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Abstract: The straightforward capture of oxidized phenothiazines with phenols under aerobic conditions represents a unique cross-dehydrogenative C–N bond-forming reaction in terms of operational simplicity. The mechanism of this cross-dehydrogenative N-arylation of phenothiazines with phenols has been the object of debate, particularly regarding the order in which the substrates are oxidized and their potentially radical or cationic nature. Understanding the selective reactivity of phenols for oxidized phenothiazines is one of the key objectives of this study. The reaction mechanism is investigated in detail by utilizing electron paramagnetic resonance spectroscopy, cyclic voltammetry, radical trap experiments, kinetic isotope effects, and solvent effects. Finally, the key reaction steps are calculated by using density functional theory (DFT) and broken-symmetry open-shell single DFT methods to unravel a unique biradical mechanism for the oxidative phenothiazination of phenols.

Introduction

Phenothiazines (PTZs)\(^{[1]}\) are an important class of compounds in the biochemical\(^{[2]}\) and materials sciences.\(^{[3]}\) In particular, those that are N-alkylated and N-arylated are described in numerous essential applications.\(^{[4–6]}\) Arylated PTZs were, for example, recently utilized by Gennaro, Liu, and Matyjaszewski as polymerization photocatalysts,\(^{[7]}\) and they were explored as electroluminescent organic semiconductors for organic light-emitting diode (OLED) devices by Roy, Sonar, and Wadgaonkar,\(^{[8]}\) as blue emitters by Jou and Ghosh,\(^{[9]}\) and importantly as hole-transporting compounds by Grisorio and Abate for harvesting solar energy. Impressively, the latter authors reported a 17.6\% energy-harvesting efficiency in a milestone 2017 paper.\(^{[7]}\) Some of these derivatives are also mentioned in the WHO list of essential medicines for their antipsychotic properties.\(^{[10]}\) Their efficient synthesis is therefore a strategic objective to make these various technologies as sustainable and as affordable as possible. Traditionally, these compounds are made by nucleophilic substitution (N-alkylated series) or by Ullmann–Goldberg condensations (N-arylated series).\(^{[11]}\) The latter, however, suffer from the usual requirement for large amounts of Cu salts or onerous Pd salts (Buchwald–Hartwig reaction)\(^{[12]}\) and, moreover, often require expensive and/or sensitive ligand architectures. In 2015, we proposed an atom- and step-efficient direct cross-dehydrogenative\(^{[13]}\) coupling pathway that enabled the direct N-arylation of phenothiazines with phenols\(^{[12,13]}\) under an O\(_2\) atmosphere\(^{[14]}\) (Scheme 1). This reaction is unusual on many accounts, notably because of its metal-free,\(^{[15]}\) halide-free, and preactivation-free character. Moreover, the capture of oxidized PTZs with phenols\(^{[16]}\) seems to be a surprisingly favorable process. In the present study, we explore the mechanisms\(^{[16–18]}\) of these reactions by utilizing both theoretical and experimental methods. The precise nature and intermediacy of the oxidized PTZ is investigated, as well as its reactivity with phenols. The envisaged mechanistic scenario is depicted in Scheme 1.

![Scheme 1](https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201800730)

Results and Discussion

To investigate why PTZs are such efficient amination substrates, particularly in comparison to diarylamines, which are typically unreactive under our reaction conditions, we first performed cyclic voltammetry measurements on three test substrates. PTZ (1\(aH\)) and PTZ-CF\(_3\) (1\(bH\)), which often furnishes higher C–H amination yields than PTZ 1\(aH\)\(^{[12]}\), were, in particular, investigated. They were compared to diarylamine 4\(H\),\(^{[17]}\) which was chosen for its resemblance to PTZ-CF\(_3\), although it is incompetent as an NH source in the present metal-free amination reaction (Figures 1 and 2). Clearly, the electrochemical oxidations of PTZ, PTZ-CF\(_3\), and control substrate diarylamine 4\(H\) are reversible. As expected, PTZ (+0.22 V) oxidizes at a lower potential than electron-deficient PTZ-CF\(_3\) (+0.38 V). The fact that PTZ-CF\(_3\) often gives higher yields of coupling prod-
The oxidation of diarylamines and diarylamine derivatives that perform well in this reaction: 3-tert-butylphenol (2aH), 4-tert-butylphenol (2bH), and 3,5-dimethylphenol (2cH) (Figures 3 and 4). Clearly, the oxidation potentials of these phenols are considerably higher than those of the PTZ reagents. It can, thus, be assumed that the PTZ coupling partner oxidizes first. It is important to note that these phenol oxidation potentials are, in general, higher than the reductive potential of O$_2$ (+1.23 V)\(^{[16]}\). For example, 4-tert-butylphenol (2bH, +1.42 V), one of the best phenol substrates in this reaction in terms of product yield,\(^{[12]}\) could not be oxidized to the bimolecular coupling product with our method (Scheme 1). However, phenols\(^{[19]}\) and especially PTZs\(^{[20]}\) both benefit from relatively low bond-dissociation energies (BDEs), such that O–H hydrogen-atom abstraction (HAA) may be conceivable under radical reaction conditions, especially in the presence of a persistent PTZ radical species.

The radical nature of the oxidized PTZ was therefore examined by electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopy (Figure 5). The radical species were generated by simply bubbling air through solutions of PTZ (1aH) and PTZ-CF$_3$ (1bH) in D$_2$O/acetone at room temperature. Because PTZs have relatively low N-basicities (pK$_b$ < −1), the acetic acid co-solvent is not expected to have a major influence on the initial HAA process, expect perhaps through hydrogen bonding. We will, moreover, experimentally observe, later on, that the coupling reaction also runs without carboxylic acids, although this compromises the yields a bit. Very clean and distinct spectra were obtained, and they are characteristic for the formation of PTZ$^*$ (1a$'$) and PTZ-CF$_3$$^*$ (1b$'$) radicals. As can be expected, the line patterns are distinct owing to the presence of the CF$_3$ substituent in one of the substrates. The g-values and hyperfine interactions were obtained by simulation of the experimental X-band EPR spectra, and the thus-obtained experimental parameters were fully congruent with the DFT-calculated ones (see Figure 5). Thus, the radical species obtained are in good agreement with an N-centered, neutral radical structure of the PTZ moieties.
persistent N-centered PTZ radical \(1a'\) could be easily generated even at room temperature, we propose this species to be a key intermediate in the reaction sequence leading to the formation of product 3. The potential N–N radical-coupled dimerized product\([24]\) of PTZ radical \(1a'\) was computed to be uphill by \(+4.8\) kcal mol\(^{-1}\) (gas-phase free energy), such that this compound presumably remains a pool of N-centered radicals. A plausible first elementary reaction step in the mechanism is hydrogen-atom transfer (HAT) from phenol \(2b\) to \(1a'\) over transition state TS1. This is, in fact, a low-barrier (10.2 kcal mol\(^{-1}\)) and endergonic (+7.2 kcal mol\(^{-1}\)) equilibrium reaction according to DFT and produces phenoxyl radical \(2b'\). Subsequent radical–radical coupling of phenoxyl radical \(2b'\) with another persistent PTZ radical \(1a'\) over transition state TS2 to produce C–N coupled intermediate \(3'\) is again a relatively low-barrier process (+13.7 kcal mol\(^{-1}\)). However, relative to “resting-state” hydrogen-bonded adduct \(1a'–\text{HOAc}\), this is the overall highest barrier of the reaction sequence (+25.4 kcal mol\(^{-1}\)). Subsequent AcOH-mediated keto–enol tautomerization over transition state TS3 converts intermediate \(3'\) into final product 3. The latter process again has a low barrier (+13.3 kcal mol\(^{-1}\)) and is strongly exergonic (–19.0 kcal mol\(^{-1}\)). An alternative pathway, involving C–N bond formation between PTZ radical \(1a'\) and phenol \(2b\) to form radical adduct \(1a'–2b\), followed by HAT to \(1a'\) (or \(O_2\))\([14b]\) to form product 3, was also considered. However, although viable, this pathway has a higher barrier (+37.6 kcal mol\(^{-1}\), Scheme 3, see the Supporting Information). Moreover, anisoles, which are electronically similar to phenols but lack an OH functional group, are not competent substrates in this reaction. This fact tends to support the former mechanistic scenario, in which HAT between \(1a'\) and \(2b\) must occur prior to C–N bond formation (Scheme 2).

Figure 5. Top) Experimental (room temperature) and simulated EPR spectra of oxidized PTZ (1a'). Experimental parameters: frequency = 9.384862 GHz, modulation amplitude = 1 G, power = 0.2 mW. Parameters used in the simulation: \(g_{\text{iso}} = 2.0046, A_{\text{iso}} = 15.0, A_{\text{iso}}^\text{N} = 9.60, A_{\text{iso}}^\text{H} = -7.6, A_{\text{iso}}^\text{H} = -11.1, A_{\text{iso}}^\text{H} = 20\) (DFT computed: \(A_{\text{iso}}^\text{N} = 14.72, A_{\text{iso}}^\text{H} = -10.56, A_{\text{iso}}^\text{H} = -8.49, A_{\text{iso}}^\text{H} = -8.47, A_{\text{iso}}^\text{H} = 10.55\) MHz). Bottom) Experimental and simulated EPR spectra of PTZ-CF\(_3\) (1b'). Experimental parameters: frequency = 9.384862 GHz, modulation amplitude = 1 G, power = 0.2 mW. Parameters used in the simulation: \(g_{\text{iso}} = 2.0046, A_{\text{iso}} = 19, A_{\text{iso}}^\text{N} = -10.80, A_{\text{iso}}^\text{H} = -5.55, A_{\text{iso}}^\text{H} = -9.10, A_{\text{iso}}^\text{H} = 3.0\) MHz (DFT computed: \(A_{\text{iso}}^\text{N} = 14.75, A_{\text{iso}}^\text{H} = -10.80, A_{\text{iso}}^\text{H} = -8.55, A_{\text{iso}}^\text{H} = -10.10, A_{\text{iso}}^\text{H} = 3.81\) MHz).

localization/stabilization of the radical species occurs in these structures, which explains their persistency. Notably, under similar conditions, no EPR signals were obtained from a solution of control diarylamine 4H (nor from the test phenols of Figure 3). Nevertheless, the electronic structure of the corresponding N-centered radical was calculated. The noncyclic structure of diarylamine 4H is expected to have an N-centered radical character of 42.3%. Thus, this species should be less persistent. Therefore, diarylamine 4H is not only more difficult to oxidize than the PTZs (+1.25 V, Figure 2), but once it is oxidized, it is also expected to be much more (perhaps too) reactive, which thus provides a likely explanation for its poor performance in the C–N coupling reaction.

With these initial experimental data in hand, we decided to explore the mechanism by using DFT methods to obtain complementary information (see Scheme 2). Geometry optimizations were performed at the DFT level by using the b3-lyp functional and def2-TZVP basis of all stationary points. Grimme’s D3 dispersion corrections\([23]\) were used in all calculations (disp3, “zero damping”). Given that we showed that
The overall barrier of $+25.4 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ obtained from the gas-phase calculations described in Scheme 2 is perhaps a bit too low for reactions requiring 150 °C to proceed smoothly. Perhaps oxidation of $1aH$ to $1a^+$ is the actual rate-limiting step under the applied experimental (polar) reaction conditions, for which the formation of ionic species $1aH^+$ (i.e., protonation of $1a^+$) and/or $O_2$ diffusion/concentration limitations could be contributing factors, and this is aggravated by the need for 2 equivalents of $1a^+$ in the proposed mechanism. If this hypothesis and the overall barrier of $+25.4 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ are correct, nonaerobic oxidants, present in higher concentrations at the beginning of the reaction, should accommodate considerably milder reaction temperatures. This is indeed the case, as demonstrated later on in the study in a series of mechanistic experiments with various oxidants (Table 1, see below).

The potential role of H-bonding was also considered, particularly in view of literature precedent.\cite{25} We initially anticipated that H-bonding between the PTZ and phenol coupling partners might play an important role in the reaction. However, in the presence of a carboxylic acid co-solvent and at these relatively elevated reaction temperatures, these interactions are expected to be marginal. Another argument is the fact that phenols that are not substituted in the para position tend to lead to mixtures of ortho/para-phenothiazinated phenols.\cite{12a} Strong H-bonding control might have arguably led to exclusive ortho selectivity. The ortho-coupling products, nevertheless, display an interesting intramolecular $O-H-N$ hydrogen bond characterized by redshifted $O\cdots H$ IR bands (see the Supporting Information) and by X-ray crystallography of some of the congeners (Figures 6).

With EPR, CV, and DFT computational studies all pointing to the involvement of persistent PTZ radicals being responsible for the observed unusual reactivity, one could wonder whether the solvent also has an active (radical) role in the reaction at all, as some of us previously suggested.\cite{12a}\cite{26} Therefore, we took a closer look at the solvent scope of the reaction. Cumene and acetic acid, the solvent mixture in which the reaction was originally reported,\cite{12a} is an excellent solvent system to perform this cross-dehydrogenative coupling.\cite{26} With EPR, CV, and DFT computational studies all pointing to the involvement of persistent PTZ radicals being responsible for the observed unusual reactivity, one could wonder whether the solvent also has an active (radical) role in the reaction at all, as some of us previously suggested.\cite{12a}\cite{26} Therefore, we took a closer look at the solvent scope of the reaction. Cumene and acetic acid, the solvent mixture in which the reaction was originally reported,\cite{12a} is an excellent solvent system to perform this cross-dehydrogenative coupling.\cite{26} However, as now expected in view of the PTZ radical persistency, the radical-susceptible benzyl position of cumene is not absolutely necessa-
tion is quite persistent. Next, we considered the key role of the oxidant. The persistence of the PTZ radical, its low BDE and low oxidation potential, and its proposed role as a HAT agent suggest that the steric effect of the terminal oxidant of the reaction may be somewhat flexible. To verify this hypothesis, we performed a series of mechanistic experiments, in which the nature of the oxidant was varied (Table 1). The most important findings are that: 1) \( \text{O}_2 \) can only be utilized at higher temperatures (Table 1, entries 2–4); 2) the acidic conditions are not absolutely necessary; however, they do provide higher yields (Table 1, entries 6 and 8 vs 7 and 9, respectively); 3) cumyl hydroperoxide is a reasonable oxidant intermediate in the case of cumene/O\(_2\) oxidizing systems. To those competent oxidants of Table 1, one should also add periodates (NaIO\(_4\)) and peroxodisulfates (Na\(_2\)S\(_2\)O\(_8\)) which have already been exemplified in the recent literature. During the reviewing period of this study, the group of Aiwen Lei reported an electrochemical oxidative variant of this reaction at room temperature.\(^{[20]}\) In other words, the reaction is highly specific to PTZs and phenols but not to the utilized oxidant. Concerning the carboxylic acid additive, one hypothesis could be that it lowers the energy of some transition states of the reaction mechanism through hydrogen-bonding assistance, such as, for example, in transition state TS\(_3\) (Scheme 2). Interestingly, calculating TS\(_3\) without acetic acid leads to an unrealistically high energy level (above 40 kcal mol\(^{-1}\)) owing to angular constraints (see the Supporting Information), which thus supports the acetic acid hydrogen-bonding hypothesis. Importantly, utilizing nonaerobic oxidants clearly allows the reaction temperature to be lowered significantly from 150 to only 50 °C.\(^{[13c,d]}\) This lower reaction temperature is in better accordance with the DFT (and EPR) data and may indicate that the more sustainable \( \text{O}_2/\text{AcOH} \) oxidant is associated with 1\( a' \) protonation and/or diffusions/concentration limitations.

We next investigated whether a typical radical scavenger such as 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidin-1-oxyl (TEMPO) might affect the results to confirm the radical nature of these reactions (Scheme 5). Indeed, it was found that in the presence of 1 equivalent of TEMPO the reaction was almost completely suppressed under the new isobutyric acid or pivalic acid conditions. Unfortunately, neither GC–MS analysis nor column chromatography allowed trapped TEMPO adducts to be detected or identified. A reason for this could be the N-basicity of TEMPO, which may lead to partial or full protonation under the acidic reaction conditions. If true, it is interesting that protonated TEMPO seems to maintain radical inhibition properties under those acidic reaction conditions. It should, moreover, be noted that TEMPO is surprisingly tolerated in the original cumene/AcOH mixture,\(^{[12]}\) which indicates that solvents with specific radical character such as cumene may offer alternative radical chains but are not essential for the dehydrogenative coupling reaction to proceed. Before moving to H/D kinetic investigations, we first evaluated the reactivity and regioselectivity of ordinary phenol (substrate 2\( \text{hh} \), Scheme 5). Much to our surprise, not only was the yield acceptable (3\( \text{bh} \) + 3\( \text{bh}' \), 77%) but so was the \( \text{ortho/para} \) reactivity ratio (3\( \text{bh} \)/3\( \text{bh}' \) = 10:1). This represents a significant increase in the regioselectivity relative to that obtained with cumene (Scheme 1),\(^{[12]}\) in which the same substrates afforded a \( \text{ortho/para} \) ratio of only 1.3:1. This also supports the notion of carboxylic acid supramolecular assistance in some of the transition states of the reaction.

Finally, some H/D kinetic experiments were considered to probe the mechanistic scenario of Scheme 2. In a first experiment, an intermolecular competition between phenol (2\( \text{hh} \)) and phenol-d\(_2\) (2\( \text{hd} \)) was considered at an early conversion stage (\( t = 2 \) h, 3\( \text{bh} \), 15%). Under those conditions, only a secondary kinetic isotope effect was observed (KIE = \( k_1/k_0 \) = 1.2), which suggested that C–H bond cleavage was not the rate-limiting step. A similar result was obtained upon comparing the initial rates for phenol (2\( \text{hh} \)) and phenol-d\(_2\) (2\( \text{hd} \)) in two separate and parallel experiments. In the latter comparison, a similar KIE of 1.1 was observed. It should, moreover, be noted that phenol-d\(_2\) (2\( \text{hd} \)) is fortunately robust enough to survive

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Mechanistic experiments: influence of additives.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Entry</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{[a]}\) The yield was determined by H NMR spectroscopy by using 1,2-dichloroethane as an internal standard.
the reaction conditions ($\text{PivOH, 150 °C, 2 h}$) without measurable loss of deuterium on its benzene ring, which thus allowed those KIE experiments in the first place. These secondary KIEs are therefore in good accordance with the proposed C—N bond-forming step as the rate-determining step.

**Conclusions**

In conclusion, we undertook a detailed mechanistic study of the $\text{O}_2$-mediated cross-dehydrogenative C—N bond-forming reaction between phenols and phenothiazines (PTZs). This was done by aid of electron paramagnetic resonance spectroscopy, cyclic voltammetry, DFT calculations, radical-trapping experiments, kinetic isotope effect measurements, and studying the effects of varying the oxidants and solvent systems. The persistent N-centered radical was suggested to be a key intermediate in this reaction. Its persistence is notably considered to be responsible for the high heterocoupling selectivity, even upon utilizing a phenol-to-PTZ loading of only 1:1. Indeed, as soon the hydrogen-atom transfer step occurs at the phenol coupling partner, the resulting phenol radical would be captured by the excess amount of the accumulating persistent PTZ radical. In view of these considerations, the herein proposed mechanism might well be operative in some of the other variants of this reactions.$^{13b–d, 28}$ This high heterocoupling specificity may, moreover, facilitate the design of future radical cross-dehydrogenative coupling methods.
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