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ABSTRACT: Chirality at the central element of pentacoordinate systems can be controlled with two identical bidentate ligands. In such cases the topological Levi–Desargues graph for all the Berry pseudorotations (BPR, max. 20) reduces to interconnected inner and outer “circles” that represent the dynamic enantiomer pair. High enough barriers of the BPR crossovers between the two circles is all what is needed to ascertain chiral integrity. This is illustrated computationally and experimentally for the organosilicates 7 and 10 that carry besides a Me (a), Et (b), Ph (c), or F (d) group two bidentate 2-(phenyl)benzo[1]thiophene or 2-(phenyl)naphthyl ligands, respectively. The enantiomers of tetracoordinated precursor 9 could be separated by column chromatography. Their chiral integrity persisted on forming the silicates. CD spectra are reported for 10c. Fluoro derivative 10d is shown to have its electronegative F substituent in an equatorial position, is stable toward hydrolysis, and its enantiomers do not racemize at ambient temperatures, while those of 10c racemize slowly.

INTRODUCTION

Chirality is a cornerstone of organic chemistry and existential to all living matter. The very essence of chirality is the non-superimposability of molecules on their mirror images. Prevalent in most chiral molecules is the asymmetric tetravalent carbon as formulated by the first Nobel laureate, J. H. van ’t Hoff.1 The impact of chirality in organic synthesis, biology, medicine, polymers, and materials science alike has been monumental.2–6 The dominant role of carbon in chiral systems is complemented by tetravalent phosphorus, silicon, sulfur, nitrogen, and boron, but chirality is not limited to molecules deduced from these elements only.7–9

Chirality of pentacoordinate systems is likewise of inherent importance and impacts fields like biocatalysis and asymmetric catalysis.10 However, typically the ligands are responsible for chirality rather than the transition metal center itself, as in chiral-at-metal catalysis that has only recently come to the fore.11,12 The simple reason for the far smaller focus on chiral pentacoordinate elements in comparison to the tetracoordinated ones is their dynamic behavior that induces racemization of enantiomers, which strongly contrast the conformational rigidity of carbon.13

The distinguishing feature is that pentacoordinate systems are prone to nondissociative racemization by means of the Berry pseudorotation (BPR) where both axial substituents of a trigonal bipyramid (TBP) readily exchange with two equatorial substituents (Figure 1).14,15

Recently though, the stereoselectivity for silicon-centered nucleophilic substitutions was shown to be controllable by selectively hampering the BPRs in the pentacoordinate...
intermediate 1 shown in Figure 2.16 Akiba and co-workers have shown in elegant work that high epimerization barriers at phosphorus can be obtained using (only) the Martin ligand,17–19 enabling full inhibition of a BPR as was demonstrated by chiral resolution of 2 and related molecules into their enantiomers (Figure 2).20 An important factor of the lack of P-epimerization is the highly electronegative groups in the two axial positions; we are unaware of other related chiral phosphanes.

These two examples serve to illustrate that chiral integrity is feasible at the central element of pentacoordinate systems but also show that it is not evident how to accomplish this. In fact, the examples might be misleading if apicophilicity is used as a guiding principle. This then begs the question of how to achieve chiral integrity for pentacoordinate systems by design. Here we present the principles how to obtain chiral pentagonal silicates without the use of chiral ligands.21 As silicates have modest pseudorotation barriers, smaller than those for phosphane, Martin’s ligand does not hamper the BPR in silicates sufficiently to enable rigidity.25 We provide the conditions needed to obtain any chiral pentacoordinate systems and present the first chiral pentacoordinate silicon species with chirality only on the central silicon atom.

The starting point is to recognize how to interefere into the dynamic conformational behavior of pentacoordinate systems. Earlier, we reported in detail on the pseudorotations of pentagonal silicates and pentacoordinate transition metal complexes.23 For clarity and consistency, we summarize and expand on the findings of this work in order to pinpoint the approach to achieve chiral integrity.

All feasible pseudorotations for a silicate with five different substituents can be summarized in a topological Levi–Desargues graph (Figure 3a), which is the starting point for our design approach.24–25 Such a system has, in fact, 20 possible trigonal bipyramidal (TBP) conformations. These are represented by dots in the Levi–Desargues graph with the numbers symbolizing the two axial ligands, such as the enantiomeric pair 15 and 51. Each line in the graph represents a BPR. At a minimum, five such sequential pseudorotations are required for racemization to occur for any (Δ and Λ) enantiomeric pair. Inhibiting just one of the BPRs does not suffice, because there are ample sequences (paths) that connect the enantiomeric pairs. Controlling all of these daunting task and simplifications are needed.

The complexity of the Levi–Desargues graph reduces considerably on using two identical bidentate ligands. This is illustrated for reported silicate 4, which possesses two 1-phenylpyrrole-2,2′-dihydrox units and a methyl group (Figure 3b).26 The number of TBP conformations for this silicate reduces from 20 to 16 or by two for each bidentate ligand. The simple reason being that one phenylpyrrole group cannot occupy both axial positions simultaneously. Thus, TBP conformer 15 with the axial phenyl group (labeled 1) and the equatorial pyrrole group (labeled 5) cannot stereopermutate to TBP conformer 13 and neither can its enantiomer 51 to conformer 31. The same applies for the other phenylpyrrole group that inhibits formation of conformers 54 and 45 (see Figure 3b, right). Eliminating these four (labeled in red) from the Levi–Desargues graph reduces it to two interconnceted double circles (Figure 3c).

The outer one (colored blue) represents one-half of the dynamic enantiomeric pairs (including Δ-15) and the inner circle (colored red) contains the enantiomeric counterpart; the two circles are interconnected by four BPR crossovers. With both bidentate ligands being identical, only half of the reduced graph needs to be considered for symmetry reasons, reducing the number of crossovers to consider (Figure 3d). This simplified representation reveals three different pathways for racemizing conformers Δ-15 and Λ-51 with each comprising five subsequent BPRs (i.e., Δ-15 ⇄ Λ-35 ⇄ Λ-43 ⇄ Λ-51, Δ-15 ⇄ Λ-35 ⇄ Δ-14 ⇄ Λ-51, and Δ-15 ⇄ Λ-34 ⇄ Δ-14 ⇄ Λ-51). All three pathways require barriers high enough to prevent racemization. The computed values at B3LYP/6-311++G(2d,p) make clear that this is not the case for 4 (see values in black in Figure 3d).11 The task of designing silicates with chiral integrity then is to determine which BPRs need to be influenced to inhibit all three racemization pathways. The clearest choice is to increase
the two different crossover barriers between the Δ and Λ enantiomeric rings (blue and red in Figure 3c,d) Extending the size of the bidentate ligands to induce friction in the pseudorotations is an obvious approach to accomplish this. In fact, we have attempted this earlier for 3 (Figure 2), which can be viewed as extended 4, but it did not lead to the desired result.27 However, two diastereotopic CH₂ hydrogen atoms could be observed at −50 °C for the ethyl group of 4b, suggesting only limited conformational rigidity at that low temperature or below. All attempts to achieve separation of racemic 4 into its enantiomers were to no avail. Clearly, a still more focused approach was needed to obtain stable chiral silicates experimentally.

We choose to replace the pyrroles in 3 for phenyl groups and the naphthyls of 10 for benzo[b]-thiophene groups (7). The steric effect of Ph-catenated 6-membered phenyl groups on the silicate is expected to differ from that with the Ph-catenated 5-membered thiophene groups because of their different spatial orientations, which should give insight into the demands for chiral integrity. Exploring these choices of silicates computationally and experimentally reveal examples of what is needed to achieve chiral integrity at room temperature. We will further show that chiral silicates 10 can be obtained by phenylation/halogenation of their chiral tetracoordinate silane precursors.

■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A computational survey for 7 and 10 is presented first to evaluate their potential as silicates with chiral integrity, which is then followed by a description of their synthesis and chiral properties.

Computational Design. The potential energy surfaces for both 7 and 10 were examined at B3LYP-GD3/6-311+ +G(2p,d)//B3LYP/6-31G(d). This method has shown to give good agreements for related, simpler cases;26−29 dispersion correction was added to account for possible π/π interactions.30 We start by analyzing the data for 7a, which carries a methyl group and two bidentate 2-(phenyl)benzo[b]thiophene ligands. Figure 3b gives the numbering scheme of all the Si-substituents with the TBP conformers labeled by their axial ones. Three enantiomeric minima were identified of which the Δ-15/Λ-51 pair is energetically favored over the Λ-35/Δ-14 and Δ-43/Λ-34 pairs by 3.4 and 6.9 kcal mol⁻¹, respectively (Figure 4). The upper part of Figure 4 shows the minima (Δ-15 ≃ Λ-35 ≃ Δ-43) that are on the “outer” ring of the topological graph in Figure 3c,d, and the bottom part shows those of the “inner” ring (Δ-34 ≃ Δ-14 ≃ Λ-51). The identical (enantiomeric) BPRs paths Δ-15 ≃ Λ-34 and Δ-43 ≃ Λ-51 that connect the outer and inner halves of Figure 3d have the anticipated large barrier (23.9 kcal/mol) due to steric obstruction, as the ortho-hydrogens of the phenyl and benzo[b]thiophene moieties of the two bidentate ligands cannot pass each other unimpeded in the square planar (SP) transition state (TS); the barrier is similar to that of 26.6 kcal mol⁻¹ computed for 3.27 The other BPR path, connecting the enantiomeric minima Λ-35 and Δ-14, is traversed if the two benzo[b]thiophene moieties can pass each other in the square planar TS. However, this motion is obstructed, so significant that the expulsion of one of the substituents is preferred instead. The barrier for single Si–C bond cleavage of 30.7 kcal mol⁻¹ concurs with earlier analyses of pentaorganosilicates.27 With only high energy barriers connecting the TBPs of the outer and inner halves of Figure 3d, racemization of chiral 7a may be subdued at room temperature.

Racemization may then be hampered, and each enantiomer can still show dynamic behavior if the barriers for connecting the minima on both the upper and lower parts of Figure 4 are modest, which seems to be the case. Namely, the one for converting Δ-15 into Λ-35 amounts to “only” 14.7 kcal mol⁻¹ and involves a Turnstile rotation (TR), which is a single-step double BPR23,31 with [λ-42]° as the TBP transition structure. Also, the subsequent conversion of Λ-35 (3.4 kcal mol⁻¹) to the least stable pseudorotamer Δ-43 (6.9 kcal mol⁻¹) has a modest barrier of 12.5 kcal mol⁻¹ with TBP [6-12]° as TS (Figure 4, upper part). Of course, the entire process is mirrored for Λ-34 ≃ Δ-14 ≃ Λ-51 with [δ-52]° and [λ-32]° as respective TSs. Thus, whereas each enantiomer may be subject to dynamic behavior in solution at room temperature, it is still expected that NMR will reveal mainly the most abundant conformer for 7a, i.e., Δ-15 and/or Λ-51.27

Next we turn to the structures and energies of naphthalene congener 10 (a: Me; c: Ph; d: F) given in Figure 5 using the same level of theory; 10b (Et) is omitted due to its similarity to 10a. Displayed are the Δ-15 ≃ Λ-35 ≃ Δ-43 stereoisomers of the outer ring of Figure 3c, (analogues to the upper part of Figure 4 for 7a) and the Δ-43 ≃ Λ-51 (crossover) path connecting it to the inner ring. Altogether, it constitutes one of the enantiomeric routes for racemizing Δ-15 and Λ-51, which has, however, a prohibitively high barrier of 27.7 kcal mol⁻¹ for methyl-containing silicate 10a and even 33.7 kcal mol⁻¹ for fluoro silicate 10d. These barriers are even higher than the corresponding ones discussed for bis-2-(phenyl)benzo[b]thiophene analogue 7a (24.5 kcal mol⁻¹; Figure 4) and pyrrole-based 3 (26.6 kcal mol⁻¹).27

Evidently and as expected, the transition states experience more friction between the α-hydrogens of the two bidentate naphthyl ligands than for the two benzo[b]thiophenes. The barrier for the BPR conversion of Δ-43 into Λ-51 is hardly affected by changing the size of the “fifth” substituent from a methyl (10a: 27.7 kcal mol⁻¹) to a phenyl group (10c: 28.8 kcal mol⁻¹). The other potential racemization route that involves Λ-35 ≃ Δ-14 could not be established as cleavage of the Si–C bond occurred instead.

Figure 4. Racemization scheme for methyl,bis-2-(phenyl)-benzothiophene silicate 7a at B3LYP-GD3/6-311++G(2p,d)//B3LYP/6-31G(d).
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Whereas racemization may be inhibited, the enantiomers of naphthysilicate 10 can display dynamic behavior but to a lesser extent than discussed for the benzo[\textit{b}]thiophene homologue 7a for two reasons. First, the Turnstile rotation barrier ([\textit{\lambda} - 42]^\text{35}) of 18.1 (18.6) kcal mol^{-1} for converting \Delta-15 into \Lambda-35 for 10a (10c) is much higher than the 14.7 kcal mol^{-1} value for 7a. Second, the energy differences of the \Delta-15/\Lambda-51 pair for 10a (10c) with the other \Lambda-35/\Delta-14 and \Delta-43/\Lambda-34 minima of 5.9 (6.9) and 12.3 (12.3) kcal mol^{-1}, respectively, are much larger than those for 7a (Figure 4). Consequently, it is expected that only \Delta-15/\Lambda-51 can be observed in solution.

Electronic effects can also play an important role as is evident on replacing the methyl group of silicate 10a for a fluorine substituent (10d). As noted, the barrier for the \Delta-43 \rightleftharpoons \Lambda-51 conversion increases significantly, which is due to the destabilizing effect of the apical fluorine in the square planar TS. In contrast, and as expected, the barriers for the \Delta-15 \rightleftharpoons \Lambda-35 \rightleftharpoons \Delta-43 Turnstile rotations are much reduced with fluorine in the apical position of the TBP transition structures [\textit{\lambda} - 42]^\text{35} and [\textit{\delta} - 12]^\text{35}. In contrast to 10a where the bis-equatorial naphthalene ring is \pi-donating into the Si-Me antibonding orbital, thereby raising its energy, the barriers are much reduced with the stabilizing apical fluorine (10d). The effect is most pronounced for conformer \delta-12, which in fact becomes a local energy minimum. Its energy difference of 0.8 kcal mol^{-1} with \Lambda-35 was too small to determine a barrier, nor could the barrier be found for the formation of conformer \Delta-43, which is likely also very small. In fact, \Delta-43 is a transition state for 10d, but a suitable minimum between this TS and the single Berry TS (\delta-BPR) could not be established. Be that as it may, the dynamics of the enantiomers of fluorosilicate 10d seems to be more pronounced than for alkyl and phenyl derivatives 10a and 10c. Quite interestingly, the global minimum \Delta-15 has its electronnegative fluorine substituent in an equatorial instead of an axial position.\textsuperscript{27,33}

Synthesis and Characterization. We now proceed with the synthesis of silicate 10 first and then 7, following an established procedure.\textsuperscript{29} The synthesis of 10 starts with 2-(2-bromophenyl)-1-bromonaphthalene 8 (Scheme 1) obtained by a Suzuki coupling between 1-bromo-2-naphthyl triflate and \textit{ortho}-bromophenylboronic acid. Whereas this coupling reaction usually performs better with bromides,\textsuperscript{35} we suspect that in this case steric factors may favor the triflate despite its low conversion (35% yield) and the formation of palladium black. Dilithiation of dibromide 8 followed by reaction with SiCl\textsubscript{4} afforded bis(2-phenyl)naphthalene-2,1-\textit{diyl})silane 9 in 70% yield. Further alkylation with MeLi or EtLi, arylation with PhLi, or fluorination with Me\textsubscript{4}NF or nBu\textsubscript{4}NF yielded corresponding silicates 10a-e in almost quantitative yields. The synthesis of 7 resembles that of 10 and starts with 3-bromo-2-(2-bromophenyl)benzo[\textit{b}]thiophene (5, Scheme 1), which was obtained in 79% yield by a Suzuki coupling of 2,3-dibromobenzon[\textit{b}]thiophene and \textit{ortho}-bromophenylboronic acid. Treatment of dilithiated 5 with SiCl\textsubscript{4} gave silane 6 from which silicates 7a-c were formed by reaction with MeLi, EtLi, or PhLi. Asymmetric synthesis of the spirosilane precursors have been described elsewhere.\textsuperscript{26}

The formation of the silicates from the silanes can be monitored conveniently by \textsuperscript{29}Si NMR spectroscopy due to the ca. 90 ppm upfield shift of the Si-resonance. Illustrative are the 87.6 ppm for 7a (\delta ~-111.7; cf., silane 6 \delta ~-24.1) and that of 86.4 ppm for 10a (~-95.0; cf., silane 9 ~-8.6). The presence of the fluorine atom in 10d is evident from the doublet of 287.8 Hz in both its \textsuperscript{29}Si (\delta ~-81.3) and \textsuperscript{19}F NMR (\delta ~-110.0) spectra; this \textit{J}(Si,F) coupling is identical, 287.0 Hz, for 10e since both anions are equivalent. These large coupling constants can be attributed to the fluorine’s equatorial position in the silicate,\textsuperscript{27} which would suggest that in solution mainly (or merely) the \Delta-15/\Lambda-51 conformational pair is observed. In this context, it

Figure 5. Racemization scheme of methyl,bis-2-(phenyl)naphthysilicate 10a (orange) and corresponding phenyl 10c (black) and fluorine 10d (blue) analogues at B3LYP-GD3/6-311+G(2p,d)//B3LYP/6-31G(d).
is relevant to note that for each silicate 10a–e and 7a–c, only a single $^{29}\text{Si}$ NMR resonance was observed, which we assign to the preferred 15/51 conformers, in concurrence with the computational results and the sizable energy difference with their stereoisomers.

The $^1\text{H}$ NMR spectra of ethyl substituted 7b and 10b provide support for their conformational rigidity in solution, namely, both show diastereotopic methylene protons. The assignment of the axial and equatorial CH$_2$ protons can be derived from the coupling with carbons 1 and 2 (see Figure 6). The ethyl group appears to be an excellent diagnostic tool for determining conformational rigidity. Dynamic silicates like 4-Et give a coalesced CH$_2$ signal at ambient temperatures,\textsuperscript{11} but like 3b (Figure 2), the methylene resonances for more rigid 7b and 10b do not even coalesce at 50 °C, which indicates a barrier of at least 21 kcal mol$^{-1}$. While coalescence may then not be observed on the NMR time scale, exchange experiments (2D-EXSY) do show the two diastereotopic protons to be correlated at ambient temperatures. This can be attributed to the modest barriers for the exchange of conformers 15/51, 35/14, and 43/34 with concurrent rotations of the axial and equatorial CH$_2$ protons. These results give comfort to the notion that conformational rigidity can be obtained by inhibiting a single BPR. In earlier reported 3b, a Turnstile rotation was inhibited,\textsuperscript{27} which seemingly is not needed to prevent racemization (vide infra).

Single crystal X-ray structure determinations were performed for phenyl containing silicate 7c [racemate] and fluorine substituted 10e [enantiopure]. Their molecular structures, displayed in Figure 7, reveal similar TBPs conformations with the two benzo[b]thiophene groups of 7c and the two naphthyl groups of 10e in axial positions. As a consequence, the connecting phenyl substituents occupy two of the three equatorial positions in both structures, but although these groups would ideally have a parallel alignment with the axial bonds for maximum $\pi$ stabilization,\textsuperscript{32} the twist angles of 44.3(14)$^\circ$ for 7c and 48.8(2)$^\circ$ for 10c reflect steric congestion between the phenyl’s ortho-hydrogen and the $\alpha$-hydrogens of the benzo[b]thiophene and naphthyl groups, respectively. Both molecular structures closely resemble the DFT computed ones for the $\Delta$-15/15-S1 conformers of 7c and 10e and thereby give credence to these being the global energy minima. As noted, this is quite a remarkable observation for 10e as it has the fluorine substituent in an equatorial position, whereas the dogma is that such a strong electron-withdrawing substituent should occupy an axial position. The preference for the equatorial position of the fluorine atom originates from axial–equatorial aromatic ring systems, which would otherwise be in the bis-equatorial position and cause unfavorable $\pi$-interaction with the axial bonds.\textsuperscript{32} Moreover, silicates bearing the SiC$_2$F motif have so far only been observed in solution or as transient species.\textsuperscript{27,37–39}

It is noteworthy that the counterion markedly influences the solubility of the silicates. For example, Li$^+$ salts 10a–c are soluble in both THF and DMF, but Me$_3$N$^+$ salt 10d is only soluble in DMF. nBu$_4$N$^+$ salt 10e dissolves in THF, DCM, and even Et$_2$O.

**Chiral Silicates.** Our next step was to demonstrate chiral integrity for one of the organosilicates. On the basis of the computed racemization barriers for 10 being higher than those for 7 as well as on the NMR spectroscopic analyses of their ethyl derivatives, we decided 10d had the best chance to establish chiral integrity.

We started by separating bis(2-phenylnaphthalene-2,1'-diyl)silane 9 into its enantiomers with chiral preparative
The molecular structure of Δ-9 [enantiopure], obtained from a single crystal X-ray structure determination, is shown in Figure 9. The circular dichroism spectra for both enantiomers in THF solutions are displayed in Figure 10 and show the expected opposite Cotton effects with two distinct maxima at 264 and 279 nm, suggesting high optical purity, which is in good agreement with the >99% ee observed in chiral HPLC. As expected, there is no sign of racemization under ambient conditions. Both silane enantiomers were then transformed to chiral 10a,c,d silicates using the described procedure (vide supra). Crystals suitable for a single crystal structure determination were obtained for 10a,c. Its molecular structure is given in Figure 9 and shows chiral integrity in the solid state. Note that the chiral signature changes from Δ for tetra-coordinate silane 9 to Λ for penta-coordinate silicate 10. Silicate 10c has a structure similar to 7c and 10e with the phenyl substituent skewed to the axial bond. The structure compares well with the DFT computed one for the Λ-15. To establish whether the chiral integrity is also maintained in solution we recorded the CD spectra for both enantiomers of 10c in THF solutions (Figure 11).

The CD spectra of the enantiomers of 10c show maxima at 266 and 274 nm, akin to 9 but with the same sign, and two new distinct maxima at 329 and 343 nm, also with the same sign. The spectra of Λ-10c and Δ-10c show opposite chirality with the same magnitude for the maxima, thereby suggesting high optical purity for these enantiomers at ambient temperature. The same was attempted for silicate 10a, which carries a methyl group instead of a phenyl group. On treating silane Λ-9 with MeLi, the expected product was silicate Δ-10a, but a CD absorption was absent upon measurement after 30 min, which was the time needed for sample preparation. The most logical explanation is that Δ-10a undergoes fast racemization in solution, albeit that the computational results (no solvation effects included) show only a 1.1 kcal mol⁻¹ lower barrier for the racemization barrier (Δ-43 ⇄ Λ-51) than for 10c. Another explanation is that the reaction of MeLi is not as stereoselective as the one with the bulkier PhLi, causing racemization during the addition.

To assess whether the chiral stability of Δ-10c in solution is also limited at ambient temperatures, we monitored its CD spectrum in 10 min intervals, which revealed that the intensity of the spectrum was reduced by about 50% after a 1 h period (Figure 12). We attribute this decrease to a slow Berry pseudorotation for the highest accessible barrier (Δ-43 ⇄ Λ-51). In a separate experiment, Λ-10c was stirred for 1 day at room temperature, after which no CD signals could be detected. These observations indicate that 10c undergoes slow racemization, which is consistent with the computational results.

Interestingly, when phenyl silicate 10c was hydrolyzed after stirring half an hour at room temperature, isolated hydrolysis product 11 retained chiral information as shown by the CD spectra of both enantiomers (Figure 13) with an ee of 33–37% as determined by HPLC. However, chiral silicates 10c...
Their absolute conformation could not be determined.

progressively racemize over time, and no ee or CD absorption was observed when they were reacted toward 11 after stirring overnight. This observation is in line with the progressive decline of chiral information for Δ-10c (Figure 12).

We conclude with silicate 10d with its equatorial fluoride substituent. Its computed barrier of 33.7 kcal mol⁻¹ for racemization (Δ-15 ẑ Λ-51) is a significant 4.9 kcal mol⁻¹ higher than that for 10c. Figure 14 shows the CD spectra with a maximum at 333 nm for both enantiomers of the fluoride containing silicate; because of the DMF solvent used, the spectra could only be recorded down to 285 nm. Monitoring the spectra over time (>1 day) had no influence on the intensity of the signals. This observation indicates that silicate 10d does not undergo racemization and displays chiral integrity at ambient temperatures.

This very fact is in itself rather remarkable. Silicate 11d with its two all-carbon bidentate ligands and a single fluoride substituent is the most stable one of those investigated in this study. Additionally, it carries the electronegative fluoride in an equatorial position instead of an axial one. Moreover, the enantiomers of 10d are stable and do not racemize!

Achieving a feat like this bodes well for controlling the chirality of many more penta-coordinate systems. This systematic study shows it to be well within the realm of possibilities. It reveals, even for a fluorosilicate, that chiral integrity can be maintained for higher coordinate systems in spite of the dynamic behavior of its enantiomers. We feel that the principles presented herein for stable silicates with chiral integrity serve as the onset to a much broader investigation in search of taking advantage of the inherent chirality of higher coordinate systems and to open up new opportunities and applications that have been dormant for too long.

**CONCLUSION**

In summary, we have shown for the element silicon that the chirality at the central element of pentacoordinate systems can be controlled with two identical bidentate ligands. For such systems the number of 20 possible trigonal bipyramidal structures in the topological Levi–Desargues graph reduces to 16 in two interconnected rings with each ring representing one enantiomer of the conformationally dynamic enantiomeric pairs. The two unique crossover paths connecting the two rings must be inhibited to prevent racemization. The barrier for these two Berry pseudorotations can be readily increased by extending the size of the bidentate ligands. We have demonstrated this computationally and experimentally for organosilicates 7 and 10 that carry besides a Me (a), Et (b), Ph (c), or F (d) group two bidentate 2-(phenyl)benzo[b]thiophene and 2-(phenyl)naphthyl ligands, respectively. Racemic neutral silane precursor 9 could be separated into its enantiomers by column chromatography. Their chiral integrity persisted on forming the organosilicates. CD spectra were obtained for 10c, albeit that the solvated enantiomers underwent slow racemization over time. This loss of chiral information was also observed in the hydrolysis product of 10c as the ee decreased progressively when 10c was allowed to stir longer prior to the addition of water. In sharp contrast, fluoro derivative 10d, which has its electronegative F group in an equatorial position, did not show any tendency to hydrolyze nor did its enantiomer show any indication for racemization.

We believe that the principles outlined in this study are applicable to any pentacoordinate system with nondissociating ligands and may advance, e.g., chiral-at-metal catalysis for asymmetric reactions and our general understanding of racemization in silicon substitution reactions.
enantiomers with >99% ee. Chirality was determined using X-ray crystallography.

**Synthesis.** 3-Bromo-2-(2-bromophenyl)benzo[b]furan (5).16

Using the method from Lammertsma et al., a solution of 2,3-dibromobenzo[b]furan (1.9 mmol, 1.0 equiv), 2-bromophenylboronic acid (5.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv), Pd(PPh3)4 (0.25 mmol, 289 mg, 0.05 equiv), and Na2CO3 (7.5 mL, 15 mmol, 2 M in water, 3 equiv) in 50 mL of 1,4-dioxane was heated to 100 °C and stirred overnight (18 h) under nitrogen atmosphere, resulting in a black suspension. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue dissolved in DCM. The reaction mixture was washed with water and brine, and the organic layer was dried over MgSO4, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo, resulting in a yellow oil. The oil was purified via flash column chromatography (n-hexane), resulting in an oil. After 3 days, white crystals were formed at 21 °C (1.44 g, 39.0 mmol, 78%). 1H NMR (500.23 MHz, CDCl3, 294 K): δ = 7.88 (d, 3J(H,H) = 8.0 Hz, 2H; H12), 7.74 (d, 3J(H,H) = 8.0 Hz, 1H; H14), 7.53 (t, 3J(H,H) = 7.5 Hz, 1H; H5), 7.48–7.43 (m, 3H; H6, H11, H12), 7.37 (t, 3J(H,H) = 7.5 Hz, 1H; H13). 13C{1H} NMR (125.78 MHz, CDCl3, 296 K): δ 138.86 (C8), 138.17 (C3), 137.77 (C9), 134.35 (C1), 133.35 (C14), 132.92 (C11), 131.20 (C13), 127.72 (C12), 126.17 (C6), 125.71 (C5), 124.79 (C10), 123.82 (C4), 122.72 (C7) 108.76 (C2).

**Ethylacrylate (7b).**

EtLi (0.24 mL, 0.118 mmol, 1.1 equiv) was added to a solution of 6 (50 mg, 0.113 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in THF (1 mL) at -78 °C. The slightly pale suspension was stirred at this temperature for 15 min and warmed to room temperature to stir for another 15 min. Solvents were evaporated, and a pale yellow solid remained, which was washed using Et2O (2.5 mL), stripped using THF, and residual solvents evaporated to obtain 7a as a pale yellow solid (71.7 mg, 0.095 mmol, 84%).

1H NMR (500.23 MHz, THF-d8, 294 K): δ 7.80 (d, 3J(H,H) = 8.0 Hz, 4H; H4, H7), 7.42 (d, 3J(H,H) = 7.0 Hz, 2H; H11), 7.19 (d, 3J(H,H) = 7.5 Hz, 2H; H14), 7.11 (d, 3J(H,H) = 7.3 Hz, 2H; H5), 7.04 (t, 3J(H,H) = 7.3 Hz, 4H; H6, H13), 6.69 (t, 3J(H,H) = 7.3 Hz, 2H; H12), 0.44 (s, 3H; H15). 13C{1H} NMR (125.78 MHz, THF-d8, 293 K): δ 170.02 (C2), 152.57 (C10), 148.42 (C9), 147.37 (C9), 146.54 (C1), 145.37 (C8), 137.94 (C11), 128.45 (C13), 127.70 (C14), 124.80 (C12), 123.30 (C5), 122.60 (C7), 122.09 (C6), 120.11 (C14), 7.03 (C15). 1H−29Si-HMBC NMR (400.13, 79.49 MHz, THF-d8, 296 K): δ −111.7. HR-MS (ESI): calc for C9H12Si2Si: 459.0703, found 459.0735. Mp 73.4 °C (decomp.).

**Phenylicarboxylate (7c).**

PhLi (0.07 mL, 0.14 mmol, 1.2 equiv) was added to a solution of 3 (50 mg, 0.113 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in THF (1 mL) at -78 °C. The clear yellow solution was stirred at this temperature for 15 min and warmed to room temperature to stir for another 15 min. Solvents were evaporated, and a pale yellow solid remained, which was washed using Et2O (2.5 mL), stripped using THF, and residual solvents evaporated to obtain 7b as a pale yellow foam (73.7 mg, 0.096 mmol, 85%).

1H NMR (500.23 MHz, one drop of DMF in THF-d8, 294 K): δ 7.86 (d, 3J(H,H) = 8.0 Hz, 2H; H4), 7.81 (d, 3J(H,H) = 8.0 Hz, 2H; H7), 7.47 (d, 3J(H,H) = 7.0 Hz, 2H; H11), 7.19 (d, 3J(H,H) = 7.0 Hz, 2H; H14), 7.11 (t, 3J(H,H) = 7.3 Hz, 2H; H5), 7.04 (t, 3J(H,H) = 7.3 Hz, 4H; H6, H13), 6.70 (t, 3J(H,H) = 7.3 Hz, 2H; H12), 1.16–1.12 (m, 1H; H15), 0.90–0.84 (m, 1H; H16), 0.68 (t, 3J(H,H) = 8.0 Hz, 3H; H16). 13C{1H} NMR (125.78 MHz, one drop of DMF in THF-d8, 293 K): δ -169.37 (C2), 151.68 (C10), 148.64 (C3), 147.58 (C9), 147.08 (C1), 145.29 (C8), 138.18 (C11), 128.48 (C13), 127.88 (C4), 124.89 (C12), 123.23 (C5), 122.56 (C7), 121.99 (C6), 120.10 (C14), 15.90 (C15), 9.75 (C16). 1H−29Si-HMBC NMR (400.13, 79.49 MHz, THF-d8, 296 K): δ -102.1. HR-MS (ESI): calc for C10H8Si2Si: 489.0830, found 489.0827.

Phenylicarboxylate (7b).

**Phenylicarboxylate (7a).**

MeLi (0.084 mL, 0.135 mmol, 1.2 equiv) was added to a solution of 6 (50 mg, 0.113 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in THF (1 mL) at -78 °C. The clear yellow solution was stirred at this temperature for 15 min and warmed to room temperature to stir for another 15 min. Solvents were evaporated, and a pale yellow solid remained, which was washed using Et2O (2.5 mL), stripped using THF, and residual solvents evaporated to obtain 7c as a pale white solid (73.6 mg, 0.90 mmol, 80%).

1H NMR (500.23 MHz, THF-d8, 294 K): δ 7.88 (d, 3J(H,H) = 8.0 Hz, 2H; H7), 7.37 (d, 3J(H,H) = 8.0 Hz, 4H; H11, H14), 7.26–7.22 (m, 4H; H4, H13), 7.18–7.16 (m, 2H; o-PhH), 7.04 (t, 3J(H,H) = 7.5 Hz, 2H; H6), 6.94 (t, 3J(H,H) = 7.3 Hz, 2H; H5), 6.82–6.78 (m, 8H; 5H, H12,-m-PhH, p-PhH).

13C{1H} NMR (125.78 MHz, THF-d8, 293 K): δ 168.21 (C2), 151.58 (p-o-PhH), 150.65 (C10), 147.76 (C3), 147.66 (C9), 146.77 (C14), 144.76 (C8), 137.90 (C11), 133.66 (m-PhC), 129.69 (C13), 127.47 (C4), 125.08 (p-PhC), 125.47 (p-PhC), 125.39 (C12), 123.59 (C5), 122.98 (C7), 122.70 (C6), 120.60 (C14). 1H−29Si-HMBC NMR (400.13, 79.49 MHz, THF-d8, 296 K): δ -107.5. HR-MS (ESI): calc for C11H12Si2Si: 521.0859, found 521.0842. Mp 59.4 °C (decomp.).
A solution of 1-bromo-2-naphthyl trifluoromethanesulfonate (1.5 mmol, 0.53 g, 1.0 equiv), 2-bromophenylboronic acid (1.5 mmol, 0.30 g, 1.0 equiv), Pd(PPh3)4 (0.075 mmol, 86.7 mg, 0.05 equiv), and Na2CO3 (4.5 mmol, 0.48 g, 3.0 equiv) in 10 mL of dioxane and 10 mL of water was heated to 100 °C and stirred overnight (18 h) under a nitrogen atmosphere. The resulting suspension was washed with 2 M HCl, then water, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo, resulting in a brown oil. The product was purified via flash column chromatography (c-hexane), and the colorless oil was recrystallized from pentane, resulting in colorless crystals (0.180 g, 0.50 mmol, 33%). 1H NMR (500.23 MHz, (CD3)2SO, 296 K): δ 8.27 (d, J(HH) = 8.3 Hz, 1H, H9), 8.07–8.04 (m, 2H; H4 and H6), 7.78 (dd, J(HH) = 8.0 Hz, J(HH) = 0.8 Hz, 1H; H15), 7.74 (td, J(HH) = 7.0 Hz, J(HH) = 1.3 Hz 1H; H8), 7.67 (td, J(HH) = 8.0 Hz, J(HH) = 1.0 Hz 1H; H7), 7.51 (td, J(HH) = 7.6 Hz, J(HH) = 1.3 Hz 1H; H13), 7.42–7.37 (m, 3H; H12, H3, and H14). 13C{1H} NMR (125.8 MHz, (CD3)2SO, 296 K): δ 142.33 (C11), 139.92 (C2), 133.53 (C3), 132.41 (C15), 131.41 (C10), 131.07 (C14), 129.97 (C12), 128.49 (C6), 128.32 (C8), 127.89 (C4), 127.88 (C3), 127.76 (C13), 127.20 (C8), 126.85 (C9), 122.59 (C1), 122.50 (C16), IR (neat): 3053 (w, C=H), 1022 (m, C–Br), 955 (m, C–Br), 818 (m, C=C–H), 750 (s, C=C–H). HR-MS (EI): calcd for C16H10Br2 361.9129, found 361.9129. Mp 69.8–73.0 °C. 

Bis(2-phenylphenyl)-1-bromonaphthalene (8).

MeLi (1.6 M, 0.139 mmol, 0.09 mL, 1.1 equiv) was added to a solution of bis(2-phenylphenyl)-2′,1′-diyl)methylsilicate (9) (0.116 mmol, 50 mg, 1.0 equiv) in dry THF (1 mL) at −78 °C, and afterward, the yellow solution was stirred for 30 min at this temperature and 30 min at room temperature. All volatiles were removed from the clear yellow solution in vacuo, and the resulting residue was washed with pentane (3 mL), stripped with THF (1 mL), and dried in vacuo yielding 6a as a yellow solid (88.27 mg, 0.11 mmol, 96%). 1H NMR (500.23 MHz, THF-d8, 293 K): δ 8.23 (d, J(HH) = 8.3 Hz, 2H; H9), 8.00 (d, J(HH) = 8.3 Hz, 2H; H3), 7.80 (d, J(HH) = 7.6 Hz, 2H; H12), 7.69 (d, J(HH) = 8.2 Hz, 2H; H6), 7.56 (d, J(HH) = 8.5 Hz, 2H; H4), 7.31 (d, J(HH) = 6.9 Hz, 2H; H15), 7.15 (t, J(HH) = 6.9 Hz, 2H; H7), 7.08 (t, J(HH) = 7.1 Hz 4H; H8, H13), 6.61 (t, J(HH) = 6.9 Hz, 2H; H14) 0.49 (s, 3H; H17). 13C{1H} NMR (125.78 MHz, THF-d8, 293 K): δ 168.66 (C1), 150.11 (C16), 149.88 (C11), 141.02 (C2), 139.87 (C10), 139.17 (C15), 134.10 (C9), 137.26 (C8), 128.28 (C6), 128.15 (C13), 125.40 (C4), 124.37 (C14), 123.15 (C7), 123.02 (C8), 119.87 (C3), 118.80 (C12), 10.85 (C17). H–29Si-HMBC NMR (400.13, 79.49 MHz, THF–THF-d10, 295 K): δ → 99.0 (Si). HR-MS (ESI): calcd for C16H12Si 447.1575, found 447.1595, Mp 33.7 °C (decomp.).

Lithium Bis(2-phenylphenyl-2′,1′-diyl)methylsilicate (10b).
PhLi (1.9 M, 0.139 mmol, 0.07 mL, 1.2 equiv) was added to a solution of bis(2-phenyl)naphthalene-2,1'-diyl)silane (9) (0.116 mmol, 30 mg, 1.0 equiv) in dry THF (1 mL) at −78 °C. The yellow solution was stirred for 30 min at this temperature and 30 min at room temperature. All volatiles were removed in vacuo, and the resulting residue was washed with Et₂O (2.5 mL) and dried in vacuo yielding 10c as a yellowish solid (78.63 mg, 0.98 mmol, 84%). The solid was suspended in 0.7 mL of THF-d₈ and two drops of dry DMF were added to promote solvation allowing NMR measurements. ¹H NMR (500.23 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 296 K): δ 7.88 (d, ³(J(H,H)) = 8.5 Hz, 2H; H3), 7.81 (d, ³(J(H,H)) = 7.5 Hz, 2H; H12), 7.63 (d, ³(J(H,H)) = 8.0 Hz, 2H, H2H), 7.59−7.56 (m, 4H; H4, H6, H8, H10). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (125.78 MHz, THF-d₈, 293 K): δ 166.56 (C1), 156.09 (ipso-Ph), 150.85 (C11), 148.50 (C16), 141.80 (C2), 139.70 (C10), 139.38 (C15), 135.61 (o-PhC), 133.95 (C9), 133.67 (C13), 128.41 (C8), 127.75 (C6), 125.96 (C4), 125.90 (m-PhC), 124.57 (C17), 124.09 (p-PhC), 123.02 (C7), 122.80 (C8), 119.17 (C3), 118.84 (C12), 118.95 (C11), 85.73 (CH₂), 24.17 (CH₂), 20.09 (CH₂), 13.75 (CH₃). ¹H−¹³Si-HMBC NMR (400.13, 79.49 MHz, THF-d₈, 296 K): δ −88.80 (d, ³(J(Si,F)) = 283.8 Hz). ¹³F{¹H} NMR (235.3 MHz, THF-d₈, 294 K): δ −107.04 (d, ³(J(F,Si)) = 287.0 Hz, ³(J(F,C)) = 101.9 Hz). HR-MS (ESI): calcd for C₃₄H₂₅OSi 509.1731, found 509.1709.

Tetramethylammonium Fluorosilicate (10d).

Tetramethylammonium fluoride (21.5 mg, 0.23 mmol, 2.0 equiv) was dried under dynamic vacuum for 3 h at 148 °C. 9 (50 mg, 0.116 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was dissolved in DMF separately and layered on top of tetramethylammonium fluoride. The yellowish suspension was stirred for 30 min and filtered after the clear yellow filtrate was concentrated in vacuo to yield 10d as a white solid (quant.). ¹H NMR (400.1 MHz, DMF-d₄, 294 K): δ 0.82 (d, ³(J(H,H)) = 9.9 Hz, 2H; H9), 8.03 (dd, ³(J(H,H)) = 8.8 Hz, ³(J(H,H)) = 2.0 Hz, H1H, H3), 7.80 (d, ³(J(H,H)) = 8.0 Hz, 4H; H6, H8, H10). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100.6 MHz, THF-d₈, 293 K): δ 160.28 (C1), 151.01 (C11), 148.87 (C14), 141.92 (C4), 136.94 (C10), 137.15 (C13), 134.15 (C9, C5), 129.62 (C15), 128.92 (C6), 127.14 (C16), 125.81 (C14), 124.69 (C8), 124.64 (C7), 120.24 (C3), 119.72 (C12). ¹H−¹³Si-HMBC NMR (400.13, 79.49 MHz, DMF-d₄, 296 K): δ −81.31 (d, ³(J(Si,F)) = 287.8 Hz). ¹⁹F{¹H} NMR (235.3 MHz, DMF-d₄): δ −109.99 (³(J(F,Si)) = 289.3 Hz).

TBAF (0.12 mL, 0.12 mmol, 1.04 equiv, 1.0 M in THF) was added to a solution of 9 (50 mg, 0.116 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in THF (1 mL) at room temperature. The yellow solution was stirred at this temperature for 30 min and solvents were evaporated until a yellow foam remained, which was washed with Et₂O (2.5 mL) yielding 10e as a yellow foam (quant.). ¹H NMR (400.1 MHz, THF-d₄, 294 K): δ 7.99 (d, ³(J(H,H)) = 8.0 Hz, 2H; H9), 7.98 (d, ³(J(H,H)) = 8.4 Hz, 2H, 3H), 7.72 (d, ³(J(H,H)) = 7.6 Hz, 4H; 6H, 12H), 7.76 (d, ³(J(H,H)) = 7.0 Hz, 2H, H14), 7.20−7.17 (m, 2H; 7H), 7.09 (t, ³(J(H,H)) = 7.4 Hz, 2H; 8H), 7.03 (t, ³(J(H,H)) = 7.4 Hz, 2H; 13H), 6.66 (d, ³(J(H,H)) = 7.2 Hz, 2H, 15H), 6.34 (d, ³(J(H,H)) = 7.0 Hz, 2H; 14H), 2.73−2.69 (m, 8H; N(CH₃)₂), 1.25−1.17 (m, 8H; N(CH₃)₂), 7.01−0.97 (m, 8H; N(CH₃)₂,CH₃Me₄), 0.76 (t, ³(J(H,H)) = 7.3 Hz, 12H, CH₂CH₂CH₃(CH₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100.6 MHz, THF-d₄, 293 K): δ 160.38 (d, ³(J(C,F)) = 101.9 Hz, C9), 150.03 (C11), 148.17 (C16), 142.00 (C2), 139.39 (C10), 137.31 (C15), 134.32 (C9), 134.10 (C5), 128.78 (C13), 128.44 (C6), 126.43 (C4), 125.51 (C14), 123.59 (C8), 127.32 (C7), 119.84 (C3), 118.95 (C12), 58.73 (CH₃), 24.17 (CH₂), 20.09 (CH₂), 13.75 (CH₃). HR-MS (ESI): calcd for C₃₈H₃₅Si 509.1731, found 510.1297.
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