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Mechanism of the Dehydrogenative Phenothiazination of Phenols

Abstract: The straightforward capture of oxidized phenothiazines with phenols under aerobic conditions represents a unique cross-dehydrogenative C–N bond-forming reaction in terms of operational simplicity. The mechanism of this cross-dehydrogenative N-arylation of phenothiazines with phenols has been the object of debate, particularly regarding the order in which the substrates are oxidized and their potentially radical or cationic nature. Understanding the selective reactivity of phenols for oxidized phenothiazines is one of the key objectives of this study. The reaction mechanism is investigated in detail by utilizing electron paramagnetic resonance spectroscopy, cyclic voltammetry, radical trap experiments, kinetic isotope effects, and solvent effects. Finally, the key reaction steps are calculated by using density functional theory (DFT) and broken-symmetry open-shell singlet DFT methods to unravel a unique biradical mechanism for the oxidative phenothiazination of phenols.

Introduction

Phenothiazines (PTZs)\(^1\) are an important class of compounds in the biochemical\(^2\) and materials sciences.\(^3\) In particular, those that are N-alkylated and N-arylated are described in numerous essential applications.\(^4–6\) Arylated PTZs were, for example, recently utilized by Gennaro, Liu, and Matyjaszewski as polymerization photocatalysts,\(^7\) and they were explored as electroluminescent organic semiconductors for organic light-emitting diode (OLED) devices by Roy, Sonar, and Wadgaonkar,\(^8\) as blue emitters by Jou and Ghosh;\(^9\) and importantly as hole-transporting compounds by Grisorio and Abate for harvesting solar energy. Impressively, the latter authors reported a 17.6% energy-harvesting efficiency in a milestone 2017 paper.\(^7\) Some of these derivatives are also mentioned in the WHO list of essential medicines for their antipsychotic properties.\(^10\) Their efficient synthesis is therefore a strategic objective to make these various technologies as sustainable and as affordable as possible. Traditionally, these compounds are made by nucleophilic substitution (N-alkylated series) or by Ullmann-Goldberg condensations (N-arylated series).\(^11\) The latter, however, suffer from the usual requirement for large amounts of Cu salts or onerous Pd salts (Buchwald-Hartwig reaction)\(^12\) and, moreover, often require expensive and/or sensitive ligand architectures. In 2015, we proposed an atom- and step-efficient direct cross-dehydrogenative\(^13\) coupling pathway that enabled the direct N-arylation of phenothiazines with phenol\(^14–16\) under an O\(_2\) atmosphere\(^17\) (Scheme 1). This reaction is unusual on many accounts, notably because of its metal-free,\(^15\) halide-free, and preactivation-free character. Moreover, the capture of oxidized PTZs with phenols\(^16\) seems to be a surprisingly favorable process. In the present study, we explore the mechanism\(^18–20\) of these reactions by utilizing both theoretical and experimental methods. The precise nature and intermediacy of the oxidized PTZ is investigated, as well as its reactivity with phenols. The envisaged mechanistic scenario is depicted in Scheme 1.

Scheme 1. The PTZ-phenol oxidative coupling, proposed mechanism.

Results and Discussion

To investigate why PTZs are such efficient amination substrates, particularly in comparison to diarylamines, which are typically unreactive under our reaction conditions, we first performed cyclic voltammetry measurements on three test substrates. PTZ (1aH) and PTZ-CF\(_3\) (1bH), which often furnishes higher C–H amination yields than PTZ 1aH\(^12\), were, in particular, investigated. They were compared to diarylamine 4H,\(^17\) which was chosen for its resemblance to PTZ-CF\(_3\), although it is incompetent as an NH source in the present metal-free amination reaction (Figures 1 and 2). Clearly, the electrochemical oxidations of PTZ, PTZ-CF\(_3\), and control substrate diarylamine 4H are reversible. As expected, PTZ (+0.22 V) oxidizes at a lower potential than electron-deficient PTZ-CF\(_3\) (+0.38 V). The fact that PTZ-CF\(_3\) often gives higher yields of coupling prod-
The oxidation of diaryl- and especially PTZs, have similar CV (cyclic voltammetry) values versus ferrocene/ferrocenium (Fc/Fc⁺) using Fc⁺ as an internal standard. $E_{1/2} = +0.22, +0.38$, and $+0.48$ V for 1aH, 1bH, and 4H, respectively.

Figure 1. Selection of three test substrates for CV.

Figure 2. CV plots (room temperature) of 1aH, PTZ-CF₃, 1bH, and diarylamine (4H) in CH₂Cl₂. $E_{1/2}$ values are reported versus ferrocene/ferrocenium (Fc/Fc⁺) by using Fc⁺ as an internal standard. $E_{1/2} = +0.22, +0.38$, and $+0.48$ V for 1aH, 1bH, and 4H, respectively.

Figure 3. Selection of three test phenols for CV.

Figure 4. CV plots (room temperature) of phenols 2aH, 2bH, and 2cH in CH₂Cl₂. Peak potential for the oxidation waves are reported versus Fc⁺/Fc⁻ using Fc⁺ as an internal standard. $E_{1/2} = +1.31, +1.42$, and $+1.2$ V for 2aH, 2bH, and 2cH, respectively.

Next, we considered the CV profiles of some typical phenol derivatives that perform well in this reaction: 3-tert-butylphenol (2aH), 4-tert-butylphenol (2bH), and 3,5-dimethylphenol (2cH) (Figures 3 and 4). Clearly, the oxidation potentials of these phenols are considerably higher than those of the PTZ reagents. It can, thus, be assumed that the PTZ coupling partner oxidizes first. It is important to note that these phenol oxidation potentials are, in general, higher than the reductive potential of O₂ (≈1.23 V).[10] For example, 4-tert-butylphenol (2bH, ≈1.42 V), one of the best phenol substrates in this reaction in terms of product yield,[12] could not be oxidized to the binol homocoupling product with our method (Scheme 1). However, phenols,[15] especially PTZs,[20] both benefit from relatively low bond-dissociation energies (BDEs), such that O–H hydrogen-atom abstraction (HAA) may be conceivably under radical reaction conditions, especially in the presence of a persistent PTZ radical species.

The radical nature of the oxidized PTZ was therefore examined by electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopy (Figure 5). The radical species were generated by simply bubbling air through solutions of PTZ (1aH) and PTZ-CF₃ (1bH) in D₂O/benzene at room temperature. Because PTZs have relatively low N-basities ($pK_b < -1$), the acetic acid co-solvent is not expected to have a major influence on the initial HAA process, expect perhaps through hydrogen bonding. We will, moreover, experimentally observe, later on, that the coupling reaction also runs without carboxylic acids, although this compromises the yields a bit. Very clean and distinct spectra were obtained, and they are characteristic for the formation of PTZ⁺ (1a') and PTZ-CF₃⁺ (1b') radicals. As can be expected, the line patterns are distinct owing to the presence of the CF₃ substituent in one of the substrates. The g-values and hyperfine interactions were obtained by simulation of the experimental X-band EPR spectra, and the thus-obtained experimental parameters were fully congruent with the DFT-calculated ones (see Figure 5). Thus, the radical species obtained are in good agreement with an N-centered, neutral radical structure of the PTZ moieties. According to DFT calculations, the spin density of these N-centered radical species is about 34.5% at the N atom for PTZ-CF₃ (1b') and 34.3% for PTZ (1a'). Therefore, considerable de-
persistent N-centered PTZ radical 1a' could be easily generated even at room temperature, we propose this species to be a key intermediate in the reaction sequence leading to the formation of product 3. The potential N–N radical-coupled dimerized product[24] of PTZ radical 1a' was computed to be uphill by +4.8 kcal mol$^{-1}$ (gas-phase free energy), such that this compound presumably remains a pool of N-centered radicals. A plausible first elementary reaction step in the mechanism is hydrogen-atom transfer (HAT) from phenol 2bH to 1a' over transition state TS1. This is, in fact, a low-barrier (10.2 kcal mol$^{-1}$) and endergonic (+7.2 kcal mol$^{-1}$) equilibrium reaction according to DFT and produces phenoxyl radical 2b'. Subsequent radical–radical coupling of phenoxyl radical 2b' with another persistent PTZ radical 1a' over transition state TS2 to produce C–N coupled intermediate 3' is again a relatively low-barrier process (+13.7 kcal mol$^{-1}$). However, relative to “resting-state” hydrogen-bonded adduct 1a–HOAc, this is the overall highest barrier of the reaction sequence (+25.4 kcal mol$^{-1}$). Subsequent AcOH-mediated keto–enol tautomerization over transition state TS3 converts intermediate 3' into final product 3. The latter process again has a low barrier (+13.3 kcal mol$^{-1}$) and is strongly exergonic (−19.0 kcal mol$^{-1}$). An alternative pathway, involving C–N bond formation between PTZ radical 1a' and phenol 2bH to form radical adduct 1a–2bH', followed by HAT to 1a' (or O$_2$)[14b] to form product 3, was also considered. However, although viable, this pathway has a higher barrier (+37.6 kcal mol$^{-1}$, Scheme 3, see the Supporting Information). Moreover, anisolines, which are electronically similar to phenols but lack an OH functional group, are not competent substrates in this reaction. This fact tends to support the former mechanistic scenario, in which HAT between 1a' and 2bH must occur prior to C–N bond formation (Scheme 2). The localization/stabilization of the radical species occurs in these structures, which explains their persistency. Notably, under similar conditions, no EPR signals were obtained from a solution of control diarylamine 4H (nor from the test phenols of Figure 3). Nevertheless, the electronic structure of the corresponding N-centered radical was calculated. The noncyclic structure of diarylamine 4H is expected to have an N-centered radical character of 42.3%. Thus, this species should be less persistent. Therefore, diarylamine 4H is not only more difficult to oxidize than the PTZs (+1.25 V, Figure 2), but once it is oxidized, it is also expected to be much more (perhaps too) reactive, which thus provides a likely explanation for its poor performance in the C–N coupling reaction.

With these initial experimental data in hand, we decided to explore the mechanism by using DFT methods to obtain complementary information (see Scheme 2). Geometry optimizations were performed at the DFT level by using the b3-lyp functional and def2-TZVP basis of all stationary points, Grimme’s D3 dispersion corrections[30] were used in all calculations (disp3, “zero damping”). Given that we showed that
overall barrier of $+25.4$ kcal mol$^{-1}$ obtained from the gas-phase calculations described in Scheme 2 is perhaps a bit too low for reactions requiring $150$ °C to proceed smoothly. Perhaps oxidation of 1aH to 1a is the actual rate-limiting step under the applied experimental (polar) reaction conditions, for which the formation of ionic species 1aH$^{+}$ (i.e., protonation of 1a) and/or O$_2$ diffusion/concentration limitations could be contributing factors, and this is aggravated by the need for 2 equivalents of 1a in the proposed mechanism. If this hypothesis and the overall barrier of $+25.4$ kcal mol$^{-1}$ are correct, nonaerobic oxidants, present in higher concentrations at the beginning of the reaction, should accommodate considerably milder reaction temperatures. This is indeed the case, as demonstrated later on in the study in a series of mechanistic experiments with various oxidants (Table 1, see below).

The potential role of H-bonding was also considered, particularly in view of literature precedent.$^{[25]}$ We initially anticipated that H-bonding between the PTZ and phenol coupling partners might play an important role in the reaction. However, in the presence of a carboxylic acid co-solvent and at these relatively elevated reaction temperatures, these interactions are expected to be marginal. Another argument is the fact that phenols that are not substituted in the para position tend to lead to mixtures of ortho/para-phenothiazinated phenols.$^{[12a]}$ Strong H-bonding control might have arguably led to exclusive ortho selectivity. The ortho-coupling products, nevertheless, display an interesting intramolecular OH···N hydrogen bond characterized by redshifted O•••H$_B$ ands (see the Supporting Information) and by X-ray crystallography of some of the congeners (Figure 6). With EPR, CV, and DFT computational studies all pointing to the involvement of persistent PTZ radicals being responsible for the observed unusual reactivity, one could wonder whether the solvent also has an active (radical) role in the reaction at all, as some of us previously suggested.$^{[12a]}$ Therefore, we took a closer look at the solvent scope of the reaction. Cumene and acetic acid, the solvent mixture in which the reaction was originally reported,$^{[12a]}$ is an excellent solvent system to perform this cross-dehydrogenative coupling. Clearly, cumene and its strong radical chain propagation capacity are not required for aerobic oxidation conditions. Finally, it should be noted that utilizing an excess amount of the phenol coupling partner is not a necessity. Reducing the phenol loading to 1 equivalent instead of 3 equivalents reduced the yield of 3bb from 86% (yield of isolated product, Scheme 4) to 80% (yield determined by NMR spectroscopy), respectively. One could thus say that the heterocoupling character of the reaction...
tions (Scheme 5). Indeed, it was found that in the presence of 1 equivalent of TEMPO the reaction was almost completely suppressed under the new isobutyric acid or pivalic acid conditions. Unfortunately, neither GC-MS analysis nor column chromatography allowed trapped TEMPO adducts to be detected or identified. A reason for this could be the N-basicity of TEMPO, which may lead to partial or full protonation under the acidic reaction conditions. If true, it is interesting that protonated TEMPO seems to maintain radical inhibition properties under those acidic reaction conditions. It should, moreover, be noted that TEMPO is surprisingly tolerated in the original cumene/ACOH mixture,\(^\text{[12]}\) which indicates that solvents with specific radical character such as cumene may offer alternative radical chains but are not essential for the dehydrogenative coupling reaction to proceed. Before moving to H/D kinetic investigations, we first evaluated the reactivity and regioselectivity of ordinary phenol (substrate 2hH, Scheme 5). Much to our surprise, not only was the yield acceptable (3bh + 3bh', 77%) but so was the ortho/para regioisomeric selectivity (3bh'/3bh = 10:1). This represents a significant increase in the regioselectivity relative to that obtained with cumene (Scheme 1),\(^\text{[12]}\) in which the same substrates afforded a ortho/para ratio of only 1:3:1. This also supports the notion of carboxylic acid supramolecular assistance in some of the transition states of the reaction.

Finally, some H/D kinetic experiments were considered to probe the mechanistic scenario of Scheme 2. In a first experiment, an intramolecular competition between phenol (2hH) and phenol-d\(_6\) (2h-d\(_6\)) was considered at an early conversion stage (\(t = 2\ h\), 3bh-d\(_6\)) was considered at an early conversion stage (\(t = 2\ h\), 3bh-d\(_6\)), 15%). Under those conditions, only a secondary kinetic isotope effect was observed (KIE = \(k_{\text{H}}/k_{\text{D}} = 1.2\)), which suggested that C-H bond cleavage was not the rate-limiting step. A similar result was obtained upon comparing the initial rates for phenol (2hH) and phenol-d\(_6\) (2h-d\(_6\)) in two separate and parallel experiments. In the latter comparison, a similar KIE of 1.1 was observed. It should, moreover, be noted that phenol-d\(_6\) (2h-d\(_6\)) is fortunately robust enough to survive

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Oxidant</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>(T) [°C]</th>
<th>Yield(\text{[a]}) [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>cumene hydroperoxide/N(_2)</td>
<td>PivOH (3 mL)</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>O(_2)</td>
<td>PivOH (3 mL)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>O(_2)</td>
<td>cumene (2.5 mL), AcOH (0.5 mL)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>O(_2)</td>
<td>cumene (2.5 mL) (no acid)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Ag(_2)O/N(_2)</td>
<td>cumene (2.5 mL), AcOH (0.5 mL)</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Ag(_2)O/N(_2)</td>
<td>cumene (2.5 mL), AcOH (0.5 mL)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Ag(_2)O/N(_2)</td>
<td>cumene (2.5 mL) (no acid)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>cumene hydroperoxide/N(_2)</td>
<td>cumene (2.5 mL), AcOH (0.5 mL)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>cumene hydroperoxide/N(_2)</td>
<td>cumene (2.5 mL) (no acid)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[a] The yield was determined by \(^1\)H NMR spectroscopy by using 1,2-dichloroethane as an internal standard.
the reaction conditions (PivOH, 150 °C, 2 h) without measurable loss of deuterium on its benzene ring, which thus allowed those KIE experiments in the first place. These secondary KIE are therefore in good accordance with the proposed C=N bond-forming step as the rate-determining step.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we undertook a detailed mechanistic study of the O2-mediated cross-dehydrogenative C=N bond-forming reaction between phenols and phenothiazines (PTZs). This was done by aid of electron paramagnetic resonance spectroscopy, cyclic voltammetry, DFT calculations, radical-trapping experiments, kinetic isotope effect measurements, and studying the effects of varying the oxidants and solvent systems. The persistent N-centered radical was suggested to be a key intermediate in this reaction. Its persistence is notably considered to be responsible for the high heterocoupling selectivity, even upon utilizing a phenol-to-PTZ loading of only 1:1. Indeed, as soon the hydrogen-atom transfer step occurs at the phenol coupling partner, the resulting phenol radical would be captured by the excess amount of the accumulating persistent PTZ radical. In view of these considerations, the herein proposed mechanism might well be operative in some of the other variants of this reactions. This high heterocoupling specificity may, moreover, facilitate the design of future radical cross-dehydrogenative coupling methods.
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