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Abstract. We have investigated the formation of the binary radio pulsars PSR B2303+46 and PSR J1141–6545 via Monte Carlo simulations of a large number of interacting stars in binary systems. PSR B2303+46 has recently been shown (van Kerkwijk & Kulkarni 1999) to be the first neutron star – white dwarf binary system observed, in which the neutron star was born after the formation of the white dwarf. We discuss the formation process for such a system and are able to put constraints on the parameters of the initial ZAMS binary.

We present statistical evidence in favor of a white dwarf companion to the binary pulsar PSR J1141–6545, just recently discovered in the Parkes Multibeam Survey. If this is confirmed by observations this system will be the second one known in which the neutron star was born after its white dwarf companion. We also predict a minimum space velocity of 150 km s⁻¹ for PSR J1141–6545, and show it must have experienced an asymmetric SN in order to explain its low eccentricity.

Finally, we estimate the birthrate of these systems relative to other binary pulsar systems and present the expected distribution of their orbital periods, eccentricities and velocities.
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1. Introduction

Recent observations by van Kerkwijk & Kulkarni (1999) give evidence for a binary (PSR B2303+46) in which the white dwarf was formed before the neutron star (hereafter a WDNS system), as opposed to the normal case in which the neutron star is formed first (NSWD). There are at present ~ 40 systems known in the Galactic disk of the latter case. These are the millisecond pulsar binaries in which an old neutron star was “recycled” via accretion of angular momentum and mass from the giant progenitor of the white dwarf (e.g. Alpar et al. 1982; van den Heuvel 1984). The third type of compact binaries in which a pulsar has been detected, is the double neutron star systems (NSNS). There are at present ~ 5 of these systems known (Nice et al. 1996; Manchester et al. 2000). It is the formation of WDNS systems which is of interest in this paper. For a review on the formation and evolution of binary pulsars in general, see Bhattacharya & van den Heuvel (1991).

Millisecond pulsars in the NSWD systems are characterized by short rotational periods (P_{spin} < 90 ms) and relatively weak surface magnetic fields (B < 10¹⁰ G) and are therefore fairly easy to distinguish observationally from young non-recycled pulsars expected to be found in the WDNS systems. Furthermore, one expects the NSWD systems to have circular orbits (e < 0.1) since tidal forces will have circularized the orbit very efficiently in the final mass-transfer process (Verbunt & Phinney 1995) – as opposed to the high eccentricities expected in systems where the last formed degenerate star is a neutron star born in a supernova explosion (Hills 1983).

However, it is difficult to determine from radio pulses alone whether an observed non-recycled pulsar belongs to a WDNS system, or if it is the last formed neutron star in a NSNS system. The reason for this is the unknown inclination angle of the binary orbital plane, with respect to the line-of-sight, which allows for a wide range of possible solutions for the mass of the unseen companion. This is why PSR B2303+46 was considered as a candidate for a double neutron star system since its discovery (Stokes et al. 1985). Only an optical identification of the companion star could determine its true nature (van Kerkwijk & Kulkarni 1999). The very recently discovered non-recycled binary pulsar PSR J1141–6545 (Manchester et al. 2000) has a massive companion in an eccentric orbit (M₂ ≥ 1.0 M⊙ and e = 0.17). This system must therefore be a new candidate for a WDNS system. Only a deep optical observation will be able to distinguish it from the NSNS alternative.

The identification of PSR B2303+46 as a WDNS binary is very important for understanding binary evolution, even though its existence already had been predicted by most binary population synthesis codes (e.g. Tutukov & Yungelson 1993). Below we will demonstrate how to form a WDNS system and put constraints on the initial parameters of the progenitor of PSR B2303+46. It should be noted that the formation of PSR B2303+46 has also recently been discussed by Portegies Zwart & Yungelson (1999) and Brown et al. (2000).

In Sect. 2 we briefly introduce our evolutionary code, and in Sect. 3 we outline the formation of a WDNS binary. In Sect. 4 we
discuss our results and in Sect. 5 we compare with observations of PSR B2303+46 and PSR J1141–6545. The conclusions are summarized in Sect. 6.

2. A brief introduction to the population synthesis code

Monte Carlo simulations on a large ensemble of binary systems enables one to examine the expected characteristics of a given binary pulsar population and the physics behind the interactions during their evolution. We have used an updated version of the numerical population synthesis code used by Tauris & Bailes (1996). This code follows the evolution of a binary system from the zero-age main sequence (ZAMS) to its “final” state, at all stages keeping carefull track of the mass and orbital separation of the two stars. A large number of outcomes is possible from massive binary evolution (see Dewey & Cordes 1987) ranging from systems which merged in a common envelope or became disrupted at the time of the supernova explosion, to binary pulsars with white dwarf, neutron star or black hole companions. In these computations we restrict our attention to systems which are likely to form WDNS binaries.

To simulate the formation of WDNS binaries, we assume that the initial system consists of two ZAMS stars in a circular orbit. In our code we used a flat logarithmic initial separation distribution ($F(a) \propto a^{-1}$) and assumed a Salpeter initial mass function for the ZAMS primary stellar masses of $N(m) \propto m^{-2.35}$ combined with a mass-ratio function: $f(q) = 2/(1 + q)^2$ (Kuiper 1935). We adopt the term “primary” to refer to the initially more massive star, regardless of the effects of mass transfer or loss as the system evolves.

In our simulations we used interpolations of the evolutionary grids of Maeder & Meynet (1988, 1989) for initial masses below 12 $M_\odot$. These grids take into account stellar-wind mass loss in massive stars and giant-branch stars, and also include a moderate amount of overshooting from the convective core. For helium stars, we used the calculations of Paczynski (1971). From these models of stellar evolution we estimate the radius and age of the primary star at the onset of the mass transfer. Using other models with different chemical composition and convective overshooting may have changed these values slightly, but would not have had any significant effect on the parameters of the final population of WDNS systems. We refer to Tauris & Bailes (1996) and Tauris (1996) for a more detailed description of the binary interactions and the computer code.

3. Formation of a white dwarf – neutron star binary

In Fig. 1 we depict the scenario for making a WDNS system and in Fig. 2 we have shown the masses of the initial ZAMS stars which evolve to form WDNS systems. The allowed parameter space is constrained by 4 boundaries described below. In order to successfully form a WDNS system we found it necessary to choose primary masses, $M_1$ in the interval $5-11 M_\odot$, secondary masses, $M_2$ between $3-11 M_\odot$, and initial separations, $a_0$ between $5-600 R_\odot$. In order for the primary to end its life as a white dwarf we must require $M_1 < 11 M_\odot$ (cf. boundary I in Fig. 2). On the other hand a minimum mass of $\sim 5 M_\odot$ is needed for the primary, since it has to transfer sufficient mass to the secondary (which is initially lighter than the primary) in order for the latter to explode in a supernova (SN) once its nuclear burning has ceased. The requirement of substantial mass accretion onto the secondary means that the progenitor binary cannot evolve through a common envelope (CE) in the first phase of mass transfer (cf. stage 2 in Fig. 1). The reason is that the timescale for the CE-phase is very short ($10^3 - 10^4$ yr) compared to the duration of a dynamically stable Roche-lobe overflow (RLO) which lasts for $\sim 1$ Myr. In such a short time hardly any mass can be accreted. We assumed in our calculations the RLO to be conservative (i.e. total mass and orbital angular momentum remains constant). In order to avoid a CE-phase we required $q = M_2/M_1 > 0.4$ (cf. boundary II in Fig. 2). Hence $M_2$ is constrained from this requirement in combination with: $M_2 + \Delta M_{\text{RLO}} > M_{\text{SN}}^{\text{crit}}$, where $M_{\text{SN}}^{\text{crit}}$ is the threshold mass for...
undergoing a supernova explosion and \( \Delta M_{\mathrm{RLO}} \) is the amount of matter accumulated by the secondary from the primary star during the RLO, cf. boundary III in Fig. 2. \( M_{\mathrm{crit}}^\text{WDNS} \) is typically \( 9 - 11 \, M_\odot \) but depends on its core mass, \( M_{\text{He}}^\text{crit} \) and its evolutionary status at the onset of the mass transfer (e.g. Bhattacharya & van den Heuvel 1991). It should therefore be noted that the boundaries in Fig. 2 depend on \( P_{\text{orb}} \) since the evolutionary status of a donor star is important in order to determine \( M_{\text{He}}^\text{crit} \). Also the onset criterion for the formation of a CE depends on the evolutionary status of the Roche-lobe filling star (see Sect. 5.3.1 for further discussion). The last boundary (IV) in Fig. 2 results from the trivial requirement that the secondary star initially has \( M_2 < M_1 \).

After the primary star has lost its envelope to the secondary, the helium core will eventually settle as a white dwarf [1] (stage 3 and 4, respectively in Fig. 1). To calculate the final mass of the white dwarf we assumed:

\[
M_{\text{WD}} = \begin{cases} 
M_{\text{He}}^1, & M_{\text{He}}^1 < 0.45 \, M_\odot \\
0.27 \, M_\odot + 0.40 \, M_{\text{He}}^1, & M_{\text{He}}^1 > 0.45 \, M_\odot
\end{cases}
\]

(1)

(unless \( M_{\text{He}}^1 > M_{\text{He}}^\text{crit} \) in which case the mass of primary helium core is above the threshold limit \( \sim 2.5 \, M_\odot \) and it collapses in a supernova leaving behind a neutron star remnant). All the white dwarfs formed in WDNS binaries will be a CO or O-Ne-Mg white dwarf with mass \( M_{\text{WD}} > 0.60 \, M_\odot \). Beware that the above ad hoc formula does not exactly represent the observed distribution of binary white dwarf masses which depend on the orbital period as well. The subsequent evolution is now reversed. The secondary fills its Roche-lobe and initiates mass transfer onto the white dwarf (stage 5 in Fig. 1). This class of binaries is referred to as symbiotic stars in the literature (a sub-class of cataclysmic variables). Now because of the extreme mass ratio between the secondary star (the donor) and the accreting white dwarf, the orbit will shrink upon mass transfer. Furthermore, if the secondary star is a red giant at this stage (which is almost always the case) it has a deep convective envelope and will expand in response to mass loss. This enhances the mass-transfer rate, which in turn causes the orbit to shrink faster. This leads to a run-away event and the transferred material piles up and is heated in a cloud around the white dwarf. The white dwarf will be embedded in a CE with the secondary star (stage 6 in Fig. 1). In this dynamically unstable situation drag forces causes the white dwarf to spiral-in toward the core of the giant companion (e.g. Iben & Livio 1993). In this process orbital energy is converted into kinetic energy which provides outward motion and possibly ejection of the envelope. Here we assumed an efficiency parameter of \( \eta_{\text{CE}} = 2.0 \). If there is not enough orbital energy available to expel the envelope (i.e. the binary is too tight), the result is that the white dwarf will merge with the core of the giant – perhaps leading to a type Ia SN and total disruption of the binary (in any case we terminated our calculations for binaries with merging stars). This puts some constraints on the orbital separation at the moment of mass transfer. If the envelope is ejected successfully (stage 7), the helium core will collapse after a short while and explode in a type Ib/c supernova (stage 8). Also here we have ignored the expansion of the helium star as a result of large uncertainties in the orbital evolution caused by rapid mass transfer of \( 0.3 - 0.5 \, M_\odot \) from the helium star – cf. Sect. 5.3.2.

There is plenty of evidence from observations (e.g. Lyne & Lorimer 1994; Tauris et al. 1999) that a momentum kick is imparted to newborn neutron stars. This is probably due to some asymmetry in the neutrino emission driving the explosion. We assumed an isotropic distribution of kick directions and used a Gaussian distribution for its magnitude with a mean value, \( \langle w \rangle = 500 \, \text{km s}^{-1} \) and standard deviation, \( \sigma = 200 \, \text{km s}^{-1} \). We assumed the neutron star to be born with a mass of \( M_{\text{NS}} = 1.3 \, M_\odot \). Given the pre-SN separation and the mass of the collapsing star and its white dwarf companion, as well as the kick magnitude and direction, we are able to calculate the final orbital period, \( P_{\text{orb}} \), and eccentricity, \( e \) of the WDNS system (cf. stage 9 in Fig. 1).
Fig. 3. Distributions of parameters for the initial ZAMS systems (panels a–c) which evolved to form WDNS binaries. The final parameters of these WDNS systems are plotted in panels e–h. See text for further explanation of the different curves.

of $\eta_{\text{CE}} = 2.0$. We now describe the distribution of parameters represented by this thin black curve in each panel.

From panels a–c we conclude that in order to form a WDNS binary one must have a ZAMS binary with $4.9 < M_1/M_\odot < 10.8$, $3.0 < M_2/M_\odot < 10.8$ and $a_0 < 600 R_\odot$. We note that the distribution of kicks in panel d closely follows our assumed input distribution (which is somewhat unknown ad hoc – see e.g. Hartman et al. 1997 for a discussion). It is also seen that the distribution of kicks imparted in binaries which form bound WDNS systems is slightly shifted towards smaller values compared to the trial distribution (i.e. on average the WDNS systems have received a kick of $439 \text{ km s}^{-1} \neq 500 \text{ km s}^{-1}$). The reason
is simply that, on average, a system has a higher probability of surviving the SN if the kick is small. The maximum kick possible in the SN appears to be 1000 km s\(^{-1}\). However, this is an artifact from our trial distribution of kicks. Applying unlimited values for the magnitude of the kicks it is in principle possible to form a WDNS system with any eccentricity, \(e > 0.5\), the pre-SN orbit must, on average, be more tight in order to survive the SN. However, most important differences are seen in the distributions of the eccentricity and the systemic velocity. The shift to smaller values for the latter distribution (the mean energy into kinetic energy, which expels the envelope, is defined by: \(\Delta E_{\text{bind}} \equiv \eta_{\text{ce}} \Delta E_{\text{orb}}\) (Webbink 1984)), or:

\[
\frac{GM_2 M_{2\text{He}}}{\lambda a_i r_L} = \eta_{\text{ce}} \left[ \frac{GM_{\text{WD}} M_{2\text{He}}}{2a_i} - \frac{GM_{\text{WD}} M_2}{2a_i} \right]
\]

which yields the following change in orbital separation:

\[
\frac{a_i}{a_f} = \frac{M_{\text{WD}} M_{2\text{He}}}{M_2 \left[ M_{\text{WD}} + 2M_{2\text{He}}/(\eta_{\text{ce}} \lambda r_L) \right]}
\]

where \(r_L = R_L/a_i\) is the dimensionless Roche-lobe radius of the donor star, \(\lambda\) is a weighting factor \((\leq 1.0)\) for the binding

The expected orbital parameters of the formed WDNS systems are shown in panels e–h. The WDNS systems can be formed with any eccentricity, \(e\), though the distribution increases monotonically with increasing values of \(e\). The simulated systemic 3-D velocities of the WDNS systems (panel f) are simply correlated to the distribution of kicks. When comparing with future observations of 2-D transverse velocities one has to multiply this simulated 3-D distribution by a factor of \(\pi/4\) in order to separate out the unknown radial velocities.

The distribution of orbital periods, \(P_{\text{orb}}\), of the WDNS binaries is highly concentrated towards small values of \(P_{\text{orb}}\) (notice we have not shown the first two bins of the distribution in panel g, which reach values of 6500 and 1100, respectively). This is also seen in Fig. 4 where we have plotted the eccentricity versus the final orbital period for the simulated WDNS systems. The cumulative probability curve for \(P_{\text{orb}}\) is also shown in the figure. The distribution of calculated white dwarf masses (in panel h of Fig. 3) is seen to be in the interval \(0.6 < M_{\text{WD}}/M_\odot < 1.4\).

We will now briefly describe what happens when we choose other input distributions governing the two most important binary interactions: the SN and the preceding CE-phase.

4.2. The gray curve: symmetric SN

The gray curve in each panel shows the distribution of a given parameter assuming a purely symmetric SN. This assumption apparently does not significantly change the distributions of initial parameters for the binaries ending up as WDNS systems. It has only a moderate effect on the distribution of \(M_2\) and \(M_{\text{WD}}\) (leading to slightly lower values), but a more significant effect on \(a_0\) and the distribution of final \(P_{\text{orb}}\), which tend to be higher without the asymmetry in the SN. This is expected, since in the cases where a kick is added on top of the effect of sudden mass loss, the pre-SN orbit must, on average, be more tight in order to survive the SN.

In the case of post-SN eccentricities, we now only form WDNS systems with \(e > 0.5\). The existence of a minimum eccentricity for systems undergoing a symmetric SN follows from the constraint of the donor star,

\[
e = \frac{M_{2\text{He}} - M_{\text{NS}}}{M_{\text{WD}} + M_{\text{NS}}} \geq \frac{2.5 M_\odot}{1.4 M_\odot} \gtrsim 0.5
\]

Since we have assumed \(M_{2\text{He}} > M_{\text{crit}} \simeq 2.5 M_\odot\) and \(M_{\text{WD}}^\text{max} < 1.4 M_\odot\) it follows that \(e > 0.45\).
energy of the core and envelope of the donor star and, finally, where $M_{\text{core}}, M_{\text{envelope}}, q_i$ and $a_i$ are the mass of the core and hydrogen-rich envelope of the evolved companion star, and the pre-CE and post-CE separation, respectively. We notice that this large change in $\eta_{\text{CE}}$ barely changes our results. The thin gray curve seems to follow the thin black curve closely in most of the panels. Only the final $P_{\text{orb}}$ are higher in this case – though the shape of the distribution remains the same. This result is expected since in this case the envelope is ejected more easily in the CE, resulting in larger pre-CE separations. One can easily show that roughly speaking: $(a_0/a_i) \propto \eta_{\text{CE}}$ and hence we expect the pre-CE binaries to have much wider orbits in the case where $\eta_{\text{CE}} = 8.0$ (by a factor of 4). However, many of the wide pre-CE binaries do not survive the SN, so the overall final $P_{\text{orb}}$ distribution only differs slightly from the case with $\eta_{\text{CE}} = 2.0$. This also explains why the selected $w$ and resulting $v_{\text{sys}}$ are slightly lower, since binaries are more easily disrupted having wider orbits. Thus $w$ and $v_{\text{sys}}$ of the binaries which do survive the SN are smaller in this case where $\eta_{\text{CE}} = 8.0$.

We also experimented with different initial mass functions (IMF) but conclude that our simulated results for the WDNS systems are quite robust against changes in the IMF, the mass-ratio function $f(q)$ or the initial separation function for $a_0$ (see below).

5. Comparison with observations

The observed parameters of the binary radio pulsars PSR B2303+46 and PSR J1141–6545 are given in Table 1.

5.1. PSR B2303+46

Knowing the observed parameters for the orbital period, eccentricity and mass function, $f$ we have investigated which initial ZAMS binaries would form a system like PSR B2303+46 and also constrained its systemic velocity.

The distribution of parameters for 3000 WDNS systems which resemble PSR B2303+46 are shown as the thick black histograms in Fig. 3. We define a WDNS system to roughly resemble PSR B2303+46 if $10 < P_{\text{orb}} < 14$ days, $0.60 < e < 0.72$ and $M_{\text{WD}} > 1.1 M_\odot$. The minimum white dwarf mass given in Table 1 is obtained from its observed mass function. However a tighter constraint is found in combination with the measurement of the general relativistic rate of periapse advance (Thorsett et al. 1993; Arzoumanian 1995) which yields a solution for the total system mass: $M_{\text{NS}} + M_{\text{WD}} = 2.53 \pm 0.08 M_\odot$, and hence $M_{\text{WD}} > 1.20 M_\odot$. In order to produce such a high mass for the white dwarf we need a minimum mass $M_1 > 8.8 M_\odot$ for its progenitor, but notice that the wide range of possible secondary masses remains.

The most distinct feature of PSR B2303+46 is its very wide orbit: $P_{\text{orb}} = 12.3$ days. It is evident from Fig. 4, and panel g in Fig. 3, that such a large value for $P_{\text{orb}}$ is very rare. We find that only $\sim 5\%$ of all WDNS systems are formed with $P_{\text{orb}} \geq 12.3$ days (see the cumulative probability curve in Fig. 4). The large observed value of $P_{\text{orb}}$ leads to a large initial separation of the ZAMS binaries in the interval: $160 < a_0/R_\odot < 600$. To test whether or not the resulting $P_{\text{orb}}$ distribution for the WDNS systems simply reflects our initial trial distribution for $a_0$ (which is flat in log $a_0$), we tried to run our code with a constant distribution function (i.e. all values of $a_0$ are a priori equally probable). This however, does not change our conclusions and we infer that the distribution of $P_{\text{orb}}$ is highly concentrated toward small values.

For the expected value of the recoil velocity of PSR B2303+46 we find it must be in the interval: $50 < v_{\text{sys}} < 440$ km s$^{-1}$, with a mean value of 181 km s$^{-1}$.

5.2. PSR J1141–6545

This interesting binary radio pulsar was recently found in the ongoing Parkes Multibeam Survey (Manchester et al. 2000). The high value of $P_{\text{spin}}$ in combination with its relatively slow rotation rate ($P_{\text{spin}} = 394$ ms) and non-circular orbit ($e = 0.17$) identifies this pulsar as being young and the last formed member of a double degenerate system. Given $P_{\text{orb}} = 0.198$ days it is evident that a non-degenerate star can not fit into the orbit without filling its Roche-lobe. The minimum companion mass of 0.97 $M_\odot$ (assuming $M_{\text{NS}} = 1.3 M_\odot$) and its location in the $(P_{\text{orb}}, e)$ diagram makes this binary a good candidate for a WDNS system. We note, that $P_{\text{orb}} = 0.198$ days is right at the mean value of the simulated distribution – though the eccentricity is lower than average for this orbital period. We must however, beware of selection effects at work here. It is well known that it is much more difficult to detect a periodic signal emitted from an accelerated pulsar in a narrow orbit compared to a wide orbit (e.g. Ramachandran & Portegies Zwart 1999). It is therefore expected that future detections of WDNS systems will fill up mainly the area to the right side of the curve in Fig. 4. Although some WDNS systems should be found in very tight orbits from improved future acceleration searches, it should also be noted that tight binaries cannot avoid merging as a result of emission of gravitational waves (Landau & Lifshitz 1958; Peters 1964). Given the values of $P_{\text{orb}}$ and $M_{\text{WD}}^{\text{min}}$, PSR J1141–6545 will merge within $\sim 590$ Myr (a spectacular event for LIGO). However, the average lifetime of a non-recycled pulsar is only $\sim 10$ Myr (Taylor et al. 1993). In Fig. 4 we have shown with a dashed line WDNS systems which will merge on a timescale of $\sim 10$ Myr, assuming $M_{\text{NS}} = 1.3 M_\odot$ and $M_{\text{WD}} = 1.0 M_\odot$. Hence binaries found to the left of this line ($P_{\text{orb}} \lesssim 20$ hr) are most likely to coalesce within the lifetime of the observed radio pulsar.

Table 1. Observed parameters of the WDNS system PSR B2303+46 and the WDNS candidate PSR J1141–6545. We use $M_{\text{NS}} = 1.3 M_\odot$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PSR</th>
<th>$P_{\text{orb}}$</th>
<th>$f$</th>
<th>$M_{\text{WD}}^{\text{min}}$</th>
<th>$e$</th>
<th>$P_{\text{spin}}$</th>
<th>$P_{\text{spin}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B2303+46</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>0.2464</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.658</td>
<td>1066</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J1141–6545</td>
<td>0.198</td>
<td>0.177</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.172</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>4.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[\text{days} \quad M_{\odot} \quad M_{\odot} \quad \text{ms} \quad 10^{-15}\]
As shown in Sect. 4.2 we conclude that the SN forming the observed pulsar in PSR J1141–6545 must have been asymmetric, given the low eccentricity of the system. We find a minimum kick of 100 km s\(^{-1}\) necessary to reproduce \(e = 0.17\) for a system with similar \(P_{\text{orb}}\). More interesting, we are able to constrain the minimum space velocity of PSR J1141–6545, and we find: \(v_{\text{sys}} > 150\) km s\(^{-1}\). A future proper motion determination and a possible detection of a spectral line from the white dwarf will be able to verify this result.

5.3. On the relative formation rates of WDNS, NSNS and NSWD systems

We have used our population synthesis code to generate a large number of compact binaries using wide initial trial distributions for the ZAMS binaries. In Table 2 we show the relative formation rates of these systems (normalized to the number of trial simulations). The remaining binaries either evolved into WDWD systems, coalesced, became disrupted in a SN or formed a black hole binary. It should be noted that many of the parameters governing the binary interactions are not very well known, and that the relative formation rates are sensitive to these parameters. However, the aim of this little exercise is to demonstrate it is possible to match the present observations with the results of simple evolutionary simulations.

To estimate the relative Galactic abundancies of active WDNS, NSNS and NSWD binaries, we have weighted each of the formation rates by the observable lifetime of the pulsars, \(\tau_{\text{psr}}\).

For non-recycled pulsars we chose a lifetime of 10 Myr. For the recycled pulsars \(\tau_{\text{psr}}\) depends on the mass-transfer timescale and the amount of material accreted (and hence on the mass of the progenitor of the last formed degenerate star). The mass transfer causing the recycling in the NSNS systems takes place on a sub-thermal timescale. Hence the rejuvenated \(P\) is relatively large (\(\sim 10^{18}\)) and these pulsars are expected to terminate their radio emission in less than about one Gyr. Hence we chose \(\tau_{\text{psr}} = 1000\) Myr for the NSNS binaries with an observed recycled pulsar. The situation is similar for the NSWD systems with heavy CO/O-Ne-Mg white dwarf companions. However, the binary NSWD systems with low-mass helium white dwarf companions are expected to have been recycling over a long interval of time (\(\sim 100\) Myr) and exhibit long spin-down ages of 5–10 Gyr. We therefore chose an overall average value of \(\tau_{\text{psr}} = 3000\) Myr for the entire NSWD population consisting of both systems with a CO or a low-mass helium white dwarf.

For these values of \(\tau_{\text{psr}}\), in combination with our simulated relative birthrates, we find the relative number of such systems expected to be observed, \(N_{\text{sim}}\) (normalized to the total actual number of binary pulsars detected so far). We see that our simple estimates matches well with the observations – cf. \(N_{\text{sim}}\) and \(N_{\text{obs}}\) in Columns 4 and 5, respectively in Table 2.

The actual values of \(\tau_{\text{psr}}\) are uncertain, and a weighting factor should also be introduced to correct for the number of different systems merging from gravitational wave radiation. Furthermore, when comparing with observations one must also correct for other important effects, such as the different beaming factors (i.e. the area on the celestial sphere illuminated by the pulsar) between recycled and non-recycled pulsars. Slow non-recycled pulsars usually have much more narrow emission beams than those of the recycled pulsars and hence they are more unlikely to be observed. On the other hand their pulse profiles are less likely to be smeared out.

We find the birth rate of WDNS systems to be considerably higher than that of the NSNS systems (by more than an order of magnitude). In a recent paper Portegies Zwart & Yungelson (1999) also investigated the formation of WDNS binaries. They find a roughly equal birth rate of WDNS and NSNS systems. The reason for the discrepancy with our results is mainly that they assume the initial mass-transfer process (stage 2 in Fig. 1) to be non-conservative so the majority of the transferred matter is lost from the system. Therefore much fewer secondary stars in their scenario accrete enough material to collapse to a neutron star later in the evolution.

5.3.1. The onset criteria of a common envelope

In this work we assumed all binaries will avoid evolving into a CE during the initial mass-transfer phase (stage 2) if \(q > 0.4\). This is, of course, only a rough boundary condition which requires a much more careful investigation of the evolutionary status of a given binary followed by detailed hydrodynamical calculations of the mass-transfer process (Iben & Livio 1993). We also simulated the formation of WSNS systems using a limiting value of \(q < 0.7\) for the formation a CE. In this case we produce relatively more NSWD systems (by a factor of \(\sim 2\)) and fewer WDNS and NSNS systems (also by a factor \(\sim 2\) in each case). This can be seen by moving up the boundary II in Fig. 2. However, it is important that the birth ratio of WDNS to NSNS systems remains about the same (\(\sim 18:1\)).

5.3.2. The evolution of naked helium stars

The outer radii of low-mass helium stars (\(M_{\text{He}} < 3.5 M_\odot\)) may become very large during the late evolutionary stages (Paczynski 1971; Habets 1985) and could initiate a second phase of mass transfer (so-called case BB mass transfer, Delgado & Thomas 1981).

If \(M_{\text{He}} \gtrsim 2.5 M_\odot\) the helium stars will develop a core mass larger than the Chandrasekhar limit at the onset of central

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>binary</th>
<th>rel. birthrate</th>
<th>(\tau_{\text{psr}})</th>
<th>(N_{\text{sim}})</th>
<th>(N_{\text{obs}})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WDNS</td>
<td>0.00567</td>
<td>10 Myr</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSNS</td>
<td>0.00032</td>
<td>10 Myr</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1000 Myr</td>
<td>7.75</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSWD</td>
<td>0.00052</td>
<td>3000 Myr</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Estimated relative formation rates as well as simulated and observed Galactic disk abundances of WDNS, NSNS and NSWD binaries. The observed globular cluster pulsars are not included since they are formed via exchange collisions in a dense environment.
or off-center convective core-carbon burning. These stars are therefore expected to explode in a SN and leave neutron star remnants. However, the masses of such collapsing stars may have been reduced by some 10–20 % as a result of mass transfer in a close binary and a strong stellar wind when the helium stars expanded. Notice, that helium stars only lose a fraction (30–50 %) of the envelope mass outside their carbon cores in case BB RLO, as opposed to normal giants which usually lose their entire (hydrogen) envelopes in RLO. Therefore, if the minimum mass of a collapsing star is assumed to be only 2.0 $M_\odot$ the resulting minimum post-SN eccentricity will be 0.26 (cf. Eq. 2) in the case of a symmetric SN – instead of 0.45 in the case of a 2.5 $M_\odot$ exploding star. However, this value is still larger than $e = 0.172$ observed in PSR J1141–6545 which indicates a kick was involved in its formation. Even if the mass of the collapsing star would be as low as 1.8 $M_\odot$ a kick would still be necessary.

In our simple scenario of making WDNS binaries the effect of case BB mass transfer would alter our calculations in stages 3 and 7 in Fig. 1. However the effects on the global outcome are probably small. For a second mass transfer in stage 3, the specific angular momentum of matter lost from the system is poorly known and may result in either shortening or widening of the orbit. Furthermore, the orbital evolution is later dominated by the much more important spiral-in phase in stage 6. If case BB RLO occurs in stage 7, mass transfer from the helium star to the (lighter) white dwarf decreases the orbit; but mass loss from the vicinity of the white dwarf (due to extreme high mass-transfer rates), or in the form of a direct stellar wind from the helium star, will widen the orbit. Therefore the net effects are uncertain and we believe our simple calculations presented here remain approximately valid.

There are many theoretical and observational selection effects involved in our simple work presented here and we have a more rigorous analysis in preparation.

Finally, it should be mentioned that it may be possible (Nomoto 1982) that helium stars $1.4 \leq M_{\text{He}}/M_\odot \leq 1.9$ ignite carbon in a violent flash (degenerate core) which might lead to total disruption of the star (type Ia supernova). If this picture is correct for a significant fraction of helium stars, our estimate for the production rate of WDNS is overestimated.

5.4. On the nature of PSR J1141–6545

Despite various uncertainties described above one important result can be extracted from our calculations: the expected formation rate of WDNS systems is $\sim 18$ times higher than that of the NSNS systems (8–9 times higher if we require $M_{\text{WD}} > 0.97$ $M_\odot$, the minimum companion mass observed in PSR J1141–6545). The main reason is that the WDNS binaries only need to survive one SN, and that their progenitors are favored by the IMF. Based on our simulations we therefore conclude that since the pulsar in PSR J1141–6545 is young (non-recycled) it is most likely (at the $\sim 90$ % confidence level) to have a white dwarf companion. This prediction will hopefully soon be verified or falsified from optical observations.

6. Conclusions

– We have adapted a simple numerical computer code to study the formation process of WDNS systems and have demonstrated that many initial ZAMS binary configurations can result in the formation of such systems.

– We have constrained the parameters for the progenitor system of PSR B2303+46.

– We have presented evidence in favor of a white dwarf companion (rather than a neutron star) to the newly discovered binary pulsar PSR J1141–6545.

– We have also demonstrated that an applied kick was necessary in the formation scenario of this system.

– Finally, we predict PSR J1141–6545 to have a minimum 3-D systemic velocity, $v_{\text{sys}} > 150 \text{ km s}^{-1}$.
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