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Hexagonal UNi$_4$B is magnetically frustrated, yet it orders antiferromagnetically at $T_N = 20$ K. However, one-third of the U spins remains paramagnetic below this temperature. In order to track these spins to lower temperature, we measured the specific heat $C$ of UNi$_4$B between 100 mK and 2 K, in applied fields up to 9 T. A sharp kink in $C$ at $T^* = 330$ mK is observed at zero field, which we interpret as an indication of a second phase transition involving paramagnetic U. We attribute the rise in $\gamma = C/T$ between 7 K and 330 mK and the absence of a large entropy liberated at $T^*$ to a combination of Kondo screening effects and frustration that strongly modifies the low $T$ transition.

PACS numbers: 75.20.Hr, 75.25.+z, 75.30.Gw

Frustration, even without disorder, has been a prime ingredient for the study of novel magnetic phenomena. Already a wide variety of multiple phase transitions and critical/spin liquid behaviors have been observed in insulating materials [1]. However, when the frustration is combined with strong interactions, as exist in metallic U-based heavy-fermion (HF) compounds, highly unusual forms of magnetic ordering and/or (quantum) ground states can be expected [2]. As compared to the magnetic insulators, frustrated magnetic metals are much less common in homogeneous ordered crystals, and, therefore, their properties are neither fully known nor understood.

One such intermetallic compound, UNi$_4$B, has recently become the subject of experimental and theoretical study because of its hexagonal structure and basal-plane antiferromagnetic interactions [3–5]. The main reason for this interest is a highly unconventional ordered state that the compound attains at its Neél temperature of $T_N = 20$ K. Only two-thirds of the U atoms order magnetically, with the rest remaining paramagnetic below $T_N$ [3]. The origin of such behavior must be sought in the frustrating nature of the triangular lattice and antiferromagnetic coupling.

We have followed the behavior of the one-third of the U spins that remain disordered below $T_N = 20$ K into the dilution-refrigerator temperature regime. Specific heat data display an anomaly at $T^* = 330$ mK, which we interpret as an antiferromagnetic ordering transition in the one-third U spin system. The size of the anomaly and its evolution with the applied magnetic field suggest the importance of the Kondo effect, with conduction electrons screening the paramagnetic U spins. It is the interplay between the geometric frustration and the Kondo effect that drives the ordering of the one-third U spins to a temperature 60 times lower than $T_N$. At such low temperatures the system is close to a $T = 0$ quantum critical point between the heavy-fermion and antiferromagnetically ordered (AF) ground states. One should consider quantum fluctuations out of the AF and HF ground states, together with geometric frustration, in order to gain a full understanding of UNi$_4$B. This emphasizes the richness of such itinerant frustrated magnetic systems.

The crystal structure of UNi$_4$B corresponds to the hexagonal CeCo$_4$B-type [6]. The U- and Ni(or B)-containing triangular planes are shown in Fig. 1. Within these planes both nearest (nn) and next nearest neighbor (nnn) interactions are antiferromagnetic, with $a$-$b$ an easy magnetization plane. Below $T_N$ this highly frustrated system partially orders, with magnetic unit cell containing nine U atoms (see Fig. 1). Six of them form an in-plane vortexlike pattern, with neighboring U spins rotated by 60°. The other three U atoms remain paramagnetic (with the field from the ordered U spins canceling to zero at these sites), and occupy two distinct positions: one is in the center of the vortex; two other are between the vortices and are surrounded by three pairs of antiparallel ordered U spins. The U spins are coupled ferromagnetically along the $c$ axis, creating in 3D an ordered array of ferromagnetic and paramagnetic chains. A number of transport and thermodynamic properties were measured
to investigate the ordered phase of UNi$_4$B, both in zero [3] and applied magnetic field [4,7]. Resistivity in the $a$-$b$ plane continues to rise below $T_N$, peaks at 5 K, and then drops rather sharply. The specific heat divided by temperature $C/T = \gamma$ initially drops below $T_N = 20$ K, but it starts rising again below 7 K. $\gamma$ continues to rise to the lowest previously measured temperature of $T = 0.35$ K to $\approx 0.5$ J/mol K$^2$. Application of magnetic field up to 16 T suppressed $\gamma$ by about a factor of 3. These results were taken as an indication that Kondo effect plays an important role in determining the low-temperature properties of UNi$_4$B [5,7].

Several theoretical attempts were made to reproduce the unique partially ordered state below $T_N$ and interpret the low-temperature specific heat. Initially [3], ferromagnetic fluctuations in the paramagnetic 1D chains were suggested to explain the low-temperature upturn in $\gamma$. The specific heat calculated for a 1D Heisenberg ferromagnetic chain [8] with $S = \frac{1}{2}$ and $J_c = 35$ K gave a rather good representation of the measured low-temperature increase. An alternative viewpoint was taken by Lacroix et al. [5], where a model was developed to treat both geometric frustration and a possible Kondo interaction between the paramagnetic U spins and conduction electrons. The starting point of this model postulates that the 1D U chains along the $c$ axis are close to a magnetic-nonmagnetic instability between the ferromagnetic alignment of U spins and a 1D lattice of Kondo-screened zero spin U atoms. Within this model several ground states are possible depending on the strength of the nn and nnn exchange interactions ($J_1$ and $J_2$, respectively) as well as the Kondo energy $\Delta$, which it is necessary to overcome to create a magnetic chain. For sufficiently small values of $J_1$ and $J_2$, the Kondo effect dominates and results in a nonmagnetic phase, with all U spins Kondo compensated. In the intermediate range of $J_1$ and $J_2$, and taking into account the slight lattice distortion found experimentally [9,10], the stable structure is the observed mixed phase described above.

Another approach treats U’s as classical Heisenberg spins in the $a$-$b$ plane [11]. Again, nn and nnn interactions are taken into account, as well as an interhexagon exchange coupling. For the appropriate choices of parameters, quantum fluctuations can destabilize the standard 120° (three sublattice) Neël order, and minimization of the total energy gives the experimentally observed ground state (Fig. 1). The calculated $\gamma$ has a broad maximum at 2 K, and smoothly decreases to zero as $T \to 0$, due to the dominant contribution of spin waves. Therefore, this model is unable to reproduce the experimentally observed specific heat.

To distinguish between these scenarios and compare the data with detailed predictions of the 1D ferromagnetic chain and the Kondo models, we performed specific heat measurement at lower temperatures, down to 100 mK. The single crystal of UNi$_4$B used in this experiment (with a mass of $\approx 173$ mg) was grown with the Czochralski tech-

FIG. 2. (a) Specific heat of UNi$_4$B in magnetic field with $H \parallel a$. (○) $H = 0$ T; (△) $H = 3$ T; (□) $H = 4$ T; (⋄) $H = 5$ T; (+) $H = 6$ T; (×) $H = 9$ T. (b) Specific heat divided by temperature ($\gamma$) for the data from (a).
The field of 9 T completely destroys the anomaly and exposes a low-temperature tail, which is dominated by the boron nuclear Schottky anomaly in the applied field, with possible contributions (on the order of 10%) from the hyperfine fields produced by the ordered U spins.

Figure 3 shows specific heat data taken with the field parallel to the $b$ axis (along the line connecting the U next nearest neighbors), where we plot only $\gamma$ vs temperature. The peak initially moves slightly to higher temperature for fields up to 2 T, before turning around, and is suppressed to $T = 0$ at 6 T. As in the case of $\vec{H} \parallel \vec{a}$, the field of 9 T completely suppresses the anomaly, and reveals a low-temperature nuclear Schottky tail.

To compare the data for different field orientations, we plotted $T^*$ as a function of the magnetic field along both the $a$ and $b$ axes in Fig. 4. For the field along the $a$ axis the dependence is not monotonic, with a break at 4 T. Low-temperature magnetic susceptibility measurements performed at 200 mK as a function of magnetic field in the same orientation ($\vec{H} \parallel \vec{a}$) show a change in slope (a kink) at a field of 4 T [15], corresponding to the peak observed in magnetoresistance measurements [16]. It is likely that the break in the behavior of $T^*$ vs field at 4 T for $\vec{H} \parallel \vec{a}$ is related to the same phenomenon. For both $\vec{H} \parallel \vec{a}$ and $\vec{H} \parallel \vec{b}$, $T^*$ initially rises with field, though this feature is much more pronounced for $\vec{H} \parallel \vec{a}$. For $\vec{H} \parallel \vec{b}$ orientation, $T^*$ is suppressed smoothly to zero by the field of 6 T. Spin reorientation transitions have been previously observed above 7 T via both magnetization and resistivity measurements [14], with the zero-field structure more resilient to the field applied in the $b$ than in the $a$ direction. One of the very surprising features of the ordered phase of UNi$_4$B below $T_N = 20$ K was the absence of subsequent ordering of the U spins in paramagnetic chains. These chains are coupled by the $J_2$ exchange interaction which appears to be dominant in the $a$-$b$ plane. This interaction would be expected to drive the ordering of the paramagnetic chains as the temperature is lowered farther below $T_N$. There are other examples of magnetic systems that display cascades of ordering transitions, both insulating and itinerant [1,17,18]. For example, the insulating Ising triangular system CsCoBr$_3$ undergoes the first phase transition at 28 K, where, just as in the case of UNi$_4$B, only two-thirds of the spins participate. The remaining one-third of the spins order antiferromagnetically at 12 K, a temperature $2^{1/2}$ times lower [19,20]. In the case of UNi$_4$B we can now say that a second ordering does indeed take place. However, the difference between the temperatures of the two observed phase transitions in UNi$_4$B is much greater, a factor of 60. Yet, we expect the ferromagnetic coupling $J_c$ along the chains and the antiferromagnetic exchange interaction $J_2$ in $a$-$b$ planes to drive both high- and low-temperature phase transitions. We believe that the origin of the large difference between the ratios of the phase transition temperatures in the two systems lies in the fact that CsCoBr$_3$ is an insulator and UNi$_4$B is a metal. Kondo screening of the paramagnetic U spins by the conduction electrons in UNi$_4$B plays a crucial role in suppressing the second antiferromagnetic ordering temperature $T^*$.

Within this scenario we can understand several features of the specific heat data, beginning with the size of the anomaly in specific heat associated with the low-temperature phase transition, which is manifested only by a kink in the specific heat. By integrating the available $C/T$ data (after subtraction of the low-temperature Schottky tail and using various extrapolations to $T \rightarrow 0$), we obtain the entropy released at $T^*$ of $0.1 \pm 0.01$ J/mol K. This value is 40 times less than $0.72R \ln 2 = 4.15$ J/mol K of magnetic entropy recovered at 25 K [16,21]. If we integrate $C/T$ up to 2 K, the entropy grows to 0.57 J/mol K, close to 30% of the $\frac{2}{3}R \ln 2$ of the

![FIG. 3. Specific heat divided by temperature of UNi$_4$B in magnetic field with $\vec{H} \parallel \vec{b}$.](image1)

![FIG. 4. Phase transition temperature $T^*$ vs field.](image2)
moments. Hence, due to frustration and the Kondo effect, the exchange interaction between these paramagnetic spins is ferromagnetic exchange interaction that couples U spins is ferromagnetic exchange $J_c = 35 \text{ K}$ along the $c$ axis. However, frustration and weak AF exchange ($J_2$) in the $a$-$b$ plane hinder magnetic order from taking place. $T_N$ is diminished and only partial ordering occurs at 20 K. Note that below $T_N$ the remaining paramagnetic spins feel no internal field from the surrounding ordered moments (see Fig. 1). Therefore, they can be viewed as a new renormalized triangular lattice with an exchange $J_2$, that is inherently frustrated.

(ii) Kondo screening and development of the heavy-fermion state are present with characteristic temperature $T_K = 9 \text{ K}$ [7,16]. Such screening with this value of $T_K$ alone would be expected to effectively reduce the nonordered U spins at $T^* = 0.33 \text{ K}$, thereby absorbing most of the spin entropy into $\gamma$ and greatly weakening the exchange interaction between these paramagnetic moments. Hence, due to frustration and the Kondo effect, $T^*$ is much smaller than $T_N$ and most of the entropy associated with paramagnetic spins is liberated well above $T^*$, resulting in a small specific-heat feature at $T^*$.

The unusual evolution of $T^*$ with magnetic field, displayed in Fig. 4, seems to be caused by the field breaking of the Kondo singlet state, increasing the U magnetic moments, and thus increasing $T^*$. The reversal of this trend at higher magnetic field (especially pronounced for $\vec{H} \parallel \vec{b}$ orientation) is most likely due to the usual tendency of the magnetic field to suppress the antiferromagnetic order. In addition, a larger field creates Ising behavior along the field direction, eliminating the transition entirely [22].

Our observation of a second $T^*$ phase transition, possibly into a three sublattice $120^\circ$ planar ordered state with greatly reduced moments, is not in accord with calculations of Ref. [5]. While this theory uses a Kondo compensation to account for the 20 K phase transition and its unusual magnetic structure, it does not predict a second low $T$ transition at $T^* \ll T_N$. In any case, the definitive proof of a “weakened” $T^*$ phase transition requires more than specific-heat measurements. Resistivity experiments [23] do exhibit a peak in $d\rho/dT$ at 280 mK. However, anomalies have not been clearly detected in preliminary ac susceptibility [15] and $\mu$SR [24] measurements in this temperature regime. Also, neutron diffraction has not yet been performed at such low temperatures. Detailed studies of the above experimental quantities would be most useful in testing our suggestion for explaining the observed specific heat anomaly.

In conclusion, we have discovered a second low-temperature phase transition in magnetically frustrated hexagonal UNi$_4$B. The low temperature $T^* = 330 \text{ mK}$ with very large ratio $T_N/T^* = 60$, small entropy, and a nonmonotonic field dependence of the specific heat anomaly can be qualitatively explained by a combination of the Kondo screening and geometric frustration.
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