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ABSTRACT: 1-Hexene is an important α-olefin for polyethylene production and is produced from ethene. Recent developments in the α-olefin industry have led to the successful commercialization of ethene trimerization catalysts. An important industrially applied ethene trimerization system uses a mixture of chromium 2-ethylhexanoate, AlEt₃, AlEt₂Cl, and 2,5-dimethylpyrrole (DMP). Here, we have studied the activation of this system using catalytic and spectroscopic experiments (XAS, EPR, and UV–vis) under conditions employed in industry. First, chromium 2-ethylhexanoate was prepared and characterized to be [Cr₂O(RCO₂)₆(H₂O)₃]Cl. Next, the activation of chromium 2-ethylhexanoate with AlEt₃, AlEt₂Cl, and DMP was studied, showing immediate reduction (<5 ms) of the trinuclear Cr(III) carboxylate and formation of a neutral polynuclear Cr(II) pyrrolyl complex. Over time, this Cr(II) carboxylate complex is partially converted into a chloro-bridged dinuclear Cr(II) pyrrolyl complex. In cyclohexane, small quantities of an unknown Cr(I) complex (∼1% after 1 h) are observed, while in toluene, the quantity of Cr(I) is much higher (∼23% after 1 h). This is due to the formation of cationic bis(tolyl)Cr(I) complexes, which likely leads to the observed inferior performance using toluene as the reaction solvent. Catalytic studies allow us to exclude some of the observed Cr(I) and Cr(II) complexes as the active species in this catalytic system. Using this combination of techniques, we have been able to structurally characterize complexes of this selective Cr-catalyzed trimerization system under conditions which are employed in industry.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Linear α-olefins (LAOs) enjoy a wide range of applications, for example, in the production of plastics, detergents and surfactants.¹ Typically, LAOs are produced by catalysts operating via a mechanism which gives rise to a statistical product distribution.² However, the demand for the shorter LAOs (1-butene, 1-hexene, and 1-octene) is rising due to their applications in the production of linear low-density polyethylene (LLDPE).³ As a result of this changing market demand, companies such as Sasol and Chevron Phillips Chemical have developed catalytic chromium systems, which can selectively trimerize ethene to form 1-hexene.⁴ Selective ethene trimerization is believed to proceed via metallacyclic intermediates (see Scheme 1); experimental evidence for this mechanism has been found in deuterium labeling experiments.⁵ The oxidation state of the metal during the catalytic cycle however is subject to debate, and different experiments have suggested either a Cr(I)/Cr(III)⁶⁻⁷⁻⁸⁻⁹⁻¹⁰⁻¹¹⁻¹²⁻¹³ redox couple. Gaining insights into the oxidation states of chromium during the catalytic cycle is complicated by the paramagnetic nature of the various oxidation states of chromium formed in these reactions.¹³ Strategies to probe the oxidation state of chromium involves the application of spectroscopic techniques such as electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) and X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS)¹⁰⁻¹¹⁻¹²⁻¹³ and crystallization of self-activating catalysts.⁷⁻⁸⁻¹⁰⁻¹¹

The first ethene trimerization system was successfully commercialized by Chevron Phillips Chemical in Qatar (2003); annually 47 000 t of 1-hexene is produced in this plant. The system consists of chromium 2-ethylhexanoate, 2,5-dimethylpyrrole (DMP), AlEt₃, and AlEt₂Cl mixed in a molar ratio of 1:3:11:8, respectively (see Scheme 2).¹⁷ Despite successful commercialization, limited mechanistic studies have been reported in open academic literature. Duchateau and

Scheme 1. Proposed Mechanism for the Selective Formation of 1-Hexene via Metallacyclic Intermediates
Korobkov and co-workers have successfully isolated Cr(I), Cr(II) and Cr(III) pyrrolyl complexes; some of these complexes were found to be self-activating ethene trimerization catalysts (see Scheme 3 for an overview). On the basis of the observed catalytic performance of these complexes, the authors propose a Cr(I)/Cr(III) redox couple to be responsible for catalysis. None of the complexes was however isolated under the exact conditions employed in industry, and different sources of chromium, aluminum alkyl reagents and ligands have been used. In another study by Skobelev et al., performed in the presence of ethene, a correlation between Cr(I) and catalytic activity was found using EPR spectroscopy, again in favor of a Cr(I)/Cr(III) redox couple. The performed EPR experiments however did not provide insights in the structure of the formed Cr(I) complexes nor in the structure of the large quantity of EPR-silent complexes formed.

XAS has been shown to be a useful spectroscopic technique which allows for the determination of both oxidation state and structure of homogeneous catalysts. A combination of XAS and EPR was successfully applied by Brückner and co-workers to study a Cr−{PNP} tetramerization system. In the performed study, they propose the active species to be a (PNP)Cr(II)(CH3)2 complex; deactivation of the catalyst can occur via reduction to Cr(I) in aromatic solvents. The performed XAS measurements required long acquisition times, which could have prevented the observation of short-lived complexes present within the solution.

To improve the time resolution of XAS in homogeneous catalysis, we have developed a freeze-quench XAS methodology. This methodology has allowed us to identify Cr(II) complexes which are formed in the activation of a Cr−{SNS} and Cr−{PNP} ethene trimerization and tetramerization system, respectively. In this study, we have combined the freeze-quench XAS methodology with EPR and UV−vis measurements to follow the various stages of preparation of the industrially applied Chevron Phillips Chemical ethene trimerization catalyst. This combination of techniques has allowed us to observe various Cr(I) and Cr(II) complexes which have not been characterized previously in this industrially applied system. Catalytic tests allow us to exclude some of the observed Cr(I) and Cr(II) complexes as the active species in this system.

2. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

2.1. Preparation of the Chromium Precursor. We started our study by preparing and characterizing the chromium precursor used by Chevron Phillips Chemical: chromium 2-ethylhexanoate. Chromium 2-ethylhexanoate is generally assumed to be mononuclear in nature with a general structure of Cr(2-EH)3 (2-EH = 2-ethylhexanoate) and is sold by various commercial suppliers as a complex dissolved in mineral oil. In patent and academic literature several protocols describe the preparation of Cr(2-EH)3. The attempted synthesis of Cr(2-EH)3 from CrCl3·6H2O and sodium 2-ethylhexanoate in THF and methanol gives rise to an oily product. The color of the formed complex is affected by the choice of solvent (Figure S1). Mass spectrometry shows the formation of polynuclear chromium complexes (Figure S2–S4). In a recent patent and literature publication by Chevron Phillips Chemical, it is shown that the absence of water is important to the formation of the mononuclear complex. Performing a synthesis under inert and anhydrous conditions in THF, using CrCl3(THF)3, NaH, and 2-ethylhexanoic acid, gives rise to an oily green product. Mass spectrometry does indeed show the formation of the mononuclear complex, but significant amounts of polynuclear products can also be identified (Figure S6). These findings led us to question the general formulation of the structure of Cr(2-EH)3.

In a recent publication, Jeon et al. describe the synthesis of a well-defined neutral chromium precursor with the general structure Cr(2-EH)3·OH. Via a slightly modified version of their synthetic methods (using heptane instead of mineral oil as reaction solvent, Scheme 4) we managed to obtain a pale purple amorphous solid with a yield of 51%. An unknown green impurity was removed by filtration of the complex over silica, which was not described in the original publication. The resulting complex (Figure S7) shows absorption peaks at λ = 408 nm (ε = 57 M−1 cm−1) and λ = 572 nm (ε = 74 M−1 cm−1). These absorption peaks are in agreement with the results from Jeon et al. (λ = 407 nm and λ = 572 nm). In addition, the absorption bands observed in the ATR-IR spectrum of the product (Figure 1) matches closely with the FT-IR results obtained by Jeon et al., suggesting that a structurally similar complex is obtained. Based on their
performed characterization (cryoscopy, IR and elemental analysis), the authors propose that the complex has the general structure Cr(2-EH)\textsubscript{2}OH. Our characterization of this material is however not in line with this proposal.

Part of an ESI+ spectrum of the obtained chromium precursor is depicted in Figure 2a. Visible in the mass spectrum, is a \([\text{Cr}_3\text{O}(2-\text{EH})_6]^+\) fragment (found \(m/z\): 1030.47, calculated \(m/z\): 1030.46). Additional fragments are observed containing acetonitrile, for example, \([\text{Cr}_3\text{O}(2-\text{EH})_6(\text{ACN})_2]^+\) (found \(m/z\): 1112.54, calculated \(m/z\): 1112.51) and \([\text{Cr}_3\text{O}(2-\text{EH})_6(\text{ACN})_3]^+\) (found \(m/z\): 1153.58, calculated \(m/z\): 1153.54). Formation of oxo-centered trinuclear chromium carboxylates is well-described in the literature, and crystal structures of related carboxylates have been investigated.\textsuperscript{28–30}

Based on the observed fragmentation pattern (Figure 2), a trinuclear complex of the general structure \([\text{Cr}_3\text{O}(2-\text{EH})_6(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3]^+\) is proposed, where substitution of bound water for acetonitrile is observed in the ESI+ spectrum. Evidence of bound water can be found in the ESI+ spectrum, where fragments \([\text{Cr}_3\text{O}(2-\text{EH})_6(\text{OH})]^+\) (found \(m/z\): 1047.52, calculated \(m/z\): 1047.46) and \([\text{Cr}_3\text{O}(2-\text{EH})_6(\text{ACN})(\text{OH})]^+\) (found \(m/z\): 1088.52, calculated \(m/z\): 1088.49) are observed to be caused by loss of a proton from bound water. In Figure 2b, the ESI+ spectrum is shown in the range of 450 \(m/z\) to 2000 \(m/z\). Minor other fragments are observed, but these cannot be linked to a molecular structure. Further confirmation of the ionic nature of the molecule is found in the elemental analysis (Calculated: C, 51.44; H, 8.63; Cl, 3.16; Cr 13.92. Found: C, 51.19; H, 8.90, Cl, 3.09; Cr 13.92), which provides confirmation of the chloride anion in the structure of the isolated complex.

The attenuated total reflection (ATR) infrared (IR) spectrum of the complex is shown in Figure 1; the inset of the figure shows an enlargement of the carboxylate stretches.

![Figure 1. ATR-IR spectrum of \([\text{Cr}_3\text{O}(2-\text{EH})_6(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3]^+\). The inset of the figure shows an enlargement of the carboxylate stretches.](image)

Additional evidence of the trinuclear structure of \([\text{Cr}_3\text{O}(2-\text{EH})_6(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3]^+\) is found in EPR spectroscopy. Magnetic
toluene was probed via Cr K-edge XAS. The second

The experimental Cr−C and Cr−O bond lengths are in excellent agreement with the performed calculations and only minor differences (~0.03 Å) are observed. A much larger deviation is however observed for the Cr−Cr distance (3.26 Å) matches closely with the crystal structure (~3.27 Å). This level of theory is

Table 1. Parameters That Were Used to Obtain a Fit for [Cr₃O(2-EH)₆(H₂O)₃]Cl in Cyclohexane (10 mM)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>coordination shell</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>σ² (Å²)</th>
<th>d (Cr−X, observed)</th>
<th>d (Cr−X, DFT)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cr−O</td>
<td>6.3(8)</td>
<td>0.002(1)</td>
<td>1.98(1)</td>
<td>2.00(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr−C</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.003(5)</td>
<td>2.95(3)</td>
<td>2.98(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr−Cr</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.002(2)</td>
<td>3.42(2)</td>
<td>3.258(2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

“Bond lengths are compared to a DFT optimized structure of [Cr₃O(2-EH)₆(H₂O)₃]⁺. General fitting parameters: S₀ = 0.92, E₀ = 7(2) eV, Δk = 3.80−12.80 Å⁻¹, ΔR = 1.20−3.42 Å, R² = 0.017; fitting was performed in k-space with a k-weighting of k⁵. The DFT structure of [Cr₃O(2-EH)₆(H₂O)₃]⁺ was calculated without taking into account the anion. DFT calculated distances were calculated by averaging the distances to the metal centers of the various contributors contained within a shell. The standard deviation is given for both the experimental data and for the DFT calculated structure. Calculations are reported for the quartet state using BP86-d³/TZP.

Table 2. Catalytic Tests Performed with [Cr₃O(2-EH)₆(H₂O)₃]Cl under 1 bar of C₂H₄

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>catalyst</th>
<th>solvent</th>
<th>Cr (µmol)</th>
<th>T (°C)</th>
<th>1-C₆ (mg)ᵇ</th>
<th>TOFᵇ</th>
<th>PE (mg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Cr₃O(2-EH)₆(H₂O)₃]Cl</td>
<td>toluene</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Cr₃O(2-EH)₆(H₂O)₃]Cl</td>
<td>toluene</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Cr₃O(2-EH)₆(H₂O)₃]Cl</td>
<td>cyclohexane</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td>54.6</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Cr₃O(2-EH)₆(H₂O)₃]Cl</td>
<td>cyclohexane</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>115.5</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>commercial source</td>
<td>toluene</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>commercial source</td>
<td>cyclohexane</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

“Reaction volume: 10 mL, reaction time: 60 min, internal standard: mesitylene (3 mg/mL reaction solvent), additional reagents used: DMP (3 equiv), AlEt₃ (11 equiv), and AlEt₂Cl (8 equiv). Amount of 1-hexene was determined by GC. TOF is defined as mole of 1-hexene formed per mole chromium per hour. RT = room temperature. Traces of decenes (<1 mol %) were observed in all performed experiments.

measurements of other trinuclear chromium carboxylates have revealed antiferromagnetic interactions between the chromium atoms in the [Cr₃O] core.²⁵,³⁰ These antiferromagnetic interactions give rise to a doublet ground state and a thermally accessible quartet excited state. EPR measurements of [Cr₃O(2-EH)₆(H₂O)₃]Cl in toluene performed at variable temperatures are depicted in Figure S10. At 15K and 40K a broad resonance is typical for an S = 3/2 complex with a small zero field splitting.³⁴ At 8K, an additional sharp resonance becomes visible with a g-value of ~1.95; the observation of this resonance at lower temperature is in line with population of the S = 1/2 ground state.

In addition, the geometry of [Cr₃O(2-EH)₆(H₂O)₃]Cl in toluene was probed via Cr K-edge XAS. The k²-weighted Fourier transform of the Cr K-edge EXAFS data for [Cr₃O(2-EH)₆(H₂O)₃]Cl is shown in Figure 3. The obtained EXAFS parameters and a comparison to a DFT optimized structure is shown in Table 1. Three shells are used to obtain a fit for the data. The first shell is an oxygen shell containing 6.3(8) atoms at a distance of 1.98(1) Å. It is not possible to identify a separate oxygen shell for the mu-oxo (1.881(3) Å) or for bound water (2.175(7) Å) as the Cr−O distances are too similar to be distinguished with our data quality. The second shell is a carbon shell containing four atoms at a distance of 2.95(3) Å. This carbon shell is assigned to the carbons contained in the bridging carboxylates. The third shell is a chromium shell containing two atoms at a distance of 3.42(2) Å and is assigned to chromium atoms contained within the [Cr₃O] core.

DFT calculations on the BP86-d³/TZP level were performed to compare experimental bond lengths to calculated bond lengths (see Table 1). This level of theory does not accurately predict a doublet ground state for the complex: the quartet spin state is favored over the doublet spin state. This is presumably due to pure density functionals such as BP86 favoring low spin states over high spin states.³⁵ Nevertheless, only minor geometrical differences are observed between the two spin states, and the geometry of the quartet spin state is thus used to compare to the experimental data.

Figure 3. (a) Fourier transform of k²-weighted Cr K-edge EXAFS data of [Cr₃O(2-EH)₆(H₂O)₃]Cl in cyclohexane (10 mM) and (b) the corresponding k²-weighted experimental EXAFS oscillations. Shown in red is the experimental data and shown in black is the fit to the experimental data using the parameters shown in Table 1.
thus sufficient to model the Cr−Cr distance in trinuclear chromium carboxylates. Elongation of the Cr−Cr distance is however observed in some trinuclear chromium carboxylates, that is, in fluorinated chromium carboxylates (∼3.34 Å) or in chromium carboxylates contained in metalorganic frameworks (∼3.42 Å and ∼3.48 Å).37−39 The elongated Cr−Cr distance is thus not unusual, its origin however remains unknown.

2.2. Catalytic Screening of \([\text{Cr}_3\text{O}(2\text{-EH})_6(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3]\text{Cl}\). With an understanding of the structure of \([\text{Cr}_3\text{O}(2\text{-EH})_6(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3]\text{Cl}\), the performance of the catalyst was assessed. Activation of the catalyst was achieved by reaction of \([\text{Cr}_3\text{O}(2\text{-EH})_6(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3]\text{Cl}\) (1 equiv) with \(\text{AlEt}_3\) (11 equiv), \(\text{AlEt}_2\text{Cl}\) (8 equiv), and \(\text{DMP}\) (3 equiv).40 Table 2 shows the catalytic tests performed under 1 bar of \(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\) in toluene and cyclohexane.41 The choice of solvent has a large effect on the performance of the catalyst. Performing the reaction in an aliphatic solvent leads to a 10-fold increase in activity compared with an aromatic solvent. Reduced activity in aromatic solvents is also known from the patent literature: cyclohexane is often used as the reaction solvent.40 The origin of the reduced performance of the chromium-pyrrolyl system in aromatic solvents is still unclear. Suggestions have been made that toluene coordinates to a Cr(I) active species to form an arene complex and poisoning the catalyst in the process.8 Similar deactivation pathways have been observed in related Cr−\{PNP\} tri- and tetramerization systems.10,20,42

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>catalyst</th>
<th>solvent</th>
<th>(\text{Cr (μmol)})</th>
<th>(T (°\text{C}))</th>
<th>(1-\text{C}_6 (\text{g})^b)</th>
<th>(\text{TOF}^c)</th>
<th>(\text{PE (mg)})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Cr}_3\text{O}(2\text{-EH})_6(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3]\text{Cl})</td>
<td>toluene</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>1876</td>
<td>25.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Cr}_3\text{O}(2\text{-EH})_6(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3]\text{Cl})</td>
<td>cyclohexane</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>63.03</td>
<td>29960</td>
<td>75.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

“Reaction volume: 150 mL, reaction time: 30 m, internal standard: mesitylene (3 mg/mL reaction solvent), additional reagents used: \(\text{DMP}\) (3 equiv), \(\text{AlEt}_3\) (11 equiv), and \(\text{AlEt}_2\text{Cl}\) (8 equiv).\(^a\) Amount of 1-hexene was determined by GC. “TOF is defined as mole of 1-hexene formed per mole chromium per hour. Traces of decenes (<1 mol %) were observed in all performed experiments.

Scheme 5. Overview of the Reactivity Observed for the Reaction of DMP (3 equiv) with \(\text{AlEt}_3\) (11 equiv) and \(\text{AlEt}_2\text{Cl}\) (8 equiv)\(^a\)

"Red depicts the observed quantity of the compound in toluene, blue depicts the observed quantity of the compound in cyclohexane and italics depicts the electronic energy (\(\Delta E\)) of the given transformation. The amount of \(d\) was not quantified; it was found to be very minor compared with complex \(c\) and complex \(f\). Contents of the reaction mixture do not change any further after all DMP has been consumed. Resonances of \(d\) could not be observed in cyclohexane-\(d_{12}\), as it was not possible to cool the solution to \(-40 \, ^{°}\text{C}\) due to the high melting point of the solvent."
Increasing the reaction temperature from room temperature to 50 °C leads to a roughly 2-fold increase of the activity of the catalyst in both aromatic and aliphatic solvents. Limited formation of polyethylene (PE) is observed in all performed reactions.

Only minor differences are observed between \([\text{Cr}_3\text{O}(2\text{-EH})_6(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3]\text{Cl}\) and a commercial source of \(\text{Cr}(2\text{-EH})_3\)_. Ascribing differences between these two sources of chromium 2-ethylhexanoate is difficult due to the unknown nature of the commercial source (Strem). Mass spectrometry, elemental analysis, and XAS analysis of the commercial source however also indicate the presence of trinuclear, ionic complexes in the commercial source of chromium 2-ethylhexanoate (Supporting Information 2.4).

In Table 3, the results are shown for the reaction performed under 50 bar of \(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\) at 90 °C. A 15-fold increased activity is observed in cyclohexane with respect to toluene; roughly similar to what is also observed under 1 bar of \(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\). The activities observed are in close agreement with values reported in patent literature.40

2.3. Reaction of 2,5-Dimethylpyrrole with Triethylaluminum and Diethylaluminum Chloride. Before the catalyst is activated, DMP is first reacted with a mixture of AlEt₃ and AlEt₂Cl. This solution is subsequently used to activate chromium 2-ethylhexanoate.40 The reaction between AlEt₃ and DMP and AlEt₂Cl and DMP was studied by Jeon et al., but so far no reports have been made of the reaction between a mixture of AlEt₃, AlEt₂Cl, and DMP.27 We therefore applied multinuclear NMR techniques and DFT to study the reaction between DMP (3 equiv) and AlEt₃ (11 equiv) and AlEt₂Cl (8 equiv) in both aromatic solvents and aliphatic solvents. In addition, we expanded on the structural assignment made by Jeon et al. for the reaction between AlEt₃ (19 equiv) and DMP (3 equiv) and AlEt₂Cl (19 equiv) and DMP (3 equiv); all of our results are summarized in Supporting Information 4.1 and 4.3.

The reactions were performed by premixing AlEt₃ (11 equiv) and AlEt₂Cl (8 equiv) in an aromatic or aliphatic solvent. DMP (3 equiv) was added dropwise to this solution, and the solution was stirred for 10 min. The solutions were briefly exposed to vacuum at 0 °C, to remove any volatiles, after which deuterated solvents (toluene-d₈ or cyclohexane-d₈) were introduced. Three products can be identified that are formed during the reaction (Scheme 5 and Figure 4): adduct c and d and aminoalane f. Ten minutes after the reagents are mixed, unreacted DMP resonances can still be identified (Figure 4i), but was found to be completely consumed after 30 min (Figure 4ii). In addition, a reaction mixture aged for 3 days shows no change in composition of the solution (Figure S38b). Only minor differences in composition of the solution were observed in toluene and cyclohexane.

The formation of c can be concluded on the basis of the ¹H NMR spectrum (Figure 4ii) and ¹³C NMR spectrum (Figure S39): three novel resonances are observed caused by rearrangement of the double bond. In addition, two resonances for the methyl moieties are observed for \(\delta_\text{H} = 1.92 \text{ ppm and } 0.83 \text{ ppm}\) due to desymmetrization of the pyrrolyl ring. DFT calculations show that the formation of this adduct is favorable (\(\Delta E = −5.4 \text{ kcal/mol; Supporting Information 5.4}\)). The resonances of d are very similar to the resonances observed for c. These resonances are broadened at room temperature, but can be observed at −40 °C. Interestingly, the aromatic proton resonances contained within the pyrrolyl moiety d can be observed as a separate resonance in the ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectrum (\(\delta_\text{H} = 5.94 \text{ ppm and } \delta_\text{C} = 97.32 \text{ ppm}\)) (Figure 4iii and Figure S39b). Only a very minor amount of the total amount of DMP present is converted into d (<1%). DFT calculations show the formation of this adduct to be slightly favorable (\(\Delta E = −0.9 \text{ kcal/mol; Supporting Information 5.4}\)).

The formation of aminoalane f can be concluded on the basis of the ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra (Figure 4iii and Figure S39): a single resonance for the aromatic moiety is observed (\(\delta_\text{H} = 5.72 \text{ ppm and } \delta_\text{C} = 105.70 \text{ ppm}\)) and a single resonance for the methyl moiety is observed (\(\delta_\text{H} = 2.24 \text{ ppm and } \delta_\text{C} = 16.80 \text{ ppm}\)).

DFT calculations were employed to evaluate the relative electronic energy of various aminoalane dimers that can be formed in the presence of both AlEt₃ and AlEt₂Cl (see Supporting Information 5.4). The formation of aminoalane f is found to be most favored (\(\Delta E = −34.5 \text{ kcal/mol}\)) Various other aminoalane dimers however do have very similar electronic energies compared to f, and we considered the possibility of chemical exchange at room temperature. Splitting of the resonances of f is however not observed when the reaction mixture was cooled to −40 °C (Figure 4iii), showing that the resonances of f arise from a single complex.

The observed aluminum complexes (see Scheme 5) will all be present when a chromium 2-ethylhexanoate solution is activated. In addition to these aluminum complexes, large excesses of AlEt₃ and AlEt₂Cl still are present after the reaction with DMP. The performed NMR experiments show that DMP reacts solely with AlEt₃ (Supporting Information 4.1 and 4.3). Three equivalents of AlEt₃ are thus required to deprotonate...
DMP prior to reaction with chromium, and the reaction mixture thus consists of the observed aluminum pyrrolyl complexes and eight equivalents of both AlEt3 and AlEt2Cl.

2.4. Spectroscopic Investigation of the Activation of [Cr3O(2-EH)6(H2O)3]Cl

With an understanding of the products formed in the reaction of DMP with AlEt3 and AlEt2Cl, we set out to investigate the activation of [Cr3O(2-EH)6(H2O)3]Cl. A variety of spectroscopic techniques were applied (EPR, XAS, and UV−vis) to probe both geometry and electronic structure of the activated metal center in both toluene and cyclohexane. Throughout each of the experiments reported below, we employed a stoichiometry of 1:3:11:8 with respect to Cr, DMP, AlEt3, and AlEt2Cl. Activation of chromium was typically performed via premixing of DMP, AlEt3, and AlEt2Cl, after which [Cr3O(2-EH)6(H2O)3]Cl was added to this solution.

2.4.1. Activation of [Cr3O(2-EH)6(H2O)3]Cl Followed by Stopped-Flow UV−vis.
The stopped-flow UV−vis spectra for the activation of [Cr3O(2-EH)6(H2O)3]Cl (1) in cyclohexane and toluene are presented in Figure 5. 1 shows two absorption bands at 411 and 572 nm. Upon activation of 1 in cyclohexane, an immediate shift (<5 ms) in absorption bands is observed, and a novel band is observed at 580 nm. Another absorption band is observed around 410 nm, but could not be properly assigned as it overlaps with a rising charge-transfer (CT) band. These changes are accompanied by the solution immediately becoming turbid after activation. This increase in turbidity gives rise to a general increase of the absorption of the solution due to scattering of the formed particles (Figure 5a). Over time, disappearance of the absorption bands at 410 and 580 nm is observed, and a novel absorption band appears at 471 nm. No other absorption bands can be distinguished, presumably due to overlap with the CT band.

Figure 6. EPR spectra at 20K of (a) [Cr3O(2-EH)6(H2O)3]Cl (toluene, 10 mM in Cr), (b) [Cr3O(2-EH)6(H2O)3]Cl (cyclohexane, 8.46 mM in Cr) activated with AlEt3 (11 equiv), AlEt2Cl (8 equiv), and DMP (3 equiv), and (c) [Cr3O(2-EH)6(H2O)3]Cl (toluene, 9.09 mM in Cr) activated with AlEt3 (11 equiv), AlEt2Cl (8 equiv), and DMP (3 equiv). Reaction times are shown in the figure. The inset shows an enlargement of the observed Cr(I) resonances.

After 10 min, the solution becomes clear again, and the general absorption of the solution diminishes due to consumption of the formed particles (either via reaction or dissolution). The consumption rate of the particles was estimated by following the absorption of the background (900 nm) in time and follow approximate first-order reaction kinetics (Figure S47).

A very similar activation pathway is observed in toluene (Figure 5b). Immediately after activation (<5 ms) of 1, novel absorption bands are visible at 410 and 580 nm. These absorption bands are similar to the ones observed in cyclohexane; however, in toluene, the solution remains clear after activation. On a time scale of 10 min, disappearance of the absorption bands at 410 and 580 nm is observed and a rising CT band becomes visible. An additional absorption band is observed, which coincides with the rising CT band. It was not possible to accurately assign the wavelength of this absorption band. The observed changes in the UV−vis spectrum are accompanied by isosbestic points at 545 and 679 nm. The changes can again be modeled via first-order reaction kinetics (Figure S48) and show a slower conversion in toluene compared to cyclohexane.

2.4.2. Activation of [Cr3O(2-EH)6(H2O)3]Cl Followed by EPR.

EPR spectroscopy was applied to obtain information about the oxidation state of the metal after activation. The activation of this system in cyclohexane using EPR spectroscopy has already been studied in detail by Skobelev et al. In their study, the majority of the solution was found to be EPR-silent and a small fraction of a pyrrole-coordinated Cr(I) complex was observed. To build on their results, we investigated the activation in both cyclohexane and toluene to observe any possible differences in composition in an aromatic solvent and aliphatic solvent; our results are presented in Figure 6. The temperature-dependent EPR
The disappearance of its resonances: very minor amounts of \( g_{x,y,z} = 1.988 \). Quantitative EPR experiments performed at a novel isotropic Cr(I) resonance is visible with a g-value of observable after 2 min (Figure 6 and Figure S51). After 2 min line shape is different (current study: isotropic, previous study: axial). We only observed partially resolved superhyperfine splitting for the Cr(I) complex after an hour. The rest of the chromium is thus present as EPR-silent complexes (either as dinuclear Cr(I) complex or Cr(II) complex).

Skobelev et al.; ascribe the observed Cr(I) resonance to the formation of a Cr(I) pyrrolyl complex. The Cr(I) complex observed in this study might be different from the Cr(I) complex observed by Skobelev et al. as the symmetry of the line shape is different (current study: isotropic, previous study: axial). We only observed partially resolved superhyperfine splitting for the Cr(I) complex at room temperature (Figure S49), using TEMPO as an external spin probe, show that <1% of all chromium present is converted into this Cr(I) complex after an hour. The rest of the chromium is thus present as EPR-silent complexes (either as dinuclear Cr(I) complex or Cr(II) complex).

Relating UV−vis (Figure 6b) and EPR (Figure 5a) provides information about changes in the oxidation state of chromium over time. Quantitative EPR experiments show rapid reduction of 1 upon reaction with aluminum alkyl reagents. Within 2 min most of 1 is consumed and is transformed into EPR-silent complexes. These results suggest that the changes observed in the UV−vis on short time scales (<2 min) is due to a reduction of the catalyst via formation of an EPR-silent complex (2). UV−vis shows transformation of 2 into another complex (3); from EPR it can be concluded that 3 is also EPR-silent. EPR also shows the formation of a Cr(I) complex, which is present in low concentrations (<1%). Due to the low concentration of this Cr(I) complex, it is unlikely that this complex is observable using UV−vis; these EPR resonances are thus assigned to another complex (4).

Similar observations are made when the activation of 1 is performed in toluene. The resonances arising from 1 disappear rapidly (Figure 6c and Figure S53), and an axial Cr(I) resonance appears with g-values of \( g_{x} = 1.977 \) and \( g_{z} = 2.001 \). The resonances in toluene are different compared to the ones observed in cyclohexane, as different g-values and symmetry of the line shapes are observed (isotropic and axial respectively); the resonances observed in toluene can thus be assigned to another Cr(I) complex (5) unrelated to 4.

Quantitative EPR shows that the concentration of 5 is much higher compared to the concentration of 4. Around 23% of the total chromium content of the solution is converted into 5 after 1 h. No other resonances are observed after activation, showing that again a large fraction of EPR-silent chromium complexes are being formed. It is known that a Cr(III) source, an aluminum alkyl compound, and an aromatic solvent can be used to prepare cationic bis(arene)Cr(I) complexes. In addition, the formation of cationic bis(arene)Cr(I) complexes has been observed in other selective ethene oligomerization systems by Bercaw and co-workers.

Comparison of UV−vis and EPR results in toluene again provides information about the oxidation state of the catalyst after activation. EPR spectroscopy reveals immediate reduction of 1 and formation of 5 and large quantities of EPR-silent complexes. Very similar absorption bands in toluene and cyclohexane are observed immediately after activation of 1; these results thus also suggest formation of 2 in toluene. Over time, disappearance of the absorption bands of 2 is observed.
and a strong CT band is observed. The CT band likely originates from 5 as bis(arene)Cr(I) complexes have been shown to have strong CT bands in a similar region.35 Due to the intense CT band, it cannot be concluded whether 3 is also being formed in toluene.

2.4.3. Activation Followed by Freeze-Quench Cr K-Edge EXAFS. Although EPR and UV–vis spectroscopy provide important information about the oxidation state and kinetics of the metal during activation, no structural information is obtained. We therefore applied Cr K-edge XAS to follow the activation of 1 in toluene and cyclohexane.

An overview of the Cr K-edge EXAFS data in cyclohexane and toluene is presented in Figure 7. The EXAFS fitting parameters are summarized in Table 4 and Table 5. The EXAFS analysis of the activation in toluene and cyclohexane is presented in Supporting Information 8. The EXAFS analysis of the activation in toluene has a very similar structure to an unrealistically long Cr–O bond. The EXAFS analysis of the activation in cyclohexane has a very similar structure to bis(arene)Cr(I) complexes have been shown to have strong CT bands in a similar region.45 Due to the strong CT bands, we cannot deduce the nuclearity of these complexes due to the lack of parameters. The number depicted in the entry coordination shell is the actual parameter used in the EXAFS fit and was used to obtain the fit. A restraint for the Cr–Cl distance (range: 2.30–2.42 Å) was applied to model this shell; the absence of this restraint gave rise to an unrealistically short Cr–Cl distance.

### Table 4. Structural Parameters Obtained from Fitting Cr K-Edge EXAFS Data of the Activation in Cyclohexane

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>reaction time</th>
<th>suggested complex</th>
<th>σ^2(A^2)</th>
<th>coordination shell</th>
<th>d (Cr–X) (Å)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 s</td>
<td>2 (100%)</td>
<td>0.004(2)</td>
<td>4.7(9) Cr–O</td>
<td>1.97(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 min</td>
<td>2 (100%)</td>
<td>0.004(1)</td>
<td>3.9(4) Cr–O</td>
<td>1.982(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 min</td>
<td>2 (50%)</td>
<td>0.004(2)</td>
<td>4 Cr–C</td>
<td>2.97(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 min</td>
<td>2 (34% ± 9%)</td>
<td>0.003(4)</td>
<td>5 Cr–Cl</td>
<td>2.31(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 h</td>
<td>2 (15%)</td>
<td>0.005(5)</td>
<td>4 Cr–O</td>
<td>2.05(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (85%)</td>
<td>0.002(2)</td>
<td>2 Cr–Cl</td>
<td>2.36(3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.002(3)</td>
<td>5 Cr–C/Cl–N</td>
<td>2.38(3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.003(3)</td>
<td>1 Cr–Cr</td>
<td>2.94(4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5. Structural Parameters Obtained from Fitting Cr K-Edge EXAFS Data of the Activation in Toluene

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>reaction time</th>
<th>suggested complex</th>
<th>σ^2(A^2)</th>
<th>coordination shell</th>
<th>d (Cr–X) (Å)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 s</td>
<td>2 (100%)</td>
<td>0.004(2)</td>
<td>4.2(6) Cr–O</td>
<td>1.97(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 min</td>
<td>2 (100%)</td>
<td>0.004(2)</td>
<td>4.1(6) Cr–O</td>
<td>1.96(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 min</td>
<td>2 (56% ± 14%)</td>
<td>0.002(2)</td>
<td>4 Cr–Cl</td>
<td>2.97(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 min</td>
<td>4 (11%)</td>
<td>0.007(8)</td>
<td>4 Cr–O/Cl–C</td>
<td>2.06(2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

"Samples (16.7 mM) were prepared by freezing them in liquid nitrogen at a set reaction time. After 30 min and 3 h a mixture of various complex is present. It was not possible to assign the relative contribution to the EXAFS spectrum for each of these complexes due to the lack of parameters. The number depicted in the entry coordination shell is the actual parameter used in the EXAFS fit and was used to obtain the fit. A restraint for the Cr–Cl distance (range: 2.30–2.42 Å) was applied to model this shell; the absence of this restraint gave rise to an unrealistically short Cr–Cl distance.

processed EXAFS data can be found in Supporting Information 8. The EXAFS analysis of 1 was already discussed in detail and was shown to be in line with the formation of a trinuclear Cr(III) carboxylate.

2.4.3.1. Activation of the Precursor in Cyclohexane. Addition of aluminum alkyl activators to 1 leads to immediate changes (<10 s) in the observed EXAFS, followed by slower changes over 3 h. The EPR and UV–vis results show that the majority species at short reaction times (<2 min) is EPR-silent complex 2. This species is thus also being probed at short reaction times (10 s and 2 min) in the performed XAS experiments. EXAFS analysis of a reaction mixture frozen after 2 min (Figure S57 and Table S18) reveals identical coordination shells (Cr–O, Cr–C, and Cr–Cr) and bond distances as was observed for 1. These observations suggest that 2 has a very similar structure compared with 1. For the Cr–O coordination shell, however, a reduction of the coordination number from 6.3(8) to 3.9(4) is observed. On the basis of these observations, we propose that 2 is a neutral polynuclear Cr(II) carboxylate species; using only the EXAFS data we cannot deduce the nuclearity of 2.

DFT calculations were employed to explore the feasibility of formation of a neutral Cr(II) carboxylate from an ionic Cr(III) carboxylate (Supporting Information 8.1) in the presence of aluminum alkyl reagents. We envisioned that the bound water at a set reaction time. Care had to be taken in the EXAFS analysis, as EPR and UV–vis spectroscopy indicate a mixture of (at least) three complexes (2, 3, and 4). Because of the low concentration of 4, it is unlikely that this complex will significantly contribute to the EXAFS oscillations. We managed to successfully fit the EXAFS oscillations at longer reaction times (10 min, 30 min, and 3 h) by assuming conversion of a polynuclear Cr(II) carboxylate (2) to a dinuclear Cr(II) pyrrolyl complex (3) (see Scheme 6). This structural assignment was obtained by first introducing coordination shells and optimizing the corre-
The substituent for the two conformations that were taken into account in DFT calculations were \( \text{C}_6\text{H}_8\text{NAlEtCl(DMP)} \), and \( \text{C}_6\text{H}_8\text{NAlEt}_2\text{Cl} \).

It has been demonstrated that the lone pair of the nitrogen can form a dative bond with aluminum alkyl compounds present in the reaction mixture.\(^6\) We therefore took into account dative bond formation with several aluminum alkyl reagents (\( \text{AlEt}_2\text{Cl} \), \( \text{AlEt}_3 \), and \( \text{AlEtCIDMP} \)). The calculations indeed show that adduct formation is favored; formation of an adduct with \( \text{AlEt}_3\text{Cl} \) is found to be most favored. In the presence of aluminum alkyl reagents, the planar geometry (Scheme 7A) becomes favored over the butterfly geometry (Scheme 7B). In the case of adduct formation with \( \text{AlEt}_3\text{Cl} \), the difference between planar and butterfly geometry is found to be \( \Delta E = 6.7 \text{ kcal/mol} \) in the singlet state. The Cr−Cl distances (calculated: 2.36(3) Å, experimental: 2.38(2) Å), the Cr−C distances (calculated: 2.36(3) Å, experimental: 2.38(3) Å) and the Cr−Cr distances (calculated: 2.87 Å, experimental: 2.94(3) Å) obtained from the performed calculations match closely with the experimental values.

Interesting is the close resemblance between our proposed structure and self-activating catalysts isolated by Duchateau and Korobkov and co-workers, as depicted in Scheme 3. It should be noted that the nuclearity of 2 is unknown and is drawn as trinuclear for illustrative purposes.

EXAFS analysis of a reaction mixture frozen after 30 min are discussed below.

A total of three coordination shells are required to obtain a fit for the reaction mixture frozen after 30 min. EXAFS analysis reveals that 66% is converted into 3. The presence of 2 inside the reaction mixture can be concluded based on the presence of a Cr−O coordination shell containing four atoms observed at a distance of 1.97(1) Å. A similar coordination shell was also observed for reaction mixtures frozen after 10 s and 2 min and is indicative of the presence of bridging carboxylates. The presence of 3 can be concluded on the basis of the presence of a Cr−C and Cr−Cl coordination shell. The Cr−C shell is observed at a distance of 2.31(2) Å and contains five atoms. The Cr−Cl shell is observed at a distance of 2.38(2) Å and contains two atoms. The observed distance and coordination number of the carbon shell is in line with π-coordination of the pyrrolyl ring to the metal center.\(^6\) In addition, the chloride distances are in line with bridging coordination of the chlorides.\(^6\) We thus assign 3 to the formation of a chloro-bridged dinuclear Cr(II) pyrrolyl complex. The ability of Cr(II) pyrrolyl complexes to form dinuclear complexes is observed for other pyrrolyl ligands in the literature.\(^6,36\)

Similar EXAFS fitting results are obtained for the sample frozen after 10 min (Figure S59 and Table S19) and 3 h (Figure S63 and Table S21). After 10 min, 50% is converted into 3, and after 3 h, 85% is converted into 3. Interestingly, for the sample frozen after 3 h, it was possible to improve the fit by including a chromium shell containing one atom at a distance of 2.94(4) Å. This chromium shell is assigned to 3 and provides further evidence for its dinuclear structure.

EXAFS can typically only probe the first coordination sphere of complexes in solution. We therefore applied DFT calculations on the BP86-d^3/TZP level of theory to obtain more information about the geometry of 3 (Supporting Information 8.2). It is possible for a dinuclear Cr(II) pyrrolyl complexes to adopt either a planar structure (Scheme 7A) or a butterfly structure (Scheme 7B).\(^6\) Performing the calculations in the absence of any aluminum alkyl compounds shows that the butterfly geometry is slightly favored over the planar geometry (\( \Delta E = 1.1 \text{ kcal/mol} \)).

The substituent for the two conformations that were taken into account in DFT calculations were \( \text{C}_6\text{H}_8\text{NAlEtCl(DMP)} \), and \( \text{C}_6\text{H}_8\text{NAlEt}_2\text{Cl} \).
multiple species present. EPR and UV−vis spectroscopy show the presence of at least three complexes (2, 3, and 5). As a result, it was not possible to accurately determine the relative contributions of each of the species within the EXAFS spectra.

EXAFS analysis of 1 activated after 30 min suggest the presence of 2, 3, and 5. In the fit, a Cr−C shell at a distance 3.04(4) Å and the Cr−Cr shell at a distance of 3.35(4) Å had to be included, indicative of the presence of 2. An additional Cr−C/Cr−O shell was found at a distance of 2.06(2) Å. The observed Cr−C/Cr−O did not match the expected Cr−C distance observed for [Cr(C6H6)2]I (2.13(4) Å). Presumably this mismatch arises due to overlap of the Cr−O shell of 2 and the Cr−C shell of 5, causing an average to be observed. Finally, the presence of 3 can be concluded based on the observed Cr−Cl shell at a distance of 2.34(2) Å. It was not possible to include a separate shell for the Cr−C shell of the pyrrolyl ring.

After 3 h, presumably 2 is mostly consumed as it was not possible to include a Cr−C shell or a Cr−Cr shell for 2. The presence of 5 can be concluded based on the observation of a Cr−Cl shell at a distance of 2.33(1) Å. To come to a successful fit, an additional Cr−Cr shell at a distance of 2.69(5) Å had to be included. Interestingly, the observed Cr−Cr distance is shorter in toluene compared to cyclohexane (2.94(3) Å); these results suggest that the choice of solvent might have an influence on the geometry of 3.

2.4.4. Activation Followed by Freeze-Quench Cr K-Edge XANES. The observed Cr K-edge XANES data in cyclohexane and toluene are depicted in Figure 8a,b. In cyclohexane, 1 shows an absorption edge at 6001.7 eV. Activation of 1 leads to an immediate shift in absorption edge to 6000.9 eV. In addition to these changes, the whiteline intensity decreases and the intensity of the pre-edge feature increases. Over time, the position of the edge changes further and is 5994.1 eV after 30 min. These additional changes are accompanied by a further decrease in the whiteline intensity and a further increase in the intensity of the pre-edge features. In toluene similar trends are observed, but these changes occur more slowly, in line with the slower kinetics observed in the stopped-flow UV−vis measurements. Additional features also appear after longer reaction times (>10 min), possibly due to the presence of

---

**Figure 8.** Cr K-edge XANES data of the activation of [Cr3O(2-EH)6(H2O)3]Cl (by DMP (3 equiv), AlEt3 (11 equiv), and AlEt2Cl (8 equiv) at various points in time in (a) cyclohexane (14.6 mM in Cr) and (b) toluene (16.7 mM in Cr).

**Scheme 8.** Overview of the Various Species Formed upon Activation of 1 in the Presence of AlEt3Cl (8 equiv), AlEt3 (11 equiv), and DMP (3 equiv)
bis(tolyl)Cr(I) complexes. These features were found to match closely with a known reference of [Cr(C6H6)2]I (Figure S75).

Unfortunately, we were unsuccessful in simulating the observed changes using the ab initio self-consistent field, real space, full multiple scattering methodology implemented in FEFF 9.0. Comparison of an experimental and calculated spectrum of a known standard, [Cr(C6H6)2]I (Figure S75), shows large discrepancies between the calculated and observed edge position and shape. Likely FEFF9.0 cannot be applied to model spectra of $\pi$-bound ligands. The observed trends can however be rationalized. Upon activation of 1, an immediate edge shift to lower energies is observed. This shift in edge position is in line with the reduction of complex 1 to form complex 2. Over time, the position of the edge shifts even further by more than 6 eV. FEFF9 calculations performed on the Cr-[SNS] show that substitution of chlorides into a complex can lead to an edge shift toward lower energies. The observed edge shift to lower energies over time can thus be understood to arise from substitution of carboxylates by chlorides in the conversion of 2 to 3.

2.5. Correlation of Spectroscopic Data and Catalytic Data. The various observed species are summarized in Scheme 8. The concentration of the complexes formed vary over time and complicate the identification of the complex which is responsible for the generation of the active species. Upon activation of 1 immediate conversion to 2 is observed. Over longer time scales (>10 min), the concentration of 2 diminishes and 3 is formed. The conversion of 2 to 3 proceeds more slowly in toluene compared to cyclohexane, as is observed by both stopped-flow UV–vis and Cr K-edge XAS. In addition to the formation of 3, also the formation of 4 is observed in cyclohexane and 5 is observed in toluene. The structure of 4 remains unknown. Using quantitative EPR it is possible to accurately determine the amount of 4 (<1% after an hour) and 5 (~23% after an hour). The relative concentration of 2 and 3 over time can be determined using the Cr K-edge EXAFS data, but the error margin is relatively high.

The catalyst is active in both cyclohexane and toluene (Table 2), indicating that the active species is generated in both solvents. This allows us to exclude 5 as a candidate for the active species as it is exclusively formed in toluene. The reduced performance of the catalyst in toluene suggests that formation of bis(tolyl)Cr(I) complexes leads to diminished performance of the catalyst.

The concentration of 2, 3, and 4 is time-dependent: over time, 2 is consumed, and 3 and 4 are formed. A catalytic experiment was performed where the turnover number was followed as a function of time for a catalyst prepared via in situ activation and a catalyst aged for 3 and 21 h; the results are depicted in Figure S76. These experiments were performed under 1 bar of ethene at room temperature. Very limited dependency of the performance of the catalyst on the aging time is observed.

This allows us to exclude 2 as a candidate for the active species, as the concentration of 2 will be very low after aging the catalytic system for 21 h. This also makes 3 to be less likely for the active species, as the concentration of 3 shows a large variation over time; low initial productivity would be expected for the catalyst prepared via in situ activation. The aging behavior makes 4 a more likely candidate for the generation of the active species, as the concentration of 4 varies very little over time (Figure S49). Nevertheless, we cannot completely exclude 3, as it is present during all performed catalytic tests.

Alternatively, 3 and 4 could possibly both not participate in catalysis and catalysis might be performed by a minority, unobservable chromium complex. Similar suggestions have been made by Bercaw and co-workers in the spectroscopic investigation of a Cr–(PNP) trimerization system.

Additionally, the performed spectroscopic experiments have not been done in the presence of ethene. While the catalytic system is active under 1 bar of ethene, the catalytic system might undergo further changes in the presence of ethene. For example, Korobkov and co-workers have suggested heterolytic dissociation of a dinuclear tetramethylpyrrole Cr(II) complex (Scheme 3b) to be the pathway via which an active Cr(I) species is generated. Future spectroscopic experiments in the presence of ethene are underway to gain more insight into any additional changes the catalytic system undergoes in the presence of ethene.

3. CONCLUSIONS

In this study, chromium complexes were identified which are formed in the activation of the Chevron Phillips Chemical trimerization system under conditions applied in industry. The obtained results show a complicated pathway of activation in which multiple species are being formed. The precursor, an ionic trinuclear Cr(III) carboxylate, is initially reduced by the aluminum alkyl compounds to a polynuclear Cr(II) carboxylate. Over time, a novel chloro-bridged dinuclear Cr(II) pyrrolyl complex is formed.

In addition to the formation of Cr(II) complexes, also the formation of Cr(I) complexes is observed. In cyclohexane, only a small fraction (<1%) is converted to an unknown Cr(I) species. In toluene, the formation of bis(tolyl)chromium(I) species is observed; a much larger fraction of the chromium content is found to be in the Cr(I) state (∼23%). On the basis of the reduced performance of the catalyst in toluene, it is suggested that formation of Cr(I) complexes deactivates the catalyst.

No conclusions can be made regarding the actual active species. Catalytic tests allow to exclude the bis(tolyl)chromium(I) species and polynuclear Cr(II) carboxylate. These tests however do not exclude the dinuclear Cr(II) pyrrolyl complex, the Cr(I) complex observed in cyclohexane or yet another unobservable complex. In addition, the system might undergo further changes in the presence of ethene. Further spectroscopic studies in the presence of ethene are required to draw conclusions on the oxidation state of the active species.
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