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ABSTRACT

Based on the assumptions of uncoupled diffusion fluxes and loading-independent Fick diffusivities, the linear driving force (LDF) model developed by Glueckauf finds widespread usage in the modelling of transient mixture uptake in microporous adsorbents. A number of experimental investigations report overshoots in intra-crystalline loadings of the more mobile species during transient binary mixture uptake in microporous adsorbents; these overshoots are not anticipated in the classic Glueckauf approach. The origins of the overshoots are traceable to strong coupling between species transfers engendered by mixture adsorption equilibrium thermodynamics; such coupling effects are most conveniently described by the Maxwell-Stefan (M-S) diffusion formulation. In this article, an explicit analytic model is developed to calculate transient mixture uptakes by combining the Maxwell-Stefan formulation with the linearization procedure of Glueckauf. The Maxwell-Stefan-Glueckauf model is validated by comparison with six different experimental data sets. In all six cases, the overshoots in the uptake of the more mobile partner species are properly captured; the incorporation of this approach in practical design procedures for adsorbers is expected to result in significant reduction in model complexity and computational times.

1. Introduction

Microporous adsorbents such as zeolites, carbon molecular sieves (CMS), metal-organic frameworks (MOFs), and zeolitic imidazolate framework (ZIFs) have potential applications in a wide variety of separation applications, that are commonly conducted in fixed bed devices. These devices are operated in a cyclical manner, with adsorption and desorption cycles [1–6]. The concentrations of the constituent species in the bulk fluid phase vary with position $z$ along the bed, and time, $t$, see schematic in Fig. 1. At any position $z$ in the fixed bed, and time $t$, the molar loadings in the adsorbed phase within the pores, varies along the radius of the particle, $r$. Most commonly, the separation performance in a fixed-bed adsorber is dictated by mixture adsorption equilibrium. Intra-particle diffusion limitations cause distended breakthrough characteristics and usually lead to diminished separation effectiveness [2,3]. However, there are some instances of diffusion-selective operations in which diffusional effects over-ride the influence of mixture adsorption equilibrium and is the prime driver for separations [1,4,5,7]. Examples of diffusion-selective separations include: (1) selective uptake of $N_2$ from $N_2/CH_4$ mixtures using LTA-4A zeolite and Ba-ETS-4 [8–10], and (2) selective uptake of $O_2$ from $O_2/N_2$ mixtures using LTA-4A zeolite and CMS [5,11–14]. The development of such diffusion-selective processes requires accurate and robust models to describe transient mixture uptake within the adsorbent particles; the prime focus of this article is the development of such models. To set the scene, and define our objectives, we begin by examining the commonly used modelling approaches.
The spatio-temporal distribution of molar loadings, $q_i$, within a spherical crystallite particle, of radius $r_c$, is obtained from a solution of a set of differential equations describing the transient uptake

$$\rho i = \frac{\text{d}q_i}{\text{d}t} = \frac{1}{r_c^2} \frac{\text{d}}{\text{d}r} (r_i^2N_i); \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$$

(1)

The molar fluxes $N_i$ are commonly related to the gradients in the molar loadings by Fick's law

$$N_i = -\rho D_i \frac{\text{d}q_i}{\text{d}r}; \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$$

(2)

An analytic solution to Eqs. (1) and (2) can be derived for the special case in which the following three constraints are satisfied: (1) the Fick diffusivity $D_i$ for each of the components can be considered to be independent of the loading, (2) the initial locations at all locations $r$ within the crystal are uniform, i.e. $q_i(r,0) = q_i(r,0)$, and (3) for all times $t \geq 0$, the exterior of the crystal is brought into contact with a bulk fluid mixture at partial fugacities $f_i(r,t)$ that is maintained constant until the crystal reaches thermodynamic equilibrium with the surrounding fluid mixture. The analytic solution, derived first by Geddes [15] to describe diffusion inside spherical vapor bubbles on distillation trays, is expressed as

$$\frac{(q_i^* - q_i(t))}{(q_i^* - q_i(r,0))} = \frac{6}{\pi} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\exp(-m^2\pi^2D_i t)}{m^2}$$

(3)

where the spatial-averaged component loading at time $t$ is

$$q_i(t) = \frac{3}{r_c^2} \int_0^{r_c} q_i'(r,t)r^2dr$$

(4)

and $q_i^*$ is the molar loading that is in equilibrium with the bulk fluid mixture.

In his classic paper, Glueckauf [16] derived the following simplified relation

$$\rho \frac{\text{d}q_i^*}{\text{d}t} = -\frac{15D_i}{r_c^2}(q_i^* - q_i(t)); \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$$

(5)

that is valid for the condition $D_i/r_c^2 > 0.1$, along with the assumption $\alpha_i^* \approx \frac{6}{\pi}$. The Glueckauf expression (5) is commonly referred to as the linear driving force (LDF) model. Sircar and Hufton [17,18] provide a fundamental rationalization for its widespread and successful use; they also take the view that the value of the constant need not be restricted to 15, and may be fitted match experiments.

The LDF model predicts that each component will approach equilibrium following

$$\frac{(q_i^* - q_i(t))}{(q_i^* - q_i(r,0))} = \exp\left(-\frac{15D_i}{r_c^2} t\right); \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$$

(6)

Since the Fick diffusivities are assumed to be loading independent, Eq. (6) also implies that the approach to equilibrium for each species will be monotonic, i.e., without overshoots or undershoots.

Fig. 2 provides a compilation of experimental data on the transient spatially-averaged component loadings during transient uptake in four
different host materials. In their experimental investigations using interference microscopy (IFM), Binder et al. [19] and Lauerer et al. [20] have monitored the uptake of CO$_2$ and C$_2$H$_6$ within crystals of DDR zeolite exposed to a bulk gas phase consisting of 1:1, 2:1, and 3:1 CO$_2$/C$_2$H$_6$ mixtures. In the three sets of experiments, overshoots in CO$_2$ loadings are observed during transient equilibration; see Fig. 2a–c. These experiments suggest the feasibility of devising a diffusion-selective process for selective adsorption of CO$_2$ from mixtures with ethane; see Fig. S5.

For transient uptake of N$_2$/CH$_4$ mixtures, overshoots in the loading of the more mobile N$_2$ have been reported for LTA-4A zeolite by Habgood [8]; see Fig. 2d. The experimental data of Saint-Remi et al. [21] for transient uptake of ethanol/1-propanol mixtures within SAPO-34, that is the structural analog of CHA zeolite, are shown in Fig. 2e; the more mobile ethanol is found to exhibit a pronounced maximum during the uptake transience. The experimental data of Titze et al. [22] for transient uptake of n-hexane(nC6)/2-methylpentane(2MP) mixtures in MFI zeolite crystal, exposed to an equimolar binary gas mixture at constant total pressure, shows a pronounced overshoot in the uptake of the more mobile linear isomer nC6; see Fig. 2f. In all the foregoing examples, the attainment of supra-equilibrium loadings signals uphill diffusion [23]. For all six data sets, the use of Eq. (6), shown by the
Fig. 2. (a-c) Experimental data of Binder et al. [19] and Lauerer et al. [20] (indicated by symbols) for spatial-averaged transient uptake of (a) 1:1, (b) 2:1, and (c) 3:1 CO$_2$(1)/C$_2$H$_6$(2) gas mixtures within crystals of DDR zeolite at 298 K. (d) Experimental data of Habgood [8] on transient uptake of N$_2$(1)/CH$_4$(2) mixture within LTA-4A crystals, exposed to binary gas mixtures at 194 K and partial pressures $p_1 = 50.9$ kPa; $p_2 = 49.1$ kPa. (e) Experimental data of Saint-Remi et al. [21] for transient uptake of ethanol/1-propanol mixtures within SAPO-34, that is the structural analog of CHA zeolite. (f) Experimental data (Run 1) of Titze et al. [22] for transient uptake of 50/50 nC$_6$/2MP mixtures in MFI zeolite at 298 K and total pressure of 2.6 Pa. The dashed lines are the calculations using the classic Glueckauf model. The continuous solid lines are calculations based on the Maxwell-Stefan-Glueckauf model, developed in this work. The dotted lines are the calculations using the exact numerical solutions, as reported in the publication of Krishna [24]. All data inputs on isotherms and diffusivities are provided in the Supplementary material. Video animations 1–6 of the spatio-temporal development of component loadings have been uploaded as Supplementary material.
dashed lines, fails to capture the overshoots in the uptake of the more mobile partner species.

In a detailed analysis of these experimental data sets, Krishna [24] has demonstrated that the overshoots are caused by coupled species transfers, engendered by mixture adsorption thermodynamics. For quantitative modelling of transient uptakes it is necessary to adopt the Maxwell-Stefan (M-S) diffusion formulation in which the molar fluxes \( N_i \) are related to the gradients of the chemical potential:

\[
\frac{-\rho_i q_j \partial x_i}{RT} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( \frac{x_i N_i - x_j N_j}{D_i} \right) + \frac{N_i}{D_i}; \quad i = 1,2,\ldots,n
\]

(7)

The \( x_i \) in Eq. (7) represent the component mole fractions in the adsorbed phase within the pores \( x_i = q_i/q; \quad q_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} q_j; \quad i = 1,2,\ldots,n \). The \( D_i \) have the same significance as for unary diffusion; they are inverse drag coefficients between the species \( i \) and the pore walls; these diffusivities are determinable from unary uptake experiments.

The Onsager reciprocal relations demand the symmetry constraint

\[ D_{ij} = D_{ji}; \quad i \neq j \]

(8)

The \( D_{ij} \) may be interpreted as the inverse drag coefficient between species \( i \) and species \( j \). At the molecular level, the \( D_{ij} \) reflect how the facility for transport of species \( i \) correlates with that of species \( j \); they are also termed exchange coefficients. The first members on the right hand side of Eq. (7) are required to quantify slowing-down effects that characterize binary mixture diffusion; slowing-down is caused because the jumps of the more mobile species are correlated with the jumps of the tardier partner species [25–27]. The exchange coefficients \( D_{ij} \) cannot be determined directly from experiments. In some simple cases, use of Molecular Dynamics (MD) simulations [2,25–31] allow some insights to be gained on the characteristics of \( D_{ij} \).

Generally, the set of Eqs. (1), (4) and (7) need to be solved numerically [24]; these are shown by the dotted lines in Fig. 2. The numerical solution is able to quantitatively capture the transient overshoots in all cases. Since the description of transient uptake within a single particle forms a subset of the overall model for a fixed bed adsorber (see Fig. 1), the implementation of the M-S formulation for intracrystalline diffusion is a challenging task, requiring the use of robust computational routines as detailed in earlier works [2,24]. The primary objective of this communication is to develop an explicit procedure to determine the transient uptake by developing analytic solutions to the M-S equations by a matrix generalization of the Glueckauf LDF approximation. Though the developed matrix relations are formally valid for \( n \)-component uptakes, the procedure is illustrated below for binary \((n = 2)\) mixtures.

2. The Maxwell-Stefan-Glueckauf model development and results

For binary mixture diffusion, the Maxwell-Stefan Eq. (7) are written as

\[
-\frac{\rho_i q_j \partial x_i}{RT} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} = \sum_{j=1}^{2} \left( \frac{x_i N_i - x_j N_j}{D_i} \right) + \frac{N_i}{D_i} \quad i,j \in \{1,2\}
\]

(9)

The gradients in the chemical potential can be related to the gradients of the molar loadings by defining thermodynamic correction factors \( \Gamma_{ij} \)

\[
\frac{q_i \partial \mu_i}{RT \partial r} = \sum_{j=1}^{2} \Gamma_{ij} \frac{\partial q_j}{\partial r}; \quad \Gamma_{ij} = \frac{q_i}{\sum_{j=1}^{2} \partial f_j / \partial q_j}; \quad i,j \in \{1,2\}
\]

(10)

where \( f_j \) are the partial fugacities in the bulk fluid mixture. The thermodynamic correction factors \( \Gamma_{ij} \) can be calculated by differentiation of

Fig. 3. Comparison of three different models for calculations of transient uptake of (a) 3:1 CO\(_2\)(1)/C\(_3\)H\(_6\)(2) gas mixtures within crystals of DDR zeolite at 298 K, and (b) 1:9 n-butane/i-C\(_4\) mixture in MFI zeolite at 300 K, and (c) 1:1 C\(_3\)H\(_6\)/i-C\(_4\) mixtures in ZIF-8 at 303 K. The dashed lines are the calculations using the Maxwell-Stefan-Geddes model. The continuous solid lines are calculations based on the Maxwell-Stefan-Glueckauf model, developed in this work. The dotted lines are the calculations using the exact numerical solutions, as reported in the publication of Krishna [24].
the model describing mixture adsorption equilibrium. Generally speaking, the Ideal Adsorbed Solution Theory (IAST) of Myers and Prausnitz [32] is the preferred method for estimation of mixture adsorption equilibrium. In some special cases, the mixed-gas Langmuir model

\[
\frac{q_1}{q_{1,\text{sat}}} = \frac{\theta_1}{\theta_{1,\text{sat}}} = \frac{b_1 p_1}{1 + b_1 p_1 + b_2 p_2}; \quad \frac{q_2}{q_{2,\text{sat}}} = \frac{\theta_2}{\theta_{2,\text{sat}}} = \frac{b_2 p_2}{1 + b_1 p_1 + b_2 p_2}
\] (11)

may be of adequate accuracy. For CO2/C2H6 mixture adsorption in DDR zeolite, detailed comparison with IAST shows that the mixed-gas Langmuir model has been shown to be of good accuracy [24]. For the mixed-gas Langmuir model, Eq. (11), we can derive simple analytic expressions for the four elements of the matrix of thermodynamic factors \([\Gamma]\):

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\Gamma_{11} & \Gamma_{12} \\
\Gamma_{21} & \Gamma_{22}
\end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{1-\theta_1-\theta_2} \begin{bmatrix}
1 & \frac{q_{1,\text{sat}}}{\theta_{1,\text{sat}}} \theta_1 \\
\frac{q_{2,\text{sat}}}{\theta_{2,\text{sat}}} \theta_2 & 1-\theta_1-\theta_2
\end{bmatrix}
\] (12)

where the fractional occupancies, \(\theta_i\), are defined by Eq. (11). The elements of the matrix of thermodynamic factors \(\Gamma_i\) can be calculated explicitly from information on the component loadings \(q_i\) in the adsorbed phase; this is the persuasive advantage of the use of the mixed-gas Langmuir model. By contrast, the IAST does not allow the calculation of \(\Gamma_i\) explicitly from knowledge on the component loadings \(q_i\) in the adsorbed phase; an implicit solution procedure is required. Even if the IAST is used to calculate the mixture adsorption equilibrium, the use of Eq. (12) to calculate the elements of the matrix of thermodynamic factors is a good approximation; evidence of this is provided by Krishna [24], for CO2/C3H8 mixture adsorption in DDR zeolite.

For analysis of the systems in which the saturation capacities are different, the IAST has been consistently used in this work for calculation of mixture adsorption equilibrium for mixtures.

We define the square matrix \([B]\) as

\[
[B] = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{1}{\rho_1} + \frac{x_1}{\rho_{12}} & -\frac{x_1}{\rho_{12}} \\
-\frac{x_2}{\rho_{12}} & \frac{1}{\rho_2} + \frac{x_2}{\rho_{12}}
\end{bmatrix}
\] (13)

A 2 \times 2 dimensional Fick diffusivity matrix \([D]\) is defined as the product of \([B]^{-1}\) and the matrix of thermodynamic correction factors \([\Gamma]\):

\[
[D] = [B]^{-1}[\Gamma]
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{1 + \frac{x_1}{\rho_{12}} + \frac{x_2}{\rho_{12}}} \begin{bmatrix}
D_1 \left(1 + \frac{x_1}{\rho_{12}}\right) & x_1 D_1 D_2 \frac{x_2}{\rho_{12}} \\
x_2 D_1 D_2 \frac{x_1}{\rho_{12}} & D_2 \left(1 + \frac{x_2}{\rho_{12}}\right)
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\Gamma_{11} & \Gamma_{12} \\
\Gamma_{21} & \Gamma_{22}
\end{bmatrix}
\] (14)

For unary diffusion, Eq. (14) degenerates to the familiar scalar equation \(D = Dt\). Correlation effects are of negligible importance for mixture diffusion in cage-type hosts such as LTA, DDR, CHA, ERI, and ZIF-8 that consist of cages separated by narrow windows in the 3.3-4.4 Å size range; the molecules hop one-at-a-time between cages [25-27,33]. In this scenario, \(D_1 \ll D_{12};\quad D_2 \ll D_{22}\), and Eq. (14) simplifies to yield

\[
[D] = \begin{bmatrix}
D_1 & 0 \\
0 & D_2
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\Gamma_{11} & \Gamma_{12} \\
\Gamma_{21} & \Gamma_{22}
\end{bmatrix}
\] (15)

Combining Eqs. (9), (10), (13) and (14), we obtain the following explicit expression for the fluxes, expressed in 2-dimensional matrix notation as

\[
(N) = -\rho[D] \frac{\delta(q)}{\delta r}
\] (16)

For the uptake of CO2/C3H8 mixtures in DDR, N2/CH4 mixtures in LTA-4A, ethanol/1-propanol uptake in SAPO-34, Eq. (15) is the appropriate expression for the Fick diffusivity matrix \([D]\). By detailed consideration of correlation effects for nC6/2MP uptake in MFI zeolite, Titze et al. [22] have established the validity of Eq. (15) to model intra-crystalline fluxes. Since the matrix \([\Gamma]\) is determined from mixture adsorption equilibrium, the modelling of all six data sets in Fig. 2 requires input data on just two parameters: \(D_1/r_1^2\); and \(D_2/r_2^2\); these input data are provided in the Supplementary Material.

The Fick diffusivity matrix \([D]\) is a function of component loadings, and in the development of the linearized model, we determine the value of the \([D]\) at the component loadings in equilibrium with the bulk fluid mixture, \(q^*\); this diffusivity matrix is taken to be constant for the duration of the equilibration process. The matrix generalization of the Geddes Eq. (3) for constant \([D]\) is discussed in detail in Chapter 9 of Taylor and Krishna [34]; the result is

\[
(q^*-\bar{q}(t)) = [Q](q^*-q_k); \quad [Q] \equiv \frac{6}{\pi^2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^2} \exp \left[-m^2 \pi^2 |D| t / r_i^2 \right]
\] (17)

In Eq. (17), \(q_k\), \(\bar{q}(t)\) represent, respectively, the 2-dimensional column matrices of component loadings corresponding to: initial conditions (zero for all the experiments shown in Fig. 2), at final equilibrium, and spatially-averaged values at time \(t\). The Sylvester theorem, detailed in Appendix A of Taylor and Krishna [34], is required for explicit calculation of the \(2 \times 2\) dimensional matrix \([Q]\). For the case of distinct eigenvalues, \(\lambda_i\) and \(\lambda_i\) of the Fick diffusivity matrix \([D]\), the Sylvester theorem yields

\[
[Q] = f(\lambda_i)[|D| - \lambda_i[I]] / (\lambda_i - \lambda_i)
\]

in which \(f(\lambda) = \frac{6}{\pi^2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^2} \exp \left[-m^2 \pi^2 |D| t / r_i^2 \right], i = 1, 2\).

If both the eigenvalues satisfy the condition \(\lambda_i r_i^2 > 0.1\), the matrix generalization of the Glueckauf expressions (5) and (6) are, respectively,

\[
\rho \frac{\delta(q^*-\bar{q}(t))}{\delta t} = -\frac{15|D|}{r_i^2} (q^*-\bar{q}(t))
\] (19)

and

\[
(q^*-\bar{q}(t)) = [Q](q^*-q_k); \quad [Q] \equiv \exp \left[-\frac{15|D| t}{r_i^2} \right]
\] (20)

For evaluation of \([Q]\) in Eq. (20), we apply Eq. (18), taking
\[ f(\lambda_i) = \exp \left[ -\frac{15\lambda_i^2}{c_i^2} \right], \quad i = 1, 2. \]

As illustration, Fig. 3a presents a comparison of three different models for calculations of transient uptake of 3:1 CO\textsubscript{2}/C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{6} gas mixtures within crystals of DDR zeolite at 298 K. The dotted lines are the calculations using the exact numerical solutions, as reported in the publication of Krishna [24]. The dashed lines are the calculations using the Geddes model, Eq. (17). We note that the analytic Geddes model is in reasonably good agreement with the exact numerical solution; this validates the matrix generalization procedure used to derive Eq. (17). The continuous solid lines are calculations based on Eq. (20), dubbed the Maxwell-Stefan-Glueckauf model; the obtained results for C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{6} loading is slightly less accurate than the exact numerical solution. Similar good agreement between the Maxwell-Stefan-Glueckauf model and exact numerical solutions is obtained for uptake of n-butane/n(C4)/i-butane/i(C4) in MFI zeolite (see Fig. 3b), C\textsubscript{3}H\textsubscript{8}/C\textsubscript{3}H\textsubscript{6} in ZIF-8 (see Fig. 3c), O\textsubscript{2}/N\textsubscript{2} in LTA-4A (see Fig. S12), and Kr/Xe uptake in SAPO-34 (see Fig. S16).

In Fig. 2, the continuous solid lines represent the calculations of the transient uptake using Eq. (20). In all six cases, the transient overshoots of the more mobile partner species are adequately captured, especially for the more mobile partner; the predictions for the tardier component is somewhat poorer because of slower equilibration. In comparison with the exact numerical solutions, presented in our earlier work [24], the agreement of the Maxwell-Stefan-Glueckauf model with experimental data is somewhat inferior. The linearization approximation used in deriving Eq. (20) may be of acceptable accuracy for implementation in process design of fixed bed adsorption devices.

3. Conclusions

The linear driving force (LDF) approximation developed by Glueckauf has been extended to include coupled diffusion effects by using the Maxwell-Stefan diffusion formulation. The key results of this work are Eqs. (19) and (20) that represent formal matrix generalizations of the commonly used Glueckauf formulations based on Fick’s law. The implementation of the Maxwell-Stefan-Glueckauf model is straightforward, and involves only explicit numerical calculations requiring the use of Sylvester’s formula (18). For the variety of cases examined, there is only a small sacrifice of computational accuracy in simulations of transient uptakes.

Another important message that emerges from this communication, is the necessity of including thermodynamic coupling effects, quantified by the matrix [\Gamma], in the calculation of the intra-crystalline transfer fluxes. The classic Glueckauf model essentially asserts that [\Gamma] equals the identity matrix; with this assumption, the transient overshoots of the more mobile species disappear. Interestingly, for the investigated guest/host combinations, transient permeation across membranes results in flux overshoots of the more mobile partners; see Figs. S4, S15, and S17.

Transient breakthrough simulations in fixed bed adsorbers carrying out diffusion-selective separations of CO\textsubscript{2}/C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{6} mixtures with DDR zeolite (see Fig. S5), and N\textsubscript{2}/C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{4} mixtures using LTA-4A zeolite (see Fig. S7) demonstrate that ignoring the influence of [\Gamma] leads to reduced productivities and separation capability.

Appendix A. Supplementary material

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2017.09.057.
