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ABSTRACT: On the short term, carbon capture is a viable solution to reduce human-induced CO$_2$ emissions, which requires an energy efficient separation of CO$_2$. Metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) may offer opportunities for carbon capture and other industrially relevant separations. Especially, MOFs with embedded open metal sites have been shown to be promising. Molecular simulation is a useful tool to predict the performance of MOFs even before the synthesis of the material. This reduces the experimental effort, and the selection process of the most suitable MOF for a particular application can be accelerated. To describe the interactions between open metal sites and guest molecules in molecular simulation is challenging. Polarizable force fields have potential to improve the description of such specific interactions. Previously, we tested the applicability of polarizable force fields for CO$_2$ in M-MOF-74 by verifying the ability to reproduce experimental measurements. Here, we develop a predictive polarizable force field for CO$_2$ in M-MOF-74 (M = Co, Fe, Mg, Mn, Ni, Zn) without the requirement of experimental data. The force field is derived from energies predicted from quantum mechanics. The procedure is easily transferable to other MOFs. To incorporate explicit polarization, the induced dipole method is applied between the framework and the guest molecule. Atomic polarizabilities are assigned according to the literature. Only the Lennard-Jones parameters of the open metal sites are parameterized to reproduce energies from quantum mechanics. The created polarizable force field for CO$_2$ in M-MOF-74 can describe the adsorption well and even better than that in our previous work.

INTRODUCTION

Anthropogenic CO$_2$ emissions correlate strongly with climate change. To mitigate the consequences of burning fossil fuels, carbon capture has been proposed. In carbon capture, the CO$_2$ emitted (e.g., from power plants) is separated from the flue gas instead of being released into the atmosphere. The physical adsorption of gas molecules in metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) has potential for practical realization of this separation, as well as, for the separation of oxygen, hydrogen, and gaseous hydrocarbons. It is important to note that it is not a trivial task to select the best or even a suitable MOF for a given separation. Theoretically, MOFs can be built with a huge variety of structures and chemical compositions. The synthesis of a multitude of MOFs and adsorption experiments can be cumbersome and expensive. Molecular simulation (MS) offers a possibility to predict adsorption properties before conducting lab experiments. Thereby, MS enables the computational preselection of promising MOFs. Subsequently, lab experiments can focus on these promising structures. In particular, MOFs featuring open metal sites have been shown to selectively adsorb some constituents of gases. Hence, these MOFs are of special interest for a variety of applications such as the separation of hydrocarbons and carbon capture. The large selectivity is a result of strong interactions between some guest molecules and the open metal sites. MS is based on force fields which describe the interactions between the different molecules considered. The quality of the predictions depends on how well these interactions are modeled. The enhanced interactions between guest molecules and open metal sites were partially ascribed to polarization of the guest molecules located close to the open metal sites. Until now, explicit polarization is rarely considered in Monte Carlo simulations of MOFs. Not considering polarization in a heterogeneous electrostatic environment has been pointed out as an explanation why many generic force fields fail to describe the correct adsorption in MOFs. A polarizable force field which is applicable to a large variety of MOFs is therefore of great interest to guide the selection process for gas separations via solid adsorbents. Besides, the improved understanding...
and design of the electrostatic environment could be beneficial to better customize MOFs for certain applications. Unfortunately, excessive computational costs do still prevent polarizable force fields from being widely applied in Monte Carlo simulations. In our previous work, we verified the potential of polarizable force field for CO$_2$ in M-MOF-74 by adjusting the force field to reproduce experimental measurements. The applied method is based on induced dipoles and has also been successfully applied to model xylenes in NaY zeolite by Lachet et al. The advantage of the method is the rapid computational time which is comparable to the time when polarization is not considered. In this study, a polarizable force field for CO$_2$ in M-MOF-74 (M = Co, Fe, Mg, Mn, Ni, Zn) is developed directly from quantum mechanics (QM). Compared with our previous work, this procedure is predictive and no prior experiments are necessary. This is of particular interest for hypothetical MOFs that have not been synthesized and no experimental data are available. Adjustments are made to the Lennard-Jones (LJ) force field parameters of the metal atoms which act as open metal sites. These sites are considered to interact strongly with the guest molecules. The new parameters are determined by reproducing energies computed from density functional theory (DFT) calculations. DFT energies for different positions of a CO$_2$ molecule on paths toward the interaction sites of the framework are taken from Mercado et al. For Mn-MOF-74, the DFT energies were directly provided by Dr. Kyuho Lee. The details regarding these calculations are analogous to his published work. Similar procedures have been successfully conducted for nonpolarizable force fields. Polarization is added to simplify the development of the force field by introducing a model with better physical justification and reducing the number of adjustable variables. Additional parameters to describe the polarizability of interaction sites are assigned according to the literature and are not considered adjustable. Simultaneously, explicit polarization might improve the transferability of the resulting force field. The more physical model can potentially lead to a better understanding of the true adsorption.

The detailed procedure on how the polarizable force field is developed from QM is presented in the Methodology section. To investigate the predictive potential of the approach, adsorption isotherms and heats of adsorptions for CO$_2$ in M-MOF-74 with six different metal atoms, that is, Co, Fe, Mg, Mn, Ni, and Zn, are calculated. Subsequently, computational results are compared with experimental results. In comparison to a nonpolarizable force field, this approach has the potential to require less adjustable parameters by considering environments with different polarity directly. Moreover, the computational time is comparable to standard Monte Carlo simulations without explicit polarization. We believe that the development of polarizable force fields is a step toward a more realistic description of MOFs and simultaneously to more transferable force fields.

### METHODOLOGY

The development of force fields from QM for MOFs has been the focus of many recent scientific studies. In general, the procedure is based on predicting the potential energy surface from, for example, DFT or MP2 and to subsequently optimize the force field parameters in such a way that the potential energy surface is well reproduced. If the force field can describe the correct potential energy surface, it is anticipated to reliably model the adsorption because locations of single guest molecules and interactions strengths are presumably described well. Of course, assumptions such as the rigidity of the framework and entropic effects may introduce uncertainties. In general, the accuracy of the potential energy surface is determined by the chosen QM method and the number of relevant points on the potential energy surface used to describe it. A trade-off between accuracy and computational time has to be made. Different levels of theory, the amount and type of basis sets, and the size of the considered system can affect computational time and accuracy. Here, we chose DFT energies as reference that were carefully validated by Lee et al. For the classical force field, it is of particular importance to model the most favorable adsorption sites well because these sites are dictating the adsorption. As mentioned previously, several studies have been investigating the derivation of force fields from QM to describe adsorption in MOFs. Recently, these authors also developed force fields for CO$_2$, even though polarizable force fields have the potential to improve performance and transferability. Motivated by the failure of generic force fields, McDaniel et al. developed a predictive polarizable force field for CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ in several ZIFs and other MOFs. These authors modeled polarization of guest molecules via the shell model while neglecting explicit polarization of the framework. In addition to introducing solely polarization, the interactions between framework and guest molecules were re-parameterized with a nonstandard functional form. Good agreement between experiments and computational results was achieved. The overhead in computational time introduced by polarization slowed the conducted Monte Carlo simulations down by a factor of 2–10 in comparison to standard simulations. Furthermore, substantial work concerning polarizable force fields for MOFs with open metal sites has been carried out by the group of Space et al. Initially, the group focused on adsorption of H$_2$ in MOFs with open metal sites. Recently, these authors also developed force fields for CO$_2$ and even small hydrocarbons. To consider polarization, the induced dipole method was applied. The computational results were accurate and showed that considering polarization is crucial for describing the correct adsorption of MOFs with open metal sites. The computational costs of considering explicit polarization in Monte Carlo simulations, however, was as high as 95% of the total computational time. In this study, likewise Monte Carlo simulations are conducted. Explicit polarization is added to the force field via the induced dipole method. Back-polarization is neglected to achieve reasonable simulation times that are in the same order as force fields without considering explicit polarization. The required dipole polarizabilities $\alpha_i$ are taken from the literature without being adjusted. The resulting induction energy $U_{ind}$ can be determined from

$$U_{ind} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i |E_i^0|^2$$

where $E_i^0$ is the electric field created by the framework at interaction site $i$ of the moved guest molecule which consists of $n$ sites. More simulation details can be found in our previous studies and the work of Lachet et al. Here, LJ force field parameters of nonmetal atoms for M-MOF-74 are assigned.
according to the UFF force field, a generic force field frequently used for the modeling of MOFs. For CO₂, the TraPPE force field is applied which is commonly used to describe CO₂ adsorbed in MOFs. The TraPPE force field describes the vapor–liquid equilibrium of CO₂ well. Polarization is exclusively considered between the framework and guest molecules. Interactions between guest molecules are calculated according to the TraPPE force field. The LJ force field parameters of the metal sites are adjusted to reproduce the potential energy surface previously predicted from QM. These metal sites interact particularly strongly with guest molecules and are known to be inadequately modeled by generic force fields. The DFT energies describing the potential energy surface are computed for CO₂ configurations on paths toward atom sites of the MOF frameworks. As an example, Figure 1 provides an overview of the varying atom sites of Mg-MOF-74.

The other M-MOF-74 frameworks are represented analogously. For every M-MOF-74 framework, nine different atom types can be identified to describe the local environment: the corresponding metal atoms, three different oxygen atoms O1, O2, O3, four different carbons C1, C2, C3, C4, and hydrogen, H1. Energy paths are considered toward all atom types besides hydrogen, as in the work of Mercado et al. and Lin et al. A grid search is conducted to determine LJ force field parameters of the metal atoms. ε values and σ values are evaluated ranging from 5.0 to 140.0 K and from 2.4 to 3.3 Å, respectively. For every grid point, the energies predicted from DFT are compared with energies calculated with a polarizable force field for all CO₂ configurations on all energy paths. The objective function used to evaluate the modeling of the total energy on the energy paths toward the various interaction sites is

\[ \text{min} \left\{ \sum_j \exp \left( \frac{-E_{j}^{\text{DFT}}}{k_B T} \right) \left| E_{j}^{\text{DFT}} - E_{j}^{\text{MS}} \right| \right\} \]  

(2)

\( E_{j}^{\text{DFT}} \) and \( E_{j}^{\text{MS}} \) are energies determined via DFT or molecular simulation, respectively, for a CO₂ configuration \( j \) on one of the energy paths. \( k_B \) is the Boltzmann constant and \( T \) the temperature of the conducted MS (i.e., 298 K). The Boltzmann weight is applied to consider differences in energy and to prioritize more relevant configurations which are lower in energy. The presented approach is straightforward and easy to apply. The aim is to create a predictive polarizable force field that describes the potential energy surface well and can be evaluated with an efficiency comparable to nonpolarizable force fields. The effect of polarization is implicitly included in the DFT energies and should be modeled approximately by matching the energies of all energy paths simultaneously while using the induced dipole method in MS with point polarizabilities taken from literature. Polarizable force fields have the potential to provide improved transferability by considering environments with various polarities.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

An overview of the results of the grid search is shown in Figure 2 exemplary for Ni-MOF-74. To present the result clearly, the final value of the objective function is scaled between 0 and 1, where 0 represents the lowest and 1 represents the highest value. The objective function is the sum of the difference in total energy between DFT and MS weighted by the Boltzmann factor (cf. eq 2). The results of the objective function show that a range of force field parameters for the metal site starting at the upper left corner of Figure 2 and continuing to the lower right corner provides similar agreement between DFT and MS energies. The results reveal a common problem of force field fitting, namely, that the force field parameters are often not unambiguous. The lowest value of the objective function can be observed for \( \epsilon \) of 32.5 K and \( \sigma \) of 2.8 Å for the metal site. The results of the grid search corresponding to the other investigated M-MOF-74 structures illustrate very similar trends and can be found in the Supporting Information. The best found force field parameters together with the value of the corresponding objective function relative to the sum of the objective function for all M-MOF-74 frameworks are summarized in Table 1.
The parameters for Fe, Mg, and Mn lie on the edge of the parameter space considered in the grid search. However, we observed that enlarging the search range of the grid does not improve the objective function significantly. For this study, we decided to continue with the chosen grid which contains a reasonable parameter space. For Mn-MOF-74 and particularly for Mg-MOF-74, the relative values of the objective function are large. Consequently, the description of the CO₂ energy paths for these frameworks seems to be relatively poor in comparison to the other frameworks. In this context, Figure 3 compares the DFT energy paths toward all metal sites.

The comparison reveals that the DFT energy path for the Mg site is around 10 kJ/mol lower in energy than for the other metals, whereas the paths for the Co-, Fe-, Mn-, and Ni-based frameworks have a similar lowest energy. This results in a larger value for the objective function of Mg-MOF-74 because the difference in energy is weighted with the Boltzmann factor at 298 K. However, the actual quality of the description of the DFT energy paths for Mg-MOF-74 and the other frameworks is comparable. The case of Mn-MOF-74 is more complicated. The minimum of the Mn energy path is located closer to the framework than for the other paths. This is expected because both paths are low in energy and therefore crucial for the correct description (cf. Figure 4a,b). Interestingly, Mercado et al. also experienced difficulties when modeling the adsorption of CO₂ in Mn-MOF-74. These authors did not report a force field for this framework. Besides the comparison between DFT and MS energies, the underlying energy contributions to the total energy predicted with the polarizable force field are shown. These contributions are polarization energy, electrostatic energy, and LJ energy (dispersion and repulsion). A similar energy decomposition is very difficult to achieve in DFT calculations for periodic systems and it is not possible for most available QM packages. In the case of Mn-MOF-74, static electrostatic and polarization energies are contributing considerably to the total energy of the Mn and O1 paths but are less relevant for the other paths. This is expected because the CO₂ molecule is supposed to be polarized in the vicinity of the open metal site. Especially, the repulsive region cannot be reproduced accurately by the polarizable force field. The difficulty to model this region could be caused by LJ repulsion. Because of overall strong interactions, the energy minima of the energy paths are located close to the framework. At these short distances, the LJ contribution of the energy increases too rapidly and dominates the total energy. For example, in case of the optimal position of the CO₂ molecule on the path toward the open metal site, the LJ potential is already in the repulsive region (cf. Figure 4a). In addition, static electrostatics and polarization contribute considerably. As a result, a fragile balance between the different energy contributions is created when the binding distance is particularly short. The LJ potential was designed rather for computational convenience and especially the repulsive part is nonphysical and normally assumed to be modeled poorly. This can lead to inaccuracies in the description, especially for systems with very short binding distances as in the case of MOFs with open metal sites. Another functional form, like in the Buckingham potential which requires an additional fitting parameter, might be closer to reality and therefore more reasonable to describe the repulsion. Finally, it would be beneficial to develop a
completely new polarizable force field without any parameters from previous generic force fields. Then, the balance between the underlying energy contributions could be improved for short binding distances. The energy paths for the other M-MOF-74 frameworks which agree better between DFT and MS are provided in the Supporting Information. Figure 5 provides an overview of the adsorption isotherms predicted with the developed polarizable force field for all considered M-MOF-74 frameworks and compares the computational results to computational and experimental measurements from various other studies.

Overall, the newly designed polarizable force field agrees well with the experimental measurements. Different shapes of the adsorption isotherms can be reproduced by only adjusting the LJ parameters of the metal sites. The agreement is slightly worse than that of the nonpolarizable force field of Mercado et al. Somewhat larger deviations can be observed for Co-MOF-74 and Ni-MOF-74. However, to develop their force field, Mercado et al. adjusted not only the LJ parameters of the metal site but all interaction sites. Thereby, the approach of these authors has more fitting parameters and the potential energy surface can be eventually described better. At the same time, the approach is much more elaborate and the resulting force field parameters might be less transferable. In contrast to this study, these authors additionally scaled all adsorption isotherms by a constant factor of 0.85 to account for structural variations.

Figure 4. Comparison of energy paths towards (a) Mn, (b) O1, (c) O2, (d) O3, (e) C1, (f) C2, (g) C3, and (h) C4 for Mn-MOF-74 determined via DFT and MS with the polarizable force field. Additionally, the different contributions of the MS energy are shown: LJ, static electrostatics, and polarization.
defects. Hence, we are satisfied with the obtained degree of agreement. The computational predictions using the UFF force field cannot describe the experimental data. The adsorption isotherms for frameworks with varying metal ions are predicted to be similar. The larger deviations between experiments and simulations in the adsorption isotherms of Mn-MOF-74 in comparison to the other frameworks might be a consequence of the poor agreement of the energy paths for this framework. As mentioned previously, Mercado et al.34 did not publish a force field for Mn-MOF-74. These authors reported that they could not reproduce the adsorption isotherm even though they could reproduce the varying energy paths well. The poor agreement could potentially originate from many possible reasons, for example, the used atomic structures, the point charge model, or uncertainties in the DFT calculations. To investigate the precise reason is very challenging and beyond the scope of this study. The corresponding comparison of heats of adsorptions for all investigated frameworks is shown in Figure 6.

The computational results are compared with heats of adsorptions that are derived from experimental adsorption isotherms via the Clausius-Clapeyron equation67 by different studies.16,38,39 This method can be sensitive to the specific experimental input.50 However, in the absence of measurements it is the usual benchmark. The computed heats of adsorption follow the trends predicted with the Clausius-Clapeyron equation. The developed polarizable model is able to model the heat of adsorption as a function of the CO2 uptake. While the overall agreement is good, larger deviations can be observed for the Co, Mn, and Ni-based frameworks. These are the same frameworks that showed deviations for the adsorption isotherms. If the frequently used UFF force field is assigned to the metal sites, the distinct differences between the varying frameworks cannot be modeled. Although the adsorption is already relatively well modeled by the developed polarizable model, there is further improvement possible. For Mg-MOF-74 and Mn-MOF-74, the final LJ energy parameters are on the lower boarder of the considered grid. Close to the minimum of the total energy of the metal path, the total energy almost exclusively consists of static electrostatic and polarization energy. Hence, LJ parameters are favored that contribute only slightly to the total energy of the metal path. As a result, the LJ contribution of the metal site to the other energy paths should be small, as well. To further improve the agreement, we have also explored the possibility to include the charges assigned to the framework atoms as fitting parameters in the grid search via a simple scaling. Framework charges are often considered as one of the simulation inputs. However,
many different procedures exist to actually fit point charges to the individual interaction sites. Unfortunately, a large sensitivity can be observed for some systems. By reducing the framework charges, the contributions of both the static electrostatic energy and the polarization energy are lowered. The main objective of scaling the point charges is to investigate if differently determined LJ parameters positively influence the overall agreement between DFT and MS paths, especially, for the paths that are less influenced by static electrostatic and polarization energy. Consequently, we conducted an additional grid search for Mg-MOF-74 and Mn-MOF-74 in which the point charges and the LJ parameters are varied. Here, all framework charges are scaled with a constant factor \( f (q_{\text{new}} = q_{\text{old}} \cdot f) \). We conducted the grid search for \( f \) values of 0.95, 0.925, 0.9, 0.85, 0.8, 0.75, and 0.7. The final outcome of this grid search including charge scaling is summarized in Table 2.

For both frameworks, the best agreement between DFT and MS energy paths could be achieved for a uniform point charge reduction of 10%. In case of Mn-MOF-74, all energy paths improved. However, the improvement of the objective function relative toward the objective function without charge scaling of Mn-MOF-74 is only 10%. Hence, the modeling of the energy paths for Mn-MOF-74 is still less accurate than for the other frameworks (cf. Table 1). For Mg-MOF-74, the value of the objective function improved by a factor of 5. The improvement results from a better description of the Mg paths. A slight improvement of this path causes a huge change in the objective function because it is substantially lower in energy than the other energy paths. The other energy paths for Mg-MOF-74 remain approximately the same or in the case of O1 even worsen. All related energy paths with scaled charges for Mg-MOF-74 and Mn-MOF-74 can be found in the Supporting Information. The corresponding adsorption isotherms are shown in Figure 7.

![Figure 6](https://example.com/figure6.png)

**Figure 6.** Heats of adsorption for CO\(_2\) in (a) Co-MOF-74, (b) Fe-MOF-74, (c) Mg-MOF-74, (d) Mn-MOF-74, (e) Ni-MOF-74, and (f) Zn-MOF-74 at 298 K. Comparison between the developed polarizable force field, simulations performed with the UFF force field and results obtained via the Clausius–Clapeyron equation of various studies.

**Table 2. Final LJ Force Field Parameters Determined via the Grid Search with Charge Scaling**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>structure</th>
<th>( \varepsilon ) [K]</th>
<th>( \sigma ) [Å]</th>
<th>( f )</th>
<th>relative improvement [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mg-MOF-74</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn-MOF-74</td>
<td>105.0</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.909</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The improvement is measured relative to the previous individual objective function without charge scaling.*
improvement in the objective function, the adsorption isotherm for Mn-MOF-74 changes slightly. Still, the agreement between the experimental and predicted adsorption isotherms for Mn-MOF-74 is rather poor. In a related work, Lin et al. suggested to not consider the energy paths of the O1 site for the development of a H2O force field because the O1 site is located further away from the surface of M-MOF-74. Following this idea, we excluded the energy path for O1 in the evaluation of the grid search for Mn-MOF-74. The best parameters excluding the O1 energy paths for the Mn-based framework are $f = 0.9$, $\epsilon = 5.0$ K, and $\sigma = 3.0$ Å. The corresponding energy paths can be found in the Supporting Information. As expected, the energy path toward the O1 site is less well reproduced than when considering it in the evaluation of the grid search. The description of the Mn energy path is improved even though the repulsion part is still not well reproduced. The computed adsorption isotherm and heat of adsorption predicted with the new parameter set are presented in Figure 8.

Apparently, the modeling of the adsorption is improved both for the adsorption isotherm as well as for the heat of adsorption. A possible reason may be the improvement in the description of the minimum in the Mn energy path. As mentioned previously, the minimum energy is located closer to the metal site than for the other frameworks with comparable minimum energy. At this distance, the total energy depends strongly on the repulsion in the LJ potential of the Mn site. Unfortunately, an improvement caused by the deletion of the O1 energy path in the evaluation of the grid search cannot be observed for the remaining frameworks. Instead, for the other frameworks, the opposite behavior can be observed; the agreement between experimental measurements and computational predictions becomes poorer. This is intuitive because the energy paths are merely points on the potential energy surface which should in general be described better if more points are considered in the fitting. As suggested by Mercado et al., the underlying issue to describe Mn-MOF-74 might be more complicated and further investigations are needed.

### CONCLUSIONS

The presented procedure to derive polarizable force fields is fully predictive. To consider explicit polarization, the induced dipole method was applied. Because of previously introduced simplifications, the computational time is similar to standard Monte Carlo simulations without considering explicit polarization. The used atomic polarizabilities were taken from the literature without further adjustment. No prior experimental data are required for the development. A grid search has been conducted to adjust the LJ parameters of the open metal sites. The results confirm that the procedure works well and that the experimentally measured adsorption is reproduced. Besides, the procedure is relatively simple and easily transferable to other MOFs with open metal sites. The presented procedure works well and that the experimentally measured adsorption is reproduced. Besides, the procedure is relatively simple and easily transferable to other MOFs with open metal sites. The presented procedure works well and that the experimentally measured adsorption is reproduced. Besides, the procedure is relatively simple and easily transferable to other MOFs with open metal sites. The presented procedure works well and that the experimentally measured adsorption is reproduced. Besides, the procedure is relatively simple and easily transferable to other MOFs with open metal sites. The presented procedure works well and that the experimentally measured adsorption is reproduced. Besides, the procedure is relatively simple and easily transferable to other MOFs with open metal sites. The presented procedure works well and that the experimentally measured adsorption is reproduced. Besides, the procedure is relatively simple and easily transferable to other MOFs with open metal sites. The presented procedure works well and that the experimentally measured adsorption is reproduced. Besides, the procedure is relatively simple and easily transferable to other MOFs with open metal sites.
the case of MOFs with open metal sites, it is of more importance than usually because the guest molecules interact strongly with the open metal sites and binding distances are short. Further improvement can be expected when improving the modeling of the repulsive region of the potential. This might be achieved by a more realistic functional form such as the Buckingham potential. For M-MOF-74, significant effects of static electrostatic interactions and polarization can be observed for the paths toward the open metal sites and toward the O1 site. The contribution of electrostatics is less for the energy paths toward the other interaction sites. Uniformly adjusting the framework charges together with the LJ parameters of the metal sites showed relatively small effects for Mg-MOF-74 and Mn-MOF-74. In contrast, not considering the energy path toward the O1 site could improve the description of the experimentally observed adsorption for Mn-MOF-74. However, for the remaining frameworks, the inclusion of the O1 energy path was beneficial. Ultimately, the complete set of force field parameters should be consistently deduced from QM. A predictive, transferable, and polarizable force field created in such a fashion would be of great value. Such a potential has the potential to improve the understanding of the adsorption but also to computationally screen MOFs for specific applications.
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