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CONSENSUS: Binding of molecules in molecular cages based on self-assembled concave building blocks has been of great interest to scientists for decades. The binding of static molecular fragments inside cage-like molecular structures is generally based on complementarity of host and guest in terms of shape and interactions. The encapsulation of homogeneous catalysts in molecular cages is of interest as activity, selectivity, and stability can be controlled by the cage as second coordination sphere, reminiscent of how enzymes control chemical reactivity. Homogeneous catalysts, however, are not static guest molecules as catalysts change in shape, charge, and polarity during the catalytic cycle, representing the challenges involved in cage controlled catalysis. To address these issues, we developed a new strategy that we coined the “ligand template approach for catalyst encapsulation”. This strategy relies on ligand building blocks that contain multiple orthogonal binding sites: the central ligand (mostly phosphorus) is bound to the transition metal required for catalysis, while other binding sites are used to construct a cage structure around the transition metal atom through self-assembly. By design, the catalyst is inside the capsule during the catalytic cycle, as the central ligand is coordinated to the catalyst. As the approach is based on a self-assembly process of building blocks, the catalyst properties can be easily modulated by modification of building blocks involved.

In this Account, we elaborate on template ligand strategies for single catalyst encapsulation, based on divergent ligand templates and the extension to nanospheres with multiple metal complexes, which are formed by assembly of convergent ligand templates. Using the mononuclear approach, a variety of encapsulated catalysts can be generated, which have led to highly (enantio)selective hydroformylation reactions for encapsulated rhodium atoms. Besides the successes of encapsulated rhodium catalysts in hydroformylation, mononuclear ligand template capsules have been applied in asymmetric hydrogenation, the Heck reaction, copolymerization, gold catalyzed cyclization reactions, and hydrosilylation reactions. By changing the capsule building blocks the electronic and steric properties around the transition metal have successfully been modified, which translates to changes in catalyst properties. Using the convergent ligand templates, nanospheres have been generated with up to 24 complexes inside the sphere, leading to very high local concentrations of the transition metal. The effect of local concentrations was explored in gold catalyzed cyclization reactions and ruthenium catalyzed water oxidation, and for both reactions, spectacular reaction rate enhancements have been observed. This Account shows that the template ligand approach to provide catalyst in well-defined specific environments is very versatile and leads to catalyst properties that are not achievable with traditional approaches.

INTRODUCTION

For decades chemists have been fascinated by the properties of molecules, and the ever increasing knowledge of synthetic methodologies facilitates the exploration of a diverse set of molecules with different sizes and shapes. The generation of concave 3-D shaped molecules provided the first opportunities to study the binding of guest molecules in the cavities and cages of such structures, and this initiated a new scientific field that is now well-known as supramolecular chemistry.1−3 Active research by many scientists in the field has resulted in many spectacular examples of molecular constructs, often based on self-assembly of smaller components, that specifically bind guest molecules that are complementary in shape. The interactions and entropic contributions relevant for these binding events are well-understood.4,5 A variety of applications for these new molecular materials have been proposed, including their use in the field of catalysis. Molecular cages have been used as catalysts to promote several organic transformations mostly through preorganization of the substrates and stabilizing the crucial transition state by interactions with the cage environment, leading to rate acceleration.6−11 Transition metal catalysis can also be carried out in molecular cages.11−16 Traditionally, the key properties of transition metal catalysts are controlled by ligand effects. Electronic properties, steric environment, and coordination mode can all be fine-tuned
by ligand modifications, and in turn this has large influence on the catalytic properties of the metal complex. Placing such catalytically active complexes in confined spaces provides additional tools to control the crucial parameters of the catalytic system. Compared to using cages themselves as catalysts, this strategy is more challenging. First of all, the metal complex and the substrate need to be in the cage at the same time, even though excess of substrate is present in solution, as otherwise the number of catalytic cycles the catalyst can perform will remain low. Second, the transition metal complex generally changes during a catalytic cycle along the different steps such as oxidative addition, reductive elimination, ligand dissociation, or substrate insertion. This means that the complex will change in shape, size, and electronic properties throughout the catalytic cycle, and yet it should stay in the molecular cage, coencapsulated with different substrates at different stages of the reaction. This sketches the complication when transition metal catalysis is attempted in molecular cages.

Despite the challenge, several examples have been reported in which metal complexes are encapsulated with catalyst properties that are substantially different from the unencapsulated analogue. Encapsulation strategies include covalent attachment of ligands to the cage or binding of metal complexes in cages via ionic interaction, which so far is limited to cationic metal complexes. The capsule size is often found detrimental for encapsulation of metal complexes, and optimization may involve painstaking synthesis for systems with the catalyst covalently attached to the capsule.

We have developed a novel strategy that we coined “ligand template strategy for catalyst encapsulation”. In this strategy, we prepare relatively simple building blocks that by a self-assembly process lead to transition metal catalysts in cage structures (Figure 1). A ligand is utilized with functional groups, to which other building blocks can bind, and as such this ligand functions as a template for encapsulation. This Account highlights the progress in template ligand strategies for catalyst encapsulation. We will show that crucial catalyst parameters, such as activity and selectivity, can be controlled by second coordination sphere effects. Changing the catalyst is relatively simple, as it involves just mixing of different building blocks. A diverse set of reactions have been explored and shown to be compatible with the approach. Recently, we have extended the approach from mononuclear complexes in cages, to template ligand strategies that lead to multinuclear complexes in nanospheres. This will be discussed in the second part of this Account.

Figure 1. Schematic representation of the two distinct ligand template approaches for encapsulation of catalysts: for the mononuclear approach, a divergent ligand template is encapsulated by capsule building blocks, forming a confined space around a single transition metal atom. For the multinuclear approach, multiple convergent ligand template molecules self-assemble into a nanosphere with multiple metal complexes in confined space, leading to very high local concentration of transition metal atoms.
We started our template ligand approach with trispyridylphosphine ligand 1, in combination with simple zinc(II)-tetraphenylporphyrin (Zn(II)TPP) building blocks. Mixing 3 equiv of Zn(II)TPP with 1 leads to the self-assembled structure 2 with the phosphorus ligand located in the middle of the cage structure, as established by titration studies in solution and later by X-ray analysis (Figure 2). From the X-ray structure, it is clear that the structure is based on pyridyl–zinc coordination, as well as CH–π interactions between adjacent Zn(II)TPP building blocks. The latter also
explains why cooperative binding is observed, the third porphyrin is bound 5 times more strongly to I than the first (\(K \approx 10^3\)–10^4; Figure 2).21 The strength of the template ligand approach for encapsulation was first demonstrated by application of capsule 2 ([I(Zn(II)TPP)]_3) in the rhodium catalyzed hydroformylation of 1-octene (Figure 3). Catalyst 3 favors formation of the branched aldehyde product in the hydroformylation of terminal alkenes, which usually is the minor product. Alongside the unprecedented selectivity, the encapsulated catalyst was ten times more active than the rhodium catalysts formed by ligand I in the absence of Zn(II)TPP. The higher rates observed are partly explained by the formation of rhodium complexes with only one phosphine coordinated, as a result of the encapsulation of the template ligand.20

Hydroformylation of internal alkenes is challenging because of the inherent low reactivity of the disubstituted double bond. It is very difficult to control the regioselectivity, as the two carbon atoms are very similar in terms of steric and electronic effects. As such, traditional catalysts generally produce both isomers in equal amounts. Interestingly, high selectivity was observed when catalyst 3 was applied in hydroformylation of internal alkenes, with the innermost carbon atom being the favored position for CO insertion, leading to the 3-aldehyde formation from 2-alkenes with a selectivity of up to 91%.20,21 Kinetic studies, in situ spectroscopy, and computational studies show that hydride migration is selectivity determining in these reactions. For trans-2-octene, the migration leading to the formation of the aldehyde at C2 requires significant structural rearrangement of the capsule, whereas the hydride migration leading to the 3-aldehyde requires no rearrangement of the second coordination sphere, explaining how these capsules induce the selectivity in this reaction.20 Next to this high selectivity, encapsulation also leads to a rate enhancement in the hydroformylation of trans-2-octene. Similar effects are observed for a variety of internal alkenes.20 Catalyst 3 was also applied at higher, industrially more relevant, temperatures (up to 75 °C), and 1-octene was converted with high rates and characteristic branched selectivity, but only at high partial CO pressure (60 bar). At elevated temperature and 10 bar of CO (and 10 bar hydrogen), the complex no longer shows the monophosphine coordination that is typical for the encapsulated catalysts, but bisphosphine coordination complexes formed instead. The equilibrium shifts back to monocoordination at higher pressure and with that also the selectivity of the catalyst.20

The use of Zn(II)tetraphenylporpholactone, formed by oxidation of Zn(II)TPP, increases the association constant as the porphyrin is more electron poor. Cooperative binding of the third porphyrin moiety is still possible as the porphyrin structure does not change much (Figure 4).24 The cage based on Zn(II)porpholactone 4 was applied in the hydroformylation of propene, where the higher association constant facilitated the formation of a stable capsule in polar solvents, leading to higher activity and a better branched selectivity than the related catalyst 3. In fact, the encapsulated catalyst is one of the most selective catalysts reported to date, favoring formation of the branched aldehyde, which is difficult to form using traditional ligand systems.21

Another way to make the binding of the porphyrin to the ligand template stronger is by changing the metal in the porphyrin building block. For example, the binding of Ru(II)(CO)TPP to ligand template 1 is ten times stronger than for Zn(II)TPP, yet the shape of the cage formed is identical.19 In addition, the ruthenium–pyridyl bond is less dynamic. Indeed, the rhodium catalyst based on the capsule formed by template ligand 1 and Ru(II)(CO)tetraphenylporpholactone 6 results in higher selectivity for the branched product (I/b = 0.4) in the hydroformylation of 1-octene at room temperature.19

Several different ligand templates and capsule building block combinations have been studied in the hydroformylation of terminal and internal unfunctionalized alkenes (Figure 4). The porphyrin capsule building blocks can be modified with a single meta substituent on each phenyl ring, allowing the CH-π interaction with the other porphyrins and thereby forming a tight capsule by cooperative binding.20 Substitution of the Zn(II)TPP on ortho, para, or multiple positions of the phenyl ring changes the orientation of the phenyl ring and disturbs the CH-π interactions between the porphyrins, leading to a weaker coordination of the third porphyrin.19 To demonstrate the importance of the CH-π interactions, the Zn(II)TPP-d_{10} porphyrin with deuterium at all phenyl rings was used to form a close analogue catalyst 3, and this caged catalyst displayed a slightly lower selectivity than 3 (70% vs 91% 3-aldehyde). In addition, steric interactions between the porphyrins with substituents also change the shape of the cavity around the catalyst, and as a result the product distribution can be controlled from 91% for the 3-aldehyde to 60% for the 2-aldehyde. An encapsulated catalyst based on trispyridylphosphine ligand 1 and Zn(II)phthalocyanine 7 was also used for hydroformylation of internal alkenes, and modeling shows that the cavity created around the rhodium catalyst is much larger when Zn(II)phthalocyanine is used as building block (Figure 5).
Interestingly, the phthalocyanine based capsular catalyst favors the formation of the 2-aldehyde in hydroformylation of trans-2-octene (70%). These experiments show that by only changing the second coordination sphere the selectivity can be controlled as the catalyst itself is identical to that of catalyst 3, and this catalyst with the smaller cage formed predominantly the 3-

**Figure 5.** Product selectivity in the hydroformylation of trans-2-octene can be controlled by changing only the cavity size of the capsule, which can be modified using different building blocks for the assembly of the catalyst: space-filling models of rhodium catalyst 3 (left, DFT calculated structure) and the catalyst based on phthalocyanin building block 7 (right, DFT calculated structure) showing that the cavity size around the rhodium atom is 5 times larger.

**Figure 6.** Encapsulation of tris-4-pyridylphosphine (10) leads to the formation of a bisphosphorus coordinated rhodium catalyst that displays linear selective hydroformylation of 1-octene; changing the substituents on the porphyrin can be used to tune the activity of the catalyst.

Interestingly, the phthalocyanine based capsular catalyst favors the formation of the 2-aldehyde in hydroformylation of trans-2-octene (70%). These experiments show that by only changing the second coordination sphere the selectivity can be controlled as the catalyst itself is identical to that of catalyst 3, and this catalyst with the smaller cage formed predominantly the 3-
aldehyde product. These cages formed by the ligand template approach resembles strategies encountered in nature as in enzymes the selectivity is largely controlled by the second coordination sphere and not by the active site itself, and in the currently example, a selectivity issue in the hydroformylation of internal alkenes was addressed that is not easily solved by ligand design.

The shape and size of the cavity formed can be modified by changing the capsule building block, as discussed above, but also by using different ligand templates. For example, application of ligand template 10 was explored in 1-octene hydroformylation (Figure 6). Because of the different position of the pyridine group on the ligand, the capsule formed is larger, allowing for two ligand template molecules to be coordinated to rhodium in the presence of capsule building blocks. In situ spectroscopy and the results in catalysis confirmed the formation of a bisphosphorus coordinated rhodium species under catalytic conditions. As the Zn(II)TPP building blocks are coordinated to the pyridine groups, the capsule formed is significantly larger than for the parent complex 3. The selectivity of the catalyst is typical for bisphosphorus rhodium catalysts, and the cage has only an effect on the activity of the catalyst, which depends on the substituents on the phenyl groups of the porphyrin building block. This indicates electronic communication between the phosphine and the 4-pyridyl, which is not observed for the 3-pyridyl; electron withdrawing substituents give rise to faster catalysis, and electron donating substituents decrease the catalytic rate.

Trispyridylphosphite 11 is a slightly larger ligand template than 1, and as such it can accommodate larger porphyrins. In addition, the electronic properties of the phosphorus ligand are different: 1 is more electron donating, while 11 is a more π-accepting ligand (Figure 7). Application of Zn(II)TPP with ligand template 11 in 1-octene hydroformylation leads to slow catalysis but with a clear change in selectivity; the branched aldehyde is preferably formed, while in absence of Zn(II)TPP, the linear aldehyde is formed (l/b = 6.9). Application of bulkier porphyrin 4a increases the rate of the reaction, but the product selectivity is lost. When porphyrin 4b is used to form the encapsulated hydroformylation catalyst, the rate compared to Zn(II)TPP is doubled but the typical encapsulated selectivity is retained.

Encapsulated catalysts based on the ligand template approach were successfully applied in the asymmetric hydroformylation of internal alkenes (Figure 8). Analysis of the active species revealed that only one ligand template is attached to rhodium during the catalysis. In absence of Zn(II)TPP, the phosphorus is coordinated in the equatorial plane, and in the presence of Zn(II)TPP, an unusual coordination complex is formed with both the phosphorus and the hydride at the axial positions. The monocordinated complexes result in relatively high rates, and the change in coordination geometry upon capsule formation results in increased selectivity as the ee of the product is a factor 2 higher. For comparison, catalysis with the benchmark (R,S)-BINAPPHOS ligand yielded a racemic product for trans-2-octene, showing the potential of these encapsulated monodentate ligand structures. Several ligand variations of ligand template 12 were developed, and the effect of the coordination of different capsule building blocks showed that the electronic properties of different Zn(II)porphyrins and Zn(II)salphens...
influence the electron density at the rhodium atom, influencing the activity of the catalyst, whereas the selectivity was about the same for all encapsulated catalysts.\(^2^9\) In an attempt to further improve the catalyst selectivity, ligand 14 was encapsulated in more rigid supramolecular cage 13, consisting of two porphyrin moieties held together by pillar like structures (Figure 9).\(^3^0\) In situ spectroscopy demonstrated that also in this complex the coordination geometry is such that the hydride and the phosphorus are coordinated at the axial position, but embedding the same catalyst in this cage did not give any selectivity in the asymmetric hydroformylation of 2-octene. In contrast, when catalyst 15 was applied in the

**Figure 9.** Formation of supramolecular porphyrin cage 13, encapsulation of ligand template 14-RhH(CO)\(_3\) in cage 13 forms catalyst 15; the increase in confined space leads to an increase in ee from 9% for the nonencapsulated catalyst (14-RhH(CO)\(_3\)) to 74% for the encapsulated catalyst (15).

**Figure 10.** Application of bis-zinc(II)salphen complex 16 to generate bidentate ligand template capsule 17 leads to up to 86% ee in the enantioselective hydroformylation of internal alkenes.
hydroformylation of styrene derivatives, there was a very clear effect of catalyst encapsulation on the selectivity as the enantiomeric excess increased from 9% to 74%, and also higher turnover numbers were obtained compared to 14·RhH(CO)3 and 14·RhH(CO)3·(Zn(II)TPP)3.30

To expand the ligand template approach to bidentate ligands, ligand template 14 was combined with bis-zinc(II)salphen 16 leading to the construction of a box-like capsule in which bidentate coordination is facilitated (Figure 10).31 The self-assembled ligand templated capsule 17 was applied in the hydroformylation of both cis- and trans-2-octene, leading to high enantioselectivity in both cases and with good regioselectivity for the formation of the 3-aldehyde, in contrast to benchmark bidentate ligands applied in the same reaction.31 The substrate scope was extended to shorter and longer internal alkenes, for which ligand template capsule 17 gave similar results.

In a different strategy to arrive at encapsulated bidentate ligands, a bidentate analogue of 12, ligand template 18 (Figure 11), was designed to probe the influence of encapsulation on such bidentate complexes.32 Coordination studies under catalytic conditions reveal that the phosphine is coordinated trans to the hydride at the axial position, and the phosphoramidite is coordinated in the equatorial plane, regardless of the presence of Zn(II)TPP. When these systems were applied in the hydroformylation of styrene derivatives, the highest selectivities were obtained when Ru(II)(CO)TPP was used for encapsulation, showing that the bidentate ligand template requires more strongly coordinating capsule building blocks to obtain the optimal effect. The effect of catalyst encapsulation on the improvement of the enantioselectivity was again substantial, producing the aldehyde in 82% ee compared to 18% in the absence of the porphyrin template.

Figure 11. Bidentate phosphine–phosphoramidite ligand template 18 requires encapsulation with strongly coordinating Ru(II)(CO)TPP for optimal chiral induction.

In a different strategy to arrive at encapsulated bidentate ligands, a bidentate analogue of 12, ligand template 18 (Figure 11), was designed to probe the influence of encapsulation on such bidentate complexes.32 Coordination studies under catalytic conditions reveal that the phosphine is coordinated trans to the hydride at the axial position, and the phosphoramidite is coordinated in the equatorial plane, regardless of the presence of Zn(II)TPP. When these systems were applied in the hydroformylation of styrene derivatives, the highest selectivities were obtained when Ru(II)(CO)TPP was used for encapsulation, showing that the bidentate ligand template requires more strongly coordinating capsule building blocks to obtain the optimal effect. The effect of catalyst encapsulation on the improvement of the enantioselectivity was again substantial, producing the aldehyde in 82% ee compared to 18% in the absence of the porphyrin template.

In a different strategy to arrive at encapsulated bidentate ligands, a bidentate analogue of 12, ligand template 18 (Figure 11), was designed to probe the influence of encapsulation on such bidentate complexes.32 Coordination studies under catalytic conditions reveal that the phosphine is coordinated trans to the hydride at the axial position, and the phosphoramidite is coordinated in the equatorial plane, regardless of the presence of Zn(II)TPP. When these systems were applied in the hydroformylation of styrene derivatives, the highest selectivities were obtained when Ru(II)(CO)TPP was used for encapsulation, showing that the bidentate ligand template requires more strongly coordinating capsule building blocks to obtain the optimal effect. The effect of catalyst encapsulation on the improvement of the enantioselectivity was again substantial, producing the aldehyde in 82% ee compared to 18% in the absence of the porphyrin template.

LIGAND TEMPLATE ENCAPSULATED CATALYSTS AS A GENERAL APPROACH IN HOMOGENEOUS CATALYSIS

The previous section highlighted the strength of the ligand template approach to catalyst encapsulation to solve challenges in hydroformylation catalysis, and in this section, we will demonstrate that this approach can be extended to a variety of other metal catalyzed reactions. Ligand 1 was found to be applicable in the palladium catalyzed Heck reaction, where the encapsulation of the ligand template with Zn(II)TPP coordinated led to faster initiation of the catalyst.33 Encapsulation facilitates the dissociation of phosphine ligands from the palladium(0) species, required to enter the catalytic cycle.

Ligand template 18 was applied in the asymmetric hydrogenation, leading to very high conversion and enantioselectivity when encapsulated by strongly binding building blocks (Figure 12).33 Most significantly, when the rhodium complex of ligand template 18 was applied in the asymmetric hydrogenation of dimethyl itaconate, the product was generated in racemic form, whereas the encapsulated analogue, with either strongly binding Zn(II)salphens or Ru(II)(CO)porphyrins, resulted in formation of the enantiopure product.

BIAN based catalyst 19 was applied in the copolymerization of 4-tert-butylnylstrene and carbon monoxide (Figure 13).34 Encapsulation of this catalyst was achieved using Zn(II)salphen building blocks, and encapsulation increases the activity of the catalyst and produces a syndiotactic copolymer, instead of the atactic copolymer formed in absence of capsule building blocks.

Figure 12. Asymmetric hydrogenation of dimethyl itaconate proceeds with high enantioselectivity when strongly binding porphyrin building blocks are used to form the capsule, whereas in absence of these building blocks the products are generated in racemic form.

Figure 13. BIAN based catalyst 19 was applied in the copolymerization of 4-tert-butylnylstrene and carbon monoxide (Figure 13). Encapsulation of this catalyst was achieved using Zn(II)salphen building blocks, and encapsulation increases the activity of the catalyst and produces a syndiotactic copolymer, instead of the atactic copolymer formed in absence of capsule building blocks.
Ligand template 12 was successfully applied in gold catalyzed ring-closing reactions (Figure 14). When the phenyl substituted analog of 12 was applied, a mixture of the five- and the six-membered ring product were formed. The gold complex inside the cage formed by template ligand 12 and two zinc(II)salphens was demonstrated to be an active catalyst that resulted in the exclusive formation of the five-membered ring. Although a stereogenic carbon atom is formed in this reaction, the chiral induction by the complexes is poor, leading to low ee values in all cases.

1,3,5-Triaza-7-phosphaadamantane (PTA) has three nitrogen donor atoms, just like trispyridylphosphine 1, and as such its use as a ligand template was studied (Figure 15). Coordination studies revealed that two or three zinc(II)salphen building blocks can coordinate to the nitrogen atoms of the ligand template, depending on the size of the zinc(II)salphen building blocks used, as confirmed by X-ray crystallography. The encapsulated PTA ligand was applied in the rhodium catalyzed hydrosilylation of 1-hexene, and the results indicated that the encapsulated PTA ligand behaves similar to bulky phosphine ligands when encapsulated. The steric bulk could be tuned by the introduction of different zinc(II)salphen capsule building blocks.

**LIGAND TEMPLATE ASSEMBLY TO GENERATE MULTINUCLEAR COMPLEXES IN NANOSPHERES**

In the first part of this Account, the (divergent) ligand template encapsulation strategy presented aimed for modulation of the second coordination sphere around a single catalyst site, and with that it provides a new tool to control crucial properties such as activity and product selectivity. In the final part of this Account, we present our (convergent) ligand template strategies to form multinuclear complexes in well-defined nanospheres. Fujita introduced the self-assembly of dipyridyl-type building blocks into M₄L₂⁴ nanospheres upon coordination of the pyridine moieties to Pd or Pt (M) atoms (Figure 16). These structures allow both exo- and endo-functionalization, by simple modification of the building block, and they contain large apertures to allow easy diffusion of substrates and products. When the ligand is endo-functionalized with either a ligand or a...
metal complex, the template ligand approach leads to formation of M\(_{12}L_{24}\) nanospheres that contain up to 24 complexes. Interestingly, the local concentration of metal complexes in the nanosphere is around one molar (1 M), a concentration regime that is virtually unexplored, and the effect of these extremely high local catalyst concentrations can nicely be investigated.

The influence of the local concentration of phosphine gold chloride complexes on their reactivity was investigated using gold building block L(AuCl) that self-assembles into the nanosphere Pd\(_{12}(L(AuCl))_{24}\) in the presence of a palladium precursor. The local concentration could be further tuned by generating spheres based on mixtures of L(AuCl) and a building block that contains a methoxy group instead of the gold complex. By changing the ratio of these building blocks in the preparation of the nanospheres, the local concentration of gold in the spheres could be modulated from 0.05 to 1.07 M.38 The reactivity of the gold complex inside the sphere was investigated in gold-catalyzed cyclization of allenol, and surprisingly, at high local concentration no chloride abstraction was required in order to observe product formation. This effect was attributed to aurophilic interactions between the gold complexes inside the nanospheres observed by UV−vis spectroscopy, suggesting that dinuclear (or multinuclear) complexes are responsible for the activity. Importantly, the activity (higher TOF and TON) increases with the local concentration of gold (Figure 17).

**Figure 15.** Number of capsule building blocks encapsulating the PTA ligand template depends on the size of the Zn(II)salphen building block, as proved from the X-ray structures.

**Figure 16.** Formation of a M\(_{12}L_{24}\) nanosphere with endo-functionalization (3D model; Au atom in yellow and Cl atom in green are highlighted) and its application in the cyclization of allenol substrate.

**Figure 17.** Correlation between the local concentration of gold chloride inside the nanosphere and the reactivity in the cyclization of allenol.
To further increase the reactivity and extend the substrate scope, formation of cationic gold complex inside the nanosphere was probed using silver hexafluorophosphate, but this resulted in nanosphere precipitation and decomposition.\textsuperscript{39} In order to circumvent this problem, more stable platinum nanospheres were synthesized, and these are stable upon chloride abstraction. These nanosphere catalysts have been applied in various gold-catalyzed cyclizations, and again the higher local concentration of gold complexes in the nanosphere resulted in higher reactivity and in different selectivity for several reactions (Figure 18).

While these examples demonstrate that high local concentration of metal complex can lead to better catalyst performance, substrate preorganization is not taken into account. Additionally, for each catalyst a separate building block has to be prepared. To address these issues, a more general strategy to template the formation of multinuclear complexes in nanospheres has been developed using a guanidinium functionalized nanosphere (Figure 19).\textsuperscript{40} Guanidine functional groups can strongly bind sulfonate functionalized catalysts through cooperative hydrogen-bonding and at the same time preorganize carboxylate functionalized substrate molecules, which bind...
more weakly but significantly, leading to subtle control of the local concentration of both the catalyst and the substrate inside the nanosphere. The concept was demonstrated by evaluation of the gold-catalyzed cyclization of 4-pentynoic acid. Performing this reaction inside the guanidinium nanoscale, coined the nanoconcentrator, using 4 equivalents of sulfonated gold phosphine catalyst resulted in increased TOF and TON up to 50-fold compared to the reaction in the absence of sphere, as a result of substrate preorganization nearby the gold catalyst that is bound to guanidinium moieties. Substrate preorganization can also be used for substrate selective catalysis. In a competition experiment between 4-pentynoic acid and allenol, only the 4-pentynoic acid that is bound to the guanidine group is converted into product when the reaction is performed in the presence of the nanoconcentrator, in contrast to the control experiment in the absence of the nanoconcentrator in which case both products are formed in equal amounts.

Next, the power of catalyst preconcentration using the guanidinium functionalized $\text{M}_{12}\text{L}_{24}$ nanosphere was demonstrated in electrochemical water oxidation proceeding via a ruthenium catalyst (Figure 20).41 Water oxidation catalysis can proceed via an oxyl radical mechanism (12M), as well as a water nucleophilic attack (WNA) mechanism, the former generally leading to higher rates at milder overpotentials. The phenanthroline based complex is reported to proceed via WNA, whereas the bipyridine analogue can proceed via 12M, but only when the concentration of catalyst is sufficient to allow the coupling of two metal–oxo moieties (Figure 20). Electrochemical experiments performed at the usual low concentration ($10^{-5}$ M) in the presence of various amounts of guanidinium functionalized $\text{M}_{12}\text{L}_{24}$ nanosphere show that the reaction rate can be increased by a factor 140 by only increasing the local concentration of sulfonated ruthenium catalyst. In contrast, the effect of local concentration on the catalyst that proceeds via WNA (i.e., the mononuclear pathway) is negligible. Bulk electrolysis demonstrated the stability of the cage as well as the catalyst, which was confirmed by NMR analysis of the sample after the experiment.

**CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK**

The field of transition metal catalysis has been dominated by ligand design strategies in the past five decades, and only more recently the value of the second coordination sphere is appreciated. Whereas for simple transformations it is relatively easy to perform reactions in molecular cages, controlling the reaction process by the second coordination sphere, this is relatively difficult for transition metal catalysts as the complex changes substantially in the various steps of the catalytic cycle.

Over the past two decades, we have demonstrated that the ligand template assembly strategy for encapsulation of transition metal catalysts is a highly effective and general strategy. Various catalytic reactions can now be controlled via the second coordination sphere as we have a general route for encapsulation of transition metal catalysts. Several different ligand templates have been developed and numerous building blocks that vary in steric properties, electronics, and binding strength have been studied, also allowing the application of self-assembled encapsulated catalysts under industrially relevant conditions. Encapsulated rhodium catalysts gave branched selective hydroformylation of 1-octene, and also the first catalysts for regioselective hydroformylation of internal unfunctionalized alkenes with especially high selectivity for hydroformylation of the inner carbon atom were achieved for the first time. In addition, unprecedented high enantioselectivity in the asymmetric hydroformylation of internal unfunctionalized alkenes has been achieved. The ligand template strategy was also applied in the Heck reaction, polymerization, hydrogenation, hydrosilylation, and gold catalysis. Divergent ligand templates have been used to generate nanospheres with multiple complexes in confined space. For example, based on the endo-functionalized nanospheres reported by Fujita, a ligand template strategy for encapsulation of 24 gold complexes was developed leading to high local concentrations of gold. This preorganization was extended to a supramolecular approach, in which catalysts were bound through binding of sulfonated ligands to the guanidinium moieties inside the nanosphere. Beneficial effects of the high local concentration were found in gold-catalyzed cyclization and electrochemical water oxidation. As many reactions benefit from high concentrations, this may be a general powerful approach for optimization of transition metal catalysts.
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