

UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

The role of analytical chemistry in exposure science: Focus on the aquatic environment

Hernández, F.; Bakker, J.; Bijlsma, L.; de Boer, J.; Botero-Coy, A.M.; Bruinen de Bruin, Y.; Fischer, S.; Hollender, J.; Kasprzyk-Hordern, B.; Lamoree, M.; López, F.J.; ter Laak, T.L.; van Leerdam, J.A.; Sancho, J.V.; Schymanski, E.L.; de Voogt, P.; Hogendoorn, E.A.

DOI

[10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.01.118](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.01.118)

Publication date

2019

Document Version

Final published version

Published in

Chemosphere

License

Article 25fa Dutch Copyright Act

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Hernández, F., Bakker, J., Bijlsma, L., de Boer, J., Botero-Coy, A. M., Bruinen de Bruin, Y., Fischer, S., Hollender, J., Kasprzyk-Hordern, B., Lamoree, M., López, F. J., ter Laak, T. L., van Leerdam, J. A., Sancho, J. V., Schymanski, E. L., de Voogt, P., & Hogendoorn, E. A. (2019). The role of analytical chemistry in exposure science: Focus on the aquatic environment. *Chemosphere*, 222, 564-583.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.01.118>

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

UvA-DARE is a service provided by the library of the University of Amsterdam (<https://dare.uva.nl>)



Review

The role of analytical chemistry in exposure science: Focus on the aquatic environment



F. Hernández^{a,*}, J. Bakker^b, L. Bijlsma^a, J. de Boer^c, A.M. Botero-Coy^a, Y. Bruinen de Bruin^d, S. Fischer^e, J. Hollender^{f,g}, B. Kasprzyk-Hordern^h, M. Lamoree^c, F.J. López^a, T.L. ter Laakⁱ, J.A. van Leerdamⁱ, J.V. Sancho^a, E.L. Schymanski^{f,j}, P. de Voogt^{i,k}, E.A. Hogendoorn^{b,2,1}

^a Research Institute for Pesticides and Water (IUPA), University Jaume I, Avda. Sos Baynat S/n, E-12071 Castellón, Spain

^b National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), Centre for Safety of Substances and Products, P.O. Box 1, 3720, BA Bilthoven, the Netherlands

^c Vrije Universiteit, Department Environment & Health, De Boelelaan 1087, 1081, HV Amsterdam, the Netherlands

^d European Commission Joint Research Centre, Directorate E - Space, Security and Migration, Italy

^e Swedish Chemicals Agency (KEMI), P.O. Box 2, SE-172 13, Sundbyberg, Sweden

^f Eawag, Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology, CH-8600, Dübendorf, Switzerland

^g Institute of Biogeochemistry and Pollutant Dynamics, ETH Zürich, 8092, Zürich, Switzerland

^h University of Bath, Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Bath, BA2 7AY, United Kingdom

ⁱ KWR Watercycle Research Institute, Chemical Water Quality and Health, P.O. Box 1072, 3430, BB Nieuwegein, the Netherlands

^j Luxembourg Centre for Systems Biomedicine (LCSB), University of Luxembourg, L-4367, Belvaux, Luxembourg

^k Institute for Biodiversity and Ecosystem Dynamics (IBED), University of Amsterdam, P.O. Box 94248, 1090, GE Amsterdam, the Netherlands

HIGHLIGHTS

- The role of modern analytical chemistry is essential in exposure science.
- Hyphenation of chromatography and HRMS allows the identification of many organic contaminants in the aquatic environment.
- Different strategies (target, suspect, non-target) are used for the broad investigation of emerging chemical risks in water.
- Monitoring programs and risks assessments are normally focused on parent contaminants.
- Little information exists on occurrence and (eco)toxicological effects of transformation products/metabolites in water.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 19 October 2018

Received in revised form

15 January 2019

Accepted 20 January 2019

Available online 23 January 2019

Handling Editor: Shane Snyder

Keywords:

Environmental analytical chemistry

Water

Exposure science

High resolution mass spectrometry

Chromatography

Emerging contaminants

ABSTRACT

Exposure science, in its broadest sense, studies the interactions between stressors (chemical, biological, and physical agents) and receptors (e.g. humans and other living organisms, and non-living items like buildings), together with the associated pathways and processes potentially leading to negative effects on human health and the environment. The aquatic environment may contain thousands of compounds, many of them still unknown, that can pose a risk to ecosystems and human health. Due to the unquestionable importance of the aquatic environment, one of the main challenges in the field of exposure science is the comprehensive characterization and evaluation of complex environmental mixtures beyond the classical/priority contaminants to new emerging contaminants.

The role of advanced analytical chemistry to identify and quantify potential chemical risks, that might cause adverse effects to the aquatic environment, is essential. In this paper, we present the strategies and tools that analytical chemistry has nowadays, focused on chromatography hyphenated to (high-resolution) mass spectrometry because of its relevance in this field. Key issues, such as the application of effect direct analysis to reduce the complexity of the sample, the investigation of the huge number of transformation/degradation products that may be present in the aquatic environment, the analysis of urban wastewater as a source of valuable information on our lifestyle and substances we consumed and/or are

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: felix.hernandez@uji.es (F. Hernández).

¹ In Memoriam.

² These two authors contributed equally to the present study. Sadly, Elbert Hogendoorn passed away before finishing this work.

exposed to, or the monitoring of drinking water, are discussed in this article. The trends and perspectives for the next few years are also highlighted, when it is expected that new developments and tools will allow a better knowledge of chemical composition in the aquatic environment. This will help regulatory authorities to protect water bodies and to advance towards improved regulations that enable practical and efficient abatements for environmental and public health protection.

© 2019 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Contents

1. Introduction	565
2. Emerging contaminants of recent concern in the aquatic environment	567
3. Analytical strategies and tools	568
4. The role of effect-directed analysis	570
5. Relevance of transformation products	571
6. Early warning systems	572
7. The protection of drinking water: a priority issue	573
8. Wastewater: a valuable source of information on lifestyle and exposure to chemicals	573
9. Regulatory issues	574
10. Trends and perspectives	575
Acknowledgements	576
References	576

1. Introduction

The production and use of chemicals is an indispensable aspect of the current worldwide economy and modern life. A key driver for the increasing use of chemicals is the growth of the global population. This, combined with rapid, albeit uneven, economic and technological development, has led to a considerable increase in global production, consumption of goods and mobility, together with increased demand for food and energy (EEA, 2013). In addition, demographic changes such as the ageing population and the tendency to move from rural areas to urban areas will lead to changes and possible increase in the use and emission patterns of, for instance, pharmaceuticals (Boecking et al., 2012; Tränckner and Koegst, 2010). Worldwide, over 125 million organic compounds are registered in the Chemical Abstract Service (CAS) database. Today, there are more than 100,000 chemicals in the inventory of the European Chemicals Agency (ECHA, 2017). The release of these compounds and resulting risks for human health, the environment and the water cycle, have raised the concern of scientists and policy makers.

The increasing awareness of potential risks of organic contaminants for aquatic ecosystems and human health has encouraged scientific and public discussion and political action to introduce measures to improve water quality. As minimization of sources is difficult to achieve, often end-of-pipe solutions such as advanced technologies to remove harmful compounds are implemented (e.g. additional processes in wastewater and drinking water treatment). The conventional processes applied in wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) are designed to remove the bulk of organic load, measured as biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) or total organic carbon (TOC). However, many organic micropollutants (OMs) are not efficiently removed, and wastewater effluents usually contain amounts of pharmaceuticals, personal care products (PCPs), or illicit drugs, among others, that end up in the aquatic environment (Aus der Beek et al., 2016; Bijlsma et al., 2014; Gracia-Lor et al., 2012; Gros et al., 2010; Jelic et al., 2011; J. Liu et al., 2015a; Loos et al., 2013; Schymanski et al., 2014b). Additional treatments, such as advanced oxidation or activated carbon, to reduce the input

of these contaminants into the aquatic environment are being implemented progressively on certain plants (Eggen et al., 2014). Drinking water treatments, such as nanofiltration or reversed osmosis, can also contribute to the removal of OMs.

Chemical pollution has been identified as one of the nine planetary or regional boundaries for which anthropogenic impact needs to be reduced (Rockström et al., 2009b, 2009a; Steffen et al., 2015). Despite improvements in regulations and the efforts to reduce the production and use of the most hazardous chemicals, chemical pollution still poses a significant risk to nearly half of the water bodies monitored in Europe (Malaj et al., 2014). Only a few contaminants have been included in the list of priority substances (PS) to be monitored according to the Water Framework Directive (WFD) of the European Commission (EC, 2000). The amended directive for PS (EC, 2013) introduced a Watch List of emerging pollutants or other chemicals for which the available monitoring data are insufficient to assess the environmental risk. Environmental Quality Standards (EQS) have been established for PS (EC, 2008) to protect the aquatic environment from adverse effects of chemical substances. The 1st Watch List, launched in 2015, has been recently updated (EC, 2018), and with these measures it is expected that pollution from PS will be progressively reduced. Nevertheless, the number of PS and river basin specific pollutants will still remain very small compared with the number of chemicals released into the environment.

A working group headed by the NORMAN network identified that risks and impacts of complex mixtures of chemicals on water quality (Brack et al., 2017) will challenge monitoring, prioritization, assessment and management within the WFD, and an integrated strategy accounting for knowledge gaps is recommended. One of the major challenges is to improve the monitoring and strengthen comprehensive prioritization and risk assessment of complex mixtures. Integrative effect-based tools (EBTs), such as bioassays and biomarkers, are recommended in monitoring water quality. EBTs are particularly useful to bridge the gap between chemical contamination and ecological status; they can cover a broad range of exposure and toxicity mechanisms in diverse organisms, and include effects of non-studied compounds and mixtures, as well as

the combined effect of mixtures of compounds (whether or not identified) (Brack et al., 2012).

Prioritization, monitoring and assessment under the WFD tend to emphasize regulated, well-known substances, while emerging substances are not adequately addressed (Heiss and Küster, 2015). The present evaluation in European water bodies is mostly based on information on occurrence of target substances versus EQS values. Although some substance classes, such as pesticides, have been widely covered (Moschet et al., 2014), only a small percentage is currently considered in environmental risk assessment (de Zwart et al., 2018). Identifying potential chemical risks in the aquatic environment requires extensive information on the occurrence of a large number of contaminants.

Besides the difficulties to identify what to measure and where in the aquatic scenario, the additional challenge is to understand which concentration of an individual substance or combination of substances (mixtures) raises concern in terms of potential risk. To evaluate the potential harmful effects, both hazard and exposure information is needed. The current EU Regulation on chemicals management (Registration, Evaluation, Authorization and restriction of Chemicals (REACH)), created a mandate to deliver adequate exposure information to enable appropriate safety assessments, and foster the safe use and management of chemicals. Exposure information is also required in other European regulatory frameworks, including regulations on plant protection products, biocidal products, construction products, general product safety, classification, labelling and packaging, control of air quality and major-accident hazards. Furthermore, EU strategies promoting moving to a non-toxic environment by 2050, striving towards a circular and bio-based economy, and encouraging green and sustainable chemistry, add additional challenges requiring adequate exposure information. Proper measurement and analyses strategies need to be developed to fulfill the regulatory requirements and to facilitate informed decision-making supporting the EU 2050 goals. To generate and analyze adequate exposure information, the application of excellent exposure science is crucial.

Exposure science, in its broadest sense, studies the interaction between stressors (primarily chemical, biological, and physical agents) and receptors (e.g. molecules, cells, organs, humans, other living organisms, and non-living items like buildings), and the associated pathways and processes potentially leading to negative effects on human health and the natural and built environment (Bruinen de Bruin et al., 2018). Therefore, the need for Programs to promote increased generation of exposure information and fit-for-purpose exposure assessment tools, followed by independent assessments and use by risk managers and policy makers, is increasingly important. Analytical chemistry is one of the indispensable disciplines in this field (Fig. 1). The application of appropriate analytical strategies and tools is essential for a comprehensive characterization of the environment and to understand and evaluate the exposure of the population to emerging chemical risks.

Environmental analytical chemistry has several challenges, such as:

- A huge number of compounds can be present in the environment, and many of them are still unknown. The great diversity in chemical composition of organic contaminants, from non-polar to highly polar compounds, and from low to high volatility, makes the detection and identification of potentially hazardous compounds an analytical challenge. No universal analytical method can be applied to detect and identify all contaminants that may be present in environmental samples. Despite the analytical efforts, a notable number of compounds still requires specific methods and experimental conditions for




Fig. 1. Exposure Science and its benefitting related and overlapping disciplines.

their determination (e.g. highly volatile compounds, highly polar/ionic compounds, or compounds with distinct polar and apolar functionalities (surfactants)), hampering their inclusion in multi-residue multiclass methods.

- Most organic contaminants are present at very low concentrations in complex/unknown, ever-changing matrices, and the analytical methodology for their detection, identification and quantification is far from being routinely applicable. It is highly specialized and expensive, and requires the use of sophisticated instrumentation and experienced analysts, particularly when applying wide-scope screening methodologies for suspect and non-target analysis (see later in the manuscript). In addition, valuable techniques commonly used for elucidation of the identity of organic compounds, such as infrared spectroscopy (IR), nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) and X-Ray, cannot be applied (or have very limited applicability) in the field of environmental analytical chemistry because of their limited sensitivity, making (HR)MS the almost sole analytical technique able to provide information for identification of these compounds.
- Transformation via biotic or abiotic processes in the environment becomes an additional difficulty, as this multiplies the number of compounds of potential interest, many of which are still unknown. The almost infinite number of transformation products (TPs) in the aqueous environment makes it extraordinary difficult to perform a comprehensive evaluation of their presence and effects on the environment. The lack of occurrence data for TPs imposes a notable limitation in the evaluation of exposure risks.

Advanced analytical techniques are required for a comprehensive characterization of the aquatic environment, emphasizing the hyphenation of chromatography with low and high resolution MS, including multidimensional separations, recent chromatographic separation systems and new mass analyzers. High resolution MS (HRMS) presents strong potential for identification of a large number of organic contaminants and elucidation of unknowns derived from accurate-mass full-spectrum data acquired. Many papers have been published in the last years illustrating the excellent performance and tremendous possibilities of HRMS coupled to GC and/or LC to identify a great

diversity of pollutants. A detailed review is out of the scope of the present manuscript, but some references can be given as illustrative examples (Andrés-Costa et al., 2017; Aceña et al., 2015; Bade et al., 2015; Bletsou et al., 2015; Díaz et al., 2012; Gosetti et al., 2016; Hernández et al., 2012, 2014, 2018; Hollender et al., 2017; Letzel et al., 2015; Lorenzo et al., 2018; Nurmi et al., 2012; Vergeynst et al., 2015; Wode et al., 2015).

People are exposed to many chemicals present in homes, the workplace, and other surroundings through multiple pathways via air, water, food and soil (Wambaugh et al., 2014). Risk assessment depends on two basic elements, exposure and hazardous effects, which are directly related to the presence and concentrations of hazardous compounds in the environment. This is the key of the prioritization schemes that provide the scientific basis for regulatory procedures (Guillén et al., 2012).

A strategy based on the maximum environmental concentrations (MEC) has been used to prioritize 500 classical and emerging contaminants in four European river basins, using two indicators, the frequency of exceedance and the extent of exceedance of Predicted No-Effect Concentration (PNEC) (Von der Ohe et al., 2011). Toxicity estimation is an indispensable source for prioritization (e.g. DSSTox database, from EPA), along with exposure (e.g. ExpoCast) and bioactivity predictions (Tox21) (Rager et al., 2016; Tice et al., 2013). However, testing every chemical for every possible health and environmental effect is unrealistic and does not take into account the combined effect of complex mixtures, and therefore prioritization is again necessary.

Often, the lack of information, such as sufficient data on the occurrence or effects of a compound, may exclude it from current prioritization procedures (Dulio and Slobodnik, 2015). Thus, many compounds are ignored from the assessment, without any evidence of 'no harm'. The last review of the list of priority pollutants revealed that about 50% of the candidate substances were discarded because of the lack of data (Brack et al., 2017). This illustrates the growing need for monitoring programs to screen for a large number of contaminants including relevant TPs. Many efforts are being made at this challenging task, with substantial improvements in the last few years thanks to the impressive progress in analytical instrumentation and data processing techniques.

The **objective of this paper** is to present and discuss the main strategies and tools that modern analytical chemistry can apply in the field of exposure science, with the main focus on the identification of emerging chemical risks in the aquatic environment making use of chromatography hyphenated to (high resolution) mass spectrometry. This article is not conceived as a classical review, and it is directed to scientists from other disciplines related to exposure science. Thus, we do not pursue a detailed/in depth discussion on analytical methods, but to focus on upcoming trends and the tremendous possibilities that make analytical chemistry an indispensable science in this field. Although the work is European oriented, it is applicable on a global scale. We emphasize the relevance of advanced analytical chemistry to provide information on occurrence and behaviour of a huge number of organic contaminants and their TPs in the environment, which is essential in toxicology, environmental risk assessment, exposure science, and in updating regulation.

2. Emerging contaminants of recent concern in the aquatic environment

In the last years, many efforts have been made to provide reliable data on occurrence of emerging contaminants in the aquatic environment. A recent review on water analysis (Richardson and Kimura, 2016) mentioned several groups of concern, including

artificial sweeteners, nanomaterials, hormones, disinfection by-products, benzotriazoles, siloxanes and microplastics. With the impressive improvement in analytical techniques and analytical strategies, the list of contaminants of emerging concern is notably increasing.

In addition to the above mentioned compounds of recent concern, some other families are, or will likely become, a priority in the near future due to its occurrence in the aquatic environment. Among these, can be emphasized pharmaceuticals and personal care products (PPCPs), per- and polyfluorinated alkyl substances (PFAS) and flame retardants (FRs). PPCPs are frequently found in urban wastewater and in receiving surface waters. Pharmaceuticals are often called pseudo persistent substances due to their continuous use and presence in waters. PCPs can contain preservatives, pigments, solvents/oils, nanoparticles, surfactants, pharmaceuticals, UV filters, fragrances, minerals and polymers, and their physico-chemical properties vary widely. The primary pathway of pharmaceuticals, PCPs and their metabolites entering the water cycle is through human usage in or on the body, and excretion or washing off. Use of pharmaceuticals in veterinary practice and aquaculture can also lead to emissions (Boxall et al., 2004; Yao et al., 2015).

From the first papers reporting pharmaceuticals as environmental contaminants in waters (Daughton, 2004; Daughton and Ternes, 1999; Kolpin et al., 2002), there has been an impressive increase in the number of publications on occurrence of pharmaceuticals in waters around the world (e.g. Alder et al., 2010; Bartelt-Hunt et al., 2009; Campanha et al., 2015; Ghoshdastidar et al., 2015; ter Laak et al., 2014; van Nuijs et al., 2015; Wu et al., 2015). The development of sophisticated analytical techniques has undoubtedly played a key role in their emergence (Richardson and Kimura, 2016). Most of research performed until now is focused on parent pharmaceuticals, and only a limited number of metabolites/TPs is commonly included in analyses (Bletsou et al., 2015; Fatta-Kassinos et al., 2011; Ibáñez et al., 2017; Kern et al., 2010), and their impact on ecology or human health is often lacking (Escher and Fenner, 2011). Since some classes of pharmaceuticals have indirect effects such as the development of antibiotic resistance, it is a challenge to determine these effects and the associated risks (Boxall et al., 2012; Richardson, 2017; Singer et al., 2016). The evaluation of the hazards of PCPs is a current priority in regulatory water quality monitoring (Brack et al., 2017, 2012; Loos et al., 2009).

The occurrence of pharmaceuticals and PCPs in the aquatic environment is mainly a consequence of the poor removal efficiency of WWTPs for these organic micro-pollutants. Therefore, improvements of such efficiency are necessary using additional treatment systems, such as advanced oxidation processes, among others. The comprehensive control of these processes requires monitoring not only the elimination of the parent compound under study, but also the identification of potential degradation/transformation products that can be formed during the process. To this aim, advanced analytical techniques (e.g. LC-HRMS) are required.

Per- and polyfluorinated alkyl substances are a prominent group of emerging contaminants. They are persistent, resist degradation and have been linked to adverse health effects (de Voogt and Sáez, 2006). Sources of PFAS in the environment include a variety of industries, firefighting foams, landfills and WWTPs among others (Buck et al., 2011; Busch et al., 2010; Dimzon et al., 2017; Hu et al., 2016; Lau et al., 2007; Lindstrom et al., 2011; Eschauzier et al., 2011). Drinking water and drinking-water based beverages may also play a substantial role in the human exposure to these compounds (Sharma et al., 2016; Sun et al., 2016; Vestergren and Cousins, 2009).

Most of the research and analysis of PFAS have focused on the perfluorinated sulfonic acids (PFASs) and perfluorinated carboxylic

acids (PFCAs). Several studies (Miyake et al., 2007; Willach et al., 2016) have shown that identified and quantified PFAS in water usually account for less than 50% of the total organic fluorine content of the sample. This can be partly explained by the limited target lists investigated in low resolution MS methods, and lack of standards. Hence, a major part of organic fluorine remains unknown suggesting the occurrence of other fluorinated acids in addition to the known precursors. More than 3000 PFASs have been marketed (Wang et al., 2017). Although in recent years many new anthropogenic PFASs have been detected (Xiao, 2017; Gebbink et al., 2017; Barzen-Hanson et al., 2017) a clear picture of the full range of individual substances is still lacking and reveals the need to investigate in depth the occurrence of fluorinated compounds in the environment (Kotthoff and Bücking (2018).

The replacements of banned or regulated substances, such as perfluoro-octanesulfonic acid (PFOS) and perfluoro-octanoic acid (PFOA), by per- and polyfluorinated alternatives, such as short chain PFCAs and PFASs and perfluorinated ethers, has led to an increase in their levels in environment (Arp and Slinde, 2018; Schaidler et al., 2017). For example, heptafluoropropoxy propanoic acid (HFPO-DA), a replacement of PFOA in the production process of polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE), has been reported to occur in rivers close to manufacturing sites where PFOA was previously found, e.g. in the river Rhine (Heydebreck et al., 2015) and Cape Fear river (Sun et al., 2016).

While PFAS manufacturers have shifted from the production of long-chained to short-chain ($CF < 7$) PFASs, the shorter chain PFAS are equally persistent in the environment (Ritter, 2015) and have higher aqueous solubility than their long-chain homologues. As a result, their removal from water is less efficient and breakthrough in treatment processes used for drinking water production has been demonstrated to occur (Eschauzier et al., 2012; McCleaf et al., 2017). Recently, a suite of persistent halogenated methanesulfonic acids including trifluoromethanesulfonic acid has also been shown to occur in groundwater, surface water and drinking waters (Zahn et al., 2016). These findings highlighted the need for further development of advanced analytical methodologies to unravel the full spectrum of PFAS present in the environment (D'Agostino and Mabury, 2014; Y. Liu et al., 2015b; Ruan and Jiang, 2017; Strynar et al., 2015; Dimzon et al., 2017).

Flame retardants are another group of great interest from the point of view of exposure science. These chemicals are widely applied to materials such as textiles, plastics and electronic products, to delay combustion in case of ignition. Several FRs have been detected in various environmental and food samples (Covaci et al., 2011; Law et al., 2014; Reemtsma et al., 2008; van der Veen and de Boer, 2012). Polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) have been extensively used until two PBDEs mixtures (Penta and Octa-mix) were banned, because of their persistence, toxicity and bioaccumulation in the environment and biota (EC, 2003; Environment Canada, 2015, accessed 14.01.19; <https://www.epa.gov/assessing-and-managing-chemicals-under-tsca/polybrominated-diphenyl-ethers-pbdes>, accessed 14.01.19). As a result of the halt in manufacture of these PBDEs in many countries, other FRs, such as deca-bromodiphenyl ethane (DBDPE), and other brominated and phosphorus FRs (PFRs) have replaced PBDEs (Covaci et al., 2011; Law et al., 2014; van der Veen and de Boer, 2012). This highlights that the continuous modification in law and use of many chemicals around the world forces analytical chemists to address these new families of contaminants.

A number of studies have been performed on these “new” FRs and identified them in the environment (Richardson and Kimura, 2016). Tris (chloropropyl)phosphate (TCPP) has been reported as the PFR most frequently found in surface waters (van der Veen and de Boer, 2012). In drinking water from eight different Chinese cities,

TCPP was also found dominant together with tris(2-butoxyethyl) phosphate (TBEP) and triphenylphosphate (TPP) (Li et al., 2014).

Despite some PBDEs have been banned, they will remain in the environment for decades to come, and should therefore be monitored. For example, BDE209 (the major component of the deca-mix PBDEs product) was found dominantly present in sewage sludge samples from several countries (Law et al., 2014; Richardson and Kimura, 2016). BDE209 can also degrade down to lower brominated diphenylethers (Gerecke et al., 2005), which, in contrast to BDE209 can bioaccumulate.

3. Analytical strategies and tools

The analysis of environmental samples involves dealing with high complexity, low concentrations, unknown and sometimes even transient substances, with a broad range of physico-chemical properties. Mass spectrometry, coupled to GC or LC, is commonly the technique of choice to investigate the presence of OMs due to its strong identification potential and because it covers a wide selection of substances compared with other analytical methods. Analytical investigation of OMs can follow different strategies: target, suspect and non-target analysis (Fig. 2).

In target analysis, the main objective is reliable identification and quantification of known substances for which reference standards are available in house. The analytical methods are optimized to allow highly sensitive and selective quantification of a limited number of substances typically present at sub-ng to $\mu\text{g/L}$ levels. Modern targeted methods are mostly based on GC and LC coupled to (low resolution) tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS) with ion trap (IT) or triple quadrupole (QqQ) analyzers. GC-MS/MS and LC-MS/MS methodologies have excellent performance in terms of sensitivity and selectivity (and less sample treatment as a consequence of the better sensitivity), and are the most commonly used in recent quantitative target analysis. Analytes of medium/high polarity are more compatible with LC-MS/MS analysis, for example many pesticides, pharmaceuticals, illicit drugs, veterinary drugs (Botitsi et al., 2011; García-Galán et al., 2016; Hernández et al., 2012, 2014; van Nuijs et al., 2011). In contrast, both GC-MS and GC-MS/MS, are the techniques of choice for less polar and/or highly volatile contaminants, such as classical priority pollutants (polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), organochlorine pesticides or polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs)), as well as siloxanes, PBDEs, and certain pesticides (Barco-Bonilla et al., 2010; Hernández et al., 2013; Law et al., 2014; Pitarch et al., 2010; Portolés et al., 2015).

An enrichment step (e.g. solid phase extraction (SPE), solid phase micro extraction (SPME), or solvent extraction) is typically applied prior to MS analysis, and can also result in some sample clean-up. However, the excellent sensitivity of new LC-MS/MS systems allows quantification at low ng/L levels with direct injection of water samples, even prior dilution with ultrapure water (Boix et al., 2015; Causanilles et al., 2016). Identification is achieved through acquisition of at least two MS/MS transitions in the Selected Reaction Monitoring (SRM) mode, and matching of the retention time (RT) and ion-intensity ratios between reference standards and samples (SANTE/11945, 2015). Looking into the (near) future, new technological developments are expected to allow the expansion of targeted methods to detect, identify and quantify several hundreds of targets accurately, with sensitivity down to pg/L (Hernández et al., 2014; Pinhancos et al., 2011; Vergeynst et al., 2015).

Suspect analysis involves looking for compounds expected in the sample on the basis of existing lists of (emerging) substances e.g. NORMAN suspect list exchange (Norman network, 2018a), but for which standards are not available in-house. HRMS is the




Fig. 2. Mass spectrometry based screening approaches for identification of emerging chemical risks (Graphic modified from (Brack et al., 2016)).

technique preferred when using this approach, as it allows searching for a large number of suspects based on the accurate-mass full-spectrum acquisition. Detected “suspects” can be tentatively identified with the valuable information provided by HRMS(/MS), RT and even spectral library matching, and can be confirmed retrospectively via reference standard purchase, which is a cost-effective strategy that has led to the increasing popularity of this approach (Hernández et al., 2015a; Moschet et al., 2013; Schymanski et al., 2015; Sjerps et al., 2016). Ion mobility Spectrometry (IMS) adds a new dimension to the chromatographic-TOF MS separations, which notably facilitates the identification process. The collisional cross-section (CCS), measured in IMS, depends on the geometry/shape of the ionized molecule, and is a valuable parameter that may help to solve problematic identifications (e.g. isomers, some analytes in highly complex matrices). Prediction of CCS has been successfully applied to improve identification of suspects and will surely be an efficient tool in suspect and non-target screening in the near future (Bijlsma et al., 2017; Mollerup et al., 2018; Zhou et al., 2016).

Suspect screening has huge potential for the identification of emerging contaminants and regulated substances (discussed further below), because it can speed up the evaluation of unknown peaks. Of great value is the use of databases of relevant compounds to be searched in the environmental samples. Considering the huge number of chemicals that are compiled in databases (e.g. the CompTox Chemistry Dashboard from the US EPA (Williams et al., 2017) or in the NORMAN Suspect List Exchange (Norman network, 2018a), a prioritization is needed to facilitate the detection and (tentative) identification of these compounds. Such prioritization can be based on key parameters, such as known or predicted toxicity, knowledge on uses and consumption, persistence in the environment, bioaccumulation, as well as previous data on occurrence (Howard and Muir, 2010).

Even after extensive target and suspect screening, many thousands detected components remain unknown (Fuhrer and Zamoni, 2015; Peisl et al., 2018). As identification of unknowns is very time-consuming, these are often prioritized for further elucidation using various methods depending on the research question, e.g. high intensity, specific isotope pattern, (Hoh et al., 2012; Schymanski et al., 2014b), mass defect (Chiaia-Hernandez et al., 2014; Merel et al., 2017; Thurman et al., 2014), linkage analysis for TPs (Schollée et al., 2015) and various statistical

measures (Schollée et al., 2016). Experimental design, such as sampling before and after a treatment, along a spatial gradient or over time can prioritize further masses for identification (Hollender et al., 2017). Spectral library searches are also used for non-target identification, especially in GC-MS where extensive standardized libraries are available, but increasingly also in HRMS(/MS). The confirmation of the identity and quantification of the compounds tentatively identified can be performed in a later stage if reference standards are available (Ruff et al., 2015; Schymanski et al., 2014b).

In contrast to target analysis, suspect and non-target screening are less mature forms of data exploration and many different possibilities exist, from vendor software through to open access/open source solutions. Non-target strategies differ between the established low resolution GC-MS with electron ionization (EI), for which extensive libraries exist, and HRMS techniques (Schymanski et al., 2015). The NIST library is one of the most extensive collections of GC-EI-MS spectra (>200,000 substances) and retention indices (>83,000 substances) (Vinaixa et al., 2016), and is a valuable resource for the identification of unknowns as two orthogonal pieces of information are available (Schymanski et al., 2014a). If a substance is not in the library, identification e.g. via structure generation remains possible but challenging due to the low-resolution information (Brack et al., 2016; Schymanski et al., 2012). As the exact mass is not generally available, compound database searching has not really established itself for EI-MS.

Accurate-mass full-spectrum measurements are of great value for identification purposes, especially when using soft-ionization techniques, irrespective of whether GC or LC is used. Recently, GC has been coupled to QTOF-MS using an atmospheric pressure chemical ionization (APCI) interface, providing accurate-mass spectra rather similar to those of LC-QTOF MS. The low fragmentation compared to EI, and high abundance of the protonated/molecular ion molecule are of great help to determine mass and often the molecular formula, making GC (APCI)-MS based methods more attractive for screening purposes of organic residues. (Portolés et al., 2014). The complementary use of GC and LC, both coupled to HRMS(/MS), facilitates a comprehensive analysis for known and unknown compounds within a wide range of polarity and volatility. This combination allows to advance towards the desired universal screening of organic contaminants (Hernández et al., 2015b).

Some MS/MS spectral libraries are now available and contain a

wide range of environmentally-relevant substances, especially NIST17 MSMS (Yang et al., 2017), MassBank (Schulze et al., 2012) and mzCloud (HighChem, 2016, accessed 5.30.18); for a more extensive overview see (Brack et al., 2016; Peisl et al., 2018; Vinaixa et al., 2016). If a compound detected is not present in any library, a variety of non-target software approaches exist for identification. The first step usually involves detecting masses of interest (termed peak picking or feature finding), either using commercial (vendor) software or one of the open source approaches such as XCMS (Smith et al., 2006), enviMass (Loos et al., 2016) or MZmine2 (Pluskal et al., 2010). Grouping of the resulting masses into components by summarizing isotope and adduct signals is essential to reduce the identification effort (see e.g. (Schymanski et al., 2015, 2014b)). This also provides valuable information for calculation of the molecular formula.

When fragment information is available, *in silico* approaches can be applied to first find candidates (by searching compound databases on exact mass or formula), then these need to be matched with the experimental spectrum using the structural information provided by the fragments (Dührkop et al., 2015; Ruttkies et al., 2016; Schymanski et al., 2017). The combination of suspect screening with spectral libraries, and *in silico* methods, shows huge potential for rapid and comprehensive screening of emerging contaminants. While the *in silico* methods are improving greatly, it is still vital that tentative structures are confirmed with reference standards before a confident identification is claimed. Other powerful elucidation techniques that do not have much application in the environmental field yet, such as LC-NMR, can play a relevant role in the near future for confirmatory purposes.

The huge number of (non-overlapping) resources available, and the increasing volume of data, which requires consistent and more efficient workflows, both remains challenges for non-target screening with high resolution data. Exchange of information between institutes is essential to facilitate and improve workflows (Schymanski et al., 2015). Some initiatives to improve the European-wide exchange of information, such as a compilation of suspects lists, have been recently reported (Norman network, 2018a, 2018b). Looking towards the future, a degree of standardization that would come with greater maturity would help non-target methods, providing a valuable contribution for identification purposes.

4. The role of effect-directed analysis

Effect-directed analysis (EDA) is a powerful approach for the identification of emerging chemical risks. In EDA, EBTs are combined with sample fractionation using chromatography and HRMS to isolate the compounds responsible for the effects observed in the bioassay used. With this approach, an intrinsic prioritization is applied and the identification efforts are focused on those fractions that showed to be biologically active. The combination of biological/toxicological and chemical analytical tools for environmental quality assessment has a long history and has led to complementary approaches developed in the United States and in Europe (Burgess et al., 2013). Toxicity Identity Evaluation (TIE) was developed primarily for land remediation sites, thus focusing on sediment, *in vivo* bioassays and bioavailability, whereas the development of EDA was stimulated by the EU WFD and the need to investigate the drivers of chemical water quality.

Typically, the EBTs used in EDA are rapid screening tools in multi-well plate format based covering a wide range of toxicological endpoints, such as endocrine disruption, genotoxicity, oxidative stress, etc. Both total extracts (prior to fractionation) and all fractions are tested in the selected bioassay, which enables the assessment of eventual losses during fractionation and antagonistic

or synergistic effects (Weiss et al., 2009). Recently, an in-depth overview of EDA supporting monitoring of aquatic environments has been published (Brack et al., 2016), focusing on toxicity testing, sampling, extraction and identification strategies. The advantages of the implementation of integrated tools on effect-based and chemical identification and the monitoring of organic pollutants were highlighted in a European demonstration program (Tousova et al., 2017).

Although some EDA studies reported the identification of compounds, e.g. photosynthesis inhibitors in coastal waters (Booij et al., 2014) and mutagenic aromatic amines in river water (Muz et al., 2017), and despite the technological advancements of HRMS, significant progress with regard to the identification of chemicals responsible for observed effects in EBTs has not been truly achieved. From the perspective of acceptance of EDA for e.g. investigative monitoring in the WFD, the studies were too laborious and time consuming. To tackle these two aspects, the EDA approach was transformed to a high throughput tool using very small scale fractionation, i.e. the collection of fractions only a few seconds wide and thus small volumes, ideally enabling a more straightforward coupling of the biological activity to the chemical identity. For high-throughput EDA (HT-EDA), a high-resolution fractionation platform was developed for fractionation into 96-well plates and used with an estrogenicity assay to direct the analysis (Jonker et al., 2015). The direct fractionation of EDA extracts into 96–384–1536 well plates demands the downscaling of toxicity assays, such as the trans-thyretin binding assay using a fluorescent probe for high throughput screening of thyroid hormone disruption in environmental samples (Ouyang et al., 2017). Other assays that have been miniaturized for use in HT-EDA cover genotoxicity, (anti-)estrogenicity and (anti-) androgenicity and arylhydrocarbon receptor (AhR) binding (Zwart et al., 2018a, 2018b).

Complementary to the ubiquitously used LC fractionation, strategies for GC fractionation were developed in order to cover a larger part of the chemical space by focusing on volatile compounds. An example focused on the AhR-binding assay and the identification of PAHs (Pieke et al., 2013) in sediments. Improvement of the GC fractionation platform for bioactivity screening of toxic compounds was demonstrated by the analysis of a mixture of test pesticides, which after fractionation into a 384 well plate were tested in a post-column acetylcholinesterase (AChE) assay (Jonker et al., 2016). Using a similar approach, (anti) androgenicity testing using the AR-Ecoscreen in 384 well plate format has been reported (Jonker et al., 2017).

Very small fractions may also be obtained by LCxLC, using two LC columns with different (orthogonal) functionalities (Ouyang et al., 2016). A strategy based on LC × LC fractionation resulting in microfractions of 9 s into 4 × 96 well plates, an AChE inhibition assay and parallel identification by TOF-MS resulted in the identification of 3 psychoactive drugs in the effluent of a WWTP. Apart from the high resolution fractionation that can be achieved by the implementation of LCxLC, compounds were identified by two-dimensional retention alignment as well as their AChE inhibition activity, which significantly contributes to the reliable identification of bioactives in the aquatic environment.

Compound identification in EDA is carried out using non-target screening, following analogous identification pipelines as described above. For a first check of reported toxicity of a tentatively identified compound, toxicity databases such as the CompTox Chemistry Dashboard (Williams et al., 2017), ToxCast and PubChem Bioassay may be consulted. To facilitate identification, dedicated databases for e.g. a specific toxicological endpoint or a specific sample matrix have been developed. Thus, large databases of compounds showing thyroid hormone disrupting activity (Weiss et al., 2015) or directed towards the identification of chemicals in house dust (Lucattini

et al., 2017) have been reported. In addition to compound libraries and toxicity databases, identification efforts are expected to greatly benefit in the future from the implementation of less commonly used MS interfaces such as APCI and APPI for specific compound classes.

The HT-EDA platforms based on LC and GC fractionation into ≥ 96 well plate for rapid toxicity screening and parallel identification using HRMS/MS, comprehensive compound databases (e.g. ChemSpider or PubChem, that contain properties such as accurate mass, log Kow, etc), in addition to mass spectral libraries, are expected to contribute to an improved framework for investigative monitoring in the environment.

Other approaches than EDA could be followed to reduce the complexity of the analysis, such as the use of molecular imprinted polymers (Kubo and Otsuka, 2016) for very specific extractions, or the use of antibodies (Li et al., 2017), among others. However, a complete description would be far beyond the scope of the paper.

5. Relevance of transformation products

Once released into the environment, most organic contaminants are subjected to biotic and abiotic transformations. These transformations generate a great variety of TPs that differ from the parent compound in both their environmental behaviour and (eco) toxicity. Unfortunately, there is a significant gap between the knowledge on the occurrence of TPs and their toxicity, making risk assessment difficult (Agüera et al., 2013; Farré et al., 2008).

Current legislation is almost exclusively directed towards regulating parent chemicals, with very little mention of TPs. Usually, information is lacking on analytical determination, occurrence, and toxicological effects of TPs. Therefore, there is a clear need to reveal the quantitative and qualitative presence of TPs in the environment and their potential risks for human health.

HRMS has been used for identification of TPs (Díaz et al., 2012; Hernández et al., 2014, 2011; Hogenboom et al., 2009; Ibáñez et al., 2017; Kern et al., 2009; Nurmi et al., 2012; Schollée et al., 2015), but discovering TPs in environmental samples is still challenging, as they are generated from many possible reactions and automated identification workflows are still missing. In most cases, a time-consuming manual inspection is required followed by the acquisition of reference standards. The latter step used to be one of the main limitations because standards are in many cases unavailable for TPs.

TPs occurring in the environment can be formed from biotic or abiotic processes. Biotic processes include the activity of microbial flora in natural or engineered environmental compartments, like soils, surface water or wastewater, or human or animal metabolism. Abiotic TPs are formed in the aquatic environment by hydrolysis and photolysis, as well as in water-treatment processes such as oxidation by chlorination, chloramination, ozonation and other advanced oxidation processes used for disinfection and removal of chemicals (Bletsou et al., 2015; Fenner et al., 2013; Vughs et al., 2018).

Simulating the transformation processes in laboratory experiments under controlled conditions is a useful approach for identification of TPs (Boix et al., 2016b, 2013; Helbling et al., 2010). Batch experiments can be performed under biotic or abiotic conditions at realistic or at high concentrations of the compounds. During such experiments, spiked and blank samples are run in parallel, together with sterilized blank samples as well as ultrapure water for correcting abiotic processes (Wick et al., 2011).

Even under laboratory-controlled conditions, the identification of TPs is a time-consuming process as commonly many products are generated; therefore, prioritization of relevant TPs is recommended. This can be performed using time trends (the TP is

observed to form, or form and then transform further), abundance (the most intense peaks are identified), prediction (transformation processes are predicted using *in silico* methods) or using observed toxic effects. The latter assumes that if increased toxicity is observed, toxic TPs are likely to be formed (Escher and Fenner, 2011) and it is worth to invest time and effort to identify them (see above section on EDA).

Once the laboratory experiments are completed and the main TPs identified, the next step is analysing real-world environmental samples to test their possible presence in the samples (Bijlsma et al., 2013; Boix et al., 2016a, 2014; Duester et al., 2017; Kolkman et al., 2015; Vughs et al., 2018). LC-HRMS(/MS) is the most common technique for investigation of TPs as these are generally more polar than parent compounds. In addition, HRMS(/MS) allows the application of different workflows for efficient identification: target analysis for already known TPs with standards available; suspect screening for potential TPs reported in literature or predicted by *in silico* models; and non-target analysis for discovering unknown, non-reported nor previously identified TPs.

The formation of TPs by bacteria degradation can be predicted using different *in silico* tools, such as the Eawag-Pathway prediction system (enviPath, formerly UM-PPS) (Wicker et al., 2015) or MINE (Jeffryes et al., 2015), whereas other comprehensive knowledge-based software programmes, like Meteor Nexus-Lhasa, predict the metabolic fate of chemicals, among other processes. It is, however, noteworthy that the latter tools are often not freeware. Obviously, these predictors have limitations yet they have also shown its value in different fields of research. More applications can be expected for environmental toxicology in the near future (Miller et al., 2018).

After prediction, suspect screening of expected exact masses of TPs is conducted in HRMS data, usually comparing versus a control sample. The plausibility of RT, isotopic pattern and ionization mode can be used to reduce the candidate peaks, as well as MS/MS fragment peaks if available. Another interesting possibility to investigate the presence of TPs in waters is a directed non-target analysis, which implies the search of unknown compounds within a limited chemical space. This approach relies on the assumption that many TPs maintain similarity in their chemical structure with the parent compound, and therefore present several common fragment ions (Hollender et al., 2017; Ibáñez et al., 2017; Zonja et al., 2015). Thus, the investigation of TPs for a given compound is not a truly non-target analysis, because the searching is limited to those compounds that share a given chemical structure or fragment. Searching for common fragments is a powerful strategy, able to detect and tentatively identify TPs/metabolites in the samples, although it is time-consuming and requires a notable knowledge of mass fragmentation rules. This approach benefits when all-ion-fragmentation (AIF) acquisitions (also known as MS^E, all ion or broad-band CID) are performed during HRMS screening (Castillo et al., 2016; Gómez Ramos et al., 2019; Hu et al., 2017; Kinyua et al., 2015; Telving et al., 2016). This allows to obtain simultaneous information on intact molecules (low collision energy, LE) as well as their fragments (high collision energy, HE) without precursor ion selection. After a detailed study on mass fragmentation, it is possible the tentative identification of the TP.

The common fragments strategy can be extended to the metabolites/TPs identified in the samples, which means that some TPs can be discovered in an iterative process because they share fragments, not with the parent molecule but with other TPs previously identified in the samples (Boix et al., 2016a). Interesting extensions to this approach in metabolomics includes the application of text-mining to interpreting fragmentation data (van der Hooft et al., 2016) as well as molecular networking (Wang et al., 2016).

Unfortunately, the information available on TPs in databases is

still very limited and the success rate in the identification is low in non-target screening. Scientists performing such studies should ensure that their data can be reused by making this accessible (Schymanski and Williams, 2017). Additional techniques, as NMR, are often critical for an unambiguous identification of TPs where positional isomers are likely to occur, but it is rare that sufficient amount of analyte can be isolated for NMR measurement in environmental samples. Unfortunately, reference standards for TPs are not always available; therefore, identification of TPs in water samples mostly relies on (accurate) mass data interpretation and communicating the confidence of the identification is critical (Schymanski et al., 2014a).

6. Early warning systems

Monitoring long-term trends and changes in water quality is the first step towards a deeper understanding of water resources. Beyond event monitoring, establishing baseline water quality has become increasingly important for protecting source water as it is affected by urbanization, effluents from industry and agricultural activities. Continuous online monitoring is a key initiative and it can lead to smart water management and informed decision making by providing early warnings about changes in water quality.

Early Warning Systems (EWS) are characterized as being early in time to take measures (Early) against water quality exceeding defined standards (Warning) with a well-defined system (System). They can provide accurate and clear information, necessary to facilitate adequate decision-making, and have been used in a wide range of applications (Towhata and Uchimura, 2013), such as cyanobacterial blooms (Shi et al., 2013), water levels (Kuantama and Saraswati, 2015), specific chemical compounds (Imen et al., 2015) and emerging contaminants (Alygizakis et al., 2018).

The real time monitoring can be based on), physical (temperature, redox potential, conductivity, turbidity, and spectroscopic techniques, such as fluorescence, HPLC-UV, LC-MS), chemical (pH, ammonium, nitrate, chloride, fluoride, phosphate, oxygen) and biological parameters (measuring live responses in *Daphnia*, fish, mussels and algae to water quality) (Carstea et al., 2016). Chemical and biological monitoring are both valuable approaches for water quality monitoring, but in most cases of an alarm, chemical analysis with identification techniques (e.g., HRMS) is necessary for tracking the cause of the alarm and elucidating the source of the pollution (de Hoogh et al., 2006; Hollender et al., 2017; van Leerdam et al., 2014).

EWS present advantages, such as the continuous availability of the on-line information, the combined information of the different systems and the low costs for running the system in many cases. However, some drawbacks limit their application, as for example often relative high detection limits compared to specific analysis in a laboratory. Improvements are required for an unambiguous interpretation of data, and standardization is needed to increase the comparability of results. Minimizing false positive and false negative responses and valid quality assurance according to protocols also need to be improved in the near future. The identification of specific (organic) compounds responsible of pollution events is surely the most complex issue at present. The implementation of analytical measurements based on HRMS for wide-scope screening and reliable identification of the compounds is among the most urgent needs in the application of EWS and has already been showcased in the Rhine River (Hollender et al., 2017) and for emerging contaminants in NormaNEWS (Alygizakis et al., 2018).

EWS should be able to detect and identify signals of concern due to the presence of chemicals. To this aim, several methodologies have been reported and the strengths and challenges of different

prioritization strategies for emerging pollutants in Europe have been discussed (Brack, 2015; Dulio et al., 2013; Dulio and Slobodnik, 2015; Faust and Backhaus, 2015; Heiss and Küster, 2015). As an illustrative example, a prioritization approach based on occurrence data and PNEC (derived from experimental data, QSAR or read-across predictions), has been recently developed classifying chemicals into 6 categories depending on the information available (Dulio and Slobodnik, 2015). These categories define the need for action, including: 1) priority regular monitoring, 2) watch list monitoring, 3) extension of the (eco)toxicological data set, 4) improvement of analytical methods, 5) extension of both monitoring and (eco)toxicological data, and 6) compounds classified as low priority for regular monitoring due to estimated low risks (Brack et al., 2017). The approach starts from a list of compounds identified by experts as frequently discussed emerging substances. Monitoring data of known hazardous compounds in water using multi-residue methods is the main source of exposure information, and thus new and/or unknown hazardous substances that are out of the scope of the analytical methods and monitoring programs cannot be traced. However, most recent scientific data are included and risk is predicted despite data gaps to bring potential emerging chemical risks to the attention of regulators. Using this approach several compounds identified have been included into the Watch list to obtain more widespread monitoring information for improved risk assessment.

Another example comes from the Dutch National Institute of Public Health and Environment (RIVM) that developed a general methodology to identify, prioritize and suggest follow-up regulatory measures for new and/or emerging risk chemicals (NERCs) for protection of three vulnerable groups (workers, consumers and the environment), and to support strategic and policy decision-making. The general strategy is based on several steps, involving internet search systems, scientific literature, monitoring studies, and a multidisciplinary group of experts that perform data evaluation (for more details, see (Bakker et al., 2014)). The first crucial step is to pick-up early signals on possible NERCs for each vulnerable group, followed by collecting relevant information on the potential NERCs identified. In the case of workers and consumers, data collection is focused on adverse health outcomes related to exposure from various sources, 'exposure first method'. For the environment, this process is severely hampered by the complexity of samples, their unknown composition, the presence of numerous other compounds/contaminants and their highly fluctuating concentrations. Monitoring studies are of great relevance to get information on potential NERCs, as they help to identify contaminants actually occurring in the environment that may be of concern (ter Laak et al., 2015). Unfortunately, monitoring data are commonly limited and focused on well-known and/or priority contaminants, and thus many potential hazardous compounds remain ignored due to difficulties/limitations of the analytical methods. Therefore, it is rather difficult to relate observed effects to a specific chemical or combination of chemicals. EDA is a promising, but still challenging method, to deal with this complexity and improved non-target screening approaches are likely to assist greatly in the next years (Hollender et al., 2017). It is also likely that "big data" sciences may revolutionize the way we deal with non-target data in the next decades (Aksenov et al., 2017).

Using the general strategy proposed by RIVM, NERCs have been identified for the three vulnerable groups, including the recommendation of follow-up steps to reduce or eliminate the risks. The necessity to have more monitoring data available has been recognized to get a better picture of occurrence of NERCs in the environment (Bakker et al., 2014). Also, more hazard information is needed, especially on chronic toxicity. Extensive lists with estimated values (e.g. Norman network, 2018a) are a good start, yet

more work is needed to incorporate these in environmental investigations.

7. The protection of drinking water: a priority issue

Providing high quality drinking water is an exigency of the society at present, and a priority issue for exposure science (some examples are EU funded research projects, such as EU project PROMOTE, and current initiatives by the German UBA who attempt to include Mobility (of organic contaminants) as a criterion in REACH legislation). Producing safe drinking water starts with knowledge about source quality and on potential contamination related to activities within the catchment area that may affect water quality constantly or during a calamity (e.g. industrial activities, agricultural activities, infrastructure and transport activities, presence of residential areas and the activities within these areas, historical contamination). Furthermore, the removal rates and robustness of the treatment process are essential for knowing whether suitable drinking water can be produced from a certain source, and whether the system is resilient against future changes of water quality and calamities.

Determine the chemical water quality of sources, the quality of the produced water and the performance and robustness of treatment systems are key issues. The Drinking Water Directive (Council Directive 98/83/EC) concerns the quality of water intended for human consumption with the objective to protect human health from adverse effects of any contamination. On February 2018, the European Commission adopted a proposal for a revised Directive to improve the quality of drinking water and provide greater access and information to citizens. In the Annex of this proposal, quality standards for chemicals are listed ([http://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/BRIE/2018/625179/EPRS_BRI\(2018\)625179_EN.pdf](http://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/BRIE/2018/625179/EPRS_BRI(2018)625179_EN.pdf)). The fast detection of relevant changes in (source) water quality is of great importance to take timely measures, when necessary. The analytical tools range from fast generic biological and chemical sensors and automated chemical analysis for fast detection of (large) changes in water quality to very sensitive chemical analysis of a wide array of compounds, as well as bioassays to assess (adverse) effects of substances (Altenburger et al., 2015; Bäuerlein and Kolkman, 2016; Storey et al., 2011). Regular monitoring of sources and produced drinking water is mostly done with target analysis of priority/relevant contaminants (e.g. pesticides is one of the groups of highest concern). However, it is questionable whether this is sufficient for appropriate quality control with the seemingly endless numbers of substances that remain unmeasured or unidentified. Biological and chemical non-target screening approaches have been applied to evaluate technical processes e.g. chlorination, ozonation or UV-peroxide during water treatment, as it offers the possibility of assessing the effectiveness without knowing the identity of the substances that are eliminated or newly formed during a particular process (ter Laak et al., 2012). Even if identification is not achieved, the non-target analysis is still a valuable tool as it allows comparing different samples (Bader et al., 2016; Müller et al., 2011; Nürenberg et al., 2015).

The identities and concentrations of chemical contaminants in source waters determine the type of treatment required for their removal. In particular, persistent compounds that are also mobile (PMOCs), as a result of their high aqueous solubility, can constitute a threat to the quality of our water resources (Reemtsma et al., 2016). Highly polar or ionic substances can pass through WWTPs as well as natural barriers (river banks, sand dunes) and thus become present in source waters. Treatment processes applied in drinking water production may not be able to completely remove such substances, and some processes may even result in the

generation of new, even more recalcitrant polar compounds. Examples are TPs generated in e.g. disinfection (chlorination, ozonation, UV-peroxide) treatment, some of which have been shown to be particularly hazardous (Kolkman et al., 2015; Richardson et al., 2007; Richardson and Kimura, 2016; Schmidt and Brauch, 2008). The control of such processes requires sophisticated LC-HRMS methodologies to identify the possible products generated.

The lack of appropriate analytical methodologies is one of the major gaps in knowledge on occurrence of highly polar PMOCs in water samples (Reemtsma et al., 2016). This is so because their polarity range - characterized by negative values of log D - makes them hardly amenable to either GC or reversed-phase LC separation. Possible solutions may be found in hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography (HILIC) (Zahn et al., 2016), mixed-mode LC (Montes et al., 2017; Ordoñez et al., 2012), combining HILIC and reversed phase LC (Bieber et al., 2017), ion-pairing LC or supercritical fluid chromatography (SFC).

Protection of drinking water requires often advanced methods of treatment for removal of emerging contaminants. While advanced oxidation methods have been shown to efficiently remove traces of non polar organic compounds, they may not sufficiently protect against the persistent mobile organics. Further refinement of such methods is underway and includes combinations of ozonation and/or UV-peroxide treatment with granular active carbon filtration (Bourgin et al., 2017). Even such combinations may not necessarily suffice, as demonstrated by the presence of polar compounds in drinking water (see text above). Membrane processes, such as nanofiltration and reverse osmosis (Farré et al., 2011; Fujioka et al., 2012; Hajibabania et al., 2011; Verliefde et al., 2009) can remove polar and ionogenic compounds more efficiently than advanced oxidation processes, but still require more testing and understanding to guarantee their efficacy towards these compounds.

8. Wastewater: a valuable source of information on lifestyle and exposure to chemicals

Wastewater can provide anonymised but comprehensive and objective information on community-wide health status and lifestyle in real time, as urban water (sewerage system and receiving aqueous environment) pools anonymous urine, wastewater and runoff samples from thousands of households that are served by individual WWTPs. The monitoring of sewage for chemicals used as indicators of the collective status of human health (stress/disease) or any other facet relevant to determine trends in community-wide health is the basis of wastewater-based epidemiology (WBE), which is being used to monitor community-wide use of lifestyle substances such as illicit drugs, new psychoactive substances (NPS), alcohol, tobacco, doping substances and counterfeit medicines. WBE enables the retrieval of epidemiological information from wastewater via analysis of specific human (metabolic) excretion products (called biomarkers). This approach is currently used to report on world-wide illicit drug use trends e.g. (Ort et al., 2014; Thomas et al., 2012; Tschärke et al., 2016) and feeds into the Europe-wide evidence based EWS managed by the European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction (EMCDDA, accessed 11.7.18; SCORE, 2010, accessed 11.7.18).

Human excretion products of external or internal bodily origin resulting from intentional or unintentional exposure to foreign 'xenobiotic' agents and internal processes (e.g. metabolism of xenobiotics, xenobiotic triggered DNA damage leading to excretion of characteristic metabolites, proteins and DNA biomarkers) are pooled by the sewerage system. Analysis of appropriate biomarkers in wastewater provides valuable evidence of the quantity and type of xenobiotic substances to which a population is exposed by e.g.,

deliberate administration of illicit drugs, or accidental chemicals exposure to food, environmental toxicants or infectious agents (Daughton, 2018; Kasprzyk-Hordern et al., 2014). More information can be obtained for guiding investigation needed for preventing, avoiding, controlling or reducing human exposure risks, as well as for maintaining or promoting health.

The analysis of wastewater opens new perspectives within exposure science thanks to the vast amount of information contained in this type of samples. This is because wastewater analysis can provide information about the presence of stressors in the studied system as well as community-wide biological effects (e.g. prevalence of certain diseases). To this aim, the identification and quantification of appropriate urinary biomarkers of exposure (metabolic residues of stressors) and effects (e.g. biomarkers of inflammation or oxidative stress) is required. Human biomarkers of exposure are typically present at very low concentrations in a very complex matrix, such as wastewater. LC-MS/MS QqQ is the technique most commonly used, although LC-HRMS is increasingly being used in this field too (Hernández et al., 2018). The concentrations of biomarkers can be used to back-calculate their daily mass loads in wastewater and to estimate daily exposure after taking into consideration knowledge (if exists) on human disposition, including metabolism (Castiglioni et al., 2013; Thomas et al., 2012).

Analysis of wastewater can be applied to assessment of spatial and temporal trends in (1) lifestyle and substance use (e.g. illicit drugs, alcohol, tobacco or NPS) (2) health status (e.g. stress); and (3) exposure to food and environmental toxicants (e.g. pesticides (Gracia-Lor et al., 2018; Rousis et al., 2016), chemicals in personal care products (antimicrobials and UV filters) (Lopardo et al., 2017, 2018), phosphorus flame retardants (Been et al., 2017), and phthalate plasticizers (Gonzalez-Mariño et al., 2017))

An interesting analytical approach is the use of chiral chromatography, which allows for understanding of origins of biomarkers in wastewater (i.e. differentiation between consumption/direct exposure and other disposal routes, e.g. direct disposal of unused drug (Castrignanò et al., 2018; Emke et al., 2014; Kasprzyk-Hordern and Baker, 2012; Petrie et al., 2016; Vazquez-Roig et al., 2014). Sensors have also been developed for cheaper and faster quantification of biomarkers (such as cocaine and prostate specific antigen (PSA)) (Yang et al., 2016, 2015a; 2015b). Tracking of infectious disease and linking exposure to air pollutants with respiratory diseases has been proposed with the ultimate goal of a comprehensive public health assessment, since urban water can be considered as a 'diagnostic medium for the health status of a city' (Daughton, 2018; Thomas and Reid, 2011; Yang et al., 2015a).

9. Regulatory issues

The regulation of chemicals on the market ensures consumer and environmental safety, yet the information required in the regulatory processes often remains confidential to some extent to protect commercial/trade secrets. Furthermore, many of these chemicals are not listed in public compound databases often used for screening. With an estimated 140,000 chemicals regulated under REACH, including confidential information, market use (tonnage, intended use) and hazard classifications for a subset of these (approx. 15–30,000 substances), the information held by regulatory authorities could provide valuable assistance for non-target and suspect screening. However, the majority of these are industrial chemicals and some level of curation is needed to apply this information for screening purposes. Strengthening the collaboration between regulatory authorities and environmental laboratories would lead to mutual benefits – an example workflow of how to protect confidentiality yet yield vital information for

screening of emerging chemical risks is given in Fig. 3. Regulatory bodies could provide for instance accurate mass data instead of confidential substance structures as well as exposure indexes instead of confidential consumption or application data to laboratories for suspect screening purposes. In case of potential environmental or human risk, reported findings could result in additional confirmatory and regulatory actions. Beyond exchanging information with local regulatory authorities, for instance in the context of granting permits, there is also an increasing need to exchange information internationally, as the increasing use of imported products may also result in adverse human and environmental impacts. Initiatives such as the NORMAN Suspect Exchange (Norman network, 2018a) and the chemical lists on the CompTox Chemistry Dashboard (Williams et al., 2017) are important steps to improve the exchange of information. Both resources now contain chemical lists with exposure estimates. The ExpoCast list (Wambaugh et al., 2014) contains estimated data on 7968 chemicals, also available from the batch search to download. The KEMI Market List on the NORMAN Suspect Exchange contains information and associated exposure indexes and hazard scores on 30,748 chemicals (version provided 3 July 2017; further details about these scores provided on the Suspect Exchange). The Chemicals and Products Database contains reported and predicted information on >75,000 chemicals contained in >15,000 consumer products (Dionisio et al., 2018) and is also available through the CompTox Chemistry Dashboard.

Widening/improving suspect lists with the inputs from regulatory bodies is extremely beneficial for screening purposes, and the tasks of analytical laboratories would be notably facilitated, resulting in a more efficient screening, providing more realistic data on the presence of contaminants of concern in monitoring programs (Gago-Ferrero et al., 2018; Schulze et al., 2018). At the same time, a close collaboration would make easier the updating of regulation based on occurrence on harmful compounds in the environment. As an example, an exchange of information on PMOCs would be beneficial, as these contaminants have the greatest chances of appearing in drinking water, because they are mobile enough in the aquatic environment to enter drinking water sources and persistent enough to survive treatment processes. This identification and ranking procedure for PMOCs can be part of a strategy to better identify contaminants that pose a threat to drinking water sources (Arp et al., 2017).

However, exact mass or suspect screening, especially using large lists of compounds, is not sufficient. All "hits" detected in such a manner must be confirmed using additional analytical information to avoid false positives. RT and fragmentation information can be used to provide additional evidence for a formula or exact mass hit and library spectra or reference standards should be used (as described further above) for additional confirmation. Progressive efforts are underway to integrate suspect lists containing exposure data into *in silico* fragmentation methods such as MetFrag (Ruttkies et al., 2016) to facilitate the connection between research and regulation. Efforts are also underway to improve the assessment of materials of Unknown and Variable composition, Complex reaction products and Biological substances (UVCBs), which make up a significant percentage of chemical substances in regulatory lists (Schymanski and Williams, 2017; Williams et al., 2017). For instance, 16,923 of 67,951 entries (25%) in the April 2018 Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) are UVCBs.

Non-targeted analytical methods are suited to discover potential emerging chemical risks in the environment. With improved, rapid communication and increasing regulatory acceptance of screening methods, this has great potential to assist in the monitoring of this type of compounds.

Chemicals identified as substances of very high concern (SVHC)




Fig. 3. Suggested workflow for integrating confidential regulatory exposure/hazard data into non-target screening.

in accordance with the REACH Regulation are added to the so-called Candidate List, and then become subject to authorization. To date, all chemicals included in the Candidate List that are classified as either persistent, bioaccumulative and toxic (PBT), or very persistent and very bioaccumulative, are in principle considered to be hazardous to the environment. However, really polar, mobile chemicals have not been identified as SVHC. Thus, neither in the protection of surface water and groundwater nor in REACH regulation PMOCs have been considered specifically, yet. Although REACH does not specifically address PMOCs they can be covered under article 57 (f) by identifying them as substances of equivalent concern. Thus, there is a strong need to establish criteria for identifying PMOCs in order to prevent that this becomes a regulatory gap. Currently, the lack of analytical methods, monitoring, and modeling data hampers an evaluation of the magnitude of this gap (Reemtsma et al., 2016).

Despite limitations in the regulation, many countries, water authorities and waterworks are taking advantage of the improved analytical capabilities and are increasing their efforts to cover a broad range of emerging chemical risks in their monitoring following the precautionary principle and due to public concern. Accordingly, most regulatory laboratories already have GC-MS and/or GC-MS/MS, as well as LC-MS/MS, at their disposal, and many have acquired HRMS instruments to broaden their analytical capabilities further. This trend will most likely continue and result overall in better surveillance of water resources. Improved data processing techniques and acceptance will support these efforts further. The field is currently developing very rapidly and there is much reason to be positive for the future of non-target screening methods in regulatory contexts (Hollender et al., 2017).

10. Trends and perspectives

To conclude the information presented in this article, firstly several key issues are emphasized, then upcoming trends and perspectives are anticipated for the next few years in relation to the

role of analytical chemistry in the field of exposure science.

- Early warning systems show great promise as both a pro-active and retrospective method to enable the timely capture of insufficiently characterized chemical threats in waters. To prevent potential risks from unregulated aspects of chemicals, emerging chemical risks must be traced and identified at the earliest possible stage (Bakker et al., 2014; Fahrenkamp-Uppenbrink, 2018). The lack of data on occurrence of many chemicals and their transformation products in the environment is a limitation at present. To fill this gap, the complementary use of gas and liquid chromatography hyphenated with high resolution mass spectrometry appears as one of the most powerful approaches for wide-scope screening. Environmental analytical chemistry will surely be a priority research area in exposure science in the next years.
- Working with large databases of compounds (e.g. in suspect screening) requires careful prioritization and confirmation efforts to focus on the most relevant compounds. Prioritization should be given to those chemicals where high exposure or potential risk to human and environmental health is expected, and relevant occurrence or risk data should be included where possible.
- Metabolites/TPs of organic contaminants are commonly present in waters at concentrations sometimes exceeding those of the parent chemicals, and therefore should be also considered as major environmental contaminants, e.g. those compounds that may remain biologically active. Further research is needed to identify and quantify these compounds in the aquatic environment, and to establish their potential hazards and ecotoxicological effects. Linking contaminant levels (exposure) obtained with mass spectrometry tools with biological responses (hazard) obtained with rapidly developing bioassay tools provides a promise for better and faster identification of those chemicals that represent a real threat to the environment and public health.

- The lack of multi-residue/multi-class analytical methods for highly polar, persistent and mobile organic compounds implies gaps in terms of analysis, and consequently in monitoring data, water treatment measures and in regulation. New methods are expected in the new few years for the determination of these compounds, e.g. making use of chromatographic separations based on HILIC, Mix-Mode, ion-pairing or SFC.
- Wastewater analysis has allowed exposure science to gain a powerful tool to verify public and environmental health trends in near real time. Future developments are expected in this area aimed to identify (bio)markers of exposure in wastewater. Development of novel real-time, low cost and easy to operate sensors will surely be a relevant issue in the near future.
- The increasing awareness of potential risks of organic contaminants for aquatic ecosystems and human health fosters the scientific and public discussion and political action for further reduction of the input of these compounds to the aquatic environment. The information given by modern analytical chemistry will help in making choices on the best removal process of compounds from the environment, because it is possible to monitor the efficiency removal of the process applied and, at the same time, the possible formation of transformation/ degradation products in the environment.
- Non-target analysis now makes possible the monitoring of both known and unknown chemicals in waters in near real time and trends of these chemicals over time. It allows comparing different samples and monitoring of unknown masses of interest. In this way, episodes of high contamination can be detected even if the unknowns remain unidentified. Especially for the evaluation of technical processes (i.e. ozonation during water treatment), non-target analysis offers the possibility of assessing the effectiveness without knowing the identity of the substances which are eliminated or newly formed during a particular process.
- The most recent data reported in the literature reveal that screening by LC-HRMS now leads to results similar to those from the regular target monitoring using specific (LC-MS/MS) analytical methods. Still, some challenges have to be faced in the coming years, such as (i) developing a further optimized quality assurance strategy, (ii) optimization of the LC, prediction of retention times (Aalizadeh et al., 2016; Bade et al., 2015; McEachran et al., 2018; Miller et al., 2013; Stanstrup et al., 2015), and prediction of CCS in Ion Mobility MS (Bijlsma et al., 2017; Mollerup et al., 2018; Zhou et al., 2016) (iii) storage and exchange of measurement data e.g. (Wang et al., 2016) and (iv) the identification of unknown compounds. The outlook is to incorporate non-target LC-HRMS(/MS) screening into regulatory monitoring in the near future and embedding this in a regulatory framework. As a result, a more complete overview of the occurrence of organic compounds in the water cycle will be generated and more realistic data will be available for appropriate risk assessment.
- Further strengthening and embedding of analytical chemistry within the field of exposure science will enhance the identification and prioritization of potential threats to health and the environment. The existing first steps outlined above with the NORMAN Suspect Exchange and the CompTox Chemistry Dashboard could be extended by setting up a European programme generating, assessing, exchanging, and communicating experimental and model-based exposure data in support of the European strategy for exposure science with a roadmap 2020–2025–2030 (“The European branch of the International Society of Exposure Science (ISES-Europe). Such activities will also be applicable on a global scale. Also on a global scale, and particularly in developing countries, UN Environment is investing

since more than 10 years already in capacity building for the analysis of persistent organic contaminants (van Leeuwen et al., 2013)

- New hazards are becoming hot topics in exposure science not related to specific chemical families but to new classes of contaminants like nanoparticles or microplastics (Picó et al., 2017; 2018; Wagner and Lambert, 2018). Nano-based technology has made enormous progress over the last decade with applications in cosmetic, pharmaceutical and medical fields using engineered nanoparticles consisting of carbon-based (eg. Fullerene) and inorganic (eg. TiO₂) forms, partly with functionalized surfaces (Bundschuh et al., 2018). The environmental concern on microplastics (i.e. fragments smaller than 1 mm) is related to their slow degradability and capability as carriers to concentrate and transport synthetic organic pollutants. Primary sources are related to cleaning and cosmetic products, while secondary sources arise as fibres coming from washing clothes, both entering aquatic system through household sewage discharge (Jiang, 2018). These have a whole new set of analytical challenges associated with them that have not been covered in this article
- A new trend is directed towards the study of the exposome, i.e. the totality of environmental exposures from conception onwards, where two broad complementary interpretations can be considered. One, called “top-down”, is mainly interested in identifying new causes of disease based on *omics* technologies (internal exposome) (Turner et al., 2018). This approach utilizes methods such as metabolomics to generate new hypotheses on disease causes. The second, called “bottom-up”, starts with a set of exposures or environmental compartments to determine the pathways by which such exposures lead to disease. Although the present article deals with the external exposome, focused on the aquatic environment, one should not forget that a comprehensive exposome concept also involves the internal exposome, in order to integrate the totality of environmental exposures. Analysis of the exposome (so-called exposomics) will require powerful analytical techniques, such as HRMS coupled to both GC and LC, combined with robust statistical tools and quick and trusty annotation applications, as discussed here.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the financial support from Generalitat Valenciana (Group of Excellence Prometeo II 2014/023) and from the Ministerio Español de Economía y Competitividad (Project CTQ 2015-65603-P). FH acknowledges the financial support of “Ministerio de Educación, Cultura y Deporte”, to perform a research stay at the RIVM (Ref PR 2015-00084). BKH would like to acknowledge the support of the Leverhulme Trust (Project No. RPG-2013-297) and Natural Environment Research Council (Project No. NE/N019261/1). ELS and JH acknowledge funding from the European Commission for the FP7 project SOLUTIONS (Grant Agreement No. 603437) as well as valuable discussions with Antony J. Williams (NCCT, US EPA), Heinz Singer (Eawag) and Christoph Ruttkies (IPB Halle). ELS is supported by the Luxembourg National Research Fund (FNR) for project 12341006.

References

- D’Agostino, L.A., Mabury, S.A., 2014. Identification of Novel Fluorinated Surfactants in Aqueous Film Forming Foams and Commercial Surfactant Concentrates. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 48, 121–129. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es403729e>.
- Aalizadeh, R., Thomaidis, N.S., Bletsou, A.A., Gago-Ferrero, P., 2016. Quantitative Structure-Retention Relationship Models to Support Nontarget High-Resolution Mass Spectrometric Screening of Emerging Contaminants in Environmental Samples. *J. Chem. Inf. Model.* 56, 1384–1398. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jcim>.

- 5b00752.
- Aceña, J., Stampachiachiere, S., Pérez, S., Barceló, D., 2015. Advances in liquid chromatography–high-resolution mass spectrometry for quantitative and qualitative environmental analysis. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 407, 6289–6299.
- Agüera, A., Martínez Bueno, M.J., Fernández-Alba, A.R., 2013. New trends in the analytical determination of emerging contaminants and their transformation products in environmental waters. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. Int.* 20, 3496–3515. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-013-1586-0>.
- Aksenov, A.A., Da Silva, R., Knight, R., Lopes, N.P., Dorrestein, P.C., 2017. Global chemical analysis of biology by mass spectrometry. *Nat. Rev. Chem.* 1, 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41570-017-0054>.
- Alder, A.C., Schaffner, C., Majewsky, M., Klasmeier, J., Fenner, K., 2010. Fate of β -blocker human pharmaceuticals in surface water: Comparison of measured and simulated concentrations in the Glatt Valley Watershed, Switzerland. *Water Res.* 44, 936–948. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2009.10.002>.
- Altenburger, R., Ait-Aissa, S., Antczak, P., Backhaus, T., Barceló, D., Seiler, T.-B., Brion, F., Busch, W., Chipman, K., López de Alda, M., de Aragão Umbuzeiro, G., Escher, B.I., Falciani, F., Faust, M., Focks, A., Hilscherova, K., Hollender, J., Hollert, H., Jäger, F., Jahnke, A., Kortenkamp, A., Krauss, M., Lemkine, G.F., Munthe, J., Neumann, S., Schymanski, E.L., Scrimshaw, M., Segner, H., Slobodnik, J., Smedes, F., Kughathas, S., Teodorovic, I., Tindall, A.J., Tollefsen, K.E., Walz, K.-H., Williams, T.D., Van den Brink, P.J., van Gils, J., Vrana, B., Zhang, X., Brack, W., 2015. Future water quality monitoring — Adapting tools to deal with mixtures of pollutants in water resource management. *Sci. Total Environ.* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.12.057>.
- Alygizakis, N.A., Samanipour, S., Hollender, J., Ibáñez, M., Kaserzon, S., Kokkali, V., Van Leerdam, J.A., Mueller, J.F., Pijnappels, M., Reid, M.J., Schymanski, E.L., Slobodnik, J., Thomaidis, N.S., Thomas, K.V., 2018. Exploring the Potential of a Global Emerging Contaminant Early Warning Network through the Use of Retrospective Suspect Screening with High-Resolution Mass Spectrometry. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 52, 5135–5144. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b00365>.
- Andrés-Costa, M.J., Andreu, V., Picó, Y., 2017. Liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry as a tool for wastewater-based epidemiology: Assessing new psychoactive substances and other human biomarkers. *TRAC Trends Anal. Chem.* 94, 21–38.
- Arp, H.P., Slinde, G.A., 2018. PFBS in the Environment: Monitoring and Physical-Chemical Data Related to the Environmental Distribution of Perfluorobutanesulfonic Acid. Norwegian Environmental Agency Report M-1122| 2018. NGI DOC.NO. 20180533-01-R. Oslo.
- Arp, H.P.H., Brown, T.N., Berger, U., Hale, S.E., 2017. Ranking REACH registered neutral, ionizable and ionic organic chemicals based on their aquatic persistence and mobility. *Environ. Sci. Process. Impacts* 19, 939–955. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C7EM00158D>.
- Aus der Beek, T., Weber, F.A., Bergmann, A., Hickmann, S., Ebert, I., Hein, A., Küster, A., 2016. Pharmaceuticals in the environment—Global occurrences and perspectives. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 35, 823–835. <https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.3339>.
- Bade, R., Bijlsma, L., Miller, T.H., Barron, L.P., Sancho, J.V., Hernández, F., 2015. Suspect screening of large numbers of emerging contaminants in environmental waters using artificial neural networks for chromatographic retention time prediction and high resolution mass spectrometry data analysis. *Sci. Total Environ.* 538, 934–941.
- Bader, T., Schulz, W., Lucke, T., Seitz, W., Winzenbacher, R., 2016. Application of Non-Target Analysis with LC-HRMS for the Monitoring of Raw and Potable Water: Strategy and Results. In: *Assessing Transformation Products of Chemicals by Non-Target and Suspect Screening – Strategies and Workflows*, vol. 2. American Chemical Society, pp. 49–70. <https://doi.org/10.1021/bk-2016-1242.ch003>.
- Bakker, J., Bruinen de Bruin, Y., Hogendoorn, E.A., Kooi, M., Palmen, N., Salverda, J., Traas, T., Sijm, D., 2014. Progress report on New or Emerging Risks of Chemicals (NERCs) RIVM Letter report 2014-0040, RIVM Letter report 2014-0040. Bilthoven, the Netherlands. <https://doi.org/10.1002/fee.1450>.
- Barco-Bonilla, N., Romero-González, R., Plaza-Bolaños, P., Garrido Frenich, A., Martínez Vidal, J.L., 2010. Analysis and study of the distribution of polar and non-polar pesticides in wastewater effluents from modern and conventional treatments. *J. Chromatogr. A* 1217, 7817–7825. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2010.10.011>.
- Bartelt-Hunt, S.L., Snow, D.D., Damon, T., Shockley, J., Hoagland, K., 2009. The occurrence of illicit and therapeutic pharmaceuticals in wastewater effluent and surface waters in Nebraska. *Environ. Pollut.* 157, 786–791. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2008.11.025>.
- Barzen-Hanson, K.A., Roberts, S.C., Oetjen, S.K., McAlees, A., Riddell, N., McCrindle, R., Ferguson, P.L., Higgins, C.P., Field, J.A., 2017. Discovery of 40 Classes of Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances in Historical Aqueous Film-Forming Foams (AFFFs) and AFFF-Impacted Groundwater. *Xiao. Environ. Sci. Technol.* 51, 2047–2057.
- Bauerlein, P.S., Kolkman, A., 2016. HPLC UV screening 2015, KWR 2016.31. Nieuwegein, the Netherlands.
- Been, F., Bastiaensen, M., Lai, F.Y., Van Nuijs, A.L.N., Covaci, A., 2017. Liquid Chromatography–Tandem Mass Spectrometry Analysis of Biomarkers of Exposure to Phosphorus Flame Retardants in Wastewater to Monitor Community-Wide Exposure. *Anal. Chem.* 89, 10045–10053. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.7b02705>.
- Bieber, S., Greco, G., Grosse, S., Letzel, T., 2017. RPLC-HILIC and SFC with Mass Spectrometry: Polarity-Extended Organic Molecule Screening in Environmental (Water) Samples. *Anal. Chem.* 89, 7907–7914. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs>.
- analchem.7b00859.
- Bijlsma, L., Boix, C., Niessen, W.M.A., Ibáñez, M., Sancho, J.V., Hernández, F., 2013. Investigation of degradation products of cocaine and benzoylecgonine in the aquatic environment. *Sci. Total Environ.* 443, 200–208. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.11.006>.
- Bijlsma, L., Serrano, R., Ferrer, C., Tormos, I., Hernández, F., 2014. Occurrence and behavior of illicit drugs and metabolites in sewage water from the Spanish Mediterranean coast (Valencia region). *Sci. Total Environ.* 487, 703–709. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.11.131>.
- Bijlsma, L., Bade, R., Celma, A., Mullin, L., Cleland, G., Stead, S., Hernandez, F., Sancho, J.V., 2017. Prediction of Collision Cross-Section Values for Small Molecules: Application to Pesticide Residue Analysis. *Anal. Chem.* 89, 6583–6589. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.7b00741>.
- Blatsou, A.A., Jeon, J., Hollender, J., Archontaki, E., Thomaidis, N.S., 2015. Targeted and non-targeted liquid chromatography–mass spectrometric workflows for identification of transformation products of emerging pollutants in the aquatic environment. *TRAC Trends Anal. Chem. (Reference Ed.)* 66, 32–44.
- Boecking, W., Klamar, A., Kitzmann, F., Kirch, W., 2012. Pharmaco-economic impact of demographic change on pharmaceutical expenses in Germany and France. *BMC Public Health* 12, 894–902. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-12-894>.
- Boix, C., Ibáñez, M., Sancho, J.V., Niessen, W.M.A., Hernández, F., 2013. Investigating the presence of omeprazole in waters by liquid chromatography coupled to low and high resolution mass spectrometry: Degradation experiments. *J. Mass Spectrom.* 48, 1091–1100. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jms.3260>.
- Boix, C., Ibáñez, M., Bijlsma, L., Sancho, J.V., Hernández, F., 2014. Investigation of cannabis biomarkers and transformation products in waters by liquid chromatography coupled to time of flight and triple quadrupole mass spectrometry. *Chemosphere* 99, 64–71. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2013.10.007>.
- Boix, C., Ibáñez, M., Sancho, J.V., Rambla, J., Aranda, J.L., Ballester, S., Hernández, F., 2015. Fast determination of 40 drugs in water using large volume direct injection liquid chromatography – tandem mass spectrometry. *Talanta* 131, 719–727.
- Boix, C., Ibáñez, M., Bagnati, R., Zuccato, E., Sancho, J.V., Hernández, F., Castiglioni, S., 2016a. High resolution mass spectrometry to investigate omeprazole and venlafaxine metabolites in wastewater. *J. Hazard Mater.* 302, 332–340. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2015.09.059>.
- Boix, C., Ibáñez, M., Sancho, J.V., Parsons, J.R., Voogt, P. de, Hernández, F., 2016b. Biotransformation of pharmaceuticals in surface water and during waste water treatment: Identification and occurrence of transformation products. *J. Hazard Mater.* 302, 175–187. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2015.09.053>.
- Booij, P., Vethaak, A.D., Leonards, P.E.G., Sjollem, S.B., Kool, J., De Voogt, P., Lamoree, M.H., 2014. Identification of photosynthesis inhibitors of pelagic marine algae using 96-well plate microfractionation for enhanced throughput in effect-directed analysis. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 48, 8003–8011. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es405428t>.
- Botitsi, H.V., Garbis, S.D., Economou, A., Tsipi, D.F., 2011. Current mass spectrometry strategies for the analysis of pesticides and their metabolites in food and water matrices. *Mass Spectrom. Rev.* 907–939. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mas>.
- Bourgin, M., Borowska, E., Helbing, J., Hollender, J., Kaiser, H.-P., Kienle, C., McArdell, C.S., Simon, E., von Gunten, U., 2017. Effect of operational and water quality parameters on conventional ozonation and the advanced oxidation process O₃/H₂O₂: Kinetics of micropollutant abatement, transformation product and bromate formation in a surface water. *Water Res.* 122, 234–245. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.05.018>.
- Boxall, A.B.A., Fogg, L.A., Blackwell, P.A., Blackwell, P., Kay, P., Pemberton, E.J., Croxford, A., 2004. Veterinary Medicines in the Environment. In: *Reviews of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*. Springer New York, New York, NY, pp. 1–91. https://doi.org/10.1007/0-387-21729-0_1.
- Boxall, A.B.A., Rudd, M.A., Brooks, B.W., Caldwell, D.J., Choi, K., Hickmann, S., Innes, E., Ostapyk, K., Staveley, J.P., Verslycke, T., Ankley, G.T., Beazley, K.F., Belanger, S.E., Berninger, J.P., Carriquiriborde, P., Coors, A., DeLeo, P.C., Dyer, S.D., Ericson, J.F., Gagné, F., Giesy, J.P., Guoin, T., Hallstrom, L., Karlsson, M.V., Larsson, D.G.J., Lazorchak, J.M., Mastrocco, F., McLaughlin, A., McMaster, M.E., Meyerhoff, R.D., Moore, R., Parrott, J.L., Snape, J.R., Murray-Smith, R., Servos, M.R., Sibley, P.K., Straub, J.O., Szabo, N.D., Topp, E., Tetreault, G.R., Trudeau, V.L., Van Der Kraak, G., 2012. Pharmaceuticals and personal care products in the environment: what are the big questions? *Environ. Health Perspect.* 120, 1221–1229. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2013.06.012>.
- Brack, W., 2015. The Challenge: Prioritization of emerging pollutants. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 34, 2181–2182. <https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.3046>.
- Brack, W., Dulio, V., Slobodnik, J., 2012. The NORMAN Network and its activities on emerging environmental substances with a focus on effect-directed analysis of complex environmental contamination. *Environ. Sci. Eur.* 24, 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1186/2190-4715-24-29>.
- Brack, W., Ait-Aissa, S., Burgess, R.M., Busch, W., Creusot, N., Di Paolo, C., Escher, B.I., Mark Hewitt, L., Hilscherova, K., Hollender, J., Hollert, H., Jonker, W., Kool, J., Lamoree, M., Muschket, M., Neumann, S., Rostkowski, P., Ruttkies, C., Schollee, J., Schymanski, E.L., Schulze, T., Seiler, T.B., Tindall, A.J., De Aragão Umbuzeiro, G., Vrana, B., Krauss, M., 2016. Effect-directed analysis supporting monitoring of aquatic environments – An in-depth overview. *Sci. Total Environ.* 544, 1073–1118.
- Brack, W., Dulio, V., Agerstrand, M., Allan, I., Altenburger, R., Brinkmann, M., Bunke, D., Burgess, R.M., Cousins, I., Escher, B.I., Hernández, F.J., Hewitt, L.M., Hilscherová, K., Hollender, J., Hollert, H., Kase, R., Klauer, B., Lindim, C., López

- Herráez, D., Miège, C., Munthe, J., O'Toole, S., Posthuma, L., Rüdél, H., Schäfer, R.B., Sengl, M., Smedes, F., van de Meent, D., van den Brink, P.J., van Gils, J., van Wezel, A.P., Vethaak, A.D., Vermeirssen, E., von der Ohe, P.C., Vrana, B., 2017. Towards the review of the European Union Water Framework Directive: Recommendations for more efficient management of chemical contamination in European surface water resources. *Sci. Total Environ.* 576, 720–737. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.10.104>.
- Bruinen de Bruin, Y., Bessems, J., Fantke, P., van Goetz, N., Schlüter, U., Connolly, A., 2018. Anchoring Exposure Science in Europe. In: Heinemeijer, G., Jantunen, M., Hakkinen, P. (Eds.), *The Practice of Consumer Exposure Assessment*. Springer International Publishing AG, ISBN 987-3-319-96147-7.
- Buck, R.C., Franklin, J., Berger, U., Conder, J.M., Cousins, I.T., Voogt, P. De, Jensen, A.A., Kannan, K., Mabury, S.A., van Leeuwen, S.P.J., 2011. Perfluoroalkyl and polyfluoroalkyl substances in the environment: Terminology, classification, and origins. *Integrated Environ. Assess. Manag.* 7, 513–541. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ieam.258>.
- Bundschuh, M., Filser, J., Lüderwald, S., McKee, M.S., Metreveli, G., Schaumann, G.E., Schulz, R., Wagner, S., 2018. Nanoparticles in the environment: where do we come from, where do we go to? *Environ. Sci. Eur.* 30. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12302-018-0132-6>.
- Burgess, R.M., Ho, K.T., Brack, W., Lamoree, M., 2013. Effects-directed analysis (EDA) and toxicity identification evaluation (TIE): Complementary but different approaches for diagnosing causes of environmental toxicity. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 32, 1935–1945. <https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.2299>.
- Busch, J., Ahrens, L., Sturm, R., Ebinghaus, R., 2010. Polyfluoroalkyl compounds in landfill leachates. *Environ. Pollut.* 158, 1467–1471. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2009.12.031>.
- Campanha, M.B., Awan, A.T., de Sousa, D.N.R., Grosseli, G.M., Mozeto, A.A., Fadini, P.S., 2015. A 3-year study on occurrence of emerging contaminants in an urban stream of São Paulo State of Southeast Brazil. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 22, 7936–7947. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-014-3929-x>.
- Carstea, E.M., Bridgeman, J., Baker, A., Reynolds, D.M., 2016. Fluorescence spectroscopy for wastewater monitoring: A review. *Water Res.* 95, 205–219. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2016.03.021>.
- Castiglioni, S., Bijlsma, L., Covaci, A., Emke, E., Hernández, F., Reid, M., Ort, C., Thomas, K.V., Van Nuijs, A.L.N., De Voogt, P., Zuccato, E., 2013. Evaluation of uncertainties associated with the determination of community drug use through the measurement of sewage drug biomarkers. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 47, 1452–1460. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es302722f>.
- Castillo, N.I., Ibáñez, M., Beltrán, E., Rivera-Monroy, J., Ochoa, J.C., Páez-Castillo, M., Posada-Buitrago, M.L., Suluyok, M., Hernández, F., 2016. Identification of mycotoxins by UHPLC-QTOF MS in airborne fungi and fungi isolated from industrial paper and antique documents from the Archive of Bogotá. *Environ. Res.* 144, 130–138.
- Castriñanò, E., Yang, Z., Bade, R., Baz-Lomba, J.A., Castiglioni, S., Causanilles, A., Covaci, A., Gracia-Lor, E., Hernandez, F., Kinyua, J., McCall, A.K., van Nuijs, A.L.N., Ort, C., Plósz, B.G., Ramin, P., Rousis, N.I., Ryu, Y., Thomas, K.V., de Voogt, P., Zuccato, E., Kasprzyk-Hordern, B., 2018. Enantiomeric profiling of chiral illicit drugs in a pan-European study. *Water Res.* 130, 151–160. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.11.051>.
- Causanilles, A., Emke, E., de Voogt, P., 2016. Determination of phosphodiesterase type V inhibitors in wastewater by direct injection followed by liquid chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry. *Sci. Total Environ.* 565, 140–147. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.04.158>.
- Chiaia-Hernandez, A.C., Schymanski, E.L., Kumar, P., Singer, H.P., Hollender, J., 2014. Suspect and nontarget screening approaches to identify organic contaminant records in lake sediments. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 406, 7323–7335. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-014-8166-0>.
- Covaci, A., Harrad, S., Abdallah, M.A.E., Ali, N., Law, R.J., Herzke, D., de Wit, C.A., 2011. Novel brominated flame retardants: A review of their analysis, environmental fate and behaviour. *Environ. Int.* 37, 532–556. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2010.11.007>.
- Daughton, C.G., 2004. Non-regulated water contaminants: emerging research. *Environ. Impact Assess. Rev.* 24, 711–732. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2004.06.003>.
- Daughton, C.G., 2018. Monitoring wastewater for assessing community health: Sewage Chemical-Information Mining (SCIM). *Sci. Total Environ.* 619–620, 748–764. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.11.102>.
- Daughton, C.G., Ternes, T.A., 1999. Pharmaceuticals and Personal Care Products in the Environment: Agents of Subtle Change? *Environ. Health Perspect.* 107, 907–938. <https://doi.org/10.1021/bk-2001-0791>.
- de Hoogh, C.J., Wagenvoort, A.J., Jonker, F., van Leerdam, J.A., Hogenboom, A.C., 2006. HPLC-DAD and Q-TOF MS Techniques Identify Cause of Daphnia Biomonitor Alarms in the River Meuse. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 40, 2678–2685. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es052035a>.
- de Voogt, P., Sáez, M., 2006. Analytical chemistry of perfluoroalkylated substances. *TrAC Trends Anal. Chem. (Reference Ed.)* 25, 326–342. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2005.10.008>.
- de Zwart, D., Adams, W., Galay Burgos, M., Hollender, J., Junghans, M., Merrington, G., Muir, D., Parkerton, T., De Schampelaere, K.A.C., Whale, G., Williams, R., 2018. Aquatic exposures of chemical mixtures in urban environments: Approaches to impact assessment. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 37, 703–714. <https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.3975>.
- Díaz, R., Ibáñez, M., Sancho, J.V., Hernández, F., 2012. Target and non-target screening strategies for organic contaminants, residues and illicit substances in food, environmental and human biological samples by UHPLC-QTOF-MS. *Anal. Methods* 4, 196–209.
- Dimzon, I.K., Westerveld, J., Gremmel, C., Frömel, T., Knepper, T.P., de Voogt, P., 2017. Sampling and simultaneous determination of volatile per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances in wastewater treatment plant air and water. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 409, 1395–1404. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-016-0072-1>.
- Dionisio, K.L., Phillips, K., Price, P.S., Grulke, C., Williams, A., Biryol, D., Hong, T., Isaacs, K.K., 2018. The Chemical and Products Database, a resource for exposure-relevant data on chemicals in consumer products. *Nat. Sci. Data* 5, 180125. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2018.125>.
- Duester, L., Wahrendorf, D.S., Brinkmann, C., Fabricius, A.L., Meermann, B., Pelzer, J., Ecker, D., Renner, M., Schmid, H., Ternes, T.A., Heining, P., 2017. A framework to evaluate the impact of armourstones on the chemical quality of surface water. *PLoS One* 12, 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0168926>.
- Dührkop, K., Shen, H., Meusel, M., Rousu, J., Böcker, S., 2015. Searching molecular structure databases with tandem mass spectra using CSI:FingerID. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. Unit. States Am.* 112, 12580–12585. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1509788112>.
- Dulio, V., Slobodnik, J., 2015. In Response: The NORMAN perspectives on prioritization of emerging pollutants. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 34, 2183–2184.
- Dulio, V., Ohe, P.C., Von Der, James-Casas, A., Roose, P., 2013. Working Group on Prioritisation of Emerging Substances NORMAN Prioritisation framework for emerging substances.
- EC, 2000. Directive 2000/60/EC of 23 October 2000 establishing a framework for Community action in the field of water policy. *Off. J. Eur. Parliam.* L327, 1–82. <https://doi.org/10.1039/ap9842100196>.
- EC, 2003. Directive 2002/95/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 January 2003 on the restriction of the use of certain hazardous substances in electrical and electronic equipment. *Off. J. Eur. Communities* 4, 42–46. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2010.02.014>.
- EC, 2008. Directive 2008/105/EC of 16 December 2008 on environmental quality standards in the field of water policy, amending and subsequently repealing Council Directives 82/176/EEC, 83/513/EEC, 84/156/EEC, 84/491/ECC. *Off. J. Eur. Union* L348, 84–97. <https://doi.org/http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=celex:32008L0105>.
- EC, 2013. Directive 2013/39/EU of 12 August 2013 amending Directives 2000/60/EC and 2008/105/EC as regards priority substances in the field of water policy. *Off. J. Eur. Union* L226, 1–17. <https://doi.org/http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=celex:32013L0039>.
- EC, 2018. Commission implementing decision (EU) 2018/840 of 5 June 2018 2018, pp. 5–8.
- EEA, 2013. European Environment Agency. Late lessons from early warnings: science, precaution, innovation. Report No 1/2013. <https://doi.org/10.2800/73322>.
- Eggen, R.L.L., Hollender, J., Joss, A., Scha, M., 2014. Reducing the Discharge of Micropollutants in the Aquatic Environment: The Benefits of Upgrading Wastewater Treatment Plants. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 48, 7683–7689.
- EMCDDA, n.d. <http://www.emcdda.europa.eu/wastewater-analysis> [WWW Document]. URL <http://www.emcdda.europa.eu/wastewater-analysis> (accessed 7.18.18).
- Emke, E., Evans, S., Kasprzyk-Hordern, B., de Voogt, P., 2014. Enantiomer profiling of high loads of amphetamine and MDMA in communal sewage: a Dutch perspective. *Sci. Total Environ.* 487, 666–672. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.11.043>.
- Environment Canada, 2015. Polybrominated diphenyl ethers regulations (SOR/SOR/2008-218) accessed 14.01.19. <http://www.ec.gc.ca/lcpe-cepa/eng/regulations/detailReg.cfm?intReg=108>.
- Eschauer, C., de Voogt, P., Brauch, H., Lange, F., 2011. Perfluorinated compounds in surface waters, groundwater and drinking water. In: Knepper, T.P. (Ed.), *Handbook of Environmental Chemistry*. Springer, Heidelberg, pp. 73–102.
- Eschauer, C., Beerendonk, E., Scholte-Veenendaal, P., De Voogt, P., 2012. Impact of Treatment Processes on the Removal of Perfluoroalkyl Acids from the Drinking Water Production Chain. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 46, 1708–1715. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es201662b>.
- Escher, B.I., Fenner, K., 2011. Recent Advances in Environmental Risk Assessment of Transformation Products. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 45, 3835–3847. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es1030799>.
- European Chemicals Agency (ECHA), 2017. [WWW Document] accessed 5.24.18. <https://echa.europa.eu/information-on-chemicals/ec-inventory>. <https://echa.europa.eu/information-on-chemicals/ec-inventory>.
- Fahrenkamp-Uppenbrink, 2018. Early warning about emerging contaminants. *Science* 11 360 (6389), 617. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.12242>.
- Farré, M., Pérez, S., Kantiani, L., 2008. Fate and toxicity of emerging pollutants, their metabolites and transformation products in the aquatic environment. *TrAC Trends Anal. Chem. (Reference Ed.)* 27, 991–1007. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2008.09.010>.
- Farré, M.J., Keller, J., Holling, N., Poussade, Y., Gernjak, W., 2011. Occurrence of N-nitrosodimethylamine precursors in wastewater treatment plant effluent and their fate during ultrafiltration-reverse osmosis membrane treatment. *Water Sci. Technol.* 63, 605–612.
- Fatta-Kassinos, D., Vasquez, M.I., Kümmerer, K., 2011. Transformation products of pharmaceuticals in surface waters and wastewater formed during photolysis and advanced oxidation processes – Degradation, elucidation of byproducts and assessment of their biological potency. *Chemosphere* 85, 693–709. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2011.06.082>.
- Faust, M., Backhaus, T., 2015. In response: Prioritization and standard setting for

- pollutant mixtures in the aquatic environment: A business consultant's perspective. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 34, 2185–2187. <https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.3046>.
- Fenner, K., Canonica, S., Wackett, L.P., Elsner, M., 2013. Evaluating Pesticide Degradation in the Environment: Blind Spots and Emerging Opportunities. *Science* 341, 752–758. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1236281>.
- Fuhrer, T., Zamboni, N., 2015. High-throughput discovery metabolomics. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 31, 73–78. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2014.08.006>.
- Fujioka, T., Khan, S.J., Poussade, Y., Drewes, J.E., Nghiem, L.D., 2012. N-nitrosamine removal by reverse osmosis for indirect potable water reuse – A critical review based on observations from laboratory-, pilot- and full-scale studies. *Separ. Purif. Technol.* 98, 503–515. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2012.07.025>.
- Gago-Ferrero, P., Krettek, A., Fischer, S., Wiberg, K., Ahrens, L., 2018. Suspect screening and regulatory databases: A powerful combination to identify emerging micropollutants. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 52, 6881–6894. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b06598>.
- García-Galán, M.J., Petrovic, M., Rodríguez-Mozaz, S., Barceló, D., 2016. Multiresidue trace analysis of pharmaceuticals, their human metabolites and transformation products by fully automated on-line solid-phase extraction-liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. *Talanta* 158, 330–341. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2016.05.061>.
- Gebbinck, W.A., van Asseldonk, L., van Leeuwen, S.P., 2017. Presence of emerging per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFASs) in river and drinking water near a fluorochemical production plant in the Netherlands. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 51, 11057–11065.
- Gerecke, A.C., Hartmann, P.C., Heeb, N.V., Kohler, H.P.E., Giger, W., Schmid, P., Zennegg, M., Kohler, M., 2005. Anaerobic degradation of decabromodiphenyl ether. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 39, 1078–1083. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es048634j>.
- Ghoshdastidar, A.J., Fox, S., Tong, A.Z., 2015. The presence of the top prescribed pharmaceuticals in treated sewage effluents and receiving waters in Southwest Nova Scotia, Canada. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 22, 689–700. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-014-3400-z>.
- Gómez Ramos, M.J., Lozano, A., Fernández-Alba, A.R., 2019. High-resolution mass spectrometry with data independent acquisition for the comprehensive nontargeted analysis of migrating chemicals coming from multilayer plastic packaging materials used for fruit purée and juice. *Talanta* 191, 180–192.
- González-Marino, I., Rodil, R., Barrio, I., Cela, R., Quintana, J.B., 2017. Wastewater-Based Epidemiology as a New Tool for Estimating Population Exposure to Phthalate Plasticizers. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 51, 3902–3910. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b05612>.
- Gosetti, F., Mazzucco, E., Gennaro, M.C., Marengo, E., 2016. Contaminants in water: non-target UHPLC/MS analysis. *Environ. Chem. Lett.* 14, 51–65.
- Gracia-Lor, E., Sancho, J.V., Serrano, R., Hernández, F., 2012. Occurrence and removal of pharmaceuticals in wastewater treatment plants at the Spanish Mediterranean area of Valencia. *Chemosphere* 87, 453–462. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2011.12.025>.
- Gracia-Lor, E., Rousis, N.I., Hernández, F., Zuccato, E., Castiglioni, S., 2018. Wastewater-Based Epidemiology as a Novel Biomonitoring Tool to Evaluate Human Exposure To Pollutants. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 52, 10224–10226.
- Gros, M., Petrović, M., Ginebreda, A., Barceló, D., 2010. Removal of pharmaceuticals during wastewater treatment and environmental risk assessment using hazard indexes. *Environ. Int.* 36, 15–26. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2009.09.002>.
- Guillén, D., Ginebreda, A., Farré, M., Darbra, R.M., Petrovic, M., Gros, M., Barceló, D., 2012. Prioritization of chemicals in the aquatic environment based on risk assessment: Analytical, modeling and regulatory perspective. *Sci. Total Environ.* 440, 236–252.
- Hajibabania, S., Verliefde, A., McDonald, J.A., Khan, S.J., Le-Clech, P., 2011. Fate of trace organic compounds during treatment by nanofiltration. *J. Membr. Sci.* 373, 130–139. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2011.02.040>.
- Heiss, C., Küster, A., 2015. In Response: A regulatory perspective on prioritization of emerging pollutants in the context of the Water Framework Directive. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 34, 2181–2182.
- Helbling, D.E., Hollender, J., Kohler, H.-P.E., Singer, H., Fenner, K., 2010. High-Throughput Identification of Microbial Transformation Products of Organic Micropollutants. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 44, 6621–6627. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es100970m>.
- Hernández, F., Ibáñez, M., Gracia-Lor, E., Sancho, J.V., 2011. Retrospective LC-QTOF-MS analysis searching for pharmaceutical metabolites in urban wastewater. *J. Separ. Sci.* 34, 3517–3526.
- Hernández, F., Sancho, J.V., Ibáñez, M., Abad, E., Portolés, T., Mattioli, L., 2012. Current use of high-resolution mass spectrometry in the environmental sciences. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 403, 1251–1264.
- Hernández, F., Cervera, M.I., Portolés, T., Beltrán, J., Pitarch, E., 2013. The role of GC-MS/MS with triple quadrupole in pesticide residue analysis in food and the environment. *Anal. Methods* 5, 5875–5894. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c3ay41104d>.
- Hernández, F., Ibáñez, M., Bade, R., Bijlsma, L., Sancho, J.V., 2014. Investigation of pharmaceuticals and illicit drugs in waters by liquid chromatography-high-resolution mass spectrometry. *TrAC Trends Anal. Chem. (Reference Ed.)* 63, 140–157.
- Hernández, F., Ibáñez, M., Botero-Coy, A.M., Bade, R., Bustos-Lopez, M.C., Rincon, J., Moncayo, A., Bijlsma, L., 2015a. LC-QTOF MS screening of more than 1,000 licit and illicit drugs and their metabolites in wastewater and surface waters from the area of Bogotá, Colombia. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 407, 6405–6416. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-015-8796-x>.
- Hernández, F., Ibáñez, M., Portolés, T., Cervera, M.I., Sancho, J.V., López, F.J., 2015b. Advancing towards universal screening for organic pollutants in waters. *J. Hazard Mater.* 282, 86–95.
- Hernández, F., Castiglioni, S., Covaci, A., De Voogt, P., Emke, E., Kasprzyk-Hordern, B., Ort, C., Reid, M., Sancho, J.V., Thomas, K.V., van Nuijs, A.L.N., Zuccato, E., Bijlsma, L., 2018. Mass Spectrometric strategies for the investigation of biomarkers of illicit drug use in wastewater. *Mass Spectrom. Rev.* 37, 258–280. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mas.21525>.
- Heydebreck, F., Tang, J., Xie, Z., Ebinghaus, R., 2015. Alternative and Legacy Perfluoroalkyl Substances: Differences between European and Chinese River/Estuary Systems. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 49, 8386–8395. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b01648>.
- HighChem, 2016. mzCloud Mass Spectral Library [WWW Document] accessed 5.30.18. <https://www.mzcloud.org/>.
- Hogenboom, A.C., van Leerdam, J.A., de Voogt, P., 2009. Accurate mass screening and identification of emerging contaminants in environmental samples by liquid chromatography-hybrid linear ion trap Orbitrap mass spectrometry. *J. Chromatogr. A* 1216, 510–519. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2008.08.053>.
- Hoh, E., Dodder, N.G., Lehotay, S.J., Pangallo, K.C., Reddy, C.M., Maruya, K.A., 2012. Nontargeted Comprehensive Two-Dimensional Gas Chromatography/Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometry Method and Software for Inventorying Persistent and Bioaccumulative Contaminants in Marine Environments. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 46, 8001–8008. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es301139q>.
- Hollender, J., Schymanski, E.L., Singer, H., Ferguson, P.L., 2017. Non-target screening with high resolution mass spectrometry in the environment: Ready to go? *Environ. Sci. Technol.* acs.est.7b02184. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b02184>.
- Howard, P.H., Muir, D.C.G., 2010. Identifying New Persistent and Bioaccumulative Organics Among Chemicals in Commerce. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 44, 2277–2285. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es903383a>.
- Hu, X.C., Andrews, D.Q., Lindstrom, A.B., Bruton, T.A., Schaidler, L.A., Grandjean, P., Lohmann, R., Carignan, C.C., Blum, A., Balan, S.A., Higgins, C.P., Sunderland, E.M., 2016. Detection of Poly- and Perfluoroalkyl Substances (PFASs) in U.S. Drinking Water Linked to Industrial Sites, Military Fire Training Areas, and Wastewater Treatment Plants. *Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.* 3, 344–350. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.6b00260>.
- Hu, S., Zhao, M., Xi, Y., Mao, Q., Zhou, X., Chen, D., Yan, P., 2017. Nontargeted Screening and Determination of Sulfonamides: A Dispersive Micro Solid-Phase Extraction Approach to the Analysis of Milk and Honey Samples Using Liquid Chromatography-High-Resolution Mass Spectrometry. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 65, 1984–1991.
- Ibáñez, M., Borova, V., Boix, C., Aalizadeh, R., Bade, R., Thomaidis, N.S., Hernández, F., 2017. UHPLC-QTOF MS screening of pharmaceuticals and their metabolites in treated wastewater samples from Athens. *J. Hazard Mater.* 323, 26–35. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2016.03.078>.
- Imen, S., Chang, N.-B., Yang, Y.J., 2015. Developing the remote sensing-based early warning system for monitoring TSS concentrations in Lake Mead. *J. Environ. Manag.* 160, 73–89. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2015.06.003>.
- ISES-Europe: the European branch of the International Society of Exposure Science [WWW Document], n.d. . <https://ises-europe.org>. URL <https://ises-europe.org>. (accessed 7.18.18).
- Jeffries, J.G., Colastani, R.L., Elbadawi-Sidhu, M., Kind, T., Niehaus, T.D., Broadbelt, L.J., Hanson, A.D., Fiehn, O., Tyo, K.E.J., Henry, C.S., 2015. MINEs: Open access databases of computationally predicted enzyme promiscuity products for untargeted metabolomics. *J. Cheminf.* 7, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13321-015-0087-1>.
- Jelic, A., Gros, M., Ginebreda, A., Cespedes-Sánchez, R., Ventura, F., Petrovic, M., Barceló, D., 2011. Occurrence, partition and removal of pharmaceuticals in sewage water and sludge during wastewater treatment. *Water Res.* 45, 1165–1176. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2010.11.010>.
- Jiang, J.Q., 2018. Occurrence of microplastics and its pollution in the environment: A review. *Sustain. Prod. Consum.* 13, 16–23. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spc.2017.11.003>.
- Jonker, W., Lamoree, M.H., Houtman, C.J., Hamers, T., Somsen, G.W., Kool, J., 2015. Rapid activity-directed screening of estrogens by parallel coupling of liquid chromatography with a functional gene reporter assay and mass spectrometry. *J. Chromatogr. A* 1406, 165–174. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2015.06.012>.
- Jonker, W., Clarijs, B., de Witte, S.L., van Velzen, M., de Koning, S., Schaap, J., Somsen, G.W., Kool, J., 2016. Gas chromatography fractionation platform featuring parallel flame-ionization detection and continuous high-resolution analyte collection in 384-well plates. *J. Chromatogr. A* 1462, 100–106. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2016.07.068>.
- Jonker, W., Zwart, N., Stöckl, J.B., de Koning, S., Schaap, J., Lamoree, M.H., Somsen, G.W., Hamers, T., Kool, J., 2017. Continuous fraction collection of gas chromatographic separations with parallel mass spectrometric detection applied to cell-based bioactivity analysis. *Talanta* 168, 162–167. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2017.02.067>.
- Kasprzyk-Hordern, B., Baker, D.R., 2012. Estimation of community-wide drugs use via stereoselective profiling of sewage. *Sci. Total Environ.* 423, 142–150. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.02.019>.
- Kasprzyk-Hordern, B., Bijlsma, L., Castiglioni, S., Covaci, A., de Voogt, P., Emke, E., Hernandez, F., Ort, C., Reid, M.J., van Nuijs, A.L.N., Thomas, K.V., 2014. Wastewater-based epidemiology - the concept and historical perspectives. *Environ. Ind. Mag.* 33, 145–151.
- Kern, S., Fenner, K., Singer, H.P., Schwarzenbach, R.P., Hollender, J., 2009. Identification of transformation products of organic contaminants in natural waters by

- computer-aided prediction and high-resolution mass spectrometry. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 43, 7039–7046. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es901979h>.
- Kern, S., Baumgartner, R., Helbling, D.E., Hollender, J., Singer, H., Loos, M.J., Schwarzenbach, R.P., Fenner, K., 2010. A tiered procedure for assessing the formation of biotransformation products of pharmaceuticals and biocides during activated sludge treatment. *J. Environ. Monit.* 12, 2100–2111. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C0EM00238K>.
- Kinyua, J., Negreira, N., Ibáñez, M., Bijlsma, L., Hernández, F., Covaci, A., Van Nuijs, A.L.N., 2015. A data-independent acquisition workflow for qualitative screening of new psychoactive substances in biological samples. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 407, 8773–8785.
- Kolkman, A., Martijn, B.J., Vughs, D., Baken, K.A., van Wezel, A.P., 2015. Tracing Nitrogenous Disinfection Byproducts after Medium Pressure UV Water Treatment by Stable Isotope Labeling and High Resolution Mass Spectrometry. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 49, 4458–4465. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es506063h>.
- Kolpin, D.W., Furlong, E.T., Meyer, M.T., Thurman, E.M., Zaugg, S.D., Barber, L.B., Buxton, H.T., 2002. Pharmaceuticals, Hormones, and Other Organic Wastewater Contaminants in U.S. Streams, 1999–2000: A National Reconnaissance. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 36, 1202–1211. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es011055j>.
- Kotthoff, M., Bücking, M., 2018. Four Chemical Trends Will Shape the Next Decade's Directions in Perfluoroalkyl and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances. *Research Front. Chem.* 6, 103.
- Kuantama, E., Saraswati, M., 2015. Water level measurement and pre-flood warning system with SMS method. *Internetworking Indones. J.* 7, 1–7.
- Kubo, T., Otsuka, K., 2016. Recent progress in molecularly imprinted media by new preparation concepts and methodological approaches for selective separation of targeting compounds. *Trends Anal. Chem.* 81, 102–109.
- Lau, C., Anitole, K., Hodes, C., Lai, D., Pfahles-Hutchens, A., Seed, J., 2007. Perfluoroalkyl acids: A review of monitoring and toxicological findings. *Toxicol. Sci.* 99, 366–394. <https://doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/kfm128>.
- Law, R.J., Covaci, A., Harrad, S., Herzke, D., Abdallah, M.A., Fernie, K., Toms, L.L., Takigami, H., 2014. Levels and trends of PBDEs and HBCDs in the global environment: Status at the end of 2012. *Environ. Int.* 65, 147–158. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2014.01.006>.
- Letzel, T., Bayer, A., Schulz, W., Heermann, A., Lucke, T., Greco, G., Grosse, S., Schüssler, W., Sengl, M., Letzel, M., 2015. LC – MS screening techniques for wastewater analysis and analytical data handling strategies: Sartans and their transformation products as an example. *Chemosphere* 137, 198–206.
- Li, J., Yu, N., Zhang, B., Jin, L., Li, M., Hu, M., Zhang, X., Wei, S., Yu, H., 2014. Occurrence of organophosphate flame retardants in drinking water from China. *Water Res.* 54, 53–61. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2014.01.031>.
- Li, Y.F., Sun, Y.M., Beier, R.C., Lei, H.T., Gee, S., Hammock, B.D., Wang, H., Wang, Z., Sun, X., Shen, Y.D., Yang, J.Y., Xu, Z.L., 2017. Immunochemical techniques for multianalyte analysis of chemical residues in food and the environment: A review. *Trends Anal. Chem.* 88, 25–40.
- Lindstrom, A.B., Strynar, M.J., Libelo, E.L., 2011. Polyfluorinated Compounds: Past, Present, and Future. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 45, 7954–7961. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es2011622>.
- Liu, J., Lu, G., Xie, Z., Zhang, Z., Li, S., Yan, Z., 2015a. Occurrence, bioaccumulation and risk assessment of lipophilic pharmaceutically active compounds in the downstream rivers of sewage treatment plants. *Sci. Total Environ.* 511, 54–62. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.12.033>.
- Liu, Y., Pereira, A.D.S., Martin, J.W., 2015b. Discovery of C5–C17 Poly- and Perfluoroalkyl Substances in Water by In-Line SPE-HPLC-Orbitrap with In-Source Fragmentation Flagging. *Anal. Chem.* 87, 4260–4268. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.5b00039>.
- Loos, R., Gawlik, B.M., Locoro, G., Rimaviciute, E., Contini, S., Bidoglio, G., 2009. EU-wide survey of polar organic persistent pollutants in European river waters. *Environ. Pollut.* 157, 561–568.
- Loos, R., Carvalho, R., António, D.C., Comero, S., Locoro, G., Tavazzi, S., Paracchini, B., Ghiani, M., Lettieri, T., Blaha, L., Jarosova, B., Voorspoels, S., Servaes, K., Haglund, P., Fick, J., Lindberg, R.H., Schwesig, D., Gawlik, B.M., 2013. EU-wide monitoring survey on emerging polar organic contaminants in wastewater treatment plant effluents. *Water Res.* 47, 6475–6487.
- Loos, M.J., Ruff, M., Singer, H.P., 2016. enviMass version 3.0 screening software [WWW Document] accessed 5.30.17. <https://github.com/blosloos/enviMass>.
- Lopardo, L., Cummins, A., Rydevik, A., Kasprzyk-Hordern, B., 2017. New Analytical Framework for Verification of Biomarkers of Exposure to Chemicals Combining Human Biomonitoring and Water Fingerprinting. *Anal. Chem.* 89, 7232–7239. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.7b01527>.
- Lopardo, L., Smith, A., Rydevik, A., Kasprzyk-Hordern, B., 2018. Verifying community-wide exposure to antimicrobial agents in personal care products – in quest for metabolic biomarkers of exposure via in-vitro studies and wastewater-based epidemiology. *Water Res.* 143, 117–126. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2018.06.028>.
- Lorenzo, M., Campo, J., Picó, Y., 2018. Analytical challenges to determine emerging persistent organic pollutants in aquatic ecosystems. *TRAC Trends Anal. Chem.* 103, 137–155.
- Lucattini, P., Lamoree, M.H., Leonards, P.E.G., de Boer, J., 2017. Non-target and suspect screening of flame retardants and other compounds in indoor dust from five countries. In: 8th International Symposium on Flame Retardants. York, UK.
- Malaj, E., Ohe, P.C., Von Der Grote, M., Kühne, R., Mondy, C.P., Usseglio-polatera, P., Brack, W., Schäfer, R.B., 2014. Organic chemicals jeopardize the health of freshwater ecosystems on the continental scale. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. Unit. States Am.* 111, 9549–9554.
- McCleaf, P., Englund, S., Östlund, A., Lindegren, K., Wiberg, K., Ahrens, L., 2017. Removal efficiency of multiple poly- and perfluoroalkyl substances (PFASs) in drinking water using granular activated carbon (GAC) and anion exchange (AE) column tests. *Water Res.* 120, 77–87. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.04.057>.
- McEachran, A.D., Mansouri, K., Newton, S.R., Beverly, B.E.J., Sobus, J.R., Williams, A.J., 2018. A comparison of three liquid chromatography (LC) retention time prediction models. *Talanta* 182, 371–379. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2018.01.022>.
- Merel, S., Lege, S., Yanez Heras, J.E., Zwiener, C., 2017. Assessment of N-Oxide Formation during Wastewater Ozonation. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 51, 410–417. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b02373>.
- Miller, T.H., Musenga, A., Cowan, D. a, Barron, L.P., 2013. Prediction of Chromatographic Retention Time in HighResolution Anti-Doping Screening Data Using Artificial Neural Networks. *Anal. Chem.* 85, 10330–10337.
- Miller, T.H., Gallidabino, M.D., MacRae, J.L., Hogstrand, C., Bury, N.R., Barron, L.P., Snape, J.R., Owen, S.F., 2018. Machine Learning for Environmental Toxicology: A call for integration and innovation. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 52, 12953–12955.
- Miyake, Y., Yamashita, N., Rostkowski, P., So, M.K., Taniyasu, S., Lam, P.K.S., Kannan, K., 2007. Determination of trace levels of total fluorine in water using combustion ion chromatography for fluorine: A mass balance approach to determine individual perfluorinated chemicals in water. *J. Chromatogr. A* 1143, 98–104. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2006.12.071>.
- Møllerup, C.B., Mardal, M., Dalsgaard, P.W., Linnet, K., Barron, L.P., 2018. Prediction of collision cross section and retention time for broad scope screening in gradient reversed-phase liquid chromatography-ion mobility-high resolution accurate mass spectrometry. *J. Chromatogr. A* 1542, 82–88. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2018.02.025>.
- Montes, R., Aguirre, J., Vidal, X., Rodil, R., Cela, R., Quintana, J.B., 2017. Screening for Polar Chemicals in Water by Trifunctional Mixed-Mode Liquid Chromatography-High Resolution Mass Spectrometry. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 51, 6250–6259. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b05135>.
- Moschet, C., Piazzoli, A., Singer, H., Hollender, J., 2013. Alleviating the reference standard dilemma using a systematic exact mass suspect screening approach with liquid chromatography-high resolution mass spectrometry. *Anal. Chem.* 85, 10312–10320.
- Moschet, C., Wittmer, I., Simovic, J., Junghans, M., Piazzoli, A., Singer, H., Stamm, C., Leu, C., Hollender, J., 2014. How a Complete Pesticide Screening Changes the Assessment of Surface Water Quality. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 48, 5423–5432. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es500371t>.
- Müller, A., Schulz, W., Ruck, W.K.L., Weber, W.H., 2011. A new approach to data evaluation in the non-target screening of organic trace substances in water analysis. *Chemosphere* 85, 1211–1219. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2011.07.009>.
- Muz, M., Dann, J.P., Jäger, F., Brack, W., Krauss, M., 2017. Identification of Mutagenic Aromatic Amines in River Samples with Industrial Wastewater Impact. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 4681–4688. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b00426>.
- Norman network, 2018a. Norman Suspect List Exchange [WWW Document] accessed 5.30.18. <http://www.norman-network.com/?q=node/236>.
- Norman network, 2018b. The Norman Early Warning System (NormaNEWS) [WWW Document] accessed 5.30.18. <http://www.normandata.eu/?q=node/244>.
- Nürnberg, G., Schulz, M., Kunkel, U., Ternes, T.A., 2015. Development and validation of a generic nontarget method based on liquid chromatography – high resolution mass spectrometry analysis for the evaluation of different wastewater treatment options. *J. Chromatogr. A* 1426, 77–90.
- Nurmi, J., Pellinen, J., Rantalainen, A., 2012. Critical evaluation of screening techniques for emerging environmental contaminants based on accurate mass measurements with time-of-flight mass spectrometry. *J. Mass Spectrom.* 47, 303–312.
- Ordoñez, E.Y., Quintana, J.B., Rodil, R., Cela, R., 2012. Computer assisted optimization of liquid chromatographic separations of small molecules using mixed-mode stationary phases. *J. Chromatogr. A* 1238, 91–104. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2012.03.055>.
- Ort, C., van Nuijs, A.L.N., Berset, J.D., Bijlsma, L., Castiglioni, S., Covaci, A., de Voogt, P., Emke, E., Fatta-Kassinos, D., Griffiths, P., Hernández, F., González-Mariño, I., Grabic, R., Kasprzyk-Hordern, B., Mastrianni, N., Meierjohann, A., Nefau, T., Östman, M., Pico, Y., Racamonde, I., Reid, M., Slobodnik, J., Terzic, S., Thomaidis, N., Thomas, K.V., 2014. Spatial differences and temporal changes in illicit drug use in Europe quantified by wastewater analysis. *Addiction* 109, 1338–1352. <https://doi.org/10.1111/add.12570>.
- Ouyang, X., Leonards, P.E.G., Touseva, Z., Slobodnik, J., De Boer, J., Lamoree, M.H., 2016. Rapid Screening of Acetylcholinesterase Inhibitors by Effect-Directed Analysis Using LC x LC Fractionation, a High Throughput in Vitro Assay, and Parallel Identification by Time of Flight Mass Spectrometry. *Anal. Chem.* 88, 2353–2360. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.5b04311>.
- Ouyang, X., Froment, J., Leonards, P.E.G., Christensen, G., Tollefsen, K.-E., de Boer, J., Thomas, K.V., Lamoree, M.H., 2017. Miniaturization of a transthyretin binding assay using a fluorescent probe for high throughput screening of thyroid hormone disruption in environmental samples. *Chemosphere* 171, 722–728. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.12.119>.
- Peisl, B.Y.L., Schymanski, E.L., Wilmes, P., 2018. Dark matter in host-microbiome metabolomics: Tackling the unknowns-A review. *Anal. Chim. Acta* (in press). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2017.12.034>.
- Petrie, B., Youdan, J., Barden, R., Kasprzyk-Hordern, B., 2016. New Framework To

- Diagnose the Direct Disposal of Prescribed Drugs in Wastewater – A Case Study of the Antidepressant Fluoxetine. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 50, 3781–3789. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b00291>.
- Picó, Y., Alfarham, A., Barceló, D., 2017. Analysis of emerging contaminants and nanomaterials in plant materials following uptake from soils. *TRAC Trends Anal. Chem.* 94, 173–189.
- Picó, Y., Alfarham, A., Barceló, D., 2018. Nano- and microplastic analysis: Focus on their occurrence in freshwater ecosystems and remediation technologies. *TRAC Trends Anal. Chem.* (in press) <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2018.08.022>.
- Pieke, E., Heus, F., Kamstra, J.H., Mladic, M., Velzen, M. Van, Kamminga, D., Lamoree, M.H., Hamers, T., Leonards, P., Niessen, W.M.A., Kool, J., 2013. High-resolution fractionation after gas chromatography for effect-directed analysis. *Anal. Chem.* 85, 8204–8211. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ac401384q>.
- Pinhancos, R., Maass, S., Ramanathan, D.M., 2011. High-resolution mass spectrometry method for the detection, characterization and quantitation of pharmaceuticals in water. *J. Mass Spectrom.* 46, 1175–1181. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jms.2005>.
- Pitarch, E., Portoles, T., Marin, J.M., Ibañez, M., Albarran, F., Hernandez, F., 2010. Analytical strategy based on the use of liquid chromatography and gas chromatography with triple-quadrupole and time-of-flight MS analyzers for investigating organic contaminants in wastewater. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 397, 2763–2776. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-010-3692-x>.
- Pluskal, T., Castillo, S., Villar-Briones, A., Oresić, M., 2010. MZmine 2: Modular framework for processing, visualizing, and analyzing mass spectrometry-based molecular profile data. *BMC Bioinf.* 11, 395. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-11-395>.
- Portolés, T., Mol, J.G.J., Sancho, J.V., Hernández, F., 2014. Use of electron ionization and atmospheric pressure chemical ionization in gas chromatography coupled to time-of-flight mass spectrometry for screening and identification of organic pollutants in waters. *J. Chromatogr. A* 1339, 145–153.
- Portolés, T., Sales, C., Gómara, B., Sancho, J.V., Beltran, J., Herrero, L., González, M.J., Hernandez, F., 2015. Novel Analytical Approach for Brominated Flame Retardants Based on the Use of Gas Chromatography-Atmospheric Pressure Chemical Ionization-Tandem Mass Spectrometry with Emphasis in Highly Brominated Congeners. *Anal. Chem.* 87, 9892–9899. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.5b02378>.
- Rager, J.E., Strynar, M.J., Liang, S., McMahan, R.L., Richard, A.M., Grulke, C.M., Wambaugh, J.F., Isaacs, K.K., Judson, R., Williams, A.J., Sobus, J.R., 2016. Linking high resolution mass spectrometry data with exposure and toxicity forecasts to advance high-throughput environmental monitoring. *Environ. Int.* 88, 269–280.
- Reemtsma, T., García-López, M., Rodríguez, I., Quintana, J.B., Rodil, R., 2008. Organophosphorus flame retardants and plasticizers in water and air I. Occurrence and fate. *TRAC Trends Anal. Chem.* (Reference Ed.) 27, 727–737. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2008.07.002>.
- Reemtsma, T., Berger, U., Arp, H.P.H., Gallard, H., Knepper, T.P., Neumann, M., Quintana, J.B., Voogt, P. de, 2016. Mind the Gap: Persistent and Mobile Organic Compounds—Water Contaminants That Slip Through. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 50, 10308–10315. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b03338>.
- Richardson, L.A., 2017. Understanding and overcoming antibiotic resistance. *PLoS Biol.* 15, 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.2003775>.
- Richardson, S.D., Kimura, S.Y., 2016. Water Analysis: Emerging Contaminants and Current Issues. *Anal. Chem.* 88, 546–582. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.5b04493>.
- Richardson, S.D., Plewa, M.J., Wagner, E.D., Schoeny, R., DeMarini, D.M., 2007. Occurrence, genotoxicity, and carcinogenicity of regulated and emerging disinfection by-products in drinking water: A review and roadmap for research. *Mutat. Res. Mutat. Res.* 636, 178–242. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mrrev.2007.09.001>.
- Ritter, S.K., 2015. The Shrinking Case For Fluorochemicals. *Chem. Eng. News Arch.* 93, 27–29. <https://doi.org/10.1021/cen-09328-scitech1>.
- Rockström, J., Steffen, W., Noone, K., Persson, Å., Chapin, F.S.I., Lambin, E., Lenton, T.M., Scheffer, M., Folke, C., Schellnhuber, H.J., Nykvist, B., Wit, C.A. de, Hughes, T., Leeuw, S. Van Der, Rodhe, H., Sörlin, S., Snyder, P.K., Costanza, R., Svedin, U., Falkenmark, M., Karlberg, L., Corell, R.W., Fabry, V.J., Hansen, J., Walker, B., Liverman, D., Richardson, K., Crutzen, P., Foley, J., 2009a. Planetary Boundaries: Exploring the Safe Operating Space for Humanity. *Ecol. Soc.* 14 (2).
- Rockström, J., Steffen, W., Noone, K., Persson, Å., Chapin, F.S.I., Lambin, E.F., Lenton, T.M., Scheffer, M., Folke, C., Schellnhuber, H.J., Nykvist, B., Wit, C.A. de, Hughes, T., Leeuw, S. van der, Rodhe, H., Sörlin, S., Snyder, P.K., Costanza, R., Svedin, U., Falkenmark, M., Karlberg, L., Corell, R.W., Fabry, V.J., Hansen, J., Walker, B., Liverman, D., Richardson, K., Crutzen, P., Foley, J.A., 2009b. A safe operating space for humanity. *Nature* 461, 472–475.
- Rousis, N.I., Zuccato, E., Castiglioni, S., 2016. Monitoring population exposure to pesticides based on liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry measurement of their urinary metabolites in urban wastewater: A novel bio-monitoring approach. *Sci. Total Environ.* 571, 1349–1357. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.07.036>.
- Ruan, T., Jiang, G., 2017. Analytical methodology for identification of novel per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances in the environment. *TRAC Trends Anal. Chem.* (Reference Ed.) 95, 122–131. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2017.07.024>.
- Ruff, M., Mueller, M.S., Loos, M., Singer, H.P., 2015. Quantitative target and systematic non-target analysis of polar organic micro-pollutants along the river Rhine using high-resolution mass-spectrometry - Identification of unknown sources and compounds. *Water Res.* 87, 145–154.
- Ruttikes, C., Schymanski, E.L., Wolf, S., Hollender, J., Neumann, S., 2016. MetFrag relaunched: incorporating strategies beyond in silico fragmentation. *J. Cheminf.* 8, 3. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13321-016-0115-9>.
- SANTE/11945, 2015. Guidance document on analytical quality control and method validation procedures for pesticide residues analysis in food and feed.
- Schaider, L.A., Balan, S.A., Blum, A., Andrews, D.Q., Strynar, M.J., Dickinson, M.E., Lunderberg, D.M., Lang, J.R., Peaslee, G.F., 2017. Fluorinated Compounds in U.S. Fast Food Packaging. *Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.* 4, 105–111.
- Schmidt, C.K., Brauch, H.-J., 2008. N,N-Dimethylsulfamide as Precursor for N-Nitrosodimethylamine (NDMA) Formation upon Ozonation and its Fate During Drinking Water Treatment. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 42, 6340–6346. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es7030467>.
- Schollée, J.E., Schymanski, E.L., Avak, S.E., Loos, M., Hollender, J., 2015. Prioritizing Unknown Transformation Products from Biologically-Treated Wastewater Using High-Resolution Mass Spectrometry, Multivariate Statistics, and Metabolic Logic. *Anal. Chem.* 87, 12121–12129.
- Schollée, J.E., Schymanski, E.L., Hollender, J., 2016. Statistical Approaches for LC-HRMS Data To Characterize, Prioritize, and Identify Transformation Products from Water Treatment Processes. In: *Assessing Transformation Products of Chemicals by Non-Target and Suspect Screening – Strategies and Workflows*, vol. 1, pp. 45–65. <https://doi.org/10.1021/bk-2016-1241.ch004>.
- Schulze, T., Schymanski, E.L., Stravs, M.A., Neumann, S., Krauss, M., Singer, H., Hug, C., Gallampois, C.M.J., Hollender, J., Slobodnik, J., Brack, W., 2012. NORMAN MassBank Towards a community-driven, open-access accurate mass spectral database for the identification of emerging pollutants. *Norman Bull.* 9–11.
- Schulze, S., Sättler, D., Neumann, M., Arp, H.P.H., Reemtsma, T., Berger, U., 2018. Using REACH registration data to rank the environmental emission potential of persistent and mobile organic chemicals. *Sci. Total Environ.* 625, 1122–1128. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.12.305>.
- Schymanski, E.L., Williams, A.J., 2017. Open Science for Identifying “Known Unknown” Chemicals. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 51, 5357–5359. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b01908>.
- Schymanski, E.L., Gallampois, C.M.J., Krauss, M., Meringer, M., Neumann, S., Schulze, T., Wolf, S., Brack, W., 2012. Consensus structure elucidation combining GC/El-MS, structure generation, and calculated properties. *Anal. Chem.* 84. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ac203471y>.
- Schymanski, E.L., Jeon, J., Gulde, R., Fenner, K., Ruff, M., Singer, H.P., Hollender, J., 2014a. Identifying Small Molecules via High Resolution Mass Spectrometry: Communicating Confidence. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 48, 2097–2098.
- Schymanski, E.L., Singer, H.P., Longrée, P., Loos, M., Ruff, M., Stravs, M.A., Ripollés Vidal, C., Hollender, J., 2014b. Strategies to Characterize Polar Organic Contamination in Wastewater: Exploring the Capability of High Resolution Mass Spectrometry. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 48, 1811–1818.
- Schymanski, E.L., Singer, H.P., Slobodnik, J., Ipolyi, I.M., Oswald, P., Krauss, M., Schulze, T., Haglund, P., Letzel, T., Grosse, S., Thomaidis, N.S., Bletsou, A., Zwiener, C., Ibañez, M., Portolés, T., Boer, R. De, Reid, M.J., Onghena, M., Kunkel, U., Schulz, W., Guillon, A., Noyon, N., Leroy, G., Bados, P., Bogialli, S., Stipančič, D., Rostkowski, P., Hollender, J., 2015. Non-target screening with high-resolution mass spectrometry: critical review using a collaborative trial on water analysis. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 407, 6237–6255.
- Schymanski, E.L., Ruttikes, C., Krauss, M., Brouard, C., Kind, T., Dührkop, K., Allen, F., Vaniya, A., Verdegem, D., Böcker, S., Rousis, J., Shen, H., Tsugawa, H., Sajed, T., Fiehn, O., Ghesquière, B., Neumann, S., 2017. Critical Assessment of Small Molecule Identification 2016: automated methods. *J. Cheminf.* 9, 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13321-017-0207-1>.
- SCORE, 2010. Sewage analysis CORE group Europe [WWW Document], n.d. accessed 5.28.18. <http://www.webcitation.org/6ti01NrBc>. <http://score-cost.eu/>.
- Sharma, B.M., Bharat, G.K., Tayal, S., Larssen, T., Bečanová, J., Karásková, P., Whitehead, P.G., Futter, M.N., Butterfield, D., Nizzetto, L., 2016. Perfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) in river and ground/drinking water of the Ganges River basin: Emissions and implications for human exposure. *Environ. Pollut.* 208, 704–713. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2015.10.050>.
- Shi, H.-C., Song, B.-D., Long, F., Zhou, X.-H., He, M., Lv, Q., Yang, H.-Y., 2013. Automated Online Optical Biosensing System for Continuous Real-Time Determination of Microcystin-LR with High Sensitivity and Specificity: Early Warning for Cyanotoxin Risk in Drinking Water Sources. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 47, 4434–4441. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es305196f>.
- Singer, A.C., Shaw, H., Rhodes, V., Hart, A., 2016. Review of antimicrobial resistance in the environment and its relevance to environmental regulators. *Front. Microbiol.* 7, 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.01728>.
- Sjerps, R.M.A., Vughs, D., van Leerdam, J.A., ter Laak, T.L., van Wezel, A.P., 2016. Data-driven prioritization of chemicals for various water types using suspect screening LC-HRMS. *Water Res.* 93, 254–264. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2016.02.034>.
- Smith, C.A., Want, E.J., O’Maille, G., Abagyan, R., Siuzdak, G., 2006. XCMS: processing mass spectrometry data for metabolite profiling using nonlinear peak alignment, matching, and identification. *Anal. Chem.* 78, 779–787. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ac051437y>.
- Stanstrup, J., Neumann, S., Vrhovšek, U., 2015. PredRet: Prediction of Retention Time by Direct Mapping between Multiple Chromatographic Systems. *Anal. Chem.* 87, 9421–9428. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.5b02287>.
- Steffen, W., Richardson, K., Rockström, J., Cornell, S.E., Fetzer, I., Bennett, E.M., Biggs, R., Carpenter, S.R., de Vries, W., de Wit, C.A., Folke, C., Gerten, D., Heinke, J., Mace, G.M., Persson, L.M., Ramanathan, V., Rayers, B., Sörlin, S., 2015. Planetary boundaries: Guiding human development on a changing planet.

- Science (80-.) 347, 1259855. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1259855>.
- Storey, M.V., van der Gaag, B., Burns, B.P., 2011. Advances in on-line drinking water quality monitoring and early warning systems. *Water Res.* 45, 741–747. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2010.08.049>.
- Strynar, M., Dagnino, S., McMahan, R., Liang, S., Lindstrom, A., Andersen, E., McMillan, L., Thurman, M., Ferrer, I., Ball, C., 2015. Identification of Novel Perfluoroalkyl Ether Carboxylic Acids (PFECAs) and Sulfonic Acids (PFESAs) in Natural Waters Using Accurate Mass Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometry (TOFMS). *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 49, 11622–11630. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b01215>.
- Sun, M., Arevalo, E., Strynar, M., Lindstrom, A., Richardson, M., Kearns, B., Pickett, A., Smith, C., Knappe, D.R.U., 2016. Legacy and Emerging Perfluoroalkyl Substances Are Important Drinking Water Contaminants in the Cape Fear River Watershed of North Carolina. *Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.* 3, 415–419. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.6b00398>.
- Telving, R., Hasselstrom, J.B., Andreassen, M.F., 2016. Targeted toxicological screening for acidic, neutral and basic substances in postmortem and antemortem whole blood using simple protein precipitation and UPLC-HR-TOF-MS. *Forensic Sci. Int.* 266, 453–461.
- ter Laak, T.L., Puijker, L.M., van Leerdam, J.A., Raat, K.J., Kolkman, A., de Voogt, P., van Wezel, A.P., 2012. Broad target chemical screening approach used as tool for rapid assessment of groundwater quality. *Sci. Total Environ.* 427, 308–313. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.04.013>.
- ter Laak, T.L., Kooij, P.J.F., Tolcamp, H., Hofman, J., 2014. Different compositions of pharmaceuticals in Dutch and Belgian rivers explained by consumption patterns and treatment efficiency. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 21, 12843–12855. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-014-3233-9>.
- ter Laak, T.L., van Leerdam, J.A., Sijps, R., Vughs, D., 2015. Dialog between environmental occurrence data and REACH II; Report number KWR 400134. Nieuwegein, the Netherlands.
- Thomas, K.V., Reid, M.J., 2011. What else can the analysis of sewage for urinary biomarkers reveal about communities? *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 45, 7611–7612. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es202522d>.
- Thomas, K.V., Bijlsma, L., Castiglioni, S., Covaci, A., Emke, E., Grabic, R., Hernández, F., Karolak, S., Kasprzyk-Hordern, B., Lindberg, R.H., Lopez de Alda, M., Meierjohann, A., Ort, C., Pico, Y., Quintana, J.B., Reid, M., Rieckermann, J., Terzic, S., van Nuijs, A.L.N., de Voogt, P., 2012. Comparing illicit drug use in 19 European cities through sewage analysis. *Sci. Total Environ.* 432, 432–439. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.06.069>.
- Thurman, E.M., Ferrer, I., Blotvogel, J., Borch, T., 2014. Analysis of Hydraulic Fracturing Flowback and Produced Waters Using Accurate Mass: Identification of Ethoxylated Surfactants. *Anal. Chem.* 86, 9653–9661. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ac502163k>.
- Tice, R.R., Austin, C.P., Kavlock, R.J., Bucher, J.R., 2013. Improving the Human Hazard Characterization of Chemicals: A Tox21 Update. *Environ. Heal. Perspectiv* 121, 756–765.
- Tousova, Z., Oswald, P., Slobodnik, J., Blaha, L., Muz, M., Hu, M., Brack, W., Krauss, M., Di Paolo, C., Tarcai, Z., Seiler, T.-B., Hollert, H., Koprivica, S., Ahel, M., Schollée, J.E., Hollender, J., Suter, M.J.-F., Hidas, A.O., Schirmer, K., Sonavane, M., Ait-Aissa, S., Creusot, N., Brion, F., Froment, J., Almeida, A.C., Thomas, K., Tollefsen, K.E., Tufi, S., Ouyang, X., Leonard, P., Lamoree, M., Torrens, V.O., Kolkman, A., Schriks, M., Spirhanzlova, P., Tindall, A., Schulze, T., 2017. European demonstration program on the effect-based and chemical identification and monitoring of organic pollutants in European surface waters. *Sci. Total Environ.* 601–602, 1849–1868. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.06.032>.
- Towhata, I., Uchimura, T., 2013. Low-cost and Simple Early Warning Systems of Slope Instability. In: Sassa, K., Rouban, B., Briceño, S., McSaveney, M., He, B. (Eds.), *Landslides: Global Risk Preparedness*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 213–225. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-22087-6_14.
- Tränckner, J., Koegst, T., 2010. Demographic effects on domestic pharmaceutical emissions in Germany. In: *NOVATECH 2010, 7th International Conference on Sustainable Techniques and Strategies for Urban Water Management*, pp. 1–9.
- Tscharke, Chen, C., Gerber, J.P., White, J.M., 2016. Temporal trends in drug use in Adelaide, South Australia by wastewater analysis. *Sci. Total Environ.* 565, 384–391. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.04.183>.
- Turner, M.C., Vineis, P., Seleiro, E., Dijmarescu, M., Balshaw, D., Bertollini, R., Chadeau-Hyam, M., Gant, T., Gulliver, J., Jeong, A., Kyrtopoulos, S., Martuzzi, M., Miller, G.W., Nawrot, T., Nieuwenhuijsen, M., Phillips, D.H., Probst-Hensch, N., Samet, J., Vermeulen, R., Vlaanderen, J., Vrijheid, M., Wild, C., Kogevinas, M., 2018. EXPOOMICS: final policy workshop and stakeholder consultation. *BMC Public Health* 18, 260. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-018-5160-z>.
- van der Hoof, J.J.J., Wandy, J., Barrett, M.P., Burgess, K.E.V., Rogers, S., 2016. Topic modeling for untargeted substructure exploration in metabolomics. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. Unit. States Am.* 113, 13738–13743. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1608041113>.
- van der Veen, I., de Boer, J., 2012. Phosphorus flame retardants: Properties, production, environmental occurrence, toxicity and analysis. *Chemosphere* 88, 1119–1153. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2012.03.067>.
- van Leerdam, J.A., Vervoort, J., Stroomborg, G., de Voogt, P., 2014. Identification of unknown microcontaminants in Dutch river water by liquid chromatography-high resolution mass spectrometry and nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 48, 12791–12799.
- van Leeuwen, S.P.J., van Bavel, B., Abad, E., Leslie, H.A., Fiedler, H., de Boer, J., 2013. POPs analysis reveals issues in bringing laboratories in developing countries to higher quality level. *TrAC Trends. Anal. Chem.* 46, 198–206.
- van Nuijs, A.L.N., Castiglioni, S., Tarcomnicu, I., Postigo, C., Lopez de Alda, M., Neels, H., Zuccato, E., Barcelo, D., Covaci, A., 2011. Illicit drug consumption estimations derived from wastewater analysis: A critical review. *Sci. Total Environ.* 409, 3564–3577.
- van Nuijs, A.L.N., Covaci, A., Beyers, H., Bervoets, L., Blust, R., Verpooten, G., Neels, H., Jorens, P.G., 2015. Do concentrations of pharmaceuticals in sewage reflect prescription figures? *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 22, 9110–9118. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-014-4066-2>.
- Vazquez-Roig, P., Kasprzyk-Hordern, B., Blasco, C., Picó, Y., 2014. Stereoisomeric profiling of drugs of abuse and pharmaceuticals in wastewaters of Valencia (Spain). *Sci. Total Environ.* 494, 49–57. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.06.098>.
- Vergeynst, L., Van Langenhove, H., Demeestere, K., 2015. Trends in liquid chromatography coupled to high-resolution mass spectrometry for multi-residue analysis of organic micropollutants in aquatic environments. *TrAC Trends Anal. Chem. (Reference Ed.)* 67, 192–208.
- Verliefde, A.R.D., Cornelissen, E.R., Heijman, S.G.J., Verberk, J.Q.J.C., Amy, G.L., Van der Bruggen, B., van Dijk, J.C., 2009. Construction and validation of a full-scale model for rejection of organic micropollutants by NF membranes. *J. Membr. Sci.* 339, 10–20. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2009.03.038>.
- Vestergren, R., Cousins, I.T., 2009. Tracking the pathways of human exposure to perfluorocarboxylates. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 43, 5565–5575. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es900228k>.
- Vinaixa, M., Schymanski, E.L., Neumann, S., Navarro, M., Salek, R.M., Yanes, O., 2016. Mass spectral databases for LC/MS- and GC/MS-based metabolomics: State of the field and future prospects. *TrAC Trends Anal. Chem. (Reference Ed.)* 78, 23–35. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2015.09.005>.
- Von der Ohe, P.C., Dulio, V., Slobodnik, J., De Deckere, E., Kühne, R., Ebert, R.-U., Ginebreda, A., De Cooman, W., Schüürmann, G., Brack, W., 2011. A new risk assessment approach for the prioritization of 500 classical and emerging organic microcontaminants as potential river basin specific pollutants under the European Water Framework Directive. *Sci. Total Environ.* 409, 2064–2077.
- Vughs, D., Baken, K.A., Kolkman, A., Martijn, A.J., de Voogt, P., 2018. Application of effect-directed analysis to identify mutagenic nitrogenous disinfection by-products of advanced oxidation drinking water treatment. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 25, 3951–3964. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-016-7252-6>.
- Wagner, M., Lambert, S., 2018. *Freshwater microplastics: emerging environmental contaminants?* In: *The handbook of environmental chemistry*, vol. 58. Springer. ISBN:978-3-319-61614-8.
- Wambaugh, J.F., Wang, A., Dionisio, K.L., Frame, A., Egeghy, P., Judson, R., Setzer, R.W., 2014. High Throughput Heuristics for Prioritizing Human Exposure to Environmental Chemicals. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 48, 12760–12767.
- Wang, M., Carver, J.J., Phelan, V.V., Sanchez, L.M., Garg, N., Peng, Y., Nguyen, D.D., Watrous, J., Kapono, C.A., Luzzatto-Knaan, T., Porto, C., Bouslimani, A., Melnik, A.V., Meehan, M.J., Liu, W.T., Crüsemann, M., Boudreau, P.D., Esquenazi, E., Sandoval-Calderón, M., Kersten, R.D., Pace, L.A., Quinn, R.A., Duncan, K.R., Hsu, C.C., Floros, D.J., Gavilan, R.G., Kleigrewe, K., Northen, T., Dutton, R.J., Parrot, D., Carlson, E.E., Aigle, B., Michelsen, C.F., Jelsbak, L., Sohlenkamp, C., Pevzner, P., Edlund, A., McLean, J., Piel, J., Murphy, B.T., Gerwick, L., Liaw, C.C., Yang, Y.L., Humpf, H.U., Maansson, M., Keyzers, R.A., Sims, A.C., Johnson, A.R., Sidebottom, A.M., Sedio, B.E., Klitgaard, A., Larson, C.B., Boya, C.A.P., Torres-Mendoza, D., Gonzalez, D.J., Silva, D.B., Marques, L.M., Demarque, D.P., Pociute, E., O'Neill, E.C., Briand, E., Helfrich, E.J.N., Granatosky, E.A., Glukhov, E., Ryyfel, F., Houson, H., Mohimani, H., Kharbush, J.J., Zeng, Y., Vorholt, J.A., Kurita, K.L., Charusanti, P., McPhail, K.L., Nielsen, K.F., Vuong, L., Elfeki, M., Traxler, M.F., Engene, N., Koyama, N., Vining, O.B., Baric, R., Silva, R.R., Mascuch, S.J., Tomasi, S., Jenkins, S., Macherla, V., Hoffman, T., Agarwal, V., Williams, P.G., Dai, J., Neupane, R., Gurr, J., Rodríguez, A.M.C., Lamsa, A., Zhang, C., Dorrestein, K., Duggan, B.M., Almaliti, J., Allard, P.M., Phapale, P., Nothias, L.F., Alexandrov, T., Litaudon, M., Wolfender, J.L., Kyle, J.E., Metz, T.O., Peryea, T., Nguyen, D.T., VanLeer, D., Shinn, P., Jadhav, A., Müller, R., Waters, K.M., Shi, W., Liu, X., Zhang, L., Knight, R., Jensen, P.R., Palsson, B., Pogliano, K., Linington, R.G., Gutiérrez, M., Lopes, N.P., Gerwick, W.H., Moore, B.S., Dorrestein, P.C., Bandeira, N., 2016. Sharing and community curation of mass spectrometry data with Global Natural Products Social Molecular Networking. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 34, 828–837. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.3597>.
- Wang, Z., DeWitt, J.C., Higgins, C.P., Cousins, I.T., 2017. A Never-Ending Story of Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFASs)? *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 51, 2508–2518.
- Weiss, J.M., Hamers, T., Thomas, K.V., van der Linden, S., Leonard, P.E.G., Lamoree, M.H., 2009. Masking effect of anti-androgens on androgenic activity in European river sediment unveiled by effect-direct analysis. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 394, 1385–1397. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-009-2807-8>.
- Weiss, J.M., Andersson, P.L., Zhang, J., Simon, E., Leonard, P.E.G., Hamers, T., Lamoree, M.H., 2015. Tracing thyroid hormone-disrupting compounds: database compilation and structure-activity evaluation for an effect-directed analysis of sediment. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 5625–5634. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-015-8736-9>.
- Wick, A., Wagner, M., Ternes, T.A., 2011. Elucidation of the Transformation Pathway of the Opium Alkaloid Codeine in Biological Wastewater Treatment. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 45, 3374–3385. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es103489x>.
- Wicker, J., Lorschach, T., Gütlein, M., Schmid, E., Latino, D., Kramer, S., Fenner, K., 2015. enviPath - The environmental contaminant biotransformation pathway resource. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 44, D502–D508. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv1229>.
- Willach, S., Brauch, H.-J., Lange, F.T., 2016. Contribution of selected perfluoroalkyl

- and polyfluoroalkyl substances to the adsorbable organically bound fluorine in German rivers and in a highly contaminated groundwater. *Chemosphere* 145, 342–350. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2015.11.113>.
- Williams, A.J., Grulke, C.M., Edwards, J., McEachran, A.D., Mansouri, K., Baker, N.C., Patlewicz, G., Shah, I., Wambaugh, J.F., Judson, R.S., Richard, A.M., 2017. The CompTox Chemistry Dashboard: A community data resource for environmental chemistry. *J. Cheminf.* 9, 1–27. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13321-017-0247-6>.
- Wode, F., Baar, P. Van, Dünnbier, U., Hecht, F., Taute, T., Jekel, M., Reemtsma, T., 2015. Search for over 2000 current and legacy micropollutants on a wastewater infiltration site with a UPLC-high resolution MS target screening method. *Water Res.* 69, 274–283.
- Wu, M., Xiang, J., Que, C., Chen, F., Xu, G., 2015. Occurrence and fate of psychiatric pharmaceuticals in the urban water system of Shanghai, China. *Chemosphere* 138, 486–493. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2015.07.002>.
- Xiao, F., 2017. Emerging poly- and perfluoroalkyl substances in the aquatic environment: A review of current literature. *Water Res.* 124, 482–495.
- Yang, Z., Kasprzyk-Hordern, B., Frost, C.G., Estrela, P., Thomas, K.V., 2015a. Community sewage sensors for monitoring public health. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 49, 5845–5846. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b01434>.
- Yang, Z., Kasprzyk-Hordern, B., Goggins, S., Frost, C.G., Estrela, P., 2015b. A novel immobilization strategy for electrochemical detection of cancer biomarkers: DNA-directed immobilization of aptamer sensors for sensitive detection of prostate specific antigens. *Analyst* 140, 2628–2633. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c4an02277g>.
- Yang, Z., Castrignanò, E., Estrela, P., Frost, C.G., Kasprzyk-Hordern, B., 2016. Community Sewage Sensors towards Evaluation of Drug Use Trends: Detection of Cocaine in Wastewater with DNA-Directed Immobilization Aptamer Sensors. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep21024>.
- Yang, X., Neta, P., Stein, S.E., 2017. Extending a Tandem Mass Spectral Library to Include MS2 Spectra of Fragment Ions Produced In-Source and MSn Spectra. *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.* 28, 2280–2287. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13361-017-1748-2>.
- Yao, L., Wang, Y., Tong, L., Li, Y., Deng, Y., Guo, W., Gan, Y., 2015. Seasonal variation of antibiotics concentration in the aquatic environment: a case study at Jiangnan Plain, central China. *Sci. Total Environ.* 527, 56–64. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.04.091>.
- Zahn, D., Frömel, T., Knepper, T.P., 2016. Halogenated methanesulfonic acids: A new class of organic micropollutants in the water cycle. *Water Res.* 101, 292–299. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2016.05.082>.
- Zhou, Z., Shen, X., Tu, J., Zhu, Z., 2016. Large-Scale Prediction of Collision Cross-Section Values for Metabolites in Ion Mobility-Mass Spectrometry. *Anal. Chem.* 88, 11084–11094. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.6b03091>.
- Zonja, B., Delgado, A., Pérez, S., Barceló, D., 2015. LC-HRMS suspect screening for detection-based prioritization of iodinated contrast media photodegradates in surface waters. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 49, 3464–3472.
- Zwart, N., Lamoree, M.H., Houtman, C.J., de Boer, J., Kool, J., Hamers, T., 2018a. Development of a luminescent mutagenicity test for high-throughput screening of aquatic samples. *Toxicol. Vitro* 46, 350–360. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tiv.2017.09.005>.
- Zwart, N., Nio, S.L., Houtman, C.J., De Boer, J., Kool, J., Hamers, T., Lamoree, M.H., 2018b. High-Throughput Effect-Directed Analysis Using Downscaled in Vitro Reporter Gene Assays to Identify Endocrine Disruptors in Surface Water. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 52, 4367–4377. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b06604>.