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Using time-resolved measurements, we demonstrate coherent collective Rydberg excitation crossing over into Rydberg blockade in a dense and ultracold gas trapped at a distance of 100 μm from a room-temperature atom chip. We perform Ramsey-type measurements to characterize the coherence. The experimental data are in good agreement with numerical results from a master equation using a mean-field approximation and with results from a super-atom-based Hamiltonian. This represents significant progress in exploring a strongly interacting driven Rydberg gas on an atom chip.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Rydberg atoms are being actively studied for their strong, tunable interactions, making them interesting tools for quantum information science, e.g., for quantum computing [1,2] and quantum simulation [3,4] and for realizing strong photon-photon interactions [5–12]. Remarkable progress has been made both with ensembles [8,13–15] and with individual atoms [16–18]. In dense samples the strong van der Waals interaction between Rydberg atoms limits excitation from the ground state to a single Rydberg excitation within some “blockade” volume. At the same time, atoms within this volume experience a coherent, collective coupling to the excitation light (as a “superatom”) with a Rabi frequency that is enhanced by \( \sqrt{N_b} \), where \( N_b \) is the number of participating atoms [19]. The coherence of the excitation competes with decoherence mechanisms such as spontaneous and blackbody-induced decay of the Rydberg states [20–22], atomic motion, the finite bandwidth of the excitation lasers, and, particularly in a trapped gas, with dephasing through the inhomogeneous density distribution [19,23,24]. Yet, collective enhancements of Rydberg excitations were observed on both one- [25] and two-dimensional lattices [26], in optical tweezer arrays [16,17,27] and between physically separated ensembles that are individually dense enough to act collectively [14].
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Such a combination would be an excellent starting point for hybrid quantum systems [29,30], e.g., combining solid-state and neutral-atom-based qubits, but also for building compact quantum devices based on neutral atoms.

However, thus far coherent Rydberg physics on atom chips has been difficult to observe due to decoherence from inhomogeneous Stark shifts induced by stray electric fields emanating from the surface [31–34], which have also been observed and characterized close to a microwave waveguide [35], and using a chip in a cryogenic environment [36]. While first evidence of Rydberg-Rydberg interactions on an atom chip has been demonstrated under cryogenic circumstances as well [37], the saturation of Rydberg atom number could not be observed, and the excitation bandwidth was too large to probe the initial coherence.

Here, we demonstrate two key aspects of resonant Rydberg driving in a dense and ultracold gas, trapped at around 100 μm distance from a room-temperature atom chip. We describe how time-resolved measurements were used to detect (i) the early-time coherent regime of collectively enhanced excitations, crossing over at a later time into (ii) a regime with essentially constant Rydberg fraction due to the blockade mechanism. In regime (i) we find a collective enhancement of the Rydberg Rabi frequency by up to an order of magnitude compared to the single-atom case. This implies that there are up to 100 ground-state atoms per blockade volume in our system. In regime (ii) we have a Rydberg fraction much below unity (on the order of 1%), consistent with the inferred blockade volume and despite the strong resonant driving of the system. In Sec. II we describe these results, and in Sec. III we expand on the mean-field master equation and superatom models that were used to describe them, and find good agreement. In Sec. IV, finally, we describe a direct measurement of the excitation coherence using a Ramsey-type pulse sequence. These results constitute important progress in realizing coherent driving to a strongly interacting Rydberg gas in an integrated quantum device, and in the presence of several decoherence mechanisms.

II. COLLECTIVE ONSET AND RYDBERG BLOCKADE

The experimental arrangement is very similar to that described in Ref. [33]. In short, we trap ⁸⁷Rb atoms in the |F, mF⟩ = |2, 2⟩ state at a distance of ~100 μm from the surface of an atom chip. The magnetic trap is generated with a Z-shaped gold wire patterned on a silicon substrate [38]. The temperature and atom number of the atomic cloud are controlled by varying the final frequency of the radio-frequency (rf) sweep used for evaporative cooling. This results in a cloud of typically 2×10⁴ atoms at a temperature of a few μK in the final magnetic trap with radial and axial trap frequencies of ω⊥/2π = 860 Hz and ω∥/2π = 46 Hz, respectively, and with its trap bottom at B₀ = 3.4 G. Thus the atoms are confined in a highly elongated, cigar-shaped geometry with a typical width σ⊥ = 2.6 μm and length σ∥ = 48 μm (at T = 2 μK); see Fig. 1(a).

For Rydberg excitation, we use a two-photon excitation scheme with a 780-nm- (“red”) and a 480-nm-wavelength (“blue”) laser. These propagate in opposite directions along the length of the cloud and have beam waists (520 μm and 90 μm, respectively) that are much larger than the width of the cloud, so that the (single-atom) Rabi frequency can be considered constant. We excite either the |28D₅/₂, mJ = 5/2⟩ or the |30S₃/₂, mJ = 1/2⟩ state; see Table I for their relevant parameters. The red laser is blue detuned by Δb/2π = 100 MHz from the intermediate ⁵P₁/₂ state to prevent off-resonant scattering from it. The blue laser is detuned by Δb ≈ −Δs such that the overall detuning Δ ≡ Δs + Δb is close to resonance. To change the overall detuning, we vary Δb. The intermediate state can be adiabatically eliminated because Δs ≫ Δ₀, Δb [39], so that a two-level system with a Rabi frequency of Ω = Ω₀/Δb remains (here Ω₀ and Δ₀ denote the red and blue Rabi frequency, respectively). The excitation lasers are stabilized using a reference cavity and have a linewidth of typically 10 kHz [40]. The 780 nm beam is sent through a combination of a mechanical shutter and an acousto-optical modulator for excellent contrast and fast switching times, respectively.

Losses from the trap are detected via absorption imaging of the remaining atoms after a 6 ms time of flight. A decrease in the atom number is interpreted as losses due to Rydberg excitation. Most experiments described here are performed using a series of pulses (see below), with a pulse separation longer than the Rydberg lifetime. This allows us to investigate shorter time scales, where the losses per pulse are small, while still having an observable loss signal.

Due to their large orbitals, Rydberg atoms have a strong van der Waals interaction given by −C₀/r⁶, where r is the interatomic distance and C₀ is the (state-dependent) effective interaction coefficient [19,33,41–43]. For typical nearest-neighbor distances in an ultracold ground-state gas the strength of this interaction is larger than the excitation bandwidth, ζ, so it is not possible to excite a second Rydberg atom within some distance from a prior Rydberg excitation, leading to blockade. The relevant length scale over which Rydberg blockade is effective, known as the blockade radius [19,44], is in this case given by |C₀/ℏζ|¹/⁶. For narrow-bandwidth lasers (and as long as other decoherence mechanisms can be neglected) ζ is given by the generalized Rabi frequency √N₀ℏΩ² + Δ², where the Rabi frequency is enhanced due to the collective coupling. For our parameters the blockade radius is typically more than 1 μm. Since the radial extent of our cloud is usually on the order of the blockade radius, the system is close to being 1D in terms of the geometrical extent of the Rydberg blockade, and we can only excite one Rydberg atom in the radial direction.

| Rydberg state | | 28D₅/₂, mJ = 5/2⟩ | | 30S₃/₂, mJ = 1/2⟩ |
|---|---|---|---|
| Lifetime (μs) | 15.2 | 15.5 | |
| C₀/h (MHz μm⁶) | 21.5 | -25.0 | |

To demonstrate the presence of Rydberg blockade, we perform an experiment using the |28D₅/₂, mJ = 5/2⟩ state; see Fig. 1(b). We compare the losses after a single 37.5 μs
pulse to those after a series of 75 pulses with a duration of 500 ms, in which case the pulse separation is longer than the Rydberg lifetime. Ignoring the blockade and coherent behavior, one would naively expect these to lead to the same behavior, one would naively expect these to lead to the same results since the pulse areas are the same. In Fig. 1(b) we can see that this is clearly not the case; the continuous pulse enhances the losses. The results are in very good agreement with the superatom Hamiltonian evolution, respectively. The solid, dotted lines are the result of the mean-field master equation model using a single, constant decoherence rate (see below).

We can remove the complicated spatial correlations from the problem by replacing the interaction term in the Hamiltonian (1) with an interaction with the “mean field” of all other Rydberg excitations in the system (under the assumption that there is at most one excitation per blockade volume). As shown in Ref. [24], this reduces Eq. (2) to a single-atom term of the form

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{int}}^{(j)} = V_j \sigma_z^{(j)}/2,$$

where the mean-field interaction energy $V_j$ is given by

$$V_j = -C_0 \left( \frac{4\pi}{3} \frac{m^2 n_0}{\hbar} \right)^2.$$

This leads to the single-particle, mean-field Hamiltonian:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{MF}}^{(j)} = \frac{\hbar}{2} \sigma_x^{(j)} - \frac{1}{2} \left( \hbar \Delta - V_j \right) \sigma_z^{(j)}.$$

Here $m$ is the magnetization, which is nothing but the average Rydberg fraction. When we describe the system in terms of three levels (a ground state $|g\rangle$, a Rydberg state $|r\rangle$, and a loss state $|l\rangle$) this is equivalent to the $\rho_{rr}$ entry in the single-atom density matrix.

The single-atom, mean-field, Liouville–von Neumann master equation is now given by

$$\frac{\partial \rho^{(j)}}{\partial t} = -i \left[ \mathcal{H}_{\text{MF}}^{(j)}, \rho^{(j)} \right] + \mathcal{L}_{\text{ph}}^{(j)} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}^{(j)} L^\dagger L.$$

III. MASTER EQUATION AND SUPERATOM MODEL

The experimental results are explained well using a master-equation model following Ref. [24]. The ground state and Rydberg state of each atom can be written as the spin-down and spin-up state of a pseudospin $1/2$. The Rydberg-Rydberg interactions and the coherent coupling by the excitation lasers are then described by the following spin Hamiltonian:

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left( \frac{\hbar}{2} \sigma_z^{(j)} \right) + \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}^{(j)}.$$

Here $\sigma_z^{(j)}$ are the Pauli spin matrices of the $i$th atom. The last term describes the Rydberg-Rydberg interactions; it is given by

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{int}}^{(j)} = -\frac{C_0}{2} \sum_{k \neq j} P^{(j)}(k) \frac{P^{(j)}(k)}{|x_j - x_k|^2}.$$

Here $P^{(j)}(k) \equiv |r_j\rangle \langle r_j|$ is a projection operator onto the Rydberg state and $x_j/k$ are the atom positions; the sum runs over all other atoms $k$. We assume the system to be in the frozen gas limit, meaning the displacement during the excitation is negligible. For excitation times longer than $\sim 40$ $\mu$s this assumption is no longer tenable. However, we do not find this to be limiting the coherence. This is corroborated by our model using a single, constant decoherence rate (see below).

We can remove the complicated spatial correlations from the problem by replacing the interaction term in the Hamiltonian (1) with an interaction with the “mean field” of all other Rydberg excitations in the system (under the assumption that there is at most one excitation per blockade volume). As shown in Ref. [24], this reduces Eq. (2) to a single-atom term of the form

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{int}}^{(j)} = V_j \sigma_z^{(j)}/2,$$

where the mean-field interaction energy $V_j$ is given by

$$V_j = -C_0 \left( \frac{4\pi}{3} \frac{m^2 n_0}{\hbar} \right)^2.$$

This leads to the single-particle, mean-field Hamiltonian:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{MF}}^{(j)} = \frac{\hbar}{2} \sigma_x^{(j)} - \frac{1}{2} \left( \hbar \Delta - V_j \right) \sigma_z^{(j)}.$$

Here $\hbar$ is the Planck constant, $\Delta$ is the frequency difference between the ground state and the Rydberg state, and $V_j$ is the mean-field interaction energy.
TABLE II. Overview of model parameters used in solving the mean-field master equation for all measurements presented in the main text.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>$\Omega/2\pi$ (kHz)</th>
<th>$\gamma_0/2\pi$ ($10^6$ s$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fig. 1(b)</td>
<td>$28D_{5/2}$</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fig. 2</td>
<td>$28D_{5/2}$</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$30S_{1/2}$</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fig. 3(a)</td>
<td>$30S_{1/2}$</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fig. 3(b)</td>
<td>$30S_{1/2}$</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

from $|r\rangle$ into $|g\rangle$ and $|l\rangle$ with a branching ratio $b$:

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{(1-b)\gamma} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{b\gamma} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (7)$$

Our linewidth $\gamma_0$ is generally limited by experimental factors such as stray fields or the finite laser linewidth. We add this using the phenomenological Lindblad operator

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{ph}}^{(j)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\gamma_0\rho_{gr}/2 & 0 \\ -\gamma_0\rho_{gr}/2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (8)$$

We numerically integrate Eq. (6) at different densities and average the results over the cloud. The results [solid lines in Figs. 1(b) and 2] are in good agreement with the experimental data once we include a decoherence rate $\gamma_0/2\pi$. The results of the mean-field approach (solid lines in Fig.3) are in excellent agreement with our measurements. On the other hand, the coherent propagation of the Hamiltonian shows the same qualitative behavior, with much stronger contrast. This can be attributed to the absence of decoherence in that model.

**IV. DIRECT MEASUREMENT OF COHERENCE**

In order to demonstrate the coherence explicitly, we have performed Ramsey-type experiments. We employed a double-pulse scheme, where we varied the detuning and used two pulses of 250 ns with a 250 ns gap in between. To increase the signal we repeated such a pulse pair 300 times. The observed Ramsey fringes are shown in Fig. 3(a). The results of the mean-field approach (solid lines in Fig. 3) are in excellent agreement with our measurements. On the other hand, the coherent propagation of the Hamiltonian shows the same qualitative behavior, with much stronger contrast. This can be attributed to the absence of decoherence in that model.

Convolving the calculated spectrum with a Gaussian effectively simulates inhomogeneous spectral broadening. In this way, we find an effective linewidth $\sigma = 0.42$ MHz. Finally, we measure the decoherence time directly, using a Ramsey measurement in the time domain. To this end, we detune the lasers to the red by $\Delta/2\pi = -2$ MHz, and again use two 250 ns pulses, but now vary the delay time between them. Repeating the pulse pair 100 times, we observe a decaying sine; see Fig. 3(b). From a fit to this we can extract a coherence time of $1/\gamma = 0.44$ μs. This is consistent with the linewidth $\sigma$ mentioned above (since $\sigma/\gamma \approx 1/2\pi$).

Due to dephasing, there are some losses for zero delay times which are not reproduced by the coherent Hamiltonian model. Here, we have mimicked decoherence by adding an exponentially decaying envelope and an offset to account for the nonzero losses at zero delay times. Note that the amplitude of the oscillation is not a fit parameter and matches the experimental results.

We now turn to the source of the observed decoherence and dephasing. The dominant cause is likely the presence of inhomogeneous electric fields, leading to observed linewidths on the order of 1 MHz in our system, via the inhomogeneous Stark shift of the Rydberg states involved [33]. The static, homogeneous part of this field has been stable over the course of a few years, but there is of course also the possibility of electric-field noise close to the surface of our chip; at present we cannot discriminate between this noise and a constant gradient in the electric field over the length of our cloud as the source of dephasing. Apart from that, there is dephasing via coupling to blackbody-populated nearby Rydberg states.
Coherent evolution (see, e.g., Fig. 2), in which the dephasing does not reflect the weak Rabi oscillations predicted by the fully temperature. Combined, these explain why our measurements of the dipole-dipole interaction does lead to stronger dephasing; we scales than those probed here, the blackbody-induced resonant is dominated by the inhomogeneous density. On longer time

\[ \Delta / 2\pi \approx -\pi / 2 \text{MHz}, \]

measured using \[ N = 10^3 \text{ atoms at } T = 1 \mu \text{K}. \]

The orange, solid lines are the results of the mean-field master equation. The gray, dotted lines are the populations in the Rydberg state obtained by evolving the Hamiltonian as described in the main text; this is either convolved with a Gaussian (a) or given an offset and an exponentially decaying envelope (b) to approximate the effects of decoherence (dash-dotted, red lines). The bottom figure also contains a fit to an exponentially decaying sine (dashed, green line), from which we extract a coherence time of \( 1 / \gamma = 0.44 \mu \text{s} \).

Figure 3. Ramsey fringes measured both in (a) the frequency and (b) time domain to assess the coherence of the excitation of the \( |30S_{1/2}, m_J = 1/2 \rangle \) state. In both measurements (blue circles) we used multiple pair pulses sent at intervals longer than the Rydberg lifetime. The pairs consisted of two 250 ns pulses, either at a variable detuning [(a) time between pulses fixed to 250 ns, measured using \( 13 \times 10^3 \text{ atoms at } T = 2 \mu \text{K} \)] or using a variable time between the pulses [(b) detuning fixed to \( \Delta / 2\pi = -2 \text{ MHz} \), measured using \( N = 10^3 \text{ atoms at } T = 1 \mu \text{K}. \)]. The orange, solid lines are the results of the mean-field master equation. The gray, dotted lines are the populations in the Rydberg state obtained by evolving the Hamiltonian as described in the main text; this is either convolved with a Gaussian (a) or given an offset and an exponentially decaying envelope (b) to approximate the effects of decoherence (dash-dotted, red lines). The bottom figure also contains a fit to an exponentially decaying sine (dashed, green line), from which we extract a coherence time of \( 1 / \gamma = 0.44 \mu \text{s} \).

...and there is some residual motion due to the finite temperature. Combined, these explain why our measurements do not reflect the weak Rabi oscillations predicted by the fully coherent evolution (see, e.g., Fig. 2), in which the dephasing is dominated by the inhomogeneous density. On longer time scales than those probed here, the blackbody-induced resonant dipole-dipole interaction does lead to stronger dephasing; we have probed this regime as well and find that our measurements can be explained with an extended version of the mean-field model [47].

V. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we have directly observed blockade and coherence in the excitation of Rydberg atoms in a mesoscopic ensemble of atoms trapped on an atom chip. We have analyzed the measured spectra and the dynamical evolution both by solving the many-body Hamiltonian and a mean-field master equation. We are able to characterize and quantify the amount of decoherence, which we attribute to inhomogeneous fields resulting from the present chip design.

In the future, finer control of the electric-field components can be gained by adding more on-chip electrodes. This would allow compensating electric-field gradients and significantly extend the coherence time. In parallel, adding an optical lattice along the length of the cloud (as in Ref. [23]) will turn the system into a linear array of tens to hundreds of atomic ensembles on a chip, with the distance between sites smaller than the characteristic blockade radius. An intriguing further possibility would then be to use an electric-field gradient along the length of the cloud to allow for site-specific control over the excitation within such an array. Furthermore, it should be relatively straightforward to extend these results to colder and denser clouds and Bose-Einstein condensates, to reach more deeply into the one-dimensional regime where the radial cloud size is significantly smaller than the blockade radius. It would also be interesting to extend the Ramsey sequence used here to more advanced (spin-echo-like) pulse sequences, in order to further distinguish and characterize the various dephasing and decoherence mechanisms mentioned above. This would also allow us to discriminate between (constant) gradients in the electric field and contributions from electric-field noise.

The results presented here open up promising routes towards quantum information science with Rydberg atoms in integrated quantum devices.
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