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ABSTRACT
We have identified a MAR/SAR recognition signature (MRS) which is common to a large group of matrix and scaffold attachment regions. The MRS is composed of two degenerate sequences (AATAAYAA and AWWRTAANNWGNNNNC) within close proximity. Analysis of >300 kb of genomic sequence from a variety of eukaryotic organisms shows that the MRS faithfully predicts 80% of MARs and SARs. In each case where we find a MRS, the corresponding DNA region binds specifically to the nuclear scaffold. Although all MRSs are associated with a SAR, not all known SARs and MARs contain a MRS, suggesting that at least two classes exist, one containing a MRS, the other not. Evidence is presented that the two sequence elements of the bipartite MRS occupy a position on the nucleosome near the dyad axis, together creating a putative protein binding site. The identification of a MAR- and SAR-associated DNA element is an important step forward towards understanding the molecular mechanisms of these elements. It will allow: (i) analysis of the genomic location of SARs, e.g. in relationship to genes, based on sequence information alone, rather than on the basis of an elaborate biochemical assay; (ii) identification and analysis of proteins that specifically bind to the MRS.

INTRODUCTION
Matrix/scaffold attachment regions (MARs/SARs) are genomic elements thought to delineate the structural and functional organisation of the eukaryotic genome. Originally, MARs and SARs were identified through their ability to bind to the nuclear matrix or scaffold (1). Binding cannot be assigned to a unique sequence element, but is dispersed over a region of several hundred base pairs. These elements are found flanking a gene or a small cluster of genes and are located often in the vicinity of cis-regulatory sequences (2). This has led to the suggestion that they contribute to higher order regulation of transcription by defining boundaries of independently controlled chromatin domains. There is indirect evidence to support this notion. In transgenic experiments MARs/SARs dampen position effects by shielding the transgene from the effects of the chromatin structure at the site of integration (3). Furthermore, they may act as boundary elements for enhancers, restricting their long range effect to only the promoters that are located in the same chromatin domain (4). Although a number of interacting proteins have been identified [laminas (5), ARBP (6), hnRNP-U/SafA (7), SafB (8), SatB1 (9,10) and Bright (11)], the molecular mechanism by which these proteins affect transcription regulation is still unclear.

From a structural point of view, SARs are thought to be involved in chromatin condensation and chromosome formation. A synthetic AT-hook protein, which specifically binds to MARs/SARs, interferes with proper chromatin condensation in Xenopus laevis egg extracts (12). Moreover, alignment of SARs around the central core of mitotic and meiotic chromosomes has been proposed to be required for correct condensation of DNA within these chromosomes (13). Preferential binding of histone H1 to these elements might be part of the same molecular mechanism, as recruitment of H1 to the nucleosome core particles results in a more compact form of chromatin (14,15). HMG1/Y can compete with histone H1 for binding (15), whereas HMG1 can compete with H1 for binding to four-way junctions (16). Competition between H1 and these HMG proteins may contribute to determining the global distribution of active and inactive chromatin. Also, histone acetylation has been linked to transcriptional regulation via MARs. Hyper-acetylation is a hallmark of active regions in the genome, while hypo-acetylation is typical for regions that are transcriptionally inactive (17,18). Interestingly, the transcription potentiating effect of the histone deacetylase inhibitor sodium butyrate on transgenes is dependent on the presence of a MAR (19). The recent finding of topoisomerase II as part of the chromatin remodelling CHRAC complex is in line with the idea that these elements may influence transcription regulation via nucleosome remodelling (20). Binding sites for topoisomerase II could specifically target this complex to chromatin to promote local remodelling. Despite the fact that MARs/SARs are evolutionarily highly conserved (21), no MAR/SAR-associated sequence elements have been identified so far. Although DNA sequence repeats have been identified that are clustered in
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SARs and MARs (for a review see 22), none of these are truly specific. Additional clusters and individual elements can also be found elsewhere in the genome (23).

Here we present a bipartite sequence element that is unique for a large group of eukaryotic MARs/SARs. This MAR/SAR recognition signature (MRS) comprises two individual sequence elements that are <200 bp apart and may be aligned on positioned nucleosomes in MARs. The MRS can be used to correctly predict the position of MARs/SARs in plants and animals, based on genomic DNA sequence information only, thereby avoiding elaborate biochemical binding assays. Our results from the analysis of >300 kb of sequence data from several eukaryotic organisms show that wherever a MRS is observed in the DNA sequence, the corresponding genomic localisation and distribution of these elements in genomes. Furthermore, it opens new avenues towards the unravelling of the mechanism by which MARs/SARs regulate gene activity through identifying proteins that associate with the MRS sequence.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plasmids and sequences

The appropriate BglII restriction fragments of the Caenorhabditis elegans cosmid M88 (24) were cloned in pBluescript (BamHI). This resulted in the pCMx series [pCM04 (8374 bp), pCM05 (1759 bp), pCM06 (2208 bp), pCM07 (2716 bp), pCM08 (3729 bp) and pCM11 (8414 bp)]. The DNA sequences analysed for the MRS were from Arabidopsis [plastocyanin (z83321), ATB2 (z82043) and ATH1 (z83320)], C.elegans [cosmid M88 (z34802)], Drosophila [histone cluster (DMH1H3), HSP70 (DROHSF72A2), actin (DMACT5CA), FTZ (DMFTZUSE), SG5-4 (DRG5-01) and ADH (DMADHGC)], chicken [α-globin (m58749) and lysozyme (Dr C. Bonifer)], Chinese hamster [DHFTR intron x06654], man [myc (AC004081), Ig(κ) (HS1GKA), interferon (Dr J. Bode) and β-globin locus (HUMHBB)], mouse [IgH (MMIG25), Ig(κ) (MMIG25)], β-globin cluster (x14061) and HPRT (AF047825)], rabbit [β-globin cluster (RABB-GLOB), Ig(x1) (OCIG04), Ig(x2) (OCIG05)], SV40 (SV40XX) and yeast [CENIII (SCCHRIII)].

Mapping of the MRS

Individual 16 (AWRTAANNWWGNNNC) and 8 bp (AATAAYAA) sequence elements were mapped through a compiled list that included single mutations at all possible positions within the 16 bp consensus, using a standard DNA restriction analysis computer program. The MRS was defined as the region where 16 and 8 bp sequences are <200 bp apart.

Isolation of nuclei

Nuclei from rat liver cells were isolated as described by Izuarralde et al. (25) and were kept at –80°C in storage buffer (7.5 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.4, 40 mM KCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.25 mM spermidine, 0.1 mM spermine, 1% v/v thiglycol, 0.2 M sucrose, 50% v/v glycerol) at a density of 10^7 nuclei/ml.

Nuclear scaffold preparation

Procedures were essentially as described before (5). To obtain scaffolds for the binding assay rat liver nuclei were subjected to a lithium 3,5-diodosalicylic acid (LIS) extraction protocol described by Mirkovitch et al. (1). Nuclei of 10^7 cells were washed once in 10 ml washing buffer (3.75 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.4, 20 mM KCl, 0.5 mM EDTA, 0.125 mM spermidine, 0.05 mM spermine, 1% v/v thiglycol, 0.1% w/v digitonin and 20 μg/ml aprotinin). After pelleting (300 g for 10 min at 4°C) nuclei were gently resuspended in 0.5 ml washing buffer and stabilised by incubation for 20 min at 42°C. Non-scaffold proteins were extracted by adding 10 ml of 10 mM LIS in extraction buffer (20 mM HEPES–KOH, pH 7.4, 100 mM lithium acetate, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1 mM PMSF, 0.1% w/v digitonin and 20 μg/ml aprotinin) followed by incubation for 15 min at 25°C. The resulting nuclear halos were collected by centrifugation (15 000 g for 5 min at 4°C) and washed four times with 10 ml of digestion buffer (20 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.4, 70 mM NaCl, 20 mM KCl, 10 mM MgCl2, 0.125 mM spermidine, 0.05 mM spermine and 10 μg/ml aprotinin). For the in vitro SAR binding assay rat nuclear scaffolds were obtained by restriction of the genomic DNA of the halos in 1 ml digestion buffer containing 1000 U each of EcoRI, HindIII and XhoI for 2 h at 37°C.

SAR binding experiments

The rat liver scaffold preparation was adjusted to a final concentration of 15 mM EDTA and 120 μg/ml Escherichia coli competitor DNA. To identify SARs in C.elegans cosmid M88, nuclear scaffolds from 10^6 cells were incubated overnight at 37°C with 15 ng of the appropriate [α-32P]dATP end-labelled restriction fragments where XhoI was used to release the whole BglII insert from the pCMx plasmid series. After separation into pellet and supernatant fractions by centrifugation (15 000 g for 30 min at 4°C) DNA was purified by incubation at 37°C for 60 min with 0.1% SDS and 50 μg/ml protease K, followed by phenol/chloroform extraction. DNA was precipitated, dissolved in 50 μl TE and subsequently half of the pellet, supernatant or input fractions were loaded on a 1.2% agarose gel. After electrophoresis the gel was dried on Whatman 3MM paper, followed by overnight autoradiography on Kodak X-Omat S film. The quality of our matrix preparations was checked with the H1–H3 SAR of Drosophila melanogaster.

RESULTS

Arabidopsis thaliana SARs contain a conserved sequence element

The first indication that an evolutionarily conserved MRS exists came from our previous work on the genomic organisation in A.thaliana (23). In three independent genomic regions of >30 kb we have mapped SARs in relation to potential transcription units. A detailed sequence analysis of the seven SARs that we identified earlier revealed that they share a 21 bp degenerate sequence element (TAWAWAWNNAWWTRT). We showed that the 21 bp sequence is made up of two individual sequences (TAWAWWWW and TAWAWWN), which are found in a number of configurations that differ in the distance between the two elements (23).
The novel idea of a combination of two sequences that might constitute a MAR/SAR-associated sequence motif prompted us to look for similar and other combinations of two sequence elements. This approach revealed an additional conserved element within the *Arabidopsis* SARs (Fig. 1A–C) that resembles, but is distinct from, the 21 bp sequence. This new motif is an extension of the latter half of the 21 bp sequence (AWWRTAANNWWGNNNC) in combination with a second sequence (AATAAYAA). Like the two parts of the *Arabidopsis*-specific 21 bp MRS, these new sequences are also found within a relatively short distance (~200 bp) of each other, although the maximal distance between these elements is larger than that for the 21 bp MRS (Fig. 1D). Each of the two sequence elements alone can be found in numerous other positions throughout the genome. The combination of the two sequences, however, is found only in the SARs and not elsewhere in the genomic regions of *Arabidopsis* that were investigated.

The MRS correctly predicts the location of SARs in a *C.elegans* contig

To test whether the observed correlation between the MRS and the position of a SAR holds true in organisms other than *Arabidopsis*, we screened a 33 kb fragment of genomic DNA from *C.elegans*. The rationale is to locate the MRSs in this sequenced contig and test corresponding restriction fragments for binding to the nuclear scaffold. Our operational definition of the MRS is the presence of the AWWRTAANNWWGNNNC sequence (where we allow one mismatch within the 16 bp consensus) within a distance of 200 bp of the AATAAYAA sequence. Figure 2 depicts the organisation of ORFs and the location of all MRSs in cosmid M88 (24). On the basis of the distribution of the MRSs we predict six SARs. As a control for the quality of our scaffold preparations we used the H1–H3 intergenic histone cluster SAR of *D.melanogaster* (1,26) located on a 1017 bp *PstI–EcoRI* fragment (Fig. 2, panel control).

In these binding assays all MRSs map to biochemically identifiable SARs. Figure 2A–F correspond to the assays leading to the identification of SARs A–F depicted in the upper part of Figure 2. The first SAR (A) is located in a 2208 bp *BglII* fragment that spans the intergenic region between the F25F2.2 and M88.1 genes. Fine mapping narrowed this SAR down to a 1343 bp *BglII–EcoRI* fragment (Fig. 2A) with both MRSs on the 3'-edge of this fragment. The second set of MRSs that point to a SAR are located in a large 8414 bp *BglII* fragment that spans several genes. The SAR could be assigned to the region around the MRSs in the relatively small 828 bp *EcoRI–PstI* fragment (Fig. 2C). MRS D is found in a large, 8374 bp *BglII* fragment. This restriction fragment also contains a SAR as shown by the strong retention of this fragment by the nuclear scaffold (Fig. 2D). The SAR is located in the 3178 bp *XbaI–ClaI* fragment. This region overlaps with the large third intron of the M88.5 gene that contains the MRS. In the case of MRS E1 and MRS E2 found within the 3729 bp *BglII* fragment we could not determine whether these sequences map in one or two SARs. The relatively small distance of 950 bp between these two MRSs and the average size of SARs of several hundred base pairs contribute to this uncertainty. The last MRS of the *C.elegans* cosmid M88 is located in a 1759 bp *BglII* fragment which overlaps with the promoter and the 5'-region of the M88.6 gene. This MRS F, within the small fourth intron, correctly predicts a SAR (Fig. 2F).

### Table 1. Potential MARs in cosmid M88 of *C.elegans*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potential MAR</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>MRS</th>
<th>AWWRTAANNWWGNNNC</th>
<th>AATAAYAA</th>
<th>Distance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MRS-A</td>
<td></td>
<td>AATAACAAATTTAAA (W)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>overlap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>AATAACAAATTTAAA (W)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>overlap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRS-C</td>
<td>11462</td>
<td>AATAACAAATTTAAA (W)</td>
<td>AATAACAA (W)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRS-D</td>
<td>22543</td>
<td>AATAACAAATTTAAA (W)</td>
<td>AATAACAA (W)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRS-E1</td>
<td>26346</td>
<td>AATAACAAATTTAAA (W)</td>
<td>AATAACAA (W)</td>
<td>184</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRS-E2</td>
<td>27275</td>
<td>AATAACAAATTTAAA (W)</td>
<td>AATAACAA (W)</td>
<td>176</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRS-F</td>
<td>29274</td>
<td>AATAACAAATTTAAA (W)</td>
<td>AATAACAA (W)</td>
<td>128</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Positions of the MRSs with their sequence composition, relative orientation (C, Crick strand; W, Watson strand) and distance between the two sequence elements of the *C.elegans* cosmid M88 that was used in the *in vitro* SAR binding assay. A negative distance indicates that the 8 bp sequence precedes the 16 bp sequence.
Our search for SARs in the *C. elegans* cosmid M88 revealed that, in addition to the six predicted SARs, the 2700 bp BglII fragment, which contains no MRS, also binds to the nuclear matrix (Fig. 2B). We therefore conclude that the MRS is diagnostic for a large class of SARs but evidently not for all SARs.

**The MRS is present in many eukaryotic MARs/SARs**

Table 2 shows that seven out of eight previously identified SARs of *Drosophila* contain a MRS (1,2,27,28). Only in the case of the development-specific adult SAR of the alcohol dehydrogenase gene could we not detect a MRS. We could extend these observations by showing that the MARs/SARs from DNA virus SV40 (29), yeast (30), chicken (31,32), Chinese hamster (33,34), mouse (21,26,35–36,39), rabbit (39) and man (40–44) also map at the position of a MRS (Table 2). Not all MARs/SARs from these organisms contain a MRS, suggesting that the MRS-containing elements constitute a specific class of SARs and that this class can be found in many eukaryotic species.

If MARs/SARs mediate some essential function in the regulation of gene expression, one would expect that a MAR or SAR associated with a given gene, including its MRS, is conserved between species. Observations related to the immunoglobulin κ gene support this idea. The Ig(κ) gene, which has been cloned from a number of different organisms, contains a MAR in the J–C intronic region (21). In both man and mouse this MAR contains a MRS. The rabbit genome contains two Ig(κ) genes of which the Ig(κ1) gene does and the Ig(κ2) gene does not contain a MAR (43). Significantly, the Ig(κ1) intron does and the Ig(κ2) intron does not contain a MRS. So, in the Ig(κ) gene family the presence of a MRS sequence and a MAR are tightly linked and evolutionarily conserved. Conservation of the MRS is not a trivial consequence of sequence similarities that are expected between homologous genes. The DNA sequence, the relative orientation and the distance between the two sequence elements that make up the MRSs are all different for each of the Ig(κ) MARs.

**The distribution of MRSs alludes to eukaryotic genomic organisation**

In three genomic regions of total 32 kb of *Arabidopsis* we found on average one SAR every 4.5 kb (23), while in this paper we show that for the 33 kb *C. elegans* M88 cosmid this is once every 5.5 kb. The distribution of MRSs in the two large sequenced contigs from these organisms revealed a similar organisation. In a 250 kb region of chromosome IV of *Arabidopsis* (ESSA project of Drs Murphy and Bancroft, John Innes Centre, Norwich) on average we find one MRS per 6.5 kb. Likewise, in a 2.1 Mb contig of *C. elegans* chromosome III (24), we find one MRS per 5.1 kb. Interestingly, the average distance between the MRSs is similar to the average gene density (one ORF per 5.6 kb) for both these organisms.

The distribution of the MRS can be used to investigate the basic genomic organisation, although we have shown that some MARs/SARs may be missed. The evolutionarily conserved β-globin cluster is an interesting region in this respect. This region with its developmentally regulated genes has been cloned and sequenced from several organisms. Furthermore, in the case of the human locus the distribution of SARs has been determined (43). The human β-globin cluster contains seven MRSs (Fig. 3) that all map to biochemically identified SARs. One in the locus control region (LCR) between H5S and H54, one just upstream of the ε gene, three around the pseudo-β gene and one downstream of the β-globin gene. A similar picture emerges for the distribution of MRSs in the homologous β-globin clusters of galago (45), rabbit (45) and mouse (46) (Fig. 3). A small number of MRSs are found dispersed throughout these loci, marking potential chromatin domains. Each of these domains contains a single gene. Notably, in mouse the active genes and their pseudogenic counterparts map to individual SAR-bounded domains. Also, MRSs are present in the LCR. Interestingly, even more prominent than in

---

**Figure 2.** Identification of SARs within the M88 cosmid of *C. elegans*. The top panel indicates the position of potential open reading frames (hatched bars) with their direction of transcription (horizontal arrows) within cosmid M88. The position of the MRS (vertical arrows) in relation to the restriction map (BglII, C, Cld, E, EcoRI, H, HindIII, P, PstI, X, XhoI) and the identified SARs A–F (solid bars). SAR assay of cloned BglII fragments from the cosmid M88 (I, input; P, pellet; S, supernatant) where SARs A–F are mapped in the corresponding panels. In these experiments the XhoI or an additional site from the polylinker (indicated as BglII) were used to release the BglII inserts from the vector (a BglII–BamHI fusion). This results in three additional fragments from the vector: (A) BglII/EcoRI digest of pCM06 (2208 bp); (B) XhoI and BglII/HindIII digest of pCM07 (2716 bp); (C) XhoI and EcoRI/PstI digest of pCM11 (8414 bp); (D) XhoI and XhoI/ClaI digest of pCM04 (8374 bp); (E) XhoI digest of pCM08 (3729 bp); (F) XhoI digest of pCM05 (1759 bp). The positive control is an Avall/EcoRI/HindIII/PstI digest of the *D. melanogaster* intergenic histone SAR. The size marker (M) is a lambda HindIII digest with fragments of 23.1, 9.4, 6.6, 4.4, 2.3, 2.0 and 0.6 kb.
man, several DNase I hypersensitive sites in the LCR are separated by MRSs. The data suggest that not only the functional genes, but also the genomic organisation of these loci has been conserved in evolution.

**DISCUSSION**

**Identification of a MAR/SAR-associated sequence element**

In the present study we describe the discovery of a sequence element that is associated with a large group of eukaryotic MARs/SARs. The MRS is a bipartite sequence element that consists of two individual sequences of 8 (AATAAYAA) and 16 bp (AWWRTAANNWWGNNNC) within a 200 bp distance from each other. Our analysis of a 33 kb *C.elegans* cosmid showed that the MRS correctly predicts the position of six SARs on the basis of genomic sequence information. All fragments that contain a MRS bind specifically and with high affinity to the nuclear matrix if tested in an *in vitro* SAR assay. The same is true for three non-related genomic loci in *Arabidopsis*. Here, seven MRSs in >30 kb genomic sequences correctly identified seven SARs. Significantly, all MRSs that have been identified so far map to SARs. We never found a MRS that did not relate directly to a biochemically defined SAR.

Not all SARs contain a MRS. Biochemical analysis of the *Arabidopsis* and *C.elegans* genomic loci revealed that one of the *C.elegans* SARs does not contain a MRS. A database survey of MARs and SARs that have been identified by others led to a similar conclusion. Table 2 shows that although many (20 out of 27) of these elements did contain the MRS, some (7 out of 20) did not. This suggests that at least one other type of MAR/SAR may exist which does not contain a MRS. Alternatively, the MRS consensus sequence may still have to be adapted to cover all of the known MAR and SAR sequences. Since we could not find a consensus sequence that covers a larger fraction of known elements, despite considerable efforts, we favour the former possibility. It is important to note that the MRS is found in MAR/SAR elements that have been characterised using a variety of experimental systems, employing different types of matrix and scaffold preparations and cell types. These also include *in vivo* assays that confirm the presence of MAR/SAR elements initially identified using an *in vitro* binding assay. Evidently, the observed link between the MRS and MARs/SARs is not dependent on the type of biochemical assay used to identify them.

**The MRS may have a special spatial arrangement on a nucleosome**

We have shown that the MRS is a combination of two sequence elements within a short distance. The observed variation in the distance is suggestive of a relation of the MRS with nucleosomes. In the case of the *Drosophila* histone cluster the positions of the nucleosomes have been mapped (2,47,48). The SAR located between the histone H1 and H3 genes contains a number of nucleosomes with a defined position and two MRSs. Within the resolution of the nucleosome mapping data (~15 bp), the two MRSs seem to occupy a similar position on their respective nucleosomes. The first MRS, where the bipartite sequence elements are in close proximity, is found in the vicinity of a dyad centre of a nucleosome. In the case where they are 145 bp apart both elements are located near the entry and exit site of a nucleosome. So, due to the wrapping of the DNA around the histone protein complex, the two bipartite sequences appear to be physically close together.

This configuration of the two elements of the MRS could be a common feature. In SV40 (49) a nucleosome with its dyad centre at position 4020 aligns the 16 bp sequence (4012) at the dyad centre with the 8 bp sequence (3957) at the entry/exit site of the nucleosome, located some 80 bp away (Table 2). For the γ-globin SAR in the human β-globin cluster (43) and the chicken α-globin SAR (35) the positions of nucleosomes have been predicted on the basis of sequence characteristics (22,50). In the γ-globin SAR the 8 bp sequence is located at the dyad centre and the 16 bp sequence 60 bp downstream at the entry/exit site. The chicken α-globin SAR contains two overlapping sequence elements of the MRS at the dyad centre. The same
Table 2. The distribution of MRs in matrix attachment regions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>locus</th>
<th>sequence and orientation</th>
<th>distance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>chicken</td>
<td>α-globin</td>
<td>ATATAAAATTTGACCC</td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>lysozyme [3]</td>
<td>ATATAAAATAAAATTTTACCC</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>human</td>
<td>no MRS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chin. hamster</td>
<td>dhfr (intron)</td>
<td>ATATAAAATTTGACCC</td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>dhfr 5' [5'–3']</td>
<td>ATATAAAATTTGACCC</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>drosophila</td>
<td>α-actin</td>
<td>ATATAAAATTTGACCC</td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>no MRS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>adh (larval)</td>
<td>ATATAAAATTTGACCC</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>adh (adult)</td>
<td>ATATAAAATTTGACCC</td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ftz</td>
<td>ATATAAAATTTGACCC</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>ATATAAA (W)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>histone</td>
<td>CAATTTAAATTTTCC</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>ATATAA (C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ATATAAAATTTTCC</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>ATATAA (C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hsp70</td>
<td>ATATAAAATTTTCC</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>ATATAA (C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>sgs4</td>
<td>ATATAAAATTTTCC</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>lgy(x)</td>
<td>ATATAAAATTTTCC</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>myc</td>
<td>ATATAAAATTTTCC</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>ATATAA (C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>no MRS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-globin [3]</td>
<td>ATATAAAATTTTCC</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>ATATAA (C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ATATAAAATTTTCC</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>ATATAA (C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ATATAAAATTTTCC</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>ATATAA (C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>hprt</td>
<td>ATATAAAATTTTCC</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ATATAAAATTTTCC</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>ATATAA (C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>lgy(x)</td>
<td>ATATAAAATTTTCC</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>lh1</td>
<td>ATATAAAATTTTCC</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>no MRS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rabbit</td>
<td>lgy(x)</td>
<td>ATATAAAATTTTCC</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Positions of the MRs in previously identified SARs and MARs from a variety of eukaryotic organisms with their sequence composition, relative orientation (C, Crick strand; W, Watson strand) and distance between the two sequence elements. A negative distance indicates that the 8 bp sequence precedes the 16 bp sequence.

this SAR precisely map to the position of these ExoIII stops. These observations suggest that the 8 and 16 bp elements of the MRS constitute a target for nuclear proteins.

Wrapping DNA around a nucleosome to create a protein binding site employing two sequence elements non-adjacent on the linear DNA may be a more general function of nucleosomes in transcription regulation. A similar situation can be found in the Drosophila hsp26 promoter. Here, binding sites for the GAGA and the heat shock factors, required for activation of the promoter, are brought close together through a positioned nucleosome located between these sites (52).

Predicting MARs and SARs in genomic loci

The conserved function of MARs and SARs has led to an intensive search for methods to predict these elements based on genomic sequence information alone, rather than on a laborious biochemical assay. The field has been pioneered by Boulakis and co-workers, describing a large number of sequence elements that may be enriched in MARs and SARs (for a review see 22). Common elements, such as A and T boxes and topoII sites, were discovered through direct comparison of known MAR and SAR sequences. Although these sequences were found clustered in MARs/SARs, similar clusters could also be found at other sites in the genome that do not have any affinity for the nuclear scaffold. This has been demonstrated in the region around the plastocyanin gene in Arabidopsis (23). Methods using a combination of sequence elements were the first to have some success in predicting the position of MARs and SARs (53,54). Such statistical methods are likely to focus on general structural aspects, rather than some unique feature. Although, the MAR-finder program developed by Kramer and co-workers (52) allows the identification of MARs in the human TNP and β-globin loci, it uses two different sets of rules for the two genomic regions. Evidently, this approach has not resulted in a unique set of rules for MAR/SAR identification.

Recently, a new approach was developed on the basis of the DNA-unwinding potential of MARs and SARs (4,38). A computer program was developed that calculates the stress-induced DNA-unwinding potential of a given sequence (40). Applying this criterion to sequences that are known to contain a SAR revealed regions of strong unwinding potential to coincide with promoters, poly(A) signals and SARs. In the case of the IgH gene (37) the program correctly identified the local unwinding regions within this SAR (37,38). An advantage of this approach is that it directly focuses on a well-defined functional aspect of MARs/SARs, i.e. their local DNA-unwinding potential. A complication is that one needs a detailed understanding of the genomic structure to discriminate between the different types of DNA-unwinding regions. In cases where MARs or SARs are located in the vicinity of promoters and enhancers one might not be able to tell these different regions apart. Here we show that the MRS can be used to faithfully predict the genomic MARs and SARs. In every instance where we have mapped a MRS, the corresponding genomic region binds to the nuclear scaffold. There is no correlation of the MRS with other MAR- or SAR-associated sequence elements, such as ATC sequences, i.e. the sequence composition of the MRS does not show the typical asymmetrical distribution of GC nucleotides (38,55).
In summary, our observations show that the MRS is a new and potential powerful tool in the unravelling of the molecular mechanism of action of MARs/SARs. Data from ongoing sequencing projects can now be used to assess the distribution of MARs/SARs in a genome and allow one to assess the higher order organisation of a particular genomic region in terms of the chromatin loop domain model. The identification of proteins that bind to the MRS will be a next important step towards the elucidation of the molecular mechanisms by which SARs and MARs control gene activity.
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