If, however, the nobility shared the 'bourgeois' values of enlightened christianity, this didn't imply a questioning of its own social superiority. There was no lack of aristocratic 'class consciousness', as wrongly supposed by those historians that have tried to explain the ideological weakness of Dutch conservatism and its ultimate decline from the 1860s by the failing in the Netherlands of a feudal tradition. Cultural accommodation prevented the attribution of such class


Cf. e.g. for Freemasonry at about 1850 the difference between the exclusive Masonic lodges in the Hague, almost entirely composed of protestant court aristocrats, and the Amsterdam lodges, which functioned as meeting point of the new commercial elites from dissenter- and Jewish origin: Anton van de Sande, Vrijmetselarij in de Lage Landen. Een mysterieuze broederschap zonder geheimen (Zutphen, 1995), pp. 115-121.

According to Lieven, the Prussian replacement of formal with natural gardens in this period didn't so much reflect the impact of Rousseauan ideas but was simply caused by an urge to spend money, although he also draws attention to the higher aristocracy's Anglomania; Lieven, Aristocracy in Europe, 153, 157. In the Netherlands too the higher nobility's Anglomania played a role in the introduction of the English garden, designed by German garden architects who took the place of the Huguenote designers of the former baroque gardens; yet, the Rousseauan cult of 'naturalness' was positively reflected in the 'Swiss' iconography of the English garden as well as in neoclassicist architecture, in 'physico-theological' poetry, and in naturalist painting; cf. the careful analysis of Dutch-classicism and formal gardening, by Erik de Jong, Natuur en Kunst. Nederlandse tuinen en landschapsarchitectuur, 1650-1740 (Bussum, 1993), the contributions on natural gardening in Nederlandse Tuinen in de achttiende eeuw (special issue of Documentatieblad Werkgroep Achtste-eeuw, Amsterdam/Maarssen, 1985), those on 'Dutch' and 'French' neoclassicism in F. Grijzenhout and C. van Tuyll van Serooskerken (eds.), Edele eenvoud. Neo-classicism in Nederland 1765-1800 (Haarlem/Zwolle, 1989), and Thomas H. van der Dunk, 'De Bataafse omwenteling en de bouwkunst. De betekenis van het jaar 1795 voor de Nederlandse architectuur. Een eerste balans', BMGN, 111 (1996) 3, 314-343, and for the architecture of the nineteenth-century country estate: H.W.M. van der Wijck, De Nederlandse buitenplaats: aspecten van ontwikkeling, bescherming en herstel (Alphen a/d Rijn, 1982), Part III: De ontwikkeling van de negentiende-eeuwse buitenplaats, pp. 221-516.

Cf. e.g. H.W. von der Dunk, 'Conservatisme in vooroorlogs Nederland', Bijdragen en Mededelingen
interests to a single political orientation. Aristocrats contributed to the Patriot Revolt of the late eighteenth century as well as to the liberal victory of 1848, even though the outcome ran counter to the federalist and radical demands that lay at the root of it. For, as we saw, the aristocrat's struggle to balance the constitutional power of the king ended in the constitutional dissolution of the nobility as a separate order, by depriving the manor of its judicial privileges, dissolving the regional Ridderschappen, and divesting the First Chamber of Parliament of its exclusive power as a House of Lords. In fact, it was precisely because of this that early liberalism changed into political conservatism from 1848 onwards.

Yet it was not only the position of the nobility that changed after 1848: so did that of the notability at large. By abolishing the nobility as a political class, Thorbecke's constitution returned in a way to Hogendorp's initial idea of one notable Estate, defined by wealth and prestige instead of birth and lineage. However, the political consequences of this redefinition only dawned upon the ruling elite after the constitutional crisis around 1870. This final episode in the long struggle between liberals and conservatives on the issue of the power of kingship definitively reshaped the political landscape. From then liberalism was ipso facto regarded as a class ideology of the notability as a whole, now posing as the 'right-minded part of the nation', defending the enlightened nation against the threat of clericalization. Thus, faced with the crack of doom, the notables finally operated as a single elite. Society was marked by controversial marriages between the offspring of the titled and those of the moneyed aristocracy, while the world of trade was no longer under a noble taboo, and more and more noblemen took refuge in merchandising, banking, insurance, and railway companies.

Yet, as in England at the same time, the political rise of the middle and lower classes then brought about the debate concerning an extension of the franchise by lowering the so-called census (the required national taxes for the enrolment to vote) or - more radically - by the introduction of universal (male) suffrage. In combination with the economic crisis of the landed estate during the Agrarian Depression, this democratic threat of the radical 1890s not only

---

108 The process of patrician-noble integration was still in its infancy at the time of the sensational marriage, in 1854, between the Amsterdam (new) noblemen Hendrik van Loon and the untitled Louise Borski - a daughter of one of the Netherlands' richest merchant bankers, financially connected with the Dutch-British Hope family; cf. F.J.E. van Lennep, Late Regenten (Haarlem, 1962); G. Wiersma, Johanna Borski, financier van Nederland 1764-1846 (Amsterdam, 1997). See for the search for cultural distinction among this moneyed aristocracy, also the memoirs of the Amsterdam banker Ernst Heldring: Herinneringen en dagboek van Ernst Heldring, 1871-1914, ed. Joh. de Vries, 3 volumes (Utrecht, 1970).

109 As a result of noble penetration into the world of finance, public opinion at around 1900 was shocked by some spectacular lawsuits, introducing a new type of noble stockjobbers, soon to be stereotyped in anti-aristocrat fiction, even though Society generally reacted after the habit of noblesse oblige by a social exclusion of its 'dishonourable' fraudeurs (as well as their offspring), of whom many fled to the colonies or foreign countries: Kees Bruin, 'Diep gevallen. De malversaties van De Geer en Lefèvre de Montigny', Amsterdams Sociologisch Tijdschrift, 23 (1998) 2, 196-233.
strengthened the social integration of the notability; it also stimulated a search for cultural distinction.

The late nineteenth century witnessed a hausse of genealogy, resulting in the yearly publication of two chronicles - the so-called red and blue booklets - which still today record the lineages of noble and of patrician families, respectively. Hence, democratization produced a new construction of prestige, one which allowed individual notables to get a start in business, diplomacy and elsewhere. Otherwise, the search for distinction expressed itself in a longing for class solidarity in order to compensate for the loss of power. The old nobility, in particular, opted for some kind of gentle sobriety and fostered their special relationship with the Court, that from the coronation of Queen Wilhelmina in 1898 expressed a new sense of 'bourgeois' modesty. Others, though, experienced a significant process of 'distinguishing' by a great demonstration of comfort and exuberance. Yet all of them were opting for an escape from modernity. Thus, for example, from the 1870s onwards the Frisian nobility exchanged the family estate for a townhouse in The Hague or for a mansion in the wooded 'noble belt', which runs from the coastal regions via Utrecht to the German border. There, the old families created the illusion of a close-knit community, united in an everlasting Season; a process of cultural isolation that - in contrast to the preceding civilizing offensive - has been recently named a 'civilizing defensive'.

All this happened after parliamentary democracy had been completely established in the Netherlands. Hence the new political parties would never question the legitimacy of the system. What they asked for was access to primary schools and the parliamentary system; in other words, admission to the liberal nation. But even entry to public culture was for years controlled by the notable elites, whose politics of accommodation were based on the illusionary assumption that a gradual incorporation of the lower classes would strengthen their position. If in the end this proved a miscalculation it was not because the masses were putting through their own demands, but because new political elites, backed by the churches, were seeking a confrontation with the old

---


111 See for the early period of Wilhelmina’s reign, and the noble bias against a female candidate for the crown, Cees Fasseur’s Wilhelmina. De jonge koningin (Amsterdam, 1998). Though unreliable from a historical point of view, the court-aristocracy’s growing distrust of the new ‘bourgeois’ advisers at the Court, in the interwar period under Colijn, is well portrayed in the memoirs of the widow of the queen’s private secretary Baron Schelto van Heemstra, edited pseudonymously by her son: Homme Eernstma, Roman Hagois ou Autobiographie de la Comtesse Johanna Arnolda Bernardina Schimmelpenninck 1889-1971, Douarière Cornelis Schelto Baron van Heemstra 1942-1971 (Bolswart, 1998).

establishment by creating segmented routes to national integration. Pillarization can therefore be seen as a new mechanism of social integration, balancing the interests of employers, tradesman and workers; a vertical, religious integration that promoted social stability by cutting across the boundaries of class. Moreover, these national political communities also integrated regional interests after the old elites had disappeared. It was because of this that they favoured the rise of new mediating elites, which - in spite of their antithetical ideologies - were ready to identify with the symbols and rituals of the nation as soon as they were allowed to participate in the political process.

It is here that we should seek the origin of the conciliatory myth of political development, for this late-nineteenth-century process of accommodation enabled the new elites to identify themselves with the legalist culture of liberal politics, combining a respect for judicial rules with an emphasis on moral integrity. To understand the dynamic of this accommodationist elite culture, we cannot content ourselves with the historical myth of an age-old patrician culture of religious toleration. Faced with the politicization of religious subcultures generated by the 1848 disestablishment, it was liberal dissension - not the elites' preference for moderation and compromise - that produced this ruling behaviour. By accommodating potential opposition movements, or even initiating reforms themselves, the liberal lords could strengthen the loyalty of their voters; a lasting strategy which, according to some, even survived the radical 1960s. In fact, however, the politics of accommodation reflected the gradual decline of the notability as a more or less cohesive political, economic and cultural elite.

Yet, having demonstrated discontinuity in the process of democratization, what explains Dutch society's long-term stability? The answer, I would suggest, has much to do with the dualist origin of the Netherlands' political culture, as traced above. As political democratization was essentially a process of political accommodation, the decline of the notables was accompanied by a new process of cultural distinction. Not only did the vicar, doctor and notary appear before the footlights as the 'old liberal' relics of the age of the notables, but the pillarized politicians acted as self-constituted guards of respectability, long after the landed aristocracy and merchant bankers had left the stage of politics. Even today the heirs of the notables are considered to represent the moral authority of what is called the overheid, an idea of government which - in contrast to the Prussian concept of Obrigkeit - is not associated with the state but with the nation. Thus democracy in the Netherlands is legitimated in terms of a defence of freedom rather than of power. Instead of a game


114 Thus not only 'pillarization' but also the 'de-pillarization' during the 1960s has been studied in the Netherlands as a product of 'prudent' conflict management by the political elites: James C. Kennedy, Nieuw Babylon in aanbouw. Nederland in de jaren zestig (Amsterdam/Meppel, 1995).
for popular influence, the crux of political power is a businesslike play of negotiations on the distribution of means among the key groups of society, who are not expected to govern on their own. Bearing the stamp of history, this frozen system is never seriously opposed from outside, as it was democratized by the elites from above, and menaced only by the indifference of the masses below.
Bibliography

Aerts, Remieg, De letterheren. Liberale cultuur in de negentiende eeuw: het tijdschrift De Gids (Amsterdam, 1997)

Altena, Bert (ed.), ‘En al beschouwen alle broeders mij als den verloren broeder’. De familiecorrespondentie van en over Ferdinand Domela Nieuwenhuis, 1846-1932 (Amsterdam, 1997)

Altena, Bert, 'Een broeinest der anarchie'. Arbeiders, arbeidersbeweging en maatschappelijke ontwikkeling. Vlissingen 1875-1929 (1940), 2 volumes (Amsterdam, 1989)


Bakker, Kees, 'Een poëtisch gewest'. Thorbecke, Duitsland en de Romantiek', Tijdschrift voor Geschiedenis, 107 (1994) 517-536

Bakvis, H., Catholic Power in the Netherlands (Kingston/Montreal, 1981)

Bank, Jan, Opkomst en ondergang van de Nederlandse Volksbeweging (Deventer, 1978)


Beekelaar, G.A.M. (ed.), Gelderland tussen 1840 and 1850. Rappporten, verslagen en andere stukken omtrent de toestand van de provincie Gelderland, opgemaakt door de gouverneur in de jaren 1840-1849 (Hilversum, 1997)


Bevaart, W., Nederlandse defensie, 1839-1874 (The Hague, 1993)

Blok, Lodewijk, Stemmen en kiezen. Het kieststel in Nederland in de periode 1814-1850 (Groningen, 1987)


Boersema, K.H., Johan Rudolf Thorbecke. Een historisch-critische studie (Leiden, 1949)


Boogman, J.C., Nederland en de Duitse Bond (2 volumes, Groningen, 1955)


Bornewasser, J.A., 'Ministeriële verantwoordelijkheid onder koning Willem II', Tijdschrift voor Geschiedenis, 73 (1962) 436-458


Bos, Nick, Notabele ingezetenen. Historische studies over Nederlandse elites in de negentiende eeuw (Brunssum, 1995)


Bosch, Dick van den, 'De adel en de vorst in de eerste jaren van het Koninkrijk der Nederlanden', Symposium 1 (1979) 70-93

Boschloo, T.J., De productiemaatschappij. Liberalisme, economische wetenschap en het vraagstuk der armoede in Nederland, 1800-1875 (Hilversum, 1989)


Brake, Wayne Ph. te, 'Staking a new claim to an old revolution: A review article', Tijdschrift voor Geschiedenis, 104 (1991) 15-23

Brinkel, Th.B.F.M., J. de Bruin, A. Postma (eds.), Het kabinet Mackay. Opstellen over de eerste christelijke coalitie (1884-1891) (Baarn, 1990)

Brood, P. [et al.] (eds.), Homines Novi. De eerste volksvertegenwoordigers van 1795 (Amsterdam, 1993)

Bruin, Kees, Een herenwereld ontleed. Over Amsterdamse oude en nieuwe elites in de tweede helft van de negentiende eeuw (Institute of Sociology, University of Amsterdam, 1980)


Bruin, Reuter de, Burgers op het kussen. Volksovereenkomst en bestuurssamenstelling in de stad Utrecht, 1795-1813 (Zutphen, 1986)


Bruyne, J.A. de, N. Japikse, Staatkundige Geschiedenis van Nederland in onzen tijd (6 Vol., Leiden, undated [1891-1901])

Busi, L. Het herenbolwerk. Politieke en sociale terreinverkenningen in Drenthe over de periode 1748-1888 (Assen, 1966)
Cannadine, David, Aspects of Aristocracy, Grandeur and Decline in Modern Britain (Harmondsworth 1993)
Carasso, D. (eds), Helden van het Vaderland. Onze geschiedenis in 19de-eeuwse talerelen verbeeld (Amsterdam, 1991)
Clemens, Th., ’Is de concentratie van macht onder koning Lodewijk een uitloper van het verlicht absolutisme?’, Documentatieblad Werkgroep 18e eeuw (1981), 49-50, 70-83
Cohen, H.F., Om de vernieuwing van het socialisme. De politieke orientatie van de Nederlandse sociaaldemocratie, 1914-1930 (Leiden, 1974)
Daalder, Hans, ’Politiciologen, sociologen, historici en de verzameling’, Bijdragen en Mededelingen betreffende de Geschiedenis der Nederlanden, 100 (1983) 1, 52-64
Dam, H., De NSB en de kerken. De opstelling van de NSB in Nederland ten opzichte van het Christendom en met name de Gereformeerde Kerken (Amsterdam, 1991)
De Heeren in Den Haag (Amsterdam 1909)
Duffhues, Ton, Generaties en patronen. De katholieke beweging te Arnhem in de 19e en 20e eeuw. Een wetenschappelijke proeve op het gebied van de sociale wetenschappen (Baarn, 1991)
Duijvendak, Maarten, Rooms, rijk en regentesk. Elitevorming en machtsverhoudingen in Oostelijk Noord-Braabant (circa 1810-1914) (Bois-le-Duc, 1990)
Duijvendak, M.G.J., J.J. de Jong, Eliteonderzoek: rijkdom, macht en status in het verleden (Zutphen, 1993)
Eernstma, Homme [F.S. Sixma baron van Heemstra], Roman Hagois ou Autobiographie de la Comtesse Johanna Arnolda Bernardina Schimmelpenninck 18891971, Douariere Cornelis Schelto Baron van Heemstra 19421971 (Bolsward, 1998)
Elout, C.K., De Heeren in Den Haag (Amsterdam 1909)
Hoeneveld, Friso, 'Met behoud van eigen standpunt. Oud-liberalisme en nationalisme in Nederland rond de eeuwwisseling', Theoretische Geschiedenis, 23 (1996) 1, 16-47
Hoeven, H. van der, Gijsbert Karel van Hogendorp, conservatief of liberaal? (Groningen, 1976)
Holland, Peter, Leden van de Raad. De Amsterdamse gemeenteraad 1814-1941 (Amsterdam, 1998)
Hogendorp, G.K. van, Brieven en Gedenschriften (The Hague, 7 volumes, 1866-1903)
Holtrop, P.N., 'De Afscheiding - breukpunt en kristallisatiepunt', in W. Bakker et al. (eds), De Afscheiding van 1834 en haar geschiedenis (Kampen, 1984), pp. 62-99
Houwink ten Cate, Johannes, De mannen van de daad en Duitsland, 1919-1939: Het Hollandse zakenleven en de vooroorlogse buitenlandse politiek (Den Haag, 1995)
Ising, A. In de kamers der Staten-Generaal. Herinneringen en indrukken 1830-1866 (s Gravenhage 1892)
Jacob, Margaret C., Wijnand W. Mijnhardt (eds), De religieuze kaart van Nederland. Omvang en geografische spreiding van de godsdienstige overtuigingen in 1810-1850 en 1910-1930, (The Hague, 1995) 7-26
Jong, R de, De Koninkrijk der Nederlanden in de Tweede Wereldoorlog 29 volumes (s Gravenhage, 1969-)
Jong, L de, Het Koninkrijk der Nederlanden in de Tweede Wereldoorlog 29 volumes (s Gravenhage, 1969-)
Jouglé, A.A. de, Crisis en critiek der democratie; anti-democratische stromingen en de daarin levende denkbeelden over de staat in Nederland tussen de wereldoorlogen (Assen, 1968)
Jouglé, J.A. de, De industrialisatie in Nederland tussen 1850 en 1914 (Nijmegen, 1968)
Jonker, Joost, Merchant, Bankers, Middlemen. The Amsterdam money market during the first half of the 19th century (Amsterdam, 1996), pp. 85-90
Joosten, J.M.H., Katholieken en fascisme in Nederland 1920-1940 (Hilversum, 1964)
Kalb, Don, Expanding class. Power and everyday politics in industrial communities. North Brabant illustrations, c. 1850-1950 (Utrecht, 1993)
Kempers, Bram, Symboliek, monumentaaliteit en abstractie. Het beeld van de staat en het beroep van kunstenaar na 1789, Amsterdamse Sociologisch Tijdschrift, 14 (1987) 1, 3-61
Kennedy, James C., Nieuw Nabovol in aanbouw. Nederland in de jaren zestig (Amsterdam/Meppel, 1995)
Klein, S.R.E., Patriots republikanisme. Politieke cultuur in Nederland (1766-1787) (Amsterdam, 1995)
Kuit, M.E., Het Reveil in Nederland (Amsterdam 1936)
Knippenberg, Hans, De religieuze kaart van Nederland. Omvang en geografische spreiding van de godsdienstige gezindten vanaf de Reformatie tot heden (Assen, 1992)
Knippenberg, Hans, Ben de Pater, De eenwoording van Nederland. Schaalvergroting en integratie sinds 1800 (Nijmegen, 1988)
Kruithof, Bernard, Zonde en deugd in domineesland. Nederlandse protestanten en problemen van opvoeding, 17e-20e eeuw (Groningen, 1990)
Kuiper, D.Th., De Voormannen. Een sociaal-wetenschappelijke studie over ideologie, conflicten en kerkstrijdvolgingen binnen de Gereformeerde wereld in Nederland tussen 1820 en 1930 (Kampen, 1972)
Kuiper, Yme, Adel in Friesland 1780-1880 (Groningen, 1993)
Kuiper, Yme, Inleiding: Friese families', Nederland's Patriaatsaat, 77 (1993), viii-xxxiii
Kuiper, Yme, 'Aristocraten contra burgers. Couperus’ Boeken der kleine zielen en het beschavingsdefensief rond 1900', in Henk te Velde, Remieg Aerts (eds.), De stijl van de burger. Over Nederlandse burgerlijke cultuur vanaf de Middeleeuwen (Kampen 1998), pp. 186-217
Kuiper, Yme, Mirjam Wagner, 'De uitgaande wereld van Leeuwarden 1860-1900. Levensstijl en standenbesef van de Friese aristocratie in de jeugdherinneringen van jkvr. Adriana Wilhelmina van Andringa de Kempenaer', Leeuwarder Historische Reeks, VI (1997), 210-266
Laar, R. van der, 'Een morele natie: religie en politieke cultuur', in H. Schmall et al., Nederland in de twintigste eeuw (Utrecht, 1995), pp. 68-89
Laar, Robert van der, 'Masking the Other: Max Nordau's Representation of Hidden Jewishness', Historical Reflections/Reflexions Historiques, 25 (1999) 1, 1-31
Laar, R., van der, 'De steedse hang naar buiten: de notabele levensvormen van het Amsterdamse handelskapitaal', in Erik de Jong, Yme Kuiper, Rob van der Laar (eds.) (forthcoming), Beelden van de Buitenplaats: Elitevorming en buitenleven in de negentiende eeuw
Laarse, R. van der, J. Talsma, Accommodatiegedrag van lokale politieke elites bij gemeenteraadsverkiezingen in de tweede helft van de 19de eeuw (Department of History, University of Amsterdam, 1986)
Lademacher, Horst, Modernisering en emancipatie. Enkele opmerkingen over de Nederlandse negentiende eeuw, Bijdragen en Mededelingen betreffende de Geschiedenis der Nederlanden, 104 (1989), 1-16
Leenders, Jos, Benauwde verdraagzaamheid, hachelijk fatsoen. Families, standen en kerken te Hoorn in het midden van de negentiende eeuw (Amsterdam/The Hague, 1985), pp. 199-246
Lennep, F.J.E. van, Late Regenten (Haarlem, 1962)
Liagre Böhl, Herman de, Met al mijn bloed heb ik voor U geleefd. Herman Gorter 1864-1927 (Amsterdam, 1996)
Lievens, Dominic, The Aristocracy in Europe, 1815-1914 (Hampshire, 1992)
Mandel e, K.E. van der, Het liberalisme in Nederland. Schets van de ontwikkeling in de negentiende eeuw (Arnhem, 1933)
Meere, J.M.M. de, Economische ontwikkeling en levensstandaard in Nederland gedurende de eerste helft van de negentiende eeuw (The Hague, 1982)
Meldrum, D.S., Home Life in Holland (London 1911)
Miert, Jan van, De macht des konings en de parlementaire democratie. De discussie van 1866, in E. Jonkers, M. van Rossem (eds), Geschiedenis en Cultuur. Achttien opstellen. Festschrift voor Herman von der Dunk (The Hague, 1990), pp. 97-106
Moks, Joel, Industrialization in the Low Countries 1795-1850 (New Haven/London, 1976)
Nederland’s Adelsboek (The Hague, 1903-)
Nederland’s Patriciaat (The Hague, 1910-)
Netherlands’ Holland (London, 1911)
Netherlands’ Adelsboek (The Hague, 1903-)
Nederland’s Patria (The Hague, 1910-)
Nederlandse Tuinen in de achttiende eeuw (Amsterdam/Maarssen, 1985)
Ott, Willem, ‘De weckslag van hun geest: De Leidse universiteit in de negentiende eeuw’ (Den Haag, 1992)
Ott, Willem, ‘De weckslag van hun geest: De Leidse universiteit in de negentiende eeuw’ (Den Haag, 1992)
Ott, Willem, ‘De weckslag van hun geest: De Leidse universiteit in de negentiende eeuw’ (Den Haag, 1992)
Ott, Willem, ‘De weckslag van hun geest: De Leidse universiteit in de negentiende eeuw’ (Den Haag, 1992)
Ott, Willem, ‘De weckslag van hun geest: De Leidse universiteit in de negentiende eeuw’ (Den Haag, 1992)
Ott, Willem, ‘De weckslag van hun geest: De Leidse universiteit in de negentiende eeuw’ (Den Haag, 1992)
Ott, Willem, ‘De weckslag van hun geest: De Leidse universiteit in de negentiende eeuw’ (Den Haag, 1992)
Ott, Willem, ‘De weckslag van hun geest: De Leidse universiteit in de negentiende eeuw’ (Den Haag, 1992)
Ott, Willem, ‘De weckslag van hun geest: De Leidse universiteit in de negentiende eeuw’ (Den Haag, 1992)
Ott, Willem, ‘De weckslag van hun geest: De Leidse universiteit in de negentiende eeuw’ (Den Haag, 1992)
Puchinger, G., Colijn en het einde van de Coalitie: de geschiedenis van de kabinetsformaties 1933-1939 (Leiden, 1993)
Quack, H.P.G. Herinneringen uit de levensjaren van H.P.G. Quack, 1834-1914 (Amsterdam 1915, reprinted Nijmegen 1977)
Raak, Ronald van, 'De jobstijding van Gerrit Jan Mulder: Nederlands conservatisme in de negentiende eeuw', Geschiedenis van de Wijsbegeerte in Nederland, 8 (1997), 1/2, 45-70
Robijns, M.J.F., Radicalen in Nederland (1840-1851) (Leiden, 1967)
Rooden, Peter van, Religieuze regimes. Over godsdienst en maatschappij in Nederland, 1570-1990 (Amsterdam, 1996)
Rooy, Piet de, 'Vanuit een nieuwe wereld'. Over de periodieke somberheid en het utopisch verlangen in de Nederlandse sociaaldemocratie (Amsterdam, 1993)
Rooy, Piet de, 'Het grootste communisme. Een beschouwing over de verzuiling als integratieproces in Nederland in de negentiende eeuw', Symposion, 2 (1980) 1, 8-21
Rüter, A.J.C., De spoorwegstakingen van 1903. Een spiegel der arbeidersbeweging in Nederland (Amsterdam, 1935)
Rüter, A.J.C., Rapporten van de gouverneurs in de provinciën, 1840-1849, 3 volumes (Utrecht, 1941-1950)
Sande, Anton van de, Vrijmetselarij in de Lage Landen. Een mysterieuze broederschap zonder geheimen (Zutphen, 1995)
Sande, Anton van de, Joost Rosendaal, 'Een stille leerschool van deugd en goede zeden'. Vrijmetselarij in Nederland in de 18e en 19e eeuw (Hilversum, 1990)
Santen, J.H. von, 'Politiek leven in de stad Utrecht rond het midden van de negentiende eeuw (1840-1860)', Jaarboek OudUtrecht, 1985, 110-165
Sas, N.C.F. van, Vaderlandsleedje, nationalisme en vaderlands gevoel in Nederland, 1770-1813', Tijdschrift voor Geschiedenis 102 (1989), 471-495
Sas, N.C.F. van, 'Het politiek bestel onder koning Willem I', Documentatieblad Werkgroep 18e Eeuw (1981), 49/50, 103-127
Sas, N.C.F. van, Talen van het vaderland. Over patriottisme en nationalisme (inaugural University of Amsterdam, 1995)
Scheffer, H.J., November 1918, Journaal van een revolutie die niet doorging (Amsterdam, 1971)
Scheidelen, M.P.C.M. van (ed.), Consociationalism, pillarization and conflict-management in the Low Countries (Meppel, 1984)
Schouten, Martin, De socialen zijn in aantogt. De Nederlandse arbeidersbeweging in de negentiende eeuw (Amsterdam, 1976)
Schijf, Huibert, Netwerken van een financieleconomische elite. Personele verbindingen in het Nederlandse bedrijfsleven aan het einde van de negentiende eeuw (Amsterdam, 1993).
Schutte, G.J., Een Hollandse dorpsgemeenschap in de late achttiende eeuw. De banne Graft, 1770-1810 (Franeker, 1989)
Stokvis, P.R.D., De Nederlandse trek naar Amerika 1846-1847 (Leiden, 1977)
Stokvis, P.R.D., 'Katholieke emancipatie en hervormde revitalisatie tegen de geest der eeuw en het spook der revolutie in Den Haag', in Blom and Misset, Broeders sluit U aan, pp. 30-64
Stuurman, Siep, Verzameling, kapitalisme en patriarchaat. Aspecten van de ontwikkeling van de moderne staat in Nederland (Nijmegen, 1983)
Talsma, Jaap, 'Geef met verschuldigde eerbied te kennen. Petities over kiesstelsel en kiesrecht uit de periode 1848-1850', Tijdschrift voor Geschiedenis, 92 (1979) 3, 438-451
Tamse, C.A., 'De politieke ontwikkeling in Nederland, 1874-1887', in Algemene Geschiedenis der Nederlanden, XIII (Haarlem/Bussum 1978), pp. 207-224
Thurlings, J.M.G., 'De wankele zuil. Nederlands katholicisme tussen assimulatie en pluralisme' (Deventer, 1977, 2de edn)
Tijn, Th. van, 'Twintig jaren Amsterdam. De maatschappelijke ontwikkeling van de hoofdstad, van de jaren '50 der vorige eeuw tot 1876 (Amsterdam, 1965)
Tollebeek, Jo, De toga van Fruin. Denken over geschiedenis in Nederland sinds 1860 (Amsterdam, 1990)


Valk, J.P. de, M. van Faassen (eds.) Dagboeken en aantekeningen van Willem Hendrik de Beaufort, 2 volumes ('s Gravenhage, 1993)

Velde, Henk te, Gemeenschapszin en plichtsbesef. Liberalisme en nationalisme in Nederland 1870-1918 (The Hague, 1992)


Velde, Henk te, 'Liberalism and Bourgeois Culture in the Netherlands, from the 1840s to the 1880s', in S. Groenveld, M.J. Wintle (eds.), Under the Sign of Liberalism. Varieties of Liberalism in Past and Present (Zutphen, 1997), pp. 62-77

Velde, Henk te, 'Herenstijl en burgerzin. Nederlandse burgerlijke cultuur in de negentiende eeuw', in Henk te Velde, Rensieg Aerts (eds.), De stijl van de burger. Over Nederlandse burgerlijke cultuur vanaf de Middeleeuwen (Kampen 1998), 137-185

Veelma, Wyger, Enlightenment and Conservatism in the Dutch Republic. The political thought of Elie Luzac (1721-1796) (Assen/Maastricht, 1993)

Veelma, Wyger, '1795 en de geschiedenis van het Nederlandse republicanisme', Documentatieblad De Achtste Eeuw 28 (1996), 29-38


Verrrips, Jojada, En boven de polder de hemel. Een antropologische studie van een Nederlands dorp 1850-1971 (Groningen, 1978)

Verschaffel, Tom, Beeld en geschiedenis. Het Belgische en Vlaamse verleden in de romantische boekillustraties (Turnhout, 1987)

Vonhoff, H.J.L. De zindelijke burgerheren. Een halve eeuw liberalisme (Baarn, 1965)

Vree, J., 'De Nederlandse Hervormde Kerk in de jaren voor de Af scheiding', in W. Bakker et al. (eds.), De Afscheiding van 1813 en haar geschiedenis (Kampen, 1984), pp. 30-61


Wennekers, Wim, De aartsvaders. Grondleggers van het Nederlandse bedrijfsleven (Amsterdam/Antwerpen, 1993)


Wiersma, Geertje, Johanna Borski, financier van Nederland 1764-1846 (Amsterdam, 1997)

Wils, Lode, 'Het Verenigd Koninkrijk van Koning Willem I (1815-1830) en de natievorming', in W. Bakker et al. (eds.), De Afscheiding van 1813 en haar geschiedenis (Kampen, 1984), pp. 30-61

Wissing, P.W. van (ed.), De eerste volksvertegenwoordigers van Gelderland in 1795 (Amsterdam, 1996)


Wolffram, Dirk Jan, Bezwaarden en verlichten. Verzuiling in een Gelderse provinciestad, Harderwijk 1850-1925 (Amsterdam, 1993)

Wolter, J.J., Recent Verleden. De geschiedenis van Nederland in de twintigste eeuw (Amsterdam, 1992)

Worst, J.J.H., 'Het begin van 'ons grondwettig volksbestaan', in C.A. Tamse and E. Witte (eds), Staats- en natievorming in Willem I's Koninkrijk (Baarn 1992), pp. 56-75
Wijck, H.W.M. van der, De Nederlandse buitenplaats: aspecten van ontwikkeling, bescherming en herstel (Alphen a/d. Rijn, 1982)
Zahn, Ernest, Regenten, rebellen en reformatoren. Een visie op Nederland en de Nederlanders (Amsterdam, 1989)
Zanden, J.L. van, De economische ontwikkeling van de Nederlandse landbouw in de negentiende eeuw, 1800-1914 (Utrecht, 1985)
Zondergeld, G.R., De Friese Beweging in het tijdvak der beide Wereldoorlogen (Leeuwarden, 1978)
Zwart, R.S., 'Gods wil in Nederland'. Christelijke ideologieën en de vorming van het CDA (1880-1980) (Kampen, 1996)
Index

Afscheiding 1834 17, 22
emigration of the 1840s 23
Agrarian Depression 41, 50
Algemeen Handelsblad 22
Amsterdam 14-16, 20, 21, 24, 26, 28, 44, 48, 49
quarters 7
Anarchism 43
Anderson, Benedict 20
Andringa de Kempenaer, jkvr. A.W. van 6
Anglomania 19, 48
Anti-clericalism 37
Anti-Papism 26, 27, 35, 38, 42
no-Popery Movement 27
revolution of 1795 13, 14
Anti-revolutionaries 27, 33-35
representatives 35, 40
April Movement 1833 26, 27, 31, 32, 34
Atheism 39, 43
Batavian Revolution 1795 7, 10-12, 44, 47
elites 12
geography 13
religion 13
Battle of Waterloo 1815 7, 15
Beaufort, Jhr. W.H. de 38
Belgian Revolt 1830 8, 11, 17, 18, 20, 28, 29
Ten Days Campaign of 1831 7
Belgium 11, 16, 17, 22, 29
Bevervoorde, Jhr. J.E. van 23
Bilderdijk, Willem 21, 22
Bonaparte, Louis 14, 17
Bonapartism 11, 14, 18, 19, 22, 25
Boogman, J.C. 31
Borski, Louise 49
Bourgeoisie 47
aristocratization 47
businessmen 45
commercial 26, 29
court-advisors 50
culture 49
culture, see also liberalism 48
financial 29
industrial 6, 26, 29
see Patriciate 4
Brabant 14, 32, 44
Bright, John 23
British ambassador 30
Brussels 15, 23
Capellen, J.D. baron van der 12
Catholicism 37, 38
episcopal restoration 1853 27, 34
episcopate 44-46
geography 14, 32, 38, 44, 46
liberal 24, 31, 32, 34, 37, 38
majority party 45
minority 13, 26, 27, 32, 33, 39, 44
patriot 13, 27
pillarized 4, 8
political decline 46
politics 35, 45
representatives 14, 15, 35, 40
see Ultra-Montanism 22
Christian Coalition 1888-1891 37, 39, 40, 44
Christian Coalition 1888-1901 42
Christian Coalition 1901-1905 42, 44
Christian Coalition 1918-1939 45
Civic ritual 7
Civilizing defensive 51
Civilizing offensive 36
Clercq, Willem de 21
Colijn, H. 45, 50
Colonial system 20
Conciliatory history 5, 8, 9, 30, 36, 47, 49, 51
Congress of Vienna 1815 11
Conservatism 41
decline 33, 37
defense policy 33
elections 28, 33, 34, 37
enlightened 26
geography 31
lack of feudal tradition 49
language 10
making 49
protestant 10, 26, 27, 31-34, 37
representatives 34, 40
royalism 33
Utrecht faction 26
Constitution 12
constitution of 1798 12, 14
constitution of 1814-1815 15, 16, 18, 19
constitution of 1814-15 20
constitution of 1848 25, 27, 34, 49, 52
constitutions of 1814-5 14, 15
old-republican 11
Constitutional crisis 1866-1868 33, 36, 49
Constitutionalism 9, 11, 14, 47
Corporatism 44, 45
Costa, Isaac da 21
Country estates 6, 7, 16, 17, 48-50
Court 23, 24, 50
De-Christianization 43, 46
Defense policy 33
Democratization 9, 27, 47, 50
discontinuity 52
élite 52
models 28
national types 18, 52
parliamentary 39, 41, 43, 47, 51
social 39, 44
Descartes, R. 48
Disestablishment 1848 19, 26-28, 34, 36, 52
Disraeli, Benjamin 33
Doleantie 1886 36
Domela Nieuwenhuis, F. 43
Donker Curtius, D. 19, 25, 30
Dutch Reformed Church 13, 21, 27, 34, 36, 41, 43, 46
court order of 1816 21
dutch republic 1579-1798 4, 14
Education Bill 1878 36
Education issue 36, 37, 40
Eighty Years War 1568-1648 7
Dutch Revolt 1566-1576 1589-1648 7
Electoral system 17, 31
constituency associations 24, 35-37
constituency voting system 10, 30
ecclesiastical 34
franchise restrictions 17, 29, 41, 42, 50
gerrymandering 33
local elections 30
majority party 10, 30, 31
proportional representation 10, 38, 41, 43
reform of 1848 10
reform of 1850 25, 29, 30
reform of 1887 39
reform of 1896 10, 41, 42
reform of 1917 10, 38, 43
universal suffrage 38
voting behaviour 39
Elites
class consciousness 50
cultural accommodation 48
landed and urban 39
local power brokers 38
new business elite 45
nouveaux riches 16
patrician-noble integration 15, 47, 49
pillarized 38, 46, 51, 52
pluralism 16
protestant integration 14, 31
regional 39, 51
research of 12
see Patriciate, Bourgeoisie, Nobility, and Notables 29
urban elites 39
Emancipation
pillarization 8, 9, 38
provincial 31
regional 31
social 9
England
comparison of elite culture 48
comparison of elites 39
comparison of franchise reforms 39
comparison of GNP 41
comparison of liberalism 23, 27
English garden 48
Enlightenment 10, 21
Fascism 44
geography 44
Federalism 14
aristocrat 12, 18, 21, 24, 49
language 9, 25
liberal 18, 26, 49
particularism 19, 47
patriot 11, 24
pillarized 38
Feminism
see notables 39
Fortress Act 1874 33
Frankfurter Parliament 1848 24
Frederick the Great of Prussia 18
Frederick William III of Prussia 18
Frederick William IV of Prussia 21
Frederick, Prince of the Netherlands 19
Freemasonry 11, 13, 37, 48
Freethinking 26, 43
French formal garden 48
Fruin, Robert 9, 14
garden culture 48
Genealogy 50
Gentleman leaders 23, 26
Gladstone, W.E. 23
Greshoff, J. 6, 7
Groen van Prinsterer, G. 21, 30
Hall, F.A. (baron) van 20, 23, 24, 26-28
Hall, H.C. van 26
Heemstra, C. Schelto van 50
Heidtling, Ernst 49
Hogendorp, G.K. graaf van 19, 47, 49
Holland 31, 32
coastal regions 48
see also Randstad 31
Honour 50
Hope family 49
Huguenotes 48
Huizinga, Johan 14
Jews 21, 43, 48
Kappeyne van de Coppello, J. 36
Kingdom of Holland 1806 14
Kossmann, E.H. 4, 6, 33
Kuyper, Abraham 36, 37, 41, 42, 44
Lademacher, H. 18
landed estates
gardening 48
Landscape 48
League for Universal Suffrage 1879 39
Liberal revolution 1848 23-26, 28-34, 49
Liberalism 31
aristocratic liberalism 19, 25
aristocrats 23, 38
as notable ideology 49
Catholic 38
colossion politics 42
conservative liberalism, see also
cultural liberalisation 26, 32
culture 14
dissension 52
dogmatisminism 25-27, 31
ey liberalisation 18, 19, 22, 25, 27, 32, 48, 49
elections 33
elites 52
geography 31, 32, 35, 46
humanist-enlightened tradition 51
ideological flexibility 9
laissez faire 26, 37
language 25, 48
latitudinarianism 10
liberal culture 26, 37, 42, 51
majority party 33, 39, 41
minority party 43
national liberals 41, 42, 44
protestantization 10, 37
regional 31
representatives 34, 40, 41
see petition 28
social liberals 42, 43
young liberals 36, 41
Lieveen, D. 48
Limburg 24, 32, 44
Loon, Jhr. Hendrik van 49
Lower middle class 36, 41, 45
Maanen, C.F. van 15
Maatschappij tot Nut van't Algemeen 1784 37
Mackay, Aeneas baron 42, 44
Marx, Karl 23
Merchant bankers 16, 20, 24, 26, 47, 49
Amsterdam and Florentine 39
Middle classes 30, 50
Milita
patriot 12
royalist 20, 33
Militia Movement of 1866-8 33
Ministral responsibility 20
Moderatism 19, 22, 23, 26
Modern industrialization 41, 44, 47
Monarchism 11, 15, 18, 19, 28, 34, 47
constitutional power of kingship 49
enlightened despotism, see also
Bonapartism 18
enlightened monarchy 10
royal administration 17, 20
royalism, see also radicalism 27
Mulder, G.J. 26
Multatuli (Eduard Douwes Dekker) 26
Napoleon 16
Nationalism
enlightened 11, 36, 38
liberal 51
liberation mythology 7
national types 52
national unity 19, 21
official nationalism 11, 20
old and modern 12
pillarized 51
popular nationalism 20, 27
protestant 7, 20, 21, 26-28
theory 19
unitarian 10-12, 17, 21, 36, 37
Naturalness
Roussean idea of landscape 48
Neo-Malthusianism 39
Neoclassicist architecture 48
New squirearchy 39
Nobility 17, 47
angloomania 48
as stockjobbers 50
bourgeois culture 49
class consciousness 49
clerical 42
castilan dissolution 49
court-aristocracy 50
cultural distinction 50
cultural partnership 47, 48
Frisian 6, 50
gentry 47
in business 50
in government 42
landed 23, 26
law of succession 17
Limburg 24
new nobility 16, 17
old nobility 16, 17, 19, 20, 50
patriot 12
policy of ennoblement 16, 47
radical 23
regional estates 16, 49
representatives 17, 29
restoration 16
rococo culture 47
romantics 23
squirearchical culture 47
titles 16, 47, 49
Noble belt 48, 51
Notables
decline 43
Notables 5, 6, 28, 29
clerical 41, 42
comparison with England 39
comparison with Tuscany 39
cultural distinction 50, 52
culture 47, 48
decline 52
in business 45
liberal 38
lifestyle 6, 48
making 14-16, 47
notable feminists 39
paternalism 38, 43
patrician-noble integration, see also Elites 49
pillarized 38
political culture 23, 51
political decline 10, 51, 52
redefinition of 1848 49
social decline 6
socialist intellectuals 43
students 7
withdrawal of politics 41, 42
Opposition
anti-parliamentary 44
democratic 41, 42
making 23
political 18, 25, 28, 31
religious 22
Orangist patronage 11
Outer Provinces 23, 26, 31, 43, 44
sandy soils 48
Pacification 1917 8, 43
Parliament
Assembly of Notables 1814 15
First Chamber 17, 29, 49
First National Assembly 1796-1797 12
representatives 12, 17, 34, 40
Second Chamber 17, 29, 30
States General 13, 47
types 16
voting behaviour 30
Parliamentary monism 19, 25
Parties
All-Protestant Party (1853) 27, 33, 34, 37
Anti-Revolutionary Party (1879) 36, 38, 41
Breakthrough Movement (1945-1946) 46
Christian Democratic Appeal (1973) 46
Christian Historical Union (1908) 41
League of Latitudinarian Democrats (1901) 42
Liberal Party 1848 24
Liberal Union (1885) 38, 42
National-Socialist Movement (1931) 44
Roman Catholic (State) Party (1906/1918/1926) 44
Roman Catholic Party (1896) 42
Roman Catholic Party (Brabant, 1870) 35
Roman Catholic Party (liberal, 1848) 24
Roman Catholic Party (Program, 1883) 36, 38
Social Democratic Labour Party (1894) 42, 44, 45
Patriciate 4, 47
culture of sobriety 49
new patriciate 16, 17
old regency 4, 11, 12, 14, 16-19
parliament 47
representatives 29
Patriot Revolt 1785-1787 7, 11, 31, 49
Patriotism 31
aristocratic 11, 12
democratic 25
elites 15
language 12
radical 11
religion 13
Petitions
Belgian movement of 1830 28
clerical movement of 1878 36
liberal movement of 1844 23, 28
no-Popery movement of 1853 27
petitions of 1848 28
types 28, 36
Philips family 23
Physico-theology 48
Pillarization 4, 8, 10, 30, 31, 38
accommodationist elite culture 9, 52
consociational democracy 8
dec-pillarization 44, 46, 52
Dutch Republic 8
elites 9, 52
geography 35
local 22, 27
mass politics 9, 44
national integration 51
politics 44
Political culture
accommodation 46, 49, 52
accommodation, see also Pillarization 51
antithesis 37, 38, 42, 49
clericalization 36, 40, 43, 51
elitist 47
geography 31
kinship 38
lack of party organization 30
language of civic virtue 42, 48
legalistic 23
legitimation of power 52
modern mass party 9
modern party organization 37
party politics 10, 33
religion 31
secularization 46
stability 9, 11, 19, 31, 47, 51, 52
taboo on factionalism 22
Political estates 47
Political mobilization 24, 30, 38, 44, 47, 51
clerical 36, 39
conservative 25
liberal 24
pillarized 44
regional 51
Popular culture 36
Populism 28
Protestantism
Bible belt 35, 38
dissenters 13, 48
elites 31, 36, 38, 41
enlightened protestantism 13, 21, 33, 34, 49
ethical orthodoxy 42
evangelicalism, see also Reviel Movement 22
geography 35, 43
Huguenots 13
latitudinarianism 32, 35, 43
majority 33, 44
neo-Calvinism 36
orthodoxy 13, 21, 22, 33, 34, 36, 38, 43
pietism, see Reviel Movement 36
popular pietism, see also Afscheiding 1834 22, 36
see Anti-Papism 13
Provincial estates 12, 15-18, 25
Provincial governors 13, 17, 19
Prussia 24
comparison of country estates 48
comparison of Pietism 21
comparison of royalism in 1848 25
landed elites 48
Purple Coalition 1994-46
Quack, H.P.G. 26
Quanta Curia 1864 34
Radicalism
aristocrat 23
Catholic 13
ecumenical 13, 14
franchise issue 19
geography 43
language 10, 22
liberal 19, 22-24, 41, 49
patriot 11-14, 17, 32, 47
protestant 27
radical chic 7
royalist 24, 26, 33
Railway Strike 1903 42, 44
Randstad 31, 32, 43, 44
Red-Roman Coalition 1946-1958 46
Regionalism 31
Religio-graphy 32, 43
Religious revivalism 22, 36
Renaissance paradigm 39
Rerum Novarum 1891 41
Restoration
elites 15
federalist tradition 18
monarchy 7, 11, 14
nobility 16
provincial estates 12
Revel Movement 41
see also Protestantism 21, 22, 34, 36
Rheneland 24
Riots 23, 24, 35
Roman Catholic Church 46
Rotterdam 44
Rousseau, J.J. 48
Royalism
see Monarchism 27
Russia
landed elites 48
Schaeperman, H.J.A.M. 36, 41
Schimmelpenninck, G. graaf 25
Schimmelpenninck, R.J. graaf 33
Second World War 45
Separatism
Belgian 28
ideological 20, 22
regional 24
see Afscheiding 1834 22
see Belgian Revolt 1830 20
Socialism 37
bourgeoisification 44, 45
culture 43
ethical socialism 45
geography 44, 46
intellectuals 43
isolation 42, 43
old movement 43
pillarization 8
representatives 40, 43
Socialist Revolt 1918 43, 44
Societies 48
Amstelsociëteit 24
debating clubs 24
La jeune Hollande catholique 24
Metal and Silver Cross 7
patriot 11
see Freemasonry 37
social composition 48
State-building 16
Tak van Poortvliet, J.P.R. 41
Tax issue 24
Ten Days Campaign 1831 20
The Hague 15, 17, 21, 24, 48, 50
Thorbecke, J.R. 25-30, 33, 49
Tijn, Th. van 31
Tuscany 39
Twente 44
Ultra-Montanism 34-36, 38, 40, 44
Union of Utrecht 1579 12
Unitarianism
see Nationalism 14
United Kingdom of the Netherlands 1815-1830 11, 15
Universities 26
Utrecht 27, 51
Uyl, J.M. den 46
Van Hall, (baron) F.A. van 30
Vreede, G.W. 26
Wilhelmina, queen of the Netherlands 1890-1948 50
William I, king of the Netherlands 1813-1840 11, 14-16, 18, 20, 21
William II, king of the Netherlands 1840-1849 20, 22, 24, 25
William III, king of the Netherlands 1849-1890 27, 28, 33, 36

William V, stadholder of the Dutch Republic 1751-1795 14

Wintle, M.J. 4
Woman's right movement 39
Working classes
agrarian 43
aristocracy 41
Catholic 44
English franchise 39
franchise 41
Writers of the 1880s 7
Zealand 43
Zuylen van Nijevelt, J.P.P, baron van 28, 34
Robert van der Laarse (Ph.D. University of Amsterdam, 1989), assistant professor in History at the University of Amsterdam. He teaches courses on political history and the history of ideas, and has focused his research on modern European intellectual history and Dutch cultural history. Author of Bevoeging en Bevinding. Heren en kerkvolk in een Hollandse provinciestad, 1780-1930 (1989), awarded with the Erasmus study-prize 1990. He recently co-edited De Hang naar Zuiverheid, De cultuur van het moderne Europa (1998), and published 'Masking the Other: Max Nordau's Representation of Hidden Jewishness', in Historical Reflections/Reflexions Historiques (1999). He is currently working on a book on aristocratic culture in the Netherlands in the nineteenth century.