Understanding Oxygen Activation on Metal- and Nitrogen-Codoped Carbon Catalysts
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ABSTRACT: Multidoped carbons are often used for oxygen activation catalysis, both in heterogeneous catalysis and electrocatalysis. Identifying their catalytic sites is crucial for developing better catalysts. We now report an in-depth study into O₂ activation on an important class of materials: carbons codoped by nitrogen and 10 different metals (V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, Mo, and Pb). To identify catalytic sites, we studied their composition and structure (both bulk and surface) by a wide range of techniques, including temperature-programmed reduction, X-ray diffraction, electron microscopy, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy, and N₂ sorption porosimetry. The O₂ activation step was studied by electrochemical oxygen reduction. To assign active sites, the electrocatalytic activity, selectivity, and stability were correlated to material composition and to known reactivity pathways. Two types of sites for O₂ activation were identified and assigned for each multidoped material: (1) particles of partially reduced metal oxides and (2) metal—nitrogen clusters embedded into the carbon matrix. The detailed assignment correlates to activity in alcohol oxidation through similar volcano plots and leads to practical suggestions for catalyst development.
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INTRODUCTION

Carbons codoped with both metallic and nonmetallic elements are promising heterogeneous catalysts for aerobic oxidation reactions.¹⁻⁶ Nonmetallic elements such as N, P, or B are typically embedded in the graphite surface, while the metal dopants may appear as inorganic particles and/or coordinated to heteroatoms. Such carbons are also used in batteries,⁷,⁸ in supercapacitors⁹,¹⁰ and as electrocatalysts for the oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) in fuel cells.

Interestingly, both in heterogeneous catalysis and electrocatalysis applications, multidoped carbons are often used to activate molecular oxygen.¹¹⁻¹⁶ O₂ activation is an important yet challenging reaction: the O=O bond energy is high (498 kJ/mol), and the molecule is kinetically stabilized by its triplet electronic configuration. Activating molecular oxygen depends on understanding what are the catalytic sites and how they operate.

To design multidoped carbons rationally, we must first establish reliable synthesis/structure links. The problem is that many carbons are made by complex pyrolysis reactions of diverse organic matter. Predicting and directing the evolution of their composition (sp²/sp³ ratio and doping) and structure (porosity, crystallinity, and domain sizes) is difficult.¹⁷ Metal ions in the precursors complicate things even further. Iron and nickel, for example, catalyze the growth of carbon nano-
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catalytic oxidations, we study the electrocatalytic oxygen reduction reaction (ORR). The active sites for O2 activation in catalytic and electrocatalytic reactions are similar, sharing peroxide and hydroxide surface-localized intermediates.30–33 Thus, the electrocatalytic activity, selectivity, and stability of the M–N–C materials help us to identify the oxygen activation sites.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Synthesis of Multidoped Carbons.34,35 Magnesium nitrolitriacetate (MgNH(CH2COO)3(H2O)4) was prepared from a solution of basic magnesium carbonate and nitrolitriacetic acid at 85 °C, precipitated by ethanol, and dried. The powder was pyrolyzed in quartz boats under argon flow (900 °C/1 h, ramp rate 10 °C/min), yielding a nitrogen-doped carbon loaded with MgO nanoparticles. The MgO was washed out by overnight stirring in 1 M HCl, followed by washing with 5 L of deionized (DI) water, overnight drying at 70 °C, and a final annealing in argon (1000 °C/1 h, ramp rate 5 °C/min).

The resulting N-doped, hierarchically porous carbon (100 mg) was impregnated with metal salts (0.2 mL, 0.66 M).4 The salts included NH4VO3 (with 2 equiv of oxalic acid for dissolution), Cr(NO3)3·9H2O, Mn(NO3)2·4H2O, Fe(NO3)3·9H2O, Co(NO3)2·6H2O, Ni(NO3)2·6H2O, Cu(NO3)2·3H2O, Zn(NO3)2·6H2O, (NH4)2MoO4·3H2O, and Pb(NO3)2. The powder was then dried at 75 °C overnight and annealed in argon (300 °C/1 h), to yield the final M–N–C material. Chemicals were obtained from commercial sources (>99% pure) and used as received.

Material Characterization. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was done on a Zeiss EVO50 microscope operated at 15 kV. Temperature-programmed reduction was performed by placing 25 mg of sample sandwiched between two quartz wool plugs in a quartz tube reactor (4 mm inner diameter), purging with N2, and applying a flow of 5% H2/N2. The system was allowed to equilibrate and then heated at 5 °C/min to 800 °C (no hold time). X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were obtained with a MiniFlex II diffractometer using Ni-filtered Cu Kα radiation, at 30 kV and 15 mA. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements were carried out using a PHI VersaProbe II scanning XPS microprobe (Physical Instruments AG, Germany), using a monochromatic Al Kα X-ray source of 24.8 W power with a beam size of 100 μm. The spherical capacitor analyzer was set at a 45° takeoff angle with respect to the sample surface. The pass energy was 46.95 eV, yielding a full width at half-maximum of 0.91 eV for the Ag 3d5/2 peak. Peaks were calibrated using the C 1s position.

Curve fitting was done using XPSPeak 4.1. N2 adsorption–desorption isotherms were measured on a Thermo Scientific Surfer instrument at 77 K, using vacuum-dried samples (200 °C/3 h). Isotherms were analyzed by the ThermoFischer Advanced Data Processing 6.0 software, using the two-parameter Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET2) model for specific surface area.

Electrochemistry. Inks of the catalytic powders were prepared according to the following proportions: 0.80 mL of DI water, 0.20 mL of ethanol, 10 μL of Nafton (5 wt % in water/isopropanol), and 1 mg of M–N–C powder. Inks were sonicated, stirred, and dropcast on a glassy carbon working electrode (ϕ = 5 mm, A = 0.196 cm2) to give a total catalyst loading of 30 μg, or 153 μg/cm2. Electrochemical experiments were performed in a three-electrode homemade glass cell, filled with KOH (0.1 M, 150 mL), and stabilized at 25.0 ± 0.1 °C. A Gamry Reference 600 potentiostat was employed, together with a Gamry RDE710 rotating electrode setup. A saturated calomel electrode (SCE) separated from the solution by a 10 cm bridge was used as a reference electrode, and a graphite rod was used as a counter electrode. Potentials were reported vs reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) by adding 1.011 for pH 13. Nitrogen (99.999%) or oxygen (99.999%) were bubbled for 30 min to saturate the solution and were flowed above the solution during the experiments. Cyclic voltammetry experiments were performed in O2-saturated 0.1 M KOH solution and corrected for background currents in a N2-saturated solution (Figure 7a). The number of electrons transferred per O2 molecule (n) was calculated by Koutecky–Levich analysis from linear sweep voltammograms performed on a rotating disk at rotation speeds of 400–2400 rpm. To compare stability, chronoamperometry experiments recorded the decay of the ORR activity under continuous operation (E = 0.41 V vs RHE), while bubbling oxygen and stirring.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We prepared the carbon support following published procedures, based on pyrolysis of a Mg-based metal–organic framework.34–36 These carbons have a high surface area (1570 m2/g) and high nitrogen content (5.9 at % by XPS). They feature interconnected micro-, meso-, and macropores, assisting mass transport. A percolating network of graphitic shells boosts the electrical conductivity of the matrix and charge transfer between redox sites. The carbons were loaded with 1.3 mmol/g of metal solutions by incipient wetness vacuum impregnation. A reductive heat treatment (300 °C/1 h) under argon yielded the final M–N–C materials, containing 0.8–2.3 at % of metals (Table 1). Unlike copyrolysis, this strategy fixes the carbon matrix, allowing for a fair comparison between the metals.

Scanning Electron Microscopy. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM, Figure 2) reveals highly similar MOx particles for all metals, as expected from incipient wetness impregnation.37 The particles are spherical or near-spherical, between 100–400 nm in diameter. Further analysis of the subsurface by backscattered electrons (see Figure S1 in the Supporting Information) reveals many more particles under the carbon surface, suggesting a firm embedding in the carbon support. Thus, combining incipient wetness impregnation with mild reduction yields structurally similar materials, allowing us to focus on the finer distinctions between the metals.

Temperature-Programmed Reduction. Temperature-programmed reduction (TPR) in a flow of 5% H2 in N2 was...
Moreover, extrinsic factors such as metal loading, particle size, or heating rates may also shift the reduction temperatures. In our case, the homogeneity of the MOx particles suppresses the effects of particle size and loading, facilitating comparison by TPR.

All TPR traces show a support gasification reaction above 600 °C (Figure 3, denoted by “X”). This is the metal-catalyzed carbon methanation reaction (C + H2 → CH4).39,40 The Cr–N–C trace is typical for mixed Cr(III)–Cr(VI) oxides.41–43 The main peak at 450 °C (2) corresponds to the reduction of Cr(VI) to Cr(III). Cr(VI) chromates with different degrees of oligomerization are found on large Cr2O3 particles.42,43 The TPR shoulder at 380 °C (1) is attributed to support interactions and/or crystallization of such surface Cr(VI) species.44 Peak 3 corresponds to further reduction of the Cr2O3 particles to CrO.42 The Mn–N–C trace exhibits multiple peaks, typically assigned to oxides such as MnO2, Mn3O4, and possibly Mn2O3 and MnO2. The temperature peaks (250–400 °C, peaks 1 and 2) may reflect the reduction of Mn(IV),44,45 Mn(IV/III),44 and possibly Mn(III).46 Peak 3 corresponds to reduction of either Mn(III)46 or carbon-stabilized MnOx species.47 Higher-temperature peaks (4 and 5) may be assigned to the reduction of Mn(II/III),44,47 bulk Mn(IV),48 or surface-interacting MnOx species.49 Finally, MnO2 catalyzes methanation, lowering its temperature by ~100 °C relative to free-standing activated carbon.47 The Fe–N–C trace shows Fe2O3 reduced to Fe3O4 (350 °C, 1), then to FeO (450 °C, 2), and finally to Fe (610 °C, 3).48–51 The low-temperature shoulder in peak 1 reveals some Fe3O4 in the starting sample.48 Peak 4 may be assigned to reduction of micropore-confined Fe2O3 particles50 or to support gasification.51 Finally, CoO is reduced to Cu2O (360 °C, 1) and then to metallic cobalt (460 °C, 2).53,54 The high intensity of the second peak shows that both are present in the sample. Reductions above 400 °C reflect carbon methanation combined with particle–support interactions.39,53 For Ni–N–C, we see two types of NiO: large particles with little support interaction (400 °C, 1)55–57 and smaller, harder-to-reduce particles (520 °C, 2). Peak 2 is assigned to pore-constrained55,56 or support-stabilized55,58,59 NiO. A shoulder below 350 °C suggests a higher oxidation state, either Ni2O3 or NiOOH.55 In the Cu–N–C trace, CuO is reduced to Cu2O (280 °C, 1) and then to metallic copper (380 °C, 2).40,60 The splitting in peak 1 indicates weakly and strongly interacting CuO particles. The Zn–N–C trace identifies only well-dispersed ZnO (reduction at 400 °C).61,62 For Mo–N–C, the first two peaks correspond to the reduction of MoO2 to MoO2.38,58,63 The difference is attributed to octahedral/tetrahedral Mo(VI) species and/or to support interactions of the MoOx.63 Peak 3 (650 °C) is assigned to Mo(IV) → Mo(II) reduction, with the latter either an oxide or a carbide.54 Finally, the H2-TPR traces of Pb–N–C and V–N–C are harder to assign. In both, the typical oxides (PbO and V2O5, respectively) are reduced above 600 °C when present as bulk powder,55–67 and support interactions lower reduction temperatures to 400 °C67–69. Peak 1 in the VOx trace probably belongs to V2O5 with strong interactions to the support.70–72 Peak 3 in the PbO trace is probably bulk PbO, with the early peaks (1 and 2) relating to support interactions or to unreduced Pb(NO3)2.41

Overall, the H2-TPR describes several oxides (mostly partially reduced), with significant particle–support interactions. The reducing species may be the carbon itself or volatile particles or to unreduced Pb(NO3)2.41

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M</th>
<th>metal content (at %)</th>
<th>MOx particle diameters (nm)</th>
<th>MOx composition, crystalline phases underlined</th>
<th>M–N binding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>200–400</td>
<td>V2O5, VO2</td>
<td>some</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>100–300</td>
<td>Cr2O3, Cr2O5</td>
<td>significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>200–500</td>
<td>MnO2, MnO2, Mn2O4, Mn3O4</td>
<td>some</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>200–300</td>
<td>Fe2O3, Fe3O4</td>
<td>significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>200–300</td>
<td>CoO, Co3O4</td>
<td>significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>200–300</td>
<td>NiO, NiOOH</td>
<td>significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>200–300</td>
<td>Cu2O, CuO</td>
<td>significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>100–300</td>
<td>ZnO</td>
<td>some</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>200–300</td>
<td>MoO3</td>
<td>significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>100–400</td>
<td>PbO</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

“From X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) integration. From scanning electron microscopy (SEM). From temperature-programmed reduction (TPR), X-ray diffraction (XRD), and XPS. Crystalline phases are underlined; the rest are amorphous. From XPS in the N 1s region.

Table 1. Composition of Metal- (M) and Nitrogen-Codoped Carbons

Figure 2. Scanning electron micrographs of the M–N–C samples. Each element is represented by low- and high-magnification micrographs.

Figure 3. X-ray diffraction (XRD) and XPS.
species released from it during the annealing step. Indeed, the annealing temperature (300 °C) is close to the temperature at which carbon methanation may begin, especially when catalyzed by metals. The “support-interacting” species could be particles, clusters, or even strongly bound metal atoms.

**X-ray Diffraction.** X-ray diffraction (XRD) showed that most MOX particles are amorphous (Figure S2). Only the Mn-, Cu-, and Zn–N–C samples displayed additional diffraction peaks (Figure 4), corresponding to Mn3O4, CuO, and ZnO (JCPDS cards 01-080-0382, 48-1548, and 89-1397, respectively). Importantly, the presence of crystalline phases does not rule out additional, amorphous phases in the catalysts—for example, the lower reduction states of Mn that were suggested by TPR.

**X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy.** X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was used to describe composition and bonding on the surface of both MOX particles and the N:C support (Figures 5 and S3; see Supporting Information for deconvolution method). The pristine N-doped carbon contains pyridinic (398.3 eV), pyrrolic (399.5 eV), graphitic (400.9 eV), and oxidized (402.9 eV) nitrogen atoms.73 Pyridinic nitrogens are suitable for metal coordination,74 and 300 °C is sufficient temperature for forming metal–nitrogen bonds.75 XPS in the N 1s region is more useful for detecting metal–nitrogen bonding than metal XPS, which often has low sensitivity due to symmetry breaking and charge redistribution related to the binding. Indeed, the spectra reveal that metal codoping shifts the N 1s binding energies. Introducing the metal leads to a peak in the intermediate region (398.5–400 eV) in most materials, suggesting the formation of new metal–nitrogen bonds.2,11,24,28,74−80 Other possible assignments for this new peak could be pyrrolic or pyrimidonic nitrogen. These moieties, however, are unlikely to form as a result of an impregnation with metal and mild heat treatment. The new M–N bonds vary in intensity between the cations and are most obvious for Cr-, Fe-, Co-, Ni-, and Cu-based carbons (Figure 5). The M–Nx clusters are dispersed on the carbon surface, in addition to the MOX particles. Alternative methods were considered to confirm metal–nitrogen binding, namely, Fe–Mössbauer and X-ray absorption spectroscopies. These methods, however, are unsuitable in the current composites, due to the small percentage of metals in M–Nx clusters (most of it is in the oxides) and the similarity in X-ray absorption between M–N and M–O bonds.

In the metal regions of the XPS (Figure 6), most spectra are too complex for reliable mathematical deconvolution, especially when the signal-to-noise ratio is low and varies between samples. Nevertheless, “shape fitting” (overlaying the spectra with literature spectra) helped identify oxidation states.
and binding modes on the surfaces of MO₅ particles. This method helps avoid overfitting of broad peaks and focuses on peak positions and relative magnitudes. The V 2p XPS is characteristic to V₂O₅ based on the high-energy binding energy of the 2p₃/₂ peak (517.2 eV). Shape fitting shows that there might also be traces of VO₂, indicating partial reduction of V(V) to V(IV). Shape and position comparison of the Mn 2p XPS reveals Mn(III) and Mn(IV) oxidation states. These probably correspond to the Mn₂O₃ and MnO₂ phases detected earlier by TPR, rather than to Mn−N centers (which are scarce, according to N 1s XPS). In the Fe XPS spectrum, a typical 2p₃/₂ peak of Fe(III) appears at 710.4 eV, with some contribution from Fe(II). These oxidation states may be related to Fe₂O₃ with some residual FeO and/or to Fe(III)−N₄ clusters embedded into and interacting with the carbon sheets. The Co 2p XPS signals indicate a mixture of Co(II) and Co(III) states. These ions could be located in
the Co₃O₄ particles detected by TPR, to partially reduced (CoO) or oxidized (Co₂O₃) oxides, or to Co−Nₓ centers. Similarly, the Ni 2p XPS shows signals from both Ni(II) and Ni(III), possibly as NiOOH surface layers on the NiO particles or as Ni−Nₓ sites. Shape fitting of the Cu 2p XPS also reveals two reduction states (Figure S4), Cu(II) and Cu(I)/Cu(0). The first (2p3/2 line at 932.4 eV) could correspond to CuO or to CuII−Nₓ, and the second (934.1 eV) corresponds to Cu₂O or to CuI−Nₓ. Even if metallic copper exists (it is indistinguishable from Cu(I) by XPS), it is not expected to contribute to any catalytic activity, as it would not survive long in an oxygenated environment. The Zn 2p spectrum represents only Zn(II) species (2p line at 1022.1 eV). This ion probably corresponds to ZnO rather than to a Zn−Nₓ cluster, which is rare according to the N 1s XPS (Figure S3). The Mo 3d spectrum reveals pure Mo(VI), without any lower oxidation states (e.g., no carbides). Finally, the Pb 4f spectrum shows peaks typical to PbO, albeit shifted 0.5 eV to higher energies. This shift (see Figure S4) is assigned to unreacted Pb(NO₃)₂, which dissolves during catalysis.

Overall, XPS in the metal region suggests that the surface chemistry of the MOₓ particles generally matches their bulk composition. Most surfaces are partially reduced, with the exception of MoO₃, V₂O₅, and ZnO where the metals are fully oxidized.

**ORR Electro catalysis.** ORR electrocatalysis was used to understand how the M−N−C materials activate oxygen. We studied three aspects: activity (determined by cyclic voltammetry), selectivity for the 2e⁻ vs the 4e⁻ reduction (determined by Koutecký–Levich analysis), and stability (determined by chronoamperometry). All the M−N−C samples were active in ORR electrocatalysis, with a narrow range of overpotentials (Figure 7 and Table 2). In all cases, the pristine N-doped carbon was slightly better, with the E₁/₂ within 50 mV. The MOₓ particles may be blocking micropores (hindering access to surface sites) and slowing down mass transfer. Both effects may lead to lower currents and hence to lower observed onset potentials.

To quantify the blocking, we focused on Pb−N−C. Neither PbO nor Pb−Nₓ clusters have any ORR activity, here or in the literature. Thus, doping by Pb has a purely blocking effect on
O$_2$ activating sites. The specific surface area of Pb–N–C, measured by N$_2$ sorption and analyzed by BET, decreases from 1570 m$^2$/g in the pristine carbon to 520 m$^2$/g in Pb–N–C. This drop corresponds to surface blocking by PbO particles. It should be similar in magnitude for all similarly sized MO$_x$ particles (cf. Figure 2), with the catalytic activity of each metal offsetting this blocking.

Chronoamperometry reveals 19–45% drops in activity after 8 h of oxygen reduction (Figure 7b and Table 2). The pristine N-doped carbon decays to a stable current, due to its gradual oxygenation. All M–N–C catalysts also decay over time, sometimes after an initial rise (e.g., Ni, Cr, and Cu). This decay can be related to leaching out of M–N$_x$ centers and/or the dissolution of MO$_x$ particles. In describing MO$_x$ dissolution, solubility constants fall short, because the particles’ composition is mostly mixed. Instead, we use Pourbaix diagrams to identify thermodynamically stable phases for a given element at a range of pH values and applied potentials. Here, the Pourbaix diagrams describe narrow belts at the base of the MO$_x$ particles, because these are the regions both exposed to solution and electrically wired to the external circuit. These regions, responsible for the ORR activity, experience an applied potential of −0.36 V vs normal hydrogen electrode (NHE), and an effective pH of 13 to ~14–15 (because alkaline ORR generates hydroxides locally).

The more insulated regions at the top of the particles are harder to describe by this approach, because their potential is ill-defined. Pourbaix diagrams (Figure S5) predict that all metals dissolve under these conditions, yielding oxo or hydroxy(oxo) anions, namely, H$_x$V$_y$O$_{2x+y}$, CrO$_2$, HMnO$_{2x+y}$, HFeO$_2$, HCO$_2$, HNiO$_2$, HCu$_x$O$_{2x+y}$, HZnO$_2$, and MoO$_{2x+y}$, and HPbO$_2$°. This dissolution cannot be correlated to the ORR activity, because it may simultaneously (1) clear blocked sites, (2) destroy catalytic surface layers, or, conversely, (3) expose better catalytic layers.

The most active codopants in this study are based on Fe and Co. Both the oxide particles (Fe$_2$O$_3$, Fe$_3$O$_4$, CoO, and Co$_3$O$_4$) and the surface-embedded Fe–N$_x$ and Co–N$_x$ clusters can contribute to ORR electrocatalysis. However, the ORR peak is sharper for Co–N–C than for Fe–N–C, suggesting a narrower distribution of active sites. The Co–N–C also decays faster in activity than Fe–N–C, possibly reflecting their difference in selectivity, as observed both here and elsewhere. M–N–C catalysts can reduce O$_2$ by either 2e$^-$ (yielding HO$_2^-$) or 4e$^-$ (to OH$^-$). The number of electrons transferred per O$_2$ molecule ($n$) is 2.7 for Co–N–C and 3.2 for Fe–N–C. Thus, the products of ORR contain a higher HO$_2^-$/OH$^-$ ratio for Co–N–C than for Fe–N–C. Peroxides can corrode metal-doped carbons, destroying their ORR activity. Both Mn–N$_x$ clusters and MnO$_x$ particles are known ORR electrocatalysts, yet XPS shows little Mn–N binding, suggesting that MnO$_x$ phases (Mn$_3$O$_4$, MnO$_2$, Mn$_2$O$_3$, or MnO$_3$) contribute more to O$_2$ activation in the Mn–N–C catalyst. The Cu–N–C catalyst is highly active, closely following the first metals. In nature, the tricopper center in the lacasse enzyme has excellent ORR performance. Many synthetic complexes and particles of copper show ORR activity. Copper is exceptionally active toward alcohol oxidation (Figure 8), suggesting that the reaction is not limited by the O$_2$ activation rates. The copper in the Cu–N–C sample starts as a mixture of CuO, Cu$_2$O, and/or Cu(0). According to the Pourbaix diagram, CuO first dissolves to HCuO$_2^-$ and is then reduced to Cu$_2$O (reduction to Cu(0) is unlikely in an oxygenated solution). Interestingly, the stepwise changes in the chronoamperogram may reflect these reductions (Figure 7b). If we think of the first 2 h of ORR as a “pretreatment” step, then the Cu(I)–N–C catalyst has the highest stability by far. The catalytic site could be either Cu$_2$O or Cu(0)–N$_x$, because both are active toward the ORR.

The high ORR activity of the Ni–N–C and V–N–C catalysts is surprising. Neither NiO, NiOOH, or Ni–N$_x$ macrocycles are good ORR electrocatalysts. NiO$_x$ particles typically reduce O$_2$ only by 2e$^-$, whereas we see $n$ = 3.16 e$^-$/O$_2$. Thus, the Ni–N$_x$ sites in the Ni–N–C catalyst contribute more to the ORR than the NiO and/or NiOOH particles. Similarly, V$_2$O$_5$ particles are unlikely to be good ORR catalysts, suggesting that it is the V(IV) surface layer, detected by XPS and TPR, that drives the catalysis. Little is known about V–N$_x$ centers for alkaline ORR catalysis; such activity was only observed for homogeneous vanadium complexes in acidic media. 

Cr–N–C has mediocre ORR activity, like other Cr$_2$O$_3$/carbon composites and Cr–N$_x$ macrocycles. Typically, Cr$_2$O$_3$ particles prefer the 4e$^-$ reduction, while Cr–N$_x$ clusters are selective toward the 2e$^-$ reduction. Our Cr–N–C catalyst shows $n$ = 3.1, suggesting that the Cr$_2$O$_3$ particles are more significant for ORR electrocatalysis. Moreover, the rise in ORR activity after ~5 h (Figure 7b) suggests that initial dissolution of the Cr$_2$O$_3$ surface layer (detected by TPR) improves activity. The ORR activity of the Mo–N–C catalyst approaches that of Cr–N–C. Because MoO$_3$ is inactive in ORR, the activity is assigned to the prominent Mo–N$_x$ sites. In the Zn–N–C material, conversely, Zn–N binding is weak, suggesting that ZnO contributes to the meager ORR activity observed.

In future studies, we hope to refine the active site assignment by using selective leaching or selective reduction of MO$_x$ particles, or the selective blocking of M–N$_x$ sites, in order to decouple the interactions in their activities. This should lead to better control materials, which are otherwise hard to produce. 

**O$_2$ Activation—From ORR to Alcohol Oxidation.** The ORR activity follows a “volcano”-type trend with respect to the number of d-electrons (Figure 8). The volcano peak is on Fe–N–C. This behavior matches most systematic comparisons of
third-row transition metals as electrocatalysts for alkaline ORR. This alignment with the literature further supports the hypothesis that the drop in ORR activity (relative to the pristine N-doped carbon) that was observed for all metal dopants is indeed a systematic effect—despite the small differences in electrocatalytic activity ($E^{1/2}$ spans over 50 mV). Interestingly, vanadium outperforms the trend, calling for additional studies of this novel V–N–C catalyst.

Similarly, the catalytic activity of the M–N–C materials toward cinnamyl alcohol oxidation is volcano-shaped. The peak is centered on Co or Cu, with one outlier (Cu or Co, respectively). This similarity between the volcanoes suggests that $O_2$ activation is the reaction bottleneck. The outlier must have excellent ORR on its own to overcome this bottleneck; both Co and Cu fit this description, although Co is more active. Previous studies suggest that ORR activity is correlated to $O_2$ activation in catalytic transformations. The qualitative match between the two volcano plots lends some support to this hypothesis. However, the center of the peak is shifted by either 1 (Co) or 3 (Cu) d-electrons, when comparing the catalytic and electrocatalytic systems.

The active site assignments (Table 2) can direct catalyst design. In catalysts where $O_2$ activation is done primarily on the oxide particles (e.g., CrO$_3$, MnO, and MnO$_3$), the oxide surface area should be maximized. This calls for an optimization of the impregnation procedure, to minimize particle size and maximize particle loading up to when they start blocking mass transport. Moreover, the carbon is merely an idle support here, enabling particle dispersion and material handling. Carbon optimization efforts should then focus on pore design (for easing flow) and promoting graphitization (to boost conductivity and stability).

Conversely, if $O_2$ is activated at the surface-embedded M–N$_x$ clusters, then the M–N–C material is a tandem catalyst. During catalytic alcohol oxidation, sites such as V$^{IV}$, Fe$^0$, Co$^0$, Cu$^+$$^-$, and Mo$^{VI}$–N$_x$ activate $O_2$, while the MO$_2$ particles bind and oxidize the alcohol. We have recently analyzed this situation in depth, focusing on the interaction between the two types of active sites. This interaction can be chemical (exchange of intermediates) or electronic (charge transfer). Its efficiency determines the dimensions of the so-called “active doughnut”, the toroidal volume at the base of the particles, where the reaction occurs during the exchange step. In these tandem catalysts, the loading of oxide particles cannot be maximized or they will block M–N$_x$ sites. Rather, the particle dispersion needs to be carefully tuned, aiming at (1) maximum exposure of both types of sites and (2) interparticle distances optimized to the lifetimes and diffusivities of reaction intermediates. Furthermore, carbon graphitization (and hence conductivity) is more important in tandem catalysts, as it determines the rate of charge transfer between redox sites.

CONCLUSIONS

We studied the structure and catalytic activity of 10 carbon materials, coded by nitrogen and transition metals. These materials are promising catalysts for heterogeneous oxidations with molecular oxygen. To identify the active sites for $O_2$ activation, we separated the two doping processes by loading the metals onto an existing N-doped carbon (using incipient wetness impregnation). All resulting M–N–C catalysts were composed of a porous carbon doped by nitrogen, metal–nitrogen coordination clusters, and metal oxide particles. The extent of the metal–nitrogen binding was assessed by XPS and found to be strongest for Cr, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, and Mo-based carbons. The metal oxide particles were found to be partially reduced, to be mostly amorphous (excluding Mn$_3$O$_4$, CuO, and ZnO phases), and to range in size between 100–400 nm.

To understand how these materials activate oxygen, we studied their activity, selectivity, and stability in electrocatalytic alkaline ORR. The activity order was Fe > Co > Mn > Cu ≈ Ni ≈ V > Cr > Mo > Zn > Pb. The M–N–C catalysts displayed medium selectivity toward the 4e$^-$/O$_2$ reduction, as compared to the 2e$^-$/O$_2$ reduction. All catalysts degraded during ORR, partially explained by the solubility of oxides in base. By correlating the ORR activity to material data and literature knowledge, we assigned probable active sites for $O_2$ activation. In some, these are M–N$_x$ centers (Mo and Ni), in some they are MO$_2$ particles (Cr, Mn, and Zn), and there are probably a combination of both in the most active materials (V, Fe, Co, and Cu). The oxide particles block some of the surface sites. On the basis of these assignments, we proposed design guidelines for improving the performance of these M–N–C catalysts in the ORR.
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