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Abstract

Using frequent and long-term measurements (eight times per day, 2011 to present) from a geostationary satellite sensor (Geostationary Ocean Color Imager, GOCI), this study investigates diurnal changes of cyanobacterium Microcystis aeruginosa blooms (near-surface high concentrations or surface scums) in Taihu Lake, from which vertical migration patterns could be inferred. After proper atmospheric correction, a cyanobacterial index algorithm is used to quantify equivalent surface cyanobacterial density (\( r \), 0–100%) at both pixel and synoptic scales from each cloud-free image, followed by analysis of diurnal changing patterns of \( r \) at both scales. Three typical diurnal changing patterns are identified from all images, which show distinctive and different seasonality from the long-term statistics. Spatial distributions of the “hotspot” regions where diurnal changes are most often observed have also been established. While the seasonality of the three patterns appears to be a result of seasonality in both temperature and light availability, large blooms only occur 1 d after major wind events. Based on several lines of evidence, we hypothesize that the diurnal changes of such observed surface bloom patterns are likely a result of vertical migration rather than horizontal dissipation/aggregation of cyanobacteria. The mean migration speeds inferred from either a simple model or a radiative transfer model (\(< 0.03 \text{ cm s}^{-1} \text{ or }< 1 \text{ m h}^{-1} \)) are consistent with those reported earlier from laboratory measurements for certain cyanobacteria colony sizes. Complete understanding of the three types of diurnal patterns and direct validation of the hypothesis, however, require further investigations from field measurements.

Cyanobacteria are ubiquitous phytoplankton often found in freshwaters, which can produce toxins to negatively impact ecosystem and human health (Gorham et al. 1964; Gant 1974; Reynolds and Walsby 1975; Reynolds 1987; Paerl and Huisman 2008, 2009). Most freshwater cyanobacteria contain gas vesicles inside the cells (Bowen and Jensen 1965) (Fig. 1A), for example, Microcystis aeruginosa found in Taihu Lake and many other lakes. These gas vesicles can modulate cyanobacterial cell buoyancy, thus helping vertical movement of the cells to better utilize light (Reynolds and Walsby 1975; Reynolds 1987; Walsby and Bleything 1988; Kromkamp and Walsby 1990; Ibelings et al. 1991; Visser et al. 1997; Medrano et al. 2016a) and result in the forming of near-surface high concentrations of cells and surface scums (Fig. 1B). During daytime, the cell density increases because of photosynthesis-produced carbohydrates, making cells sink (Fig. 1C); during the night, the carbohydrates are respired, making cells rise (Walsby 1994; Wallace and Hamilton 1999; Wallace et al. 2000) (Fig. 1D). In addition to this mechanism, recent studies also found other factors that can modulate the cell movement (Dervaux et al. 2015; Medrano et al. 2016b). Cyanobacteria often form colonies in natural waters, where each colony is about 20–500 \( \mu \text{m} \) in diameter (Yamamoto and Shiah 2010; Qin et al. 2016) and composed of hundreds to thousands of cells embedded in mucilage, and in high-concentration colonies gas bubbles are formed within the colonies (Reynolds 1987; Walsby 1994; Medrano et al. 2016b). These gas bubbles (Fig. 1A), different from the gas vesicles inside the cells, contain oxygen produced by photosynthesis (Dervaux et al. 2015; Medrano et al. 2016b).
These oxygen-containing gas bubbles can also modulate the colony movement as increased oxygen can facilitate the rise of colonies, and for the same reason decreased oxygen (through consumption by respiration or when bubbles break and escape from the colonies) can make the colonies sink. Therefore, vertical movement of cyanobacteria is modulated by changes in (1) cell density (through photosynthesis and respiration); (2) gas vesicles inside the cells; and (3) gas bubbles between cells in high-concentration colonies. In the real environment, temperature, light, wind, and hydrodynamics (e.g., turbulence) collectively modulate the vertical movement of cyanobacteria (Visser et al. 1995; Dervaux et al. 2015; Medrano et al. 2016a; Qin et al. 2016), through which surface scums may be formed and dissipated.

Nearly all observations of cyanobacterial vertical migration have been made from laboratory experiments under controlled conditions (Ganf 1974; Dervaux et al. 2015; Medrano et al. 2016b; Qin et al. 2016), as similar observations are difficult to make in natural environments (Ibelings et al. 1991). On the other hand, these observations are possible in the natural environments through satellite or airborne remote sensing. For example, Hunter et al. (2008) applied hyperspectral airborne measurements three times in a day over eutrophic waters of Barton Broad (United Kingdom) to study changes in pigment concentrations of cyanobacterium M. aeruginosa, which were interpreted as being caused by vertical movements of Microcystis. It has been difficult to extend the case study to other eutrophic lakes because hyperspectral airborne measurements are limited in both space and time. Satellite sensors provide synoptic and repeated measurements over the global aquatic environments, but lack of enough spectral bands and lack of accurate atmospheric
correction make it difficult to quantify pigment concentrations with sufficient accuracy to discern diurnal changes. Fortunately, *Microcystis* cells and colonies are known to be able to form scums or high-concentration near-surface layers due to vertical migration of cyanobacteria (Reynolds and Walsby 1975; Zohary and Robarts 1990) and such scums or near-surface blooms can be easily observed from satellite remote sensing without sophisticated algorithms. Indeed, their vertical migration may be observed indirectly from short-term (e.g., diurnal) changes of these surface blooms, where such changes can be quantified with measurements from the Geostationary Ocean Color Imager (GOCI, Ryu et al. 2012) that provides eight images a day. Indeed, observing cyanobacteria blooms (with cyanobacteria cells either mixed in the water column or aggregated near the surface) in lakes using satellite remote sensing is not new, but started decades ago. In this study, the term “bloom” specifically refers to the latter case where cyanobacteria cells form surface scums or aggregate near the surface through physical movement. In such cases, reflectance in the near-infrared (NIR) wavelengths is enhanced, and can appear similarly to those from land vegetation (i.e., red-edge reflectance) (Dekker et al. 2002), making it possible to quantify blooms. In other words, the term “bloom” in this study is defined in a practical sense where NIR reflectance in the 740–900 nm range is elevated due to surface scums or near-surface high cyanobacteria concentrations. This definition follows that of Reynolds and Walsby (1975) where changes in cyanobacteria buoyancy are the main drivers of the bloom formation, and this definition is different from the growth-based definition where the term “bloom” specifically refers phytoplankton biomass increases due to growth. Such a definition has also been used in other studies on short-term changes of cyanobacteria blooms in lakes (e.g., Wynne et al. 2010).

Given the known fact that cyanobacteria can migrate vertically through the water column during the course of a day and the potentials of frequent GOCI observations in quantifying short-term changes, the objectives of this study are:

1. Determine cyanobacteria equivalent surface density at both pixel and synoptic scales from GOCI imagery;
2. Quantify diurnal changes in cyanobacteria equivalent surface density and area, and document their typical diurnal changing patterns as well as potential “hotspots” of diurnal changes;
3. Estimate cyanobacteria vertical migration speed from the diurnal changing patterns, assuming these changes are due to vertical migration;
4. Analyze and discuss the environmental controls of the observed diurnal changes.

Taihu Lake is selected as the study region because it is a typical eutrophic lake with frequent cyanobacteria blooms (Kong et al. 2009; Hu et al. 2010) within the GOCI field of view. Furthermore, because of its location in the downstream of the Yangtze River and proximity to major cities and industry, cyanobacteria blooms are under influence of both climate variability and human activities, thus representing a good example for many other similar lakes in China and other countries. While numerous papers have been published on remote sensing of various water property parameters of Taihu Lake (Ma and Dai 2005; Wang et al. 2013; Qi et al. 2014; Huang et al. 2015; Shi et al. 2015), to date no study has documented long-term characteristics of diurnal changes of cyanobacteria surface density in this economically and environmentally important freshwater system.

**Data and methods**

**GOCI and landsat-8 data processing**

GOCI was launched in 2010 by the Korea Ocean Satellite Center (KOSC) of South Korea (Choi et al. 2012; Ryu et al. 2012). With a spatial resolution of 500 m, it has eight spectral bands centered at 412 nm, 443 nm, 490 nm, 555 nm, 660 nm, 680 nm, 745 nm, and 865 nm, respectively. Under typical top-of-atmosphere (TOA) radiance over the ocean, its signal-to-noise ratios (SNRs) in the visible bands are comparable to those of the Sea-viewing Wide Field-of-view Sensor (SeaWiFS) but in the NIR bands are much higher (about three times or 600 : 1) than those of SeaWiFS (Hu et al. 2012), making it more sensitive to detect subtle changes from the water signal. This is extremely important because the method used in this study to quantify cyanobacteria concentration mainly relies on the NIR bands, where the sensor’s ability to detect partial bloom coverage within a pixel depends on the sensor’s SNRs (Hu et al. 2015). With 1-h frequency, it measures the TOA radiance in the eight spectral bands eight times a day from ~08:00 h to ~15:00 h local time over a large region covering 2500 km × 2500 km centered at 36’N 130’E.

The Level-1 total radiance data have been transferred from KOSC to the U.S. NASA Goddard Space Flight Center in near real-time under an agreement. In this study, GOCI Level-1 data were downloaded from NASA GSFC (http://oceancolor.gsfc.nasa.gov) and processed to Level-2 data using the NASA software package SeaDAS (version 7.3). Because of the well-known difficulty in performing accurate atmospheric correction over turbid waters and surface cyanobacteria blooms, the processing only generated Rayleigh-corrected reflectance [R_{rc}(\lambda), dimensionless, Eq. 1] for each image pixel, and the Level-2 R_{rc} data were subsequently mapped to a cylindrical-equidistant projection for further analysis (Hu et al. 2010).

For the period of 2011–2016, a total of 17,520 GOCI scenes were downloaded and processed to generate georeferenced R_{rc}(\lambda) data. The R_{rc}(\lambda) data in three spectral bands were used to compose false-color Red-Green-Blue (FRGB, R: 660 nm, G: 865 nm, B: 443 nm) images to visualize clouds and possible blooms. Each FRGB image was visually inspected, and images
with prevailing cloud cover were discarded. In total, 8000 relatively cloud-free GOCI scenes were used for subsequent analysis. Figure 2 top row shows a daily sequence of FRGB images on 27 November 2015, where $R_{rc}$ spectra from four representative stations are presented in the bottom row.

Similar to GOCI processing, Landsat-8 Operational Land Imager (OLI) data were also processed to generate georeferenced $R_{rc}$, and then used to compose FRGB images using the corresponding OLI bands. These data were used to determine cyanobacteria-free water pixels and cyanobacteria-containing pixels in the corresponding GOCI imagery. Following the definition of bloom in this context (i.e., high near-surface concentrations or surface scums), “cyanobacteria-free” means that concentrations of cyanobacteria cells or colonies are not high enough near surface to cause a detectable NIR signal (see below).

**Environmental data**

Hourly wind velocity ($V$, m s$^{-1}$) and wind direction ($W$, $^\circ$) were obtained from a Taihu Lake ecosystem field station located in the north of Taihu Lake (Fig. 2A1), which was maintained by the Chinese Academy of Sciences.

Surface temperature of Taihu Lake was estimated from MODIS sea surface temperature (SST, °C) data product. To avoid potential bias, only when > 80% of the lake was cloud free was temperature estimated as the average of the entire lake.

Surface instantaneous Photosynthetically Active Radiation (PAR) ($iPAR$, $\mu$mol photons m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) at any time of a day was calculated using the RADTRAN model (Gregg and Carder 1990). For a given location (in this case the location of the wind station) and time, solar zenith angle was first calculated. Then, assuming a coastal aerosol type and visibility of 10 km (corresponding to aerosol optical depth of about 0.2 at 860 nm, typical for Taihu Lake), surface $iPAR$ was calculated through radiative transfer in the RADTRAN model.

**Determine cyanobacteria equivalent areal density**

Because of the enhanced reflectance in the NIR, bloom pixels in satellite imagery can be identified using the red and NIR wavelengths through several indexes such as band ratio (Duan et al. 2009), Normalized difference vegetation index (Zhou et al. 2008), and floating algae index (FAI, Hu 2009). Of these,
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(A1–A8), derived from GOCI measurements over Taihu Lake on 27 November 2015 (Fig. 2). The panel to the right in (B) shows the mean changes of cyanobacteria density as a function of time and latitude. Excluding the last hour, the total integrated surface cyanobacteria area varied between a minimum of 116 and a maximum of 550 km² (378 ± 163 km²). Wind speed from a local meteorological station showed < 0.2 m s⁻¹ between 08:00 h and 16:00 h.

Fig. 3. Equivalent surface cyanobacteria density as a function of time (A1–A8), derived from GOCI measurements over Taihu Lake on 27 November 2015 (Fig. 2). The panel to the right in (B) shows the mean changes of cyanobacteria density as a function of time and latitude. Excluding the last hour, the total integrated surface cyanobacteria area varied between a minimum of 116 and a maximum of 550 km² (378 ± 163 km²). Wind speed from a local meteorological station showed < 0.2 m s⁻¹ between 08:00 h and 16:00 h.

AFAI was found to be more tolerant than other indexes to changes in observing conditions (solar/viewing geometry, aerosols, etc.), thus more appropriate for quantitative analysis and time-series studies (Hu et al. 2010; Shang et al. 2011; Zhang et al. 2015). Therefore, following the concept of FAI used for MODIS to quantify cyanobacteria blooms in Taihu Lake (Hu et al. 2010), the lack of a shortwave infrared (SWIR) band on GOCI is remedied by an alternative FAI (AFAI) to replace the SWIR band with an NIR band:

\[
\text{AFAI} = R_{\text{rc},j2} - R_{\text{rc},j2'}
\]

\[
R_{\text{rc},j2} = R_{\text{rc},j1} + (R_{\text{rc},j3}-R_{\text{rc},j1}) \times (\lambda_2-\lambda_1)/(\lambda_3-\lambda_1).
\]

Here, bands 1, 2, and 3 of GOCI are at 660 nm, 745 nm, and 865 nm, respectively. Figure 2 bottom row shows the \( R_{\text{rc}} \) spectra from eight GOCI measurements of the same pixels, where the changes in the NIR bands (and therefore the corresponding AFAI values) can be clearly seen.

Because of the linear subtraction design in AFAI, it is straightforward to determine the cyanobacteria areal density from linear unmixing of a pixel partially covered by the cyanobacteria bloom once two threshold values are defined. Following Qi et al. (2016) and Wang and Hu (2016), the two threshold values were first defined for the lower bound (AFAI_L) and upper bound (AFAI_H) of cyanobacteria-containing pixels. Below AFAI_L, the pixel is regarded as a no-bloom pixel; above AFAI_H, the pixel is regarded as having all (100%) cyanobacteria on the surface (i.e., 100% scum). An intermediate AFAI value indicates cyanobacteria approaching the surface from below, equivalent to a partial scum coverage within the pixel with the partial coverage determined through linear interpolation:

\[
x_i = (\text{AFAI}_i - \text{AFAI}_L)/(\text{AFAI}_H - \text{AFAI}_L), \quad 0 < x_i \leq 1.0
\]

AFAI_L and AFAI_H were determined from concurrent GOCI and Landsat-8 OLI pairs through visual inspection of cyanobacteria-free GOCI pixels and cyanobacteria-containing GOCI pixels (100% coverage). Because of the resolution difference, each GOCI pixel (500-m) corresponds to ~ 278 OLI pixels (30-m), making it straight forward to determine whether a GOCI pixel is fully covered by cyanobacteria scum or not associated with bloom at all. In this study, they were determined to be ~ 0.001 and 0.12, respectively. The AFAI value of 0.12 corresponds to the \( R_{\text{rc}} \) value of ~ 0.3 in the NIR, and such a high NIR reflectance in Taihu Lake has been confirmed to be associated with dense surface scum from field measurements. Figure 3 shows an example of diurnal changes of surface cyanobacteria density (\( x \)). Note that although for simplicity \( x \) is expressed as a % scum coverage within a pixel, in this study, it is an equivalent density (\( \sigma, 0–100\% \)) whose change can also be a result of the vertical movement of cyanobacteria, with 100% representing cyanobacteria all aggregated on the very surface (i.e., scum) and < 100% representing high concentrations of cyanobacteria cells/colonies below the surface.

Quantify diurnal changes and vertical migration speed

Diurnal changes of equivalent surface cyanobacteria density were determined at both pixel level and synoptic scale in the lake. At these two scales, the cyanobacteria areal density (or integrated area) was plotted against time of the day, where diurnal changes of areal density were regarded as primarily a result of cyanobacteria vertical migration rather than horizontal aggregation/dissipation (see “Discussion”
section). Mean daily density change at a given pixel was first calculated as
\[
\beta(\% \text{ h}^{-1}) = \frac{1}{(N-1)} \sum_i (x_i - z_i), \quad i = 0 \text{ to } N-1,
\]
where \( N \) is the number of total valid observations in a day (\( N \leq 8 \)).

Then, two conceptual methods were used to estimate the vertical migration speed from the diurnal patterns.

The first was a simple estimate between the minimal and maximal density (or integrated area for large-scale changes), assuming that the cyanobacteria were concentrated in the middle of the water column and on the very surface of the water column, respectively:
\[
V_1 (\text{m h}^{-1}) = 0.5 \frac{Z_b}{(t_{\text{max}} - t_{\text{min}})},
\]
where \( Z_b \) is the bottom depth, \( t_{\text{max}} \) and \( t_{\text{min}} \) correspond to the observing times of maximal and minimal density, respectively. The factor of 0.5 was to account for different vertical distributions of cyanobacteria, assuming that it is equivalent to have all cyanobacteria cells in the middle of the water column.

The second was based on a simplified radiative transfer model, where all hourly observations between \( t_{\text{min}} \) and \( t_{\text{max}} \) were used to fit the following equation:
\[
x(t) = C_1(1 - \exp(-2 C_2(t-t_0))) + C_3
\]
where \( t \) is the GOCI time, \( x \) is the cyanobacteria density, \( t_0 \) is the time when cyanobacteria began to rise, \( C_1, C_2 \), and \( C_3 \) are numerical constants to be determined from nonlinear least-square fit between \( t \) and \( x(t) \). For the upward migration, when \( t \) approaches \( t_0 \), \( x(t) \) approaches the minimal density, \( C_3 \). When \( t \) approaches \( t_{\text{max}} \), \( x(t) \) approaches the maximal density, \( C_1 + C_3 \). For the downward migration, similar interpretation can also be obtained. Here, \( C_3 \) represents the product of diffuse attenuation coefficient \( (K, \text{m}^{-1}) \) and vertical migration speed \( (V_2, \text{m h}^{-1}) \), and the factor of 2 was to account for the two-way attenuation. The functional form in Eq. 5 assumes that at \( t = t_0 \), all cyanobacteria were concentrated at an unknown depth, and this layer gradually moved to the surface, with its surface expression modulated by the two-way light attenuation.

**Results**

**Diurnal patterns of surface cyanobacteria density**

For all cloud-free days, diurnal changes of surface cyanobacteria density are observed clearly from the GOCI image series. Three typical patterns are observed, as shown in Fig. 4. The first pattern shows near-continuous increase over the course of a day (08:16 h to 15:16 h, local time) (Type 1, top row of Fig. 4), the second shows increasing density during the first few hours but decreasing density during the later hours (Type 2, second row of Fig. 4), and the third shows near-continuous decrease (Type 3, third row of Fig. 4). The total integrated equivalent surface cyanobacterial areas corresponding to these three types are shown in the bottom panels of Fig. 4.

Table 1 shows the statistics of the three types observed between 2011 and 2016. Only when at least six of the eight hourly measurements were cloud free were the observations used in calculating the statistics. Therefore, even though a total of 8000 relatively cloud-free hourly measurements were available, only a total of 106 cases with significant cyanobacteria blooms found in the imagery were used in the calculation. Of these, there is a clear difference between the seasonality of the three types. With a standard deviation of 39–61 d, Type 1 occurred around day 319, Type 2 occurred around day 277, and Type 3 occurred around day 222. There is also a clear difference between their maximal cyanobacteria coverage occurrence time, with Type 1 around 14:01 h, Type 2 around 11:42 h, and Type 3 around 08:48 h. Figure 5 also shows the seasonality of the daily maximum bloom occurrence time. In general, during summer, maximum cyanobacteria area was around 09:00 h, and the time moved to noon and early afternoon during the fall and early winter, respectively. On the other hand, nearly all these types occurred in the maximum bloom periods of July–October, as derived from phycocyanin concentration images (Qi et al. 2014).

**“Hotspots” of diurnal changes**

Figure 6 top row shows the spatial distributions of the equivalent surface cyanobacteria density \( (x \text{ in } \% \text{, Eq. 2}) \) and their seasonality, where the corresponding diurnal changes in the surface cyanobacteria density \( (\beta \text{ in } \% \text{ h}^{-1} \text{, Eq. 3}) \) are presented in Fig. 6 bottom row. High cyanobacteria density occurred mainly in the NW of the lake during summer and fall, consistent with previous observations (Hu et al. 2010; Qi et al. 2014). These locations also represent the “hotspots” of diurnal changes, where hourly changes in surface cyanobacteria density can be a few percent for the entire season and could be much higher (a few 10 s of percent) for specific days.

**Vertical migration speed**

Using methods described above, \( V_1 \) (either upward or downward) from the hotspots of diurnal changes was estimated to be < 2 m per 2–4 h, or 0.028–0.014 cm s\(^{-1}\). Migration speed \( V_2 \) was estimated through Eq. 5 as \( \sim 0.3 \text{ m h}^{-1} \) or 0.008 cm s\(^{-1}\) (assuming light attenuation, \( K \), could be approximated by water absorption coefficient at 750 nm, \( \sim 2.5 \text{ m}^{-1} \)). These estimates are consistent with determinations from laboratory analyses of collected Taihu cyanobacteria samples (Qin et al. 2016) for the colony sizes of 64–100 \( \mu \text{m} \) (0.028 ± 0.008 cm s\(^{-1}\)) and 20–64 \( \mu \text{m} \) (0.008 ± 0.003 cm s\(^{-1}\)), respectively.

**Response to wind**

Wind plays an important role in affecting cyanobacteria vertical distributions. Under high winds the cells are well
mixed in the water column, making it difficult to form scums or subsurface blooms. Such wind speed thresholds have been estimated through remote sensing observations to be around 3 m s\(^{-1}\) for Taihu Lake (Hu et al. 2010; Huang et al. 2015). The results from this study show two phenomena that have not been reported previously through remote sensing. The first is that only after major wind events did large blooms occur, and the second is that the blooms in the following days without new wind events became smaller.

Figure 7 and Table 2 show three groups of examples of such phenomenon. Group 1 (A1 and A2) shows that large blooms occurred 1 day after major wind events (mean speed >4 m s\(^{-1}\) during previous day); Group 2 (B1 and B2) shows that large blooms could also occur following the day when wind direction changed dramatically even though mean speed was <3 m s\(^{-1}\); Group 3 (C1 and C2) shows that when mean speed was low (<3 m s\(^{-1}\)) and wind direction was also stable, blooms in the following day were relatively small. These observations are consistent with those based on field measurements but the GOCI-based study provides synoptic evidence. They are also consistent with those in Hunter et al. (2008) where high pigment concentrations were found immediately following high winds during the same day. Furthermore, Fig. 8 shows that major blooms occurred during only the first day after major wind events. During the second day after the wind event, even though wind remained continuously low, blooms were much smaller. Clearly, winds can not only change bloom patterns during the same day of wind observations (i.e., wind-induced mixing) but also affect bloom observations during subsequent days.

**Discussion**

**Horizontal aggregation/dissipation or vertical migration?**

Without *a priori* knowledge and in the absence of high winds, the change of a pixel’s AFAI value during a day can be interpreted as from either (1) horizontal changes (i.e., dissipation or aggregation) or (2) vertical migration. Both can change the NIR signal and therefore AFAI value of the pixel. For the case of cyanobacteria blooms in Taihu Lake, the former is unlikely the cause for a number of reasons. First, decreases in cyanobacteria density of randomly selected GOCI pixels in one GOCI image were not accompanied by
increases in spatially adjacent GOCI pixels in other images of the same day. From a simple mass balance, these changes could not be explained by horizontal redistribution of surface cyanobacteria. In other words, it is not logical to assume that from many adjacent pixels, each pixel has only a portion of cyanobacteria floating on the surface but the remaining portion has cyanobacteria all mixed in the water column. This may occur only on the boundary pixels of a large bloom patch but not in every pixel of the bloom. The same interpretation was used in Hunter et al. (2008) to explain temporal changes of pigment concentrations within 7 h from three repeated measurements over the same lake. Second, diurnal changing patterns of all three types often occurred in days with continuous low wind (sometimes more than 2 d), where vertical migration rather than horizontal aggregation or dissipation is likely the reason behind the changing patterns. Therefore, from a pure spectroscopy perspective, diurnal changes of surface density (derived from changes of AFAI values) can be interpreted as changes in cyanobacterial vertical distributions, as horizontal physical aggregation/dissipation are unlikely to explain such changes during perfectly calm days (Figs. 7, 8).

One may argue that although cross-pixel horizontal redistribution is unlikely the reason, intra-pixel redistribution could cause the observed diurnal changes because the coarse-resolution pixels may be mixed with bloom and non-bloom waters. This argument can be ruled out for two reasons. The first is that under perfectly calm days (Figs. 7, 8), it is difficult to understand why bloom patches within a 500-m pixel aggregate in the morning but dissipate in the afternoon. In contrast, respiration and photosynthesis appear to be more logical explanations if vertical movement is the reason behind the diurnal AFAI changes. Second, once the sensor has sufficient SNRs (i.e., sensitivity) in the NIR, even a small bloom patch within a pixel can be detected and expressed as a fractional bloom coverage. For SNRs of 200 : 1, Hu et al. (2015) suggested that the smallest detectable patch is about 1–2% of a pixel size. For GOCI SNRs in the NIR of ∼600 : 1 (Hu et al. 2012), the detection limit is about 0.3–0.7% of a pixel size. For elongated bloom patches (exceeding 500 m), the detection limit is about 2–3 m in width. The question then becomes whether most bloom patches in Taihu Lake are larger than 3 m x 500 m (or near-surface bloom size has an equivalent surface expression >1500 m²). From comparison between near-simultaneous GOCI (500-m) and OLI (30-m) observations in Fig. 9, the answer appears to be yes. Although at pixel scale

Table 1. Statistics of the three typical types of diurnal change patterns of surface cyanobacterial area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Number of cases (2011–2016)</th>
<th>Proportion (%)</th>
<th>Max area (km²)</th>
<th>Max area time (h)</th>
<th>Day of the year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type 1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>142.7 ± 47.2</td>
<td>14:01 ± 0.45</td>
<td>319 ± 39 (Oct–Dec)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 2</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>197.0 ± 111.4</td>
<td>11:42 ± 1.01</td>
<td>277 ± 56 (Aug–Nov)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 3</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>168.6 ± 94.5</td>
<td>08:48 ± 0.51</td>
<td>222 ± 61 (Jun–Oct)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 5. MBA occurrence time (local time) in different days. The annotated year starts from January 1.
Excess buoyancy is acquired when the photosynthetic rate is too high, whereas blooms can occur when most of the algae possess excess buoyancy. Reynolds and Walsby (1975), in their study, stated that bloom formation is not based on high biological growth but rather on physical aggregation. As noted by Reynolds and Walsby, the development of blooms is often considered to be driven by factors such as wind speed, temperature, and light conditions. Scum or subsurface high concentrations of cyanobacteria may form as a result of vertical migration of cyanobacteria, which is also why the migration speed in a real environment is important. If it takes only 3 h to move all cyanobacteria from the 2-m bottom to the very surface, the migration speed is likely to be different than for larger sizes. This is possibly caused by turbulent conditions in the real environments, which were absent in the laboratory experiments. Although more sophisticated models have been developed to simulate cyanobacteria vertical migration under known light, wind, and turbulence conditions, these models require more physiological model inputs than can be provided here. On the other hand, regardless of the modeling approach or parametrization, the simple estimate using Method 1 provides the upper bound of migration speed in a real environment: if it takes only 3 h to move all cyanobacteria from the 2-m bottom to the very surface, the migration speed is likely to be higher than estimated using Method 1.

In short, although other possible processes leading to changes in algae density patterns cannot be completely ruled out due to lack of direct validation from field measurements, it is believed that vertical migration is the most logical explanation to interpret the observed diurnal changes in algae density patterns. Additional argument can also be found when environmental factors are used to explain the three different types of diurnal patterns, especially through photosynthesis-induced density increase (see below). There are numerous modeling studies (Visser et al. 1997; Wallace et al. 2000; Medrano et al. 2013, 2016a) and lab-based experiments (Ganf 1974; Medrano et al. 2013, 2016b) to document and explain the downward movement, and some limited field observations (e.g., Takamura and Yasuno 1984) also showed downward movement after forming a high-concentration near-surface layer. The spectral shapes and magnitudes of NIR pixels in Fig. 2 indicate that although their NIR reflectance is high, in the visible domain their reflectance is still low, allowing light to penetrate the very surface to facilitate photosynthesis.

The migration speeds estimated here (0.008–0.028 cm s⁻¹), based on the hypothesis that diurnal changes of equivalent algae density are due to vertical migration rather than horizontal movement, are comparable to those determined from laboratory measurements (Qin et al. 2016) for colony size ranging between 24 μm and 100 μm but much lower than for larger sizes. This is possibly caused by turbulent conditions in the real environments, which were absent in the laboratory experiments. Although more sophisticated models have been developed to simulate cyanobacteria vertical migration under known light, wind, and turbulence conditions (Kromkamp and Walsby 1990; Visser et al. 1997), these models require more physiological model inputs than can be provided here. On the other hand, regardless of the modeling approach or parametrization, the simple estimate using Method 1 provides the upper bound of migration speed in a real environment: if it takes only 3 h to move all cyanobacteria from the 2-m bottom to the very surface, the migration speed is likely to be higher than estimated using Method 1.

Fig. 6. Top: Seasonal variations of equivalent cyanobacteria density distributions (α in %, Eq. 2) between 2011 and 2017; Bottom: Seasonal variations of mean diurnal changes of surface cyanobacteria density (β in % h⁻¹, Eq. 3). The “hotspots” of diurnal changes (yellow and red colors) are found to correspond to high cyanobacteria density locations.
mean migration speed cannot exceed 0.7 m h\(^{-1}\), still much lower than those reported from laboratory measurements for large colonies. On the other hand, this upper bound speed is in line with most observations of vertical migration of dinoflagellates, either in the lab or in the real environment (e.g., Hu et al. 2016).

**Table 2.** Mean wind speed \((V)\) and coefficient of variance \((CV)\) of wind direction \((W)\) before and during the major bloom days shown in Fig. 8. Note that the bloom area \((BA)\) can be very large (bold font) when either wind speed or CV of wind direction of previous day is very high (bold font).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case ID</th>
<th>Before bloom day (24 h)</th>
<th>During bloom day (08:00–15:00 h)</th>
<th>MBA (km(^2))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean of (V) (m s(^{-1}))</td>
<td>CV of (W)</td>
<td>Mean of (V) (m s(^{-1}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MBA: maximum bloom area.
Fig. 8. Similar to Fig. 7, but blooms during the 2nd day (i.e., Day 2) after major wind events appeared to be smaller than during the 1st day (i.e., Day 1).

Finally, it is interesting to note that the three types of vertical migration patterns observed here are all different from those observed by Hunter et al. (2008) in the eutrophic lake of Barton Broad, where lowest concentrations were found around solar noon due to higher wind speed than in other times of the day.

Uncertainties in the estimates

Because all calculations were based on the diurnal GOCI AFAI changes, the first question is whether these changes are realistic or due to changes in the observing conditions (i.e., solar zenith angle, aerosols). The sensitivity of AFAI to solar zenith angle and aerosols was studied through the following equation

\[ R_{rc,\lambda} = R_{t,\lambda} - R_{a,\lambda} = R_{t,\lambda} + t_s \cdot t_{0,\lambda} \cdot R_{w,\lambda} \]  

(6)

where \( R_{t,\lambda} \) is the at-sensor total reflectance after adjusting for the two-way ozone absorption, \( R_{a,\lambda} \) is reflectance due to scattering other than Rayleigh scattering (mostly aerosol scattering), \( R_{w,\lambda} \) is the target reflectance. \( t_s \) and \( t_{0,\lambda} \) are the diffuse transmittance from the target to the satellite and from the sun to the target, respectively, which can be approximated as

\[ t_s \approx \exp(-0.5 \cdot \tau_{r,\lambda}/\cos \theta), \quad t_{0,\lambda} \approx \exp(-0.5 \cdot \tau_{r,\lambda}/\cos \theta_0) \]  

(7)

Here \( \tau_{r,\lambda} \) is the optical thickness of Rayleigh scattering (known from literature), \( \theta \) is the sensor zenith angle (a constant because GOCI is geostationary, about 37° for Taihu), and \( \theta_0 \) is the solar zenith angle that changes during the day.

The simulation calculated AFAI as a function of \( \theta_0 \) under a given \( R_w \) and aerosol input, with results presented in Fig. 10. For the entire range of \( \theta_0 \) during summer and winter, the change in AFAI is at most 5%. Similar results were obtained when aerosol optical thickness was changed. Therefore, for most cases, relative changes in AFAI due to changes in observing conditions are 5% or less, much lower than the observed diurnal changes from cyanobacteria or water pixels. Indeed, Fig. 2B4 shows very stable \( R_{rc} \) spectra over non-bloom waters for the entire day, and Fig. 2B1–B3 also show stable \( R_{rc} \) spectra over bloom waters for the visible wavelengths, both meaning that most \( R_w \) changes in the red and NIR wavelengths (and therefore AFAI changes) are realistic rather than artifacts.

The simulations above assumed temporarily invariant \( R_w \), but in reality \( R_w \) may change with \( \theta_0 \) following the bloom’s bidirectional reflectance distribution function (BRDF). Although BRDFs of many land surface types have been reported in the literature, BRDF of cyanobacteria blooms has not been reported. However, even if BRDF of cyanobacteria blooms could contribute to some of the observed diurnal bloom changes due to changes in solar zenith angle, such a contribution is unlikely to change with seasons, thus difficult to explain the three different types of diurnal change patterns in different times of a year.

Environmental controls of three types of diurnal changes

Under calm conditions (low wind velocity), vertical migration is mainly modulated by two processes: (1) upward movement due to gas vesicles inside cells and oxygen bubbles outside the cells but trapped within the colony mucus as well as decreased cell density due to respiration, and (2) downward movement due to increased cell density through photosynthesis and decreased oxygen bubbles (Fig. 1). The migration direction (upward or downward) is a result of these two competing processes. Then, why were three types of diurnal changes observed (Fig. 4; Table 1)?

The vertical migration pattern of Type III can be easily understood from density changes in the cells. The temperatures and light intensities (Fig. 11) are the highest when this type occurs (around Day 222, August) and consequently the density changes due to photosynthesis and respiration will be rapid. During the night, all colonies recover buoyancy and move to the surface where they become denser in the morning and start to sink when GOCI collects the first image of the day. Due to high iPAR and temperature, the photosynthesis rate is high enough that the downward movement overweighs the upward movement.

Type I shows an upward movement during the entire morning and early afternoon with a maximum surface density at 14:00 h. This type occurred around day 319 (Table 1) when...
temperature is around 15°C (Fig. 11A). At these low temperatures, the rate of respiration is low (Visser et al. 1995) and recovery of buoyancy in the deeper, dark layers is a slow process. Consequently, the colonies only reach the surface slowly in the morning. For this type, photosynthesis is slow as iPAR is lower compared to the periods when the other two types occur, and thus the density increase is slow, causing delaying in the downward movement. The tipping point, when the downward movement overweights the upward movement, is the latest in Type I compared to the other types. Actually Fig. 4A1–A8, D (Type 1) show that the last point at 14:16 h already shows a sign of downward migration. If GOCI measurements were to be extended further in later afternoon, for example, to 17:16 h, it is expected that such a downward migration would have continued.

Type II (∼ Day 277, October) is between Type 1 and Type III, where the tipping point occurred around solar noon. This type is more difficult to understand. The predominant upward movement in the morning is puzzling under the rather high iPAR and temperatures in this period. Type II occurs in periods (August–November) when the densities of cyanobacteria are relatively high. It might be explained by slightly lower temperatures and iPAR during this time of year compared to the Type III period, but if the pattern is compared with the modeled vertical migration patterns by Visser et al. (1997), this is only true for rather small colonies (< 100 μm). Since the majority of colonies is larger than 100 μm (Qin et al. 2016) in Taihu Lake, we suggest an alternative explanation. We hypothesize that the higher densities in this time of year (as can be seen in Fig. 4B) result in gas bubbles by late morning that are trapped in the mucus layer of the colonies (Dervaux et al. 2015; Medrano et al. 2016b). Consequently, accumulation of carbohydrates should be higher to counterbalance this positive buoyancy before the colonies can sink, and the cells will need more time to break down this higher carbohydrate content. This may explain the late arrival in the morning, which again depends on the colony size.

To evaluate whether these hypotheses for the migration types are valid, density changes of colonies from Taihu Lake...
could be investigated in relation to light intensity and temperature and incorporated in a model (e.g., Visser et al. 1997) to describe the vertical migration of colonies in Taihu Lake at different times of the year. Additionally, other physical factors such as turbulence may also be incorporated in the model, although it is speculated that turbulence is unlikely to change the seasonality of the diurnal patterns under similar wind conditions. Furthermore, because river input is mostly restricted to nearshore waters, river input might not be a major factor either. In any case, direct field measurement is required to validate the hypotheses, for example, through continuous measurements of cyanobacteria fluorescence or scattering at multiple depths using moored sensors.

Implications on bloom dynamics and bloom monitoring

Because many phytoplankton (e.g., dinoflagellates) can also move vertically in various marine and freshwater systems (Kamykowski et al. 1992; Schofield et al. 2006; Schaeffer et al. 2009), this research represents one of the pilot efforts and may also serve as a template toward future remote sensing studies of phytoplankton dynamics and their response to environmental changes in natural environments. For example, using GOCI images, Lou and Hu (2014) showed changes in bloom size of the dinoflagellate *Prorocentrum donghaiense* in the East China Sea, and such changes were speculated to be a result of dinoflagellate vertical movement. Likewise, Qi et al. (2017) used Visible Infrared Imaging Radiometer Suite (VIIRS) imagery to show intra-day changes of blooms of the dinoflagellate *Karenia brevis*, which were confirmed by glider measurements to be a result of *K. brevis* vertical migration. These previous studies, however, only provided bloom patterns of algae mixed in the water column. The current study is focused on surface scums or near-surface blooms of cyanobacteria, which are subject to lower uncertainties and easier to interpret under
calm conditions. The method might be extendable to studies of dinoflagellates when they also form surface scums or near surface blooms.

While GOCI provides unique observations of diurnal changes of bloom patterns, such a capacity is not available from other satellite sensors that have often been used by the community to monitor bloom changes in near real-time and bloom trends in the long run (e.g., Hu et al. 2010). The question then arises whether the polar-orbiting satellites, which can provide at most one observation a day (usually around solar noon) for subtropical and tropical waters, can lead to reliable estimates of long-term bloom patterns. Figure 12 shows a comparison between the various observing schemes. In Fig. 12A, bloom areas (BAs) from snapshot GOCI observations around solar noon are compared with the mean daily BAs (6–8 cloud-free measurements). Overall, they are comparable, with the fitting line close to the 1:1 line. However, there is also a large data spread around the 1:1 line, indicating that if the number of cloud free days is not large enough the uncertainties in the mean monthly BAs could be very high. Likewise, in Fig. 12B, if a daily maximum bloom area (MBA) were used to represent the daily mean BA, an overestimate would result. Clearly, more observations from GOCI would reduce uncertainties in deriving the “mean” bloom conditions, thus providing more reliable estimates in long-term bloom patterns.

Conclusion

Using frequent GOCI observations, typical types of diurnal changing patterns in cyanobacteria blooms (surface scums or near-surface high concentrations) of Taihu Lake are determined. These patterns show clear seasonality that can be explained conceptually using temperature, light availability, and photosynthesis. Wind also plays a significant role as major blooms are only found after major wind events. Most importantly, from several lines of evidence, it is hypothesized that the diurnal bloom patterns could primarily be caused by vertical migration of cyanobacteria cells and/or colonies, whose migration speeds are estimated to be in line with those determined from laboratory experiments for certain colony sizes. While direct field measurements are still required to test the hypothesis, the study shows unique values of geostationary sensors in their capacity to study short-term phytoplankton dynamics in the real environments, which not only helps to understand phytoplankton dynamics at synoptic scales but also provides guidance for future targeted sampling to the hotspots of diurnal changes.
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