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ABSTRACT

We report the discovery of a quasi-periodic oscillation (QPO) in data obtained with the Rossi X-Ray Timing Explorer of the dipping and eclipsing low-mass X-ray binary EXO 0748–676. The QPO had a frequency between 0.58 and 2.44 Hz changing on timescales of a few days and an rms amplitude of 8% and 12%, and it was detected in the persistent emission during dips and during type I X-ray bursts. We argue that the QPO is produced by the same mechanism as the QPO recently found by Jonker et al. in 4U 1323–62. Although the exact mechanism is not clear, it is most likely related to the high inclination of both systems. An orbiting structure in the accretion disk that modulates the radiation from the central source seems the most promising mechanism.

Subject headings: accretion, accretion disks — stars: individual (EXO 0748–676) — stars: neutron — X-rays: stars

1. INTRODUCTION

The low-mass X-ray binary (LMXB) EXO 0748–676 was discovered with EXOSAT by Parmar et al. (1985). It showed periodic eclipses, irregular intensity dips, and type I X-ray bursts (Parmar et al. 1986). The eclipses, because of obscuration of the central X-ray source by the companion star, occurred at a period of 3.82 hr. From the eclipse duration, an inclination of 75°–82° was derived. Dipping activity is seen at orbital phases φ ~ 0.8–0.2 and at φ ~ 0.65 (φ = 0 corresponds to eclipse center). The dips can be as deep as 100% (Church et al. 1998). It is believed (White & Swank 1982; Walter et al. 1982) that such dips are caused by obscuration of the central X-ray source by a bulge in the outer accretion disk that is created by the impact of the gas stream from the companion star or by the accretion stream itself if it penetrates the disk further in (Frank, King, & Lasota 1987). During the eclipses, 4% of the 2–6 keV intensity remained, which Parmar et al. (1986) attributed to the presence of an accretion disk corona (ADC). Using their “progressive covering” model, Church et al. (1998) found that ~70% of the out-of-dip flux is contributed by this ADC, which they estimated to have a radius of (0.4–1.5) × 108 cm.

Recently, a persistent 1 Hz quasi-periodic oscillation (QPO) was found with the Rossi X-Ray Timing Explorer (RXTE) in the high-inclination (i ≈ 80°) dipping LMXB 4U 1323–62 (Jonker, van der Klis, & Wijnands 1999, hereafter JO99). JO99 concluded that its presence is most likely related to the high inclination of the system. In this Letter, we report the discovery of a variable 0.58–2.44 Hz QPO in EXO 0748–676 with properties similar to those found in 4U 1323–62.
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we took the postecpise count rate as the discriminator, since
dipping usually occurs less after the eclipse.

3. RESULTS

Figure 1 shows two typical power spectra of EXO 0748—676. A QPO peak was found in the power spectra of
all observations except the first one. The QPO covered a fre-
cquency range of more than a factor 4, between 0.58 ± 0.01
Hz (observation 10) and 2.44 ± 0.03 Hz (observation 2), with
rms amplitudes between 8.4% ± 0.4% and 12.1% ± 0.6%.

Within each observation (∼1 day), the QPO was only observed
in a small frequency range, never changing more than 15% in
frequency. Table 1 gives the fit parameters of all observations
in the 2–60 keV energy band. In observation 1, in which the
QPO was not detected, i.e., it was at least a factor 4 weaker
than otherwise, the background-corrected count rate was a fac-
tor 2–3 higher than in the other observations. In the other
observations, neither the QPO nor the noise component show
any correlation between their parameters and the 2–60 keV
count rate, which varies by ∼20%. However, observations 8,
9, and 10, for which (because of the more extended phase
coverage) the persistent count rate could be estimated much
better than for the other observations, show a clear anticor-
relation between frequency and count rate. The QPO peak be-
comes broader when its frequency increases (see Fig. 2). The

![Fig. 1.—Power spectra of (a) observation 2 and (b) observation 10, showing
the QPO at 2.44 ± 0.03 and 0.58 ± 0.01 Hz, respectively.](image)

![Fig. 2.—QPO FWHM as a function of the QPO frequency. The dotted line
is the best fit for a constant Q (Q = 3.5). The black dot represents the value
obtained for 4U 1323—62 (JO99).](image)
ratio $Q$ of frequency over FWHM of the QPO is consistent with being constant; a linear fit gives a $Q$ of $3.5 \pm 0.1$, with $\chi^2$/degrees of freedom = 12.2/8. The noise component tends to become steeper as it gets stronger (see Fig. 3). The rms of the QPO decreases from $\sim12\%$ to $\sim8.5\%$ when the rms of the noise component increases from $\sim6\%$ to $\sim10.5\%$. Although there is considerable scatter around a linear relation, there seems to be a connection between the two components (the correlation coefficient is $-0.75$). Figure 4 shows the photon energy spectra of the QPO in observations 2–10 (dip and nondip data combined). It is obvious from this figure that they are quite flat. The energy spectra of the noise component are similar.

All QPO parameters inside and outside the dips are consistent with each other, except for the QPO amplitude in observation 10, which during the dips is $12.0\% \pm 0.8\%$ rms and outside the dips is $7.5\% \pm 0.4\%$ rms. The noise shows only a significant difference in observation 4, where the dip rms is $9.6 \pm 0.5\%$ and the nondip rms is $14.9 \pm 1.4\%$.

Four type I X-ray bursts (hereafter, bursts 1–4) were observed, all outside dips: one each in observations 5 and 8, and two in observation 9. In 16 s resolution data, they had peak count rates (2–60 keV, three detectors, background corrected) of $2330 \pm 10, 2240 \pm 10, 2410 \pm 10,$ and $2110 \pm 10$ counts s$^{-1}$, respectively, and they all lasted $\sim400$ s. In all four bursts, the QPO was detected. The QPO during burst 2 is the only one that has width and frequency that are consistent with those of the QPO outside the burst. The QPO frequency during burst 1 is $0.1$ Hz higher than that of the QPO outside the burst, and the FWHM is $0.18 \pm 0.05$ Hz, which is considerably smaller than $0.36$ Hz. The QPOs during bursts 3 and 4 are both poorly fitted with a Lorentzian. Both peaks appear to have shifted in frequency during the bursts. The peaked shape in the power spectrum of burst 4 clearly consists of two small peaks, which, when fitted with Lorentzians, have frequencies of $0.65 \pm 0.05$ and $0.89 \pm 0.02$ Hz and a FWHM of $\sim0.1$ Hz. The QPO in burst 3 is very asymmetric. The best fit with one Lorentzian at $0.74 \pm 0.02$ Hz and a FWHM of $\sim0.1$ Hz shows excess power at higher frequencies. Dynamical power spectra of burst 3 and 4 show that the QPO profile changed with time. The QPOs during the four bursts have rms amplitudes of $9.7\% \pm 0.9\%, 8.4\% \pm 1.2\%, \geq 7\%$ (probably $8\%–10\%$), and $\sim10\%$ (the sum of the rms amplitudes of the two Lorentzians), respectively, consistent with those found outside the bursts.

4. DISCUSSION

We have discovered a QPO in EXO 0748–676 with a frequency varying between 0.58 and 2.44 Hz and with rms amplitudes between 8.4% and 12.1%. The QPO was detected throughout the persistent emission, the dips, and the type I X-ray bursts, with strengths that were consistent with each other, except in observation 10, in which the QPO was stronger during the dips than in the persistent emission. Over the whole frequency range, $Q$ was consistent with 3.5, except in the X-ray bursts, where it was higher. The QPO was not detected in observation 1, when the count rate was a factor 2–3 higher than otherwise. The power spectral features show no changes that are correlated with variations of $\sim20\%$ in the out-of-dip count rate, except for observations 8–10, in which the frequency is anticorrelated with the out-of-dip count rate. In addition to the QPO, a noise component at frequencies below 1 Hz was present, with a typical strength of $6\%–10\%$ rms. Both the QPO and the noise component have a flat photon energy spectrum.

Several of the properties of the QPO, notably its frequency, its relatively unchanged persistence during bursts and dips, and its flat energy spectrum, are remarkably similar to those of the $\sim1$ Hz QPO that was recently found by JO99 in 4U 1323–62. Figure 2 shows that the ratio of frequency to FWHM of the QPO in 4U 1323–62 is also consistent with 3.5, and Figure 3 shows that the noise component in 4U 1323–62 falls on the strength versus slope relation found for the noise component in EXO 0748–676. We conclude that both QPOs are produced by the same mechanism. Since QPOs like these have not been seen in other neutron star LMXBs, the origin is most likely related to the high inclination of the sources (JO99). It is unlikely that the QPOs are produced by variations in mass accretion rate ($M$) onto the neutron star: in that case, they should also be visible in low-inclination sources. Moreover, other QPOs that are thought to be produced by $M$ variations show considerable energy dependence (van der Klis 1995). A medium modulating the radiation from a central source (JO99) seems to be a more promising explanation (see below).
We have found several new features of the QPO that were not seen in 4U 1323−62. First, the large flux range in the observations of EXO 0748−676 allow us to say that the QPO is not present at high persistent count rates. In 4U 1323−62, whose flux varied by only ~15%, the QPO was always present. Second, the properties of the QPO changed during three of the four bursts. In 4U 1323−62, the properties of the QPO remained the same during all bursts. Third, there is the difference in frequency range over which the QPOs are observed: a factor ~4 in EXO 0748−676 and ~12% in 4U 1323−62. This could be due to the difference in the time span over which the sources were observed. The EXO 0748−676 observations span 19 months, whereas 4U 1323−62 was observed for two consecutive days. The ~12% range in 2 days is similar to the ~15% range found for individual observations (~1 day) of EXO 0748−676. Finally, the noise has a flat photon spectrum, which suggests that the mechanism behind the noise is similar to that for the QPO.

Much can be learned about the QPO from its behavior during the X-ray bursts. The fact that the QPO is detected during all four bursts shows that the presence of the QPO does not directly depend on the instantaneous flux and that the absence of the QPO in observation 1 is caused by something other than just a higher count rate. Since the fractional rms amplitudes during the bursts are similar to those outside the bursts, variations in $M$ onto the neutron star can be ruled out as the origin of the QPO. A dramatic decrease in rms amplitude is expected in that case. The high count rates during the X-ray bursts enable us to detect the QPO in smaller time intervals, typically tens of seconds, than otherwise possible. In all bursts the $Q$ of the QPOs is higher than 3.5, and during three of the bursts we see the frequency shift. This seems to indicate that the QPO is intrinsically narrower than measured by us. The observed width of the QPO outside the bursts is then due to changes of the QPO frequency on timescales of a few hundred seconds and/or due to the presence of several QPOs with a higher $Q$ that occur around a central frequency.

We now consider models that involve modulation of radiation from a central source. Given the inclination of EXO 0748−676 (75°–82°), to be in the line of sight to the central source, a medium modulating the radiation from the central source must at least reach a height that is between 14% and 27% of its radial distance to the central source. De Jong, van Paradijs, & Augusteijn (1996) found that accretion disks in LMXBs have opening semiangles of ~12° as seen from the central source. Hence, only a small height above the accretion disk is required, typically a few percent of the radial distance to the neutron star, to be in the line of sight. This suggests that the modulating medium is in or on the disk. Any model is constrained by the fact that the rms amplitude stays constant when the source goes into a dip; the medium causing the dips has to remove more or less the same amount of modulated as unmodulated radiation from our line of sight. Models can be constructed with varying geometries that depend on whether the central source is pointlike or extended and on whether the media causing the dips and QPO are absorbing or scattering media. Only a few combinations can be ruled out with certainty, in particular, most models involving a pointlike source. If the dips are being caused by a partial-covering opaque medium, the central source has to be extended and the medium causing the QPO should have an azimuthal extent comparable to that of the medium causing the dips in order to explain the constancy of the rms amplitudes inside and outside the dips. The mechanism also has to produce a central frequency that does not vary by more than 15% over the duration of a single observation (typically 1 day).

Both partial covering by an opaque medium (see JO99) and Thomson scattering can explain the flat photon spectrum of the QPO. Assuming that the QPO frequency is the Kepler frequency of a structure orbiting a 1.4 $M_\odot$ neutron star, one derives radial distances of $(1.0−2.4) \times 10^8$ cm. The viscous timescale (Frank, King, & Raine 1992) at these radii, on which the orbiting structure is expected to change, is comparable to the timescales that are seen for the frequency shifts during the burst. The larger frequency changes between observations might be related to the timescale on which the accretion disk as a whole changes its structure (typically days to weeks). We remark that the inferred radii are similar to those in the $\alpha$-disk model (Frank et al. 1992), at which the dominant opacity source changes from Kramers’ opacity to electron scattering. This radius increases with $M$ and implies an anticorrelation between frequency and count rate, as seen in observations 8–10.

The fact that the QPO is not found in observation 1 suggests that the higher count rate is accompanied by a change in the accretion disk structure. Both are probably due to an increase in $M$. Assuming that an accretion disk thickens with $M$, the modulated flux might be obscured by a thicker disk, but the mechanism may also have disappeared altogether.

Finally, we remark that the 0.15 s jitter in the mideclipse timings reported by Hertz, Wood, & Cominsky (1997) might be due the presence of the QPO reported here. A QPO with a frequency of 1 Hz and an rms amplitude of 10%, arbitrarily superposed on eclipse transitions lasting a few seconds, can be expected to cause a jitter on the order of 0.1 s.
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