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ABSTRACT

We aim to investigate the galaxy environment in GAMA Galaxy Groups Catalogue (G3C) using a volume-limited galaxy sample from the Kilo Degree Survey Data Release 3. The k-Nearest Neighbour technique is adapted to take into account the probability density functions of photometric redshifts in our calculations. This algorithm was tested on simulated KiDS tiles, showing its capability of recovering the relation between galaxy colour, luminosity, and local environment. The characterization of the galaxy environment in G3C groups shows systematically steeper density contrasts for more massive groups. The red galaxy fraction gradients in these groups is evident for most of group mass bins. The density contrast of red galaxies is systematically higher at group centres when compared to blue galaxy ones. In addition, distinct group centre definitions are used to show that our results are insensitive to centre definitions. These results confirm the galaxy evolution scenario which environmental mechanisms are responsible for a slow quenching process as galaxies fall into groups and clusters, resulting in a smooth observed colour gradients in galaxy systems.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The hierarchical structure formation theory predicts that the primordial density field in the early Universe evolves through gravitational instabilities and its final stage is represented by virialized dark matter dominated haloes. These systems also represent potential wells for the baryonic matter, which is gravitationally trapped, allowing galaxies to form (White & Rees 1978). Additionally, galaxies tend to cluster into larger structures and form the so-called cosmic web (Vogeley, Hoyle & Rojas 2004; Gott III et al. 2005; Springel et al. 2005). Several works have shown that the environment within galaxy systems is essentially responsible for the galaxy quenching. Red galaxies are more often found in the densest regions of triplets, groups, clusters (Tempel et al. 2012; Costa-Duarte et al. 2016) and superclusters of galaxies (Einasto et al. 2011; Costa-Duarte et al. 2013; Einasto et al. 2014). From an observational point of view, some galaxy properties are strongly correlated to their local environment, such as colours, stellar population ages, and morphology. It can be observed in situ in the local Universe and its consequences are the morphology–density and colour–density relations (Dressler 1980; Goto et al. 2003; Kauffmann et al. 2004; Dressler, Oemler, Poggianti 2013). In the colour–magnitude diagram, the mean colour of galaxies is independent of environment, but the red galaxy fraction increases as the local density increases at fixed luminosity (Balogh et al. 2004a; Ball, Loveday & Brunner 2008). The galaxy colours seem to be more correlated to the environment than the morphology (Kauffmann et al. 2004; Martínez & Muriel 2006; Quintero, Berlind & Blanton 2006), indicating that the morphological transformation is a subsequent and slower process.

The galaxy–galaxy and galaxy–cluster interactions are the main responsible mechanisms for the observed star formation quenching over a wide redshift range. Several mechanisms are candidates for the galaxy quenching in distinct regions of galaxy clusters, such as merging (Icke 1985; Mihos 1995), harassment episodes (Moore...
et al. 1996, 1999), strangulation (Larson et al. 1980; Bekki, Couch & Shioya 2002), and ram-pressure (Gunn & Gott 1972). The role and contribution of each environmental mechanism for the quenching process and stellar mass build-up still remains unclear (e.g. Capak et al. 2007; van der Wel et al. 2010; Rowlands et al. 2018). This current scenario suggests a slow gas removal from late-type galaxy haloes with no observed structural changes, with galaxies becoming quiescent due to the lack of gas reservoir for star formation but keeping their morphology still disc-like. Afterwards, a morphological transformation takes place due to more significant gravitational interactions at inner cluster regions and finally elliptical and red galaxies (so-called red and dead) mostly populate central regions of galaxy clusters (Balogh et al. 1998).

Beyond the local Universe (z ~ 1), Sobral et al. (2011) showed that the stellar mass is the main parameter driving the galaxy quenching, however, the environment also enhances the star formation rate of low-mass objects but quenches all galaxies located at high density regions (groups and clusters). On the other hand, some authors found a positive correlation between the star formation rate and the environment (Elbaz et al. 2007; Cooper, Newman & Weiner 2008; Tran et al. 2010; Allen et al. 2016), the opposite as found at low redshifts (e.g. Balogh et al. 2004a). At z ~ 1–2, field galaxies present redder colours and lower star formation rates when compared with cluster members (Grützbauch et al. 2011; Quadri, Williams & Franx 2012). These results suggest that the environment already plays a significant role between 1 < z < 2 although when, where and how it specifically affects galaxies is still unclear.

Several techniques have been proposed to measure and quantify galaxy environment. Among an assortment of methods in the literature, the k-Nearest Neighbours (k-NN) is widely employed for statistical learning in Astronomy (Hastie et al. 2009; Kügler et al. 2015), including density field reconstruction. This technique calculates the local density of galaxies using the sky projected or 3D distance from a certain galaxy which englobes the k nearest neighbours (Mateus et al. 2004; Baldry et al. 2006; Haas, Schaye & Jeonson-Daniel 2012). In addition, the robustness of recovering galaxy environment is tightly correlated to the redshift precision, which provides the distance between galaxies in the line-of-sight direction. Modern galaxy surveys can usually only provide one of two different redshift measures, spectroscopic and photometric ones. Spectroscopic redshifts (hereafter spec-zs) usually have high precision, but are observationally time consuming and its galaxy sample often suffers from incompleteness. Photometric redshifts (hereafter photo-zs) can be considered as an alternative choice to overcome these issues, however, their precision is systematically lower, mainly depending on the wavelength range and photometric signal-to-noise ratio. Adapted techniques have been proposed to estimate the galaxy environment and the density field, taking into account the photo-z uncertainties (Scoville, Arnouts & Aussel 2013; Malavasi, Pozzetti & Cucciati 2016; Malavasi et al. 2017). Other works have also evaluated the influence of the photo-z uncertainties for future photometric surveys (Etherington & Thomas 2015; Cucciati et al. 2016; Lai et al. 2016). Moreover, the two-point correlation function has been successfully obtained from photo-z galaxy samples (e.g. Soltan et al. 2015; Asorey et al. 2016). Nowadays, numerous techniques are adapted to include photo-zs in their calculations and to evaluate the role of environment in the galaxy evolution context.

The local density of galaxies is equated with galaxy environment here. As a certain galaxy system (e.g. galaxy clusters, filaments, or voids) can present a wide range of values for local density, we understand that the local density traces better the local environment than the structure itself.

In this paper, we investigate the galaxy environment in the G3C groups. We take the advantage of the KiDS imaging survey to carry out a homogeneous analysis of the galaxy population in these systems. This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present the data bases and their extracted samples used in this work. Section 3 describes the adapted k-NN technique employed to estimate the galaxy environment and the necessary adjustments for the photo-zs of our galaxy samples. In Section 4, we show the performance of the adapted k-NN technique and the main results obtained from the KiDS/DR3 galaxy sample. Section 5 presents our main results on the galaxy population in GAMA/G3C groups. Finally, Appendix A shows the influence of the group centre definition on our results. Throughout this paper, we assume the ΛCDM cosmology with parameters (h, Ω_m, Ω_L, Ω_V) = (0.7, 0.3, 0.7, 0.0).

2 DATA

2.1 KiDS Data Release 3

The Kilo Degree Survey (KiDS) performs deep imaging using four photometric broad-bands (ugri) and covers sky regions in both hemispheres using the VLT Survey Telescope.\(^1\) There are two main observed patches, being KiDS North close to the celestial Equator and KiDS South around δ = −31°. The photometric depths for \(u, g, r,\) and \(i\) are 24.3, 25.1, 24.9, 23.8 (AB magnitudes within 5σ), respectively. Recently, the KiDS survey presented the Third Data Release to the community (DR3, de Jong et al. 2017). The KiDS/DR3 is composed of 440 tiles, each one covering 1 deg\(^2\).

The KiDS multiband catalogues provide flags that classify objects as stars or galaxies, and indicate their photometric quality, both generated by the software PULECENELLA (de Jong, Verdoes Kleijn & Boxhoorn 2015, for more details). The flag 2DPHOT represents a morphology-based star/galaxy classification and IMAFLAG_ISO indicates if the photometry is contaminated by observational issues (bad pixels, cosmic rays, saturated stars, etc.). The galaxy sample used in this work is extracted from the multiband catalogue, with 2DPHOT=0 and IMAFLAG_ISO,r=0. These flags mean that they are reliably classified as galaxies and have accurate photometry. The MAG_AUTO magnitudes are corrected by the Galactic extinction and homogenized using the zero-point offsets provided for each photometric band and tile.\(^2\) Because of the photometric depth at \(r\) band slightly varies among KiDS tiles, a conservative magnitude limit is adopted by selecting objects brighter than \(r = 22.5\). The package kcorrect v4.2 (Blanton et al. 2007) is employed to obtain the rest-frame magnitudes using the photo-z code (Benitez 2000). We extract volume-limited galaxy samples from each KiDS/DR3 tile, taking objects brighter than \(M_r < −19.3\) and within the redshift range 0.01 < \(z\) < 0.4. Fig. 1 shows the extracted samples from the KiDS/DR3 data base. In total, our final sample consists of 1080 224 galaxies distributed into 440 tiles. In our analysis, we consider the following luminosity bins:

\[−20 < M_r < −19.3, −21 < M_r < −20, −22 ≤ M_r < −21, \] and \(−23.5 < M_r < −22\). The brightest luminosity bin is limited up to −23.5 in order to avoid outliers in our sample.

Some observational effects, such as bad pixels, saturated stars, and cosmic rays are often responsible for unreliable photometry

\(^1\)http://www.eso.org/public/teles-instr/paranal-observatory/vlt/

\(^2\)http://kids.strw.leidenuniv.nl/DR3/format.php
of sources and also compromise the sky continuity in our analysis. The mean fraction of these regions over all KiDS/DR3 tiles is 17 per cent. In order to track these problematic regions, objects presenting IMAFLAG_{ISO}>0 can be used as tracers for these issues. The affected sky area can be estimated using the number of sources with IMAFLAG_{ISO}>0 over the total number of objects within a certain region. Sources up to r = 25 and with flag IMAFLAG_{ISO} are extracted as auxiliary tile samples. Note that these auxiliary samples are only included in our calculations to map observational issues which affect the projected galaxy distribution.

### 2.2 KiDS mock catalogue

To evaluate the capability of the proposed galaxy environment algorithm (see Section 3), we generate KiDS mock catalogues. The photo-z modelling on the KiDS mock catalogue consists of two parts. The behaviour of the photo-z as a function of (i) the apparent magnitude (r band) and (ii) the galaxy colour (g − r). The first one is obtained from fig. 11 of Kuijken et al. (2015) (hereafter K15), using the relation between \( \sigma_z \equiv \text{std}(\delta_z/(1+z_{\text{spec}})) \) and the apparent r band, where \( \delta_z = (z_{\text{phot}} - z_{\text{spec}}) \). The second one requires spectroscopic data to evaluate the photo-z uncertainties as a function of galaxy colours. The spectroscopic GAMA survey (Driver et al. 2009) overlaps with the KiDS coverage for four fields (G09, G15, G12, and G23), however, presenting a shallower sample \( (r < 19.8) \) than the KiDS photometric depth. The match between KiDS and GAMA samples within 1 arcsec provided roughly 168k objects. The photo-z uncertainty is then modelled as a function of the galaxy colour and apparent magnitude \( (\sigma_z(r, g - r)) \) up to \( r = 19.8 \). For fainter objects, the relation presented by K15 is adopted.

### Figure 2

The redshift uncertainties (\( \sigma_z \)) as a function of r band and (g − r). The blue continuous line is \( \sigma_z \) as a function of r band, following the photo-z uncertainty behaviour from Kuijken et al.(2015). Dashed lines represent the (g − r)-dependent redshift uncertainties obtained from the match between GAMA and KiDS surveys. Lower dashed parallel lines represent redder galaxies.

Our KiDS/DR3 sample also suffers from photo-z outliers. Particularly, high-redshift galaxies \( (0.4 < z_{\text{spec}} < 1.4) \) can have their photo-z wrongly assigned between \( 0.0 < z_b < 0.4 \) by the M3w code. de Jong et al. (2017) shows a matched galaxy sample between the KiDS/DR3 and 2018 March 24 the zCOSMOS survey (Lilly et al. 2007) and its outliers \( (|z_{\text{phot}} - z_{\text{spec}}|/(1+z_{\text{spec}}) > 0.15) \) (see their fig. 11). According to Bilicki et al. (2017), this fraction represents 8 per cent of galaxies up to \( r = 25 \). The photo-z outliers are modelled in mock catalogs using their observed outlier photo-z distribution between \( 0.01 < z_b < 0.4 \) (de Jong et al. 2017). In our mock catalogue, galaxies with \( z_{\text{spec}} > 0.4 \) are namely brought to the redshift range of our sample following the outlier fraction and photo-z distribution. In this way, mock outliers reproduce the observed fraction and distribution of KiDS/DR3 data base.

We extract eight simulated KiDS-like tiles from the mock light-cones produced by Merson et al. (2013).\(^3\) Modelling of the photo-z and its outliers is also performed. The probability density functions (PDF(z)) are reconstructed following the central value \( (z_b) \) and 95 per cent of confidence level limits \( (z_{b_{\text{min}}} \text{ and } z_{b_{\text{max}}}) \), i.e. using Gaussian gradient approximation which follow the confidence levels \( z_{b_{\text{min}}} \text{ and } z_{b_{\text{max}}} \). We define volume-limited samples from these simulated tiles, extracting objects brighter than \( M_r < -19.3 \) in the redshift range \( 0.01 < z_b < 0.4 \). The final simulated sample had 18 964 galaxies distributed over eight KiDS tiles. Areas affected by observational issues are modelled following the observed 17 per cent of problematic regions, as described in Section 2.1. Regions randomly distributed over all simulated tiles had their sources flagged as IMAFLAG_{ISO}>0 up to \( r < 25 \) to mimic the pattern found in the observed KiDS tiles.

The photo-z uncertainties surely affect the absolute magnitudes and galaxies can be wrongly included or excluded from our volume-limited sample, according to their photo-z uncertainties. Overestimated photo-z can include galaxies which are fainter than \(-19.3\) into our volume-limited sample, being an incoming. On the other hand, underestimated photo-z can exclude objects brighter than

\(^3\)http://www.star.ucl.ac.uk/aim/lightcones.html
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2.3 GAMA/G3C catalogue

The GAMA project (Data Release 2, Liske, Baldry & Driver 2015) is an extragalactic multiwavelength survey which combines photometry (far-UV to radio) and optical spectra of more than 290 000 objects over 286 deg². The optical spectroscopy employs the AAOmega spectrograph on the Anglo-Australian Telescope (AAT). The aperture matched photometry provides optical SDSS petrosian magnitudes (ugriz) and infrared bands (YJHK) from the VIKING survey (Edge et al. 2013) for targets down to $r_{AB} = 19.8$. An impressive spectroscopic completeness (~98 per cent) provides a data base which allows to investigate several topics in galaxy evolution, such as the galaxy environment, stellar populations, and halo formation times.

The G3Cv5 (Robotham, Norberg & Driver 2011) is a galaxy group catalogue of which the GAMA/G15 patch is publicly available. It is worth to mention that G3C groups means all galaxy systems with more than five members. The friends-of-friends (FoF) algorithm was adapted to take into account the selection function of the survey to identify galaxy systems up to $z < 0.5$. Their halo masses $\log_{10}M_h$ (parameter MassA) were estimated from a dynamical proxy, using the group velocity dispersion ($\sigma_{\text{group}}$) and the projected radius which contains 50 per cent of the members ($R_{50}$) as well as the scaling factor A (for more details, see Robotham et al. 2011). Galaxy groups more massive than $\log_{10}M_h = 13.21$ that have five or more members and are located between $0.01 < z < 0.4$ are considered in our analysis, resulting in 348 galaxy systems in total. We divide our G3C sample into three sub-samples according to their masses: $13.21 < \log_{10}(M_h) \leq 13.69$ (G1), $13.69 < \log_{10}(M_h) \leq 14.05$ (G2), $\log_{10}(M_h) > 14.05$ (G3), corresponding to 116 galaxy systems for each bin. It is worth to note that, since our analysis does not compare group properties at different redshifts, it is not necessary to have a group volume-limited sample. Assuming a mass threshold for galaxy groups and the galaxy volume-limited sample, it ensures that the galaxy luminosity threshold is the same over the entire redshift range and a homogeneous galaxy population analysis can be carried out over the aforementioned redshift range.

We initially adopt the brightest group galaxy as group centre (denominated BCG in the GAMA G3C catalogue). The influence of centre definition on our results is also evaluated, using an alternative centre definition: the $r$-band luminosity weighted centre.

3 THE GALAXY ENVIRONMENT

3.1 k-Nearest Neighbor technique (k-NN)

The majority of the works on galaxy environment relying on photo-zs does not consider PDF(z) in their calculations, or even include the absolute magnitude limit from the sample, i.e. outgoing. Fig. 3 shows the mock volume-limited sample extracted using photo-zs (upper panel) and the same sample by considering absolute magnitudes from the spec-zs (middle panel). In the lower panel, we show the incoming and outgoing as a function of the photo-z. The incoming presents constant values (around 7 per cent) and decreases as it gets closer to the redshift limit ($z_b = 0.4$). The incoming reaches null value at the upper redshift limit due to the magnitude cut $r = 22.5$. It excludes all objects fainter then $-19.3$ at the redshift limit then the incoming is null at this redshift by definition. The incoming is null at the lower redshift range since it comes from underestimated photo-zs. The outgoing roughly increases with photo-z due to the photo-z uncertainty being larger at higher photo-zs. The contamination parameters are further employed to correct the local density (see Section 3).
a simplified version of PDFs using $z_{\text{min}}$ and $z_{\text{max}}$ (95 per cent of confidence level). The influence of the PDFs in the galaxy environment estimates is evaluated in our simulations and the results are compared to the original k-NN technique in spec-z space.

The initial algorithm considers a certain galaxy in the sky at $R_0$ and redshift $z_0$. The algorithm defines a projected radius $R_{\text{NN}}$ around this galaxy which encloses the $k$ nearest projected galaxies in the sky within the redshift range $z_0 \pm \Delta_z(1 + z_0)$, where $\Delta_z$ is the average redshift uncertainty of the survey. The surface density is then defined as follows:

\[
\sigma_{\text{NN}}(R_0, z_0) = \frac{k}{\pi R_{\text{NN}}^2}. \tag{1}
\]

Notice that the length of the cell defined above follows the redshift uncertainty of the survey, i.e. it includes the term $(1 + z)$ in the calculations.

The adaptation of the aforementioned algorithm for PDF inclusion substitutes the number $k$ by summing the galaxy probabilities of being within the redshift interval $z_0 \pm \Delta_z(1 + z_0)$ until reaching the number of neighbours $k$. As the PDFs provide probabilities in redshift space, the concept of neighbours is now adapted in a probabilistic formalism. The probability of the $i$th galaxy of being in the redshift range is,

\[
P_i = \int_{z_0 - \Delta_z(1 + z_0)}^{z_0 + \Delta_z(1 + z_0)} \text{PDF}(z) \, dz. \tag{2}
\]

The local density of galaxies with the inclusion of PDFs can be written as,

\[
\sigma(R_0, z_0) = \frac{S_k}{\pi R_{\text{NN}}^2}, \tag{3}
\]

where $S_k$ is the sum of $P_i$ over all galaxies enclosed by $R_{\text{NN}}$. The projected radius $R_{\text{NN}}$ increases until the probability sum of all neighbour candidates reaches the desired value $k$ ($S_k = k$) in contrast to solely galaxy counting, as shown in the initial technique.

The evaluation of both algorithms described above in the mock catalogs is carried out using the k-NN technique in spec-z space. In this case, the local densities are estimated using spheres with radius $r_{\text{NN}}$ which contain $k$ nearest neighbours. The spectroscopic local density is then defined as $\sigma_{\text{spec}}(R_0, z_0) = \frac{k}{\frac{4}{3} \pi R_{\text{NN}}^3}$. This volumetric density is considered as the reference galaxy environment. Although the galaxy environment densities calculated in spec-z and phot-z are not the same by definition, we are able to find a positive correlation between them.

### 3.2 Contamination, masking, and border effects

The galaxy environment formalism presented above is still affected by contamination, masking, and border effects. Some galaxies located at the tile border can have their local density underestimated due to the non-continuity of the survey. The correction needed to this missing area is defined as the fraction of the circle projected in the sky with radius $R_{\text{NN}}$ situated outside of the survey boundaries or affected by bad pixels, i.e. $f_{\text{area}} = A_{\text{cell}} / \pi R_{\text{NN}}^2$. This method assumes that the area outside the circle presents the same local density of galaxies obtained within the survey area. The area correction weight is then defined as $w_{\text{area}} = 1/(1 - f_{\text{area}})$ and it increases as the missing area fraction increases. If there is no missing area, $f_{\text{area}} = 0$ and consequently $w_{\text{area}} = 1$. A similar correction is also necessary due to redshift limits of the sample. The individual redshift ranges for each galaxy cell previously defined can have part of its volume outside the redshift range of the galaxy sample and their local densities can be again underestimated. The redshift correction simply consists of the volume fraction outside the survey, $f_z = V_{\text{out}} / V_{\text{cell}}$ and similarly $w_z = 1/(1 - f_z)$. The sample contamination described in Section 2.2 is corrected by using a similar formalism: $w_{\text{clus}}(z) = (1 - f_c(z))$, where $f_c(z)$ is the difference between the incoming and outgoing as a function of the photo-z, i.e. $f_c(z) = C_{\text{incoming}}(z) - C_{\text{outgoing}}(z)$. If $C_{\text{incoming}}$ is larger/smaller than $C_{\text{outgoing}}$, $w_{\text{clus}}$ is lower/higher than unity. This correction takes into account the galaxy contamination in our volume-limited sample.

The local density of galaxies is simultaneously corrected by sky area, volume, and contamination, i.e. $\sigma_{\text{clus}}(R_0, z_0) = \sigma(R_0, z_0)w_{\text{area}}w_z$. The volume and area corrections are essentially geometrical and are applied to spectroscopic and photo-z samples following their individual geometry, accordingly.

As our observed and simulated data is configured in tiles, the tile management is mandatory for the calculations in order to reduce the border effect and consequently increasing the sky continuity. Some of the tiles have neighbouring tiles around them (see fig. 1 from de Jong et al. 2017) while others are basically isolated. Tiles which have others nearby form a larger contiguous area and consequently these close tiles are included in the calculations to maximize the continuity of the sky area. The galaxy environment for isolated tiles are simply calculated without the inclusion of other tiles. It means that the border corrections are frequently applied for these tiles.

### 4 GALAXY ENVIRONMENT RESULTS

#### 4.1 Simulated KiDS/DR3 data base

The local density of galaxies is regularly transformed to density contrast in the literature in order to make comparable different galaxy environment techniques or parametrizations. Hereafter, the local density of galaxies is converted to density contrast as follows:

\[
1 + \delta = \frac{\sigma}{\bar{\sigma}}, \tag{4}
\]

where $\sigma$ is the local density and $\bar{\sigma}$ represents the average density.

Since the galaxy environment technique previously presented is parametrized as a function of the number of neighbours, we adopt values from $k = 2$ to $k = 50$. The k-NN technique traces galaxy environments at large scales for various values of $k$, with the environmental scale proportional to the value of $k$.

The Spearman correlation coefficient evaluates possible correlation between the density contrasts in the spec-z and photo-z spaces from the simulated KiDS/DR3 tiles. This coefficient $r_s$ varies between $-1$ and $+1$, indicating anticorrelation and correlation between two sets, respectively. The null hypothesis probability ($P(H_0)$) says how probable these two sets of data are correlated. It also indicates whether $r_s$ is statistically significant or not, preferred to be lower than $10^{-3}$ or $<3\sigma$ for a significant correlation. Fig. 4 (left) shows the density contrast comparison between the spectroscopic ($\log_{10}(1 + \delta_{\text{spec}})$) and photometric ($\log_{10}(1 + \delta_{\text{phot}})$) redshift spaces. This result indicates that the galaxy environment can be estimated in the KiDS survey using the technique presented in the Section 3. Positive correlations are found for both approaches (the inclusion or not of PDFs) and several numbers of neighbours. Note that the relation between the density contrasts is not centred on the 1:1 line. The density field of galaxies follows roughly a log-normal distribution, and any other normalization which does not use the median value would not bring both distributions centred at (0, 0).

As our analysis is presented comparatively, it should not affect our results. Fig. 4 (right) also shows the Spearman correlation coeffi-
The galaxy environment technique described in Section 3 is applied on all tiles in KiDS/DR3 data base, covering the number of neighbours from $k = 2$ to $k = 50$. However, further results are shown only for $k = 5$, presenting a relatively higher correlation coefficient. The KiDS/DR3 density contrasts are then divided into quartiles of sources according to their density contrasts: $-0.25 < \log_{10}(1 + \delta) \leq -0.25$, $-0.25 < \log_{10}(1 + \delta) \leq 0.05$, and $0.05 < \log_{10}(1 + \delta) < +0.05$. These bins are chosen in order to have a significant number of objects in all density contrast bins and roughly separate galaxies into low density, mean density, overdensity, and high-density environments.

The galaxy classification between red and blue is adopted in further analysis using a $(g - r)$ limit presented by Cooper et al. (2010) (C10) to define the blue limit of the red sequence, 

$$(g - r)_{C10} = -0.02667M_r + 0.11333,$$  

where $M_r$ is the absolute magnitude at $r$ band. Objects above or below this colour and luminosity thresholds are classified as red or blue galaxies, respectively.

4.2 Observed data

The galaxy environment in GAMA G3C groups using the KiDS/DR3

5 GALAXY POPULATION IN G3C GROUPS

Since the G3C groups have been identified from a magnitude-limited sample, any galaxy population analysis would demand a strong selection function correction by using only GAMA data. The KiDS/DR3 volume-limited sample is then suitable to carry out a homogeneous analysis of the group sample, keeping the same selection function (or luminosity threshold of galaxies) over all galaxy systems.

Our analysis considers GAMA galaxies in G3C groups ($r < 19.8$) and on their outskirts (up to twice the radius that contains 100 per cent of all group members, i.e. $2R_{100}$) within the group velocity dispersion ($\sigma_{\text{group}}$), previously calculated by Robotham et al. (2011). KiDS galaxies around groups are extracted from the KiDS volume-limited sample following the redshift uncertainty of the photo-$z$s, selecting galaxies in the redshift range $z_{\text{group}} \pm 0.042(1 + z_{\text{group}})$ within $R < 2R_{100}$ around the structure centre. In summary, this analysis consists of combining the shallower spectroscopic sample from GAMA and a deeper and volume-limited samples from the KiDS data base in order to keep the homogeneity of galaxy population in all groups within the redshift range. Essentially, it considerably increases the redshift range of our analysis which would be much smaller if we only consider spectroscopic data.
5.1 The galaxy environment in G3C groups

The galaxy environment is evaluated in G3C groups as a function of the normalized group radius \( R/R_{100} \) and absolute magnitude bins. Fig. 6 shows the density contrast as a function of the normalized radius compared to the central values of G3C groups for galaxy luminosity bins and different group mass ranges. The median gradient for the lowest mass group bin has values of +0.4 dex at central cores and +0.1 dex at outer regions \( (R/R_{100} > 1) \). There is no statistical difference between the gradients with distinct luminosity bins according to the mean error bar (shaded area). This is probably related to the limitation of the data due to photo-z uncertainties. As the group mass increases, the amplitude of the gradients increases significantly. High-mass groups gradients show values around +0.6 at central regions and +0.1 dex on the outskirts, showing the highest dense environment at the centre of high-mass galaxy systems. The density gradient becomes steeper and less luminosity-dependent for higher group mass bins.

5.2 The red/blue ratio of galaxies in G3C groups

Fig. 7 shows the fraction of red galaxies as a function of the normalized radius of galaxy groups for galaxy luminosity and group...
mass bins. The red galaxy fraction clearly decreases as a function of the normalized radius for most cases, as expected. Due to photo-z uncertainties, there is no significant difference between these relations, unless for the brightest galaxy luminosity bin, between the lowest and highest group mass bins. The faintest galaxies ($M_r > -20$) present red galaxy fractions around 0.5 at group cores and decrease on the outskirts, reaching values around 0.3. These radial gradients become redder and more prominent for more luminous bin. For the next two luminosity bins ($-21 < M_r < -20$ and $-22 < M_r < -21$), the red galaxy fractions at the inner is 0.7 and at outer regions reaches 0.4. The brightest luminosity bin shows higher dispersion between group gradients, when compared to other luminosity bins. Only for the brightest luminosity bin, it is possible to differentiate the lowest and highest mass group gradients. Besides the high dispersion due to the low number of galaxies, the fraction of red galaxies is systematically higher for high-mass groups than for low ones. Fig. 7 points out the group environment specifically acts on low and intermediate luminosity galaxies, indicated by the...
gradient of red galaxies as a function of the radius. This gradient becomes less remarkable as the galaxy luminosity increases. For the most luminous galaxies ($M_r < -22$), its slope gradient is smaller when compared to other bins but it is still statistically detected. Studies based on the SDSS galaxy groups showed that central and luminous galaxies presents slight correlation between the red fraction and environment (Tinker, Wetzel & Conroy 2011). On the other hand, the correlation between $(g - r)$ versus environment is more pronounced among satellite galaxies (Dracou et al. 2017; Tinker et al. 2017). These results are confirmed here by showing a steeper gradient for objects between $-22. < M_r < -20.$ when compared to the brightest galaxies.

Fig. 8 shows the density contrast distribution of galaxies classified as red and blue as a function of the distance from the group centres, separated by group mass bins. We define four bins in group radius between group centres and $2R/R_{100}$. Comparing the distributions of the density contrasts of blue and red galaxies at the central regions of groups, we notice a high-density regions excess for red galaxy distributions when compare with the blue ones, particularly for high-mass groups. The dominance of red galaxies over blue ones is also evident in all group mass bins between $0 < R/R_{100} < 0.5$, reaching a fraction red/blue $\geq 1.21$. As the radius increases, the high density excesses noticed for red galaxy distributions are not evident anymore. Furthermore, the fraction of red/blue objects decreases as the normalized radius increases and reaches the ratios around 0.5. There is also an excess of high-density regions on the outskirts of lower mass groups, particularly at $1.0 < \log_10(1 + \delta) < 1.5$. In low-mass groups, the task of centre definition is more susceptible to miscentering because of the low number of galaxy members. This effect is noticeable for both galaxy populations so it might be a geometrical effect instead of a dynamical state of the galaxy systems. This effect does not depend on galaxy population but only on group mass.

5.3 The contamination in group gradients due to redshift uncertainties

One of the consequences of combining spec-$z$ and photo-$z$ in our analysis is the projection effects. The gradient analysis from the galaxy groups shown here is based on the projected sky plane. Group members close to the group centres in the 2D sky plane can actually be background or foreground objects in the redshift range $\delta_{\text{group}} \pm 0.042(1 + z_{\text{group}})$. Due to the photo-$z$ uncertainties, it is not possible to deproject these objects. Our gradients are calculated using galaxies in the sky plane and within $\delta_{\text{group}} \pm 0.042(1 + z_{\text{group}})$. This projection effect systematically decreases the discrepancies between the galaxy populations and the density contrast gradients due to background and foreground contaminations. On the other hand, this projection effect is homogeneous over all G3C groups since our gradients follow the redshift uncertainties of KiDS galaxies over all redshift bins, keeping the same background contamination throughout the redshift range.

Having this limitation in mind, we also analysed galaxy haloes above $\log_10(M_{\text{halo}}/M_\odot) = 13$ in our mock catalogue (see Section 2.2) by using the same criteria of group gradients aforementioned. The results indicated that the fraction of halo members recovered and the contamination of foreground and background galaxies due to photo-$z$ uncertainties is 67.3 per cent and 48.4 per cent for the KiDS photo-$z$ uncertainties, respectively.

In addition, comparing the fraction of red galaxies with other works in the literature, our red galaxy fraction is similar to those found by van der Wel et al. (2010) (see their fig. 1) at central regions of rich clusters ($\log_{10}(M_h) \sim 15$), between 0.6 and 0.8. It is important to mention that we are aware of projected galaxies in the line of sight due to photo-$z$ uncertainties and its effects, however, our results are always shown in a comparative way, separating the galaxy sample into luminosity, distance from the group centre and group masses.

5.4 The influence of group centre definition

We initially adopt the BCGs as centres of G3C groups (Section 2.3), as previously shown. None the less, the GAMA G3C catalogue also provides other group centre definitions for the galaxy systems. Thus, we evaluate the influence of a second centre definition in our results. The $r$-band luminosity-weighted centre is then employed to evaluate how sensitive the density contrast distributions of red and blue galaxies are to the centre definition. The Appendix A illustrates the same analysis as shown in Fig. 8 but now using the $r$-band luminosity-weighted group centres. We notice that the red/blue fractions and the red and blue histograms are similar to the ones calculated using the BCG as definition of group centre. Consequently, our conclusions are insensitive to the new centre definition. The local density excess found on the outskirts of low mass systems is still found at $\log_10(1 + \delta) > 1.0$ (see Section 5.3). The standard deviation of the offsets between both group centre definitions $(\langle r_L - r_{\text{BCG}} \rangle) \sim 0.09 \, h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$, corresponding to $\pm 0.02$ per cent of the average $R_{100}$ for our group sample. This relatively small offset indicates that the group centre definitions for G3C groups are quite stable and do not change our previous conclusions.

6 CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSION

We investigated the galaxy environment in GAMA G3C groups using a volume-limited galaxy sample ($M_r < -19.3$ and $0.01 < z < 0.4$) from the KiDS Data Release 3. The k-NN technique (k-Nearest Neighbour) was adopted to take into account the adapted photo-$z$ PDFs of galaxies in the galaxy environment calculations. In order to evaluate the performance of our adapted technique, we generated a simulated volume-limited sample ordered in tiles. These tiles also mimic the sky regions affected by masking and bad pixels. For the galaxy environment analysis in GAMA G3C groups, we selected G3C groups within the same redshift as the KiDS/DR3 galaxy sample, selecting halo masses $\log(M_h) > 13.21$.

Our main findings are the following:

(i) The simulated KiDS/DR3 tiles showed the capability of the adapted k-NN technique to recover the galaxy environment in the KiDS/DR3 data base. We were able to recover the relation between the galaxy environment, luminosity, and the galaxy colour $(g - r)$ up to $z = 0.4$.

(ii) Using the KiDS galaxy sample, we evaluated the galaxy population in these galaxy systems and on their outskirts. Density contrast gradients were systematically steeper for more massive systems, reaching on average $+0.6$ dex higher than their outskirts.

(iii) We separated the galaxy population into two main classes, blue and red ones using a colour–magnitude cut adopted by Cooper et al. (2010). The fraction of red galaxies as a function of the normalized radius $(R/R_{100})$ presents, for the faintest galaxies, $\sim 50$ per cent of red galaxies and decreases as the radius increases. As the luminosity increases, it reaches $\sim 80$ per cent at group centres and decreases on the outskirts. Higher dispersion is noticed for the most luminous bin, probably due to the low number of galaxies.
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Figure 8. The density contrast distributions of galaxies classified as red (solid line) and blue (dashed line) shown in group radius bins and group mass bins. The radius bins are defined as $0.5 \, R_{100}$ wide, up twice the normalized radius. The solid and dashed lines represent the red and blue galaxy distributions, respectively. The fraction of red and blue galaxies are shown at the upper region of all panels.

(iv) The density contrast distribution for red galaxies showed an excess of high-density regions when compared to the blue galaxies at the centre of groups ($R_{100} < 0.5$). The dominance of red galaxies was also noticed at the central part of these systems. In contrast to the red one, the blue distribution was dominant at the outer regions of the groups and beyond their central cores ($R_{100} > 0.5$). The red/blue fraction decreases as the normalized radius increases, reaching values around 50 per cent.

(v) The influence of the group centre definition on our results is also evaluated. First, the brightest cluster galaxy as centre definition is employed for our main conclusions. Using the $r$-band luminosity weighted centre as a new centre definition, similar conclusions pointed out the insensitivity of the centre definition in our analysis.

Several mechanisms can be responsible for the galaxy quenching found in this work, acting on galaxies at different distances from the group centre, such as merging (Icke 1985; Mihos 1995) and harassment (Moore et al. 1996, 1999) over all scales, and ram-pressure (Gunn & Gott 1972) and tidal-stripping (Nulsen 1982; Tonizzio & Schindler 2001) at the inner regions. The correlation between the fraction of red galaxies and the local density was previously found in the literature, being lower fractions for fainter galaxies (e.g. Ball et al. 2008). However, this result is not found here probably due to the photo-z uncertainties of the KiDS data base. The current quenching scenario predicts that hydrodynamical quenching mechanisms (e.g. ram-pressure) slowly remove the cold gas from galaxy haloes and consequently quench the infall galaxy. An abrupt and extreme quenching mechanism (mechanical ones, such as mergers or harassment) would perturb the gas within the galaxy halo and then trigger the star formation in these galaxies. As a consequence, it would reduce the fraction of the red galaxies. Hydrodynamical effects are mainly responsible for the smoothly colour changes at the outer part of galaxy groups and clusters. The intracluster hot gas is the main candidate to carry out this hydrodynamical quenching at that region. Recently, Zinger et al. (2016) used simulations to propose that the quenching process starts much earlier, beyond the virial radius and its consequences are only observed 2–3 Gyr after the initial quenching. Another explanation can be the ‘splashback’ galaxies. Having a highly excentric orbit, spiral galaxies in infall process would rapidly pass through the inner virial radius of the cluster and lose their neutral hydrogen. After that, they are already in quenching process and will spend most of the time on the cluster outskirts (1–2.5 virial radii) due to their eccentric orbits (Mamon et al. 2004).

At the inner parts, the mechanical processes are responsible for perturbing galaxies, often causing morphological transformation (e.g. von der Linden et al. 2010). In summary, there is no specific mechanism that fully explains both colour–environment
and morphology–environment relations in galaxy clusters. They act all together in order to reproduce the observed transition from disky/star-forming galaxies to spheroidal/passive ones (Park & Hwong 2009).

The galaxy environment technique presented here can be also applied on other galaxy surveys in the future, such as S-PLUS (Mendes de Oliveira et al., in preparation), J-PLUS (Cenarro et al., 2018), J-PAS (Benitez et al. 2014), and EUCLID (Clémenś et al. 2015).
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**APPENDIX A: THE INFLUENCE OF THE GROUP CENTRE DEFINITION**

Fig. A1 shows the density contrast distributions of galaxies classified as blue and red as a function of group mass and normalized radius bins for the $r$-band luminosity weighted group centre. The comparison between Figs A1 and 8 indicates that the centre definition does not change our conclusions. Moreover, the conclusions obtained from Figs 6 and 7 are not changed either.