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Effect of different prokinetic agents and a novel enterokinetic agent on postoperative ileus in rats

B Y De Winter, G E Boeckxstaens, J G De Man, T G Moreels, J A J Schuurkes, T L Peeters, A G Herman, P A Pelckmans

Abstract

**Background/Aim**—The effects of different prokinetic agents, the motilide erythromycin and the substituted benzamides metoclopramide and cisapride, were investigated in a rat model of postoperative ileus. These effects were compared with that of granisetron, a 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT₃) receptor antagonist, and a novel enterokinetic agent, prucalopride, a 5-HT₄ receptor agonist.

**Methods**—Different degrees of inhibition of gastrointestinal transit, measured by the migration of Evans blue, were achieved by skin incision, laparotomy, or laparotomy plus mechanical stimulation of the gut.

**Results**—Metoclopramide decreased the transit after laparotomy with or without mechanical stimulation, whereas cisapride increased it after all three operations. Granisetron had no effect on the transit after the three operations when given alone. Prucalopride tended to increase the transit after laparotomy with or without mechanical stimulation when given alone. However, statistical significance was only reached when prucalopride was combined with granisetron. Erythromycin, a motilin receptor agonist, did not improve postoperative ileus in the rat.

**Conclusions**—Cisapride, but not metoclopramide or erythromycin, is able to improve postoperative ileus in the rat. The results suggest that a combination of 5-HT₃ receptor agonist and 5-HT₄ receptor agonist properties may be required to obtain a beneficial effect on surgery induced ileus in the rat. Furthermore, they indirectly indicate that stimulation of the excitatory mechanisms is not able to overcome the inhibitory influence of the neural reflex pathways activated during abdominal surgery.

**Keywords**: ileus; motilin; cisapride; metoclopramide; 5-HT₃, receptor; 5-HT₄ receptor

Substituted benzamides, such as metoclopramide and cisapride, are prokinetics which are often used to treat upper abdominal symptoms related to delayed gastric emptying. These agents possess 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT₃) receptor antagonist and 5-HT₄ receptor agonist properties.¹² Their antiemetic activity probably results from 5-HT₃ receptor antagonism.¹² In addition, 5-HT₄ receptor antagonists are able to accelerate gastric emptying in some species such as the rat.¹⁶ Their prokinetic activity mainly results from 5-HT₄ receptor agonism.¹³ The prokinetic benzamides probably enhance stomach motility in humans by enhancing cholinergic transmission possibly by stimulating neuronal 5-HT₃ receptors. In the human colon, the benzamides cause relaxation of the circular colonic smooth muscles in vitro. Apparently in contrast, cisapride mildly stimulates lower gut motility and is moderately effective in the treatment of constipation. It is suggested that additional mechanisms may explain the effects of prokinetics on lower gut motility.²

5-HT₄ receptor activation can cause relaxation or contraction depending on the region, cell type, and species under study. So far, our knowledge on the distribution and exact localization of the 5-HT₄ receptors in humans is limited. In human tissues, the effects of selective 5-HT₄ receptor agonists suggest that these receptors are present on jejunal mucosa, ileal mucosa, gastric cholinergic neurones, and circular colonic muscles.¹–³ In general, increased motor activity following 5-HT₄ receptor activation results from increased release of acetylcholine from cholinergic neurones, and relaxation results from activation of 5-HT₄ receptors on smooth muscle cells. In humans, mice, and dogs, selective 5-HT₄ receptor agonists have been shown to accelerate colonic transit.¹⁴–¹⁵ They initiate a peristaltic reflex in humans and guinea pigs.¹⁷–¹⁸ In the rat gastrointestinal tract, 5-HT₄ receptor agonists stimulate gastric emptying.¹¹–¹¹

Recently, a new 5-HT₄ receptor agonist, prucalopride, was introduced.¹²–¹³ It is the first representative of the novel class of benzofurans, and is a highly specific and selective 5-HT₄ receptor agonist. It has been shown to accelerate delayed gastric emptying and to induce giant migrating contractions in dogs.¹⁴–¹⁵ It has also been shown to shorten orocaecal and whole gut transit time in humans.¹⁶ These enterokinetic properties may be of great importance for the treatment of motor disorders characterised by decreased motility. Postoperative ileus is a common complication after abdominal surgery and is defined as inhibition of the propulsive intestinal motility. It is generally accepted to result from activation of inhibitory neural reflex pathways involving inhibitory adrenergic neurones.¹⁷ We have previously shown the involvement of adrenergic and nitricere neurones in the pathogenesis of

**Abbreviation used in this paper**: 5-HT₃, 5-hydroxytryptamine.
They then underwent a skin incision, laparotomy, or laparotomy plus mechanical stimulation. The second group received an intravenous injection of metoclopramide 30 mg/kg one minute before the operation. The third group received an intravenous injection of cisapride 1 mg/kg one minute before the operation. The dose inducing 50% of the maximum effect (ED$_{50}$) for stimulation of gastric emptying in the rat has previously been shown to be 1–1.5 mg/kg for cisapride and approximately eight times higher for metoclopramide. However, in preliminary experiments, no prokinetic effect of metoclopramide 10 mg/kg could be demonstrated in control rats (data not shown), therefore we increased the dose to 30 mg/kg.

In a second series of experiments, we tested the effect of the 5-HT$_3$ receptor antagonist granisetron. The rats were divided randomly into three groups. Rats in the first group served as a control and were injected with vehicle one minute before the operation. The second group was injected intravenously with granisetron 10 µg/kg and the third group with granisetron 50 µg/kg one minute before the operation. The ED$_{50}$ value for stimulation of gastric emptying in rats for granisetron is 10 µg/kg.

In a third series of experiments, we tested the effect of the selective 5-HT$_3$ receptor agonist, prucalopride. Prucalopride (R093877/R108512) is a newly synthesised enterokinetic agent and a benzofuran derivative with the chemical structure 4-amino-5-chloro-2,3-dihydro-N-[1(3-methoxypropyl)-4-piperidinyl]-7-benzofurancarboxamide monochloride. The rats were divided randomly into three groups. The first group received an intravenous injection of vehicle and served as a control. The second group was injected intravenously with prucalopride 1 mg/kg and the third group with prucalopride 5 mg/kg one minute before the operation. The dose of prucalopride was based on gastric emptying studies using a non-caloric meal in rats (data on file at Janssen Research Foundation, Beerse, Belgium).

In a fourth series of experiments, we tested the effect of a combination of the 5-HT$_3$ receptor antagonist and the 5-HT$_3$ receptor agonist on intestinal transit in rats. The rats were divided randomly into two groups. Rats in the first group served as a control and were injected intravenously with vehicle. The second group received an intravenous injection of granisetron 50 µg/kg immediately followed by an intravenous injection of prucalopride 1 mg/kg one minute before the operation.

In a fifth series of experiments, the effect of erythromycin, a motilin receptor agonist, was tested on intestinal transit of Evans blue. The rats were randomly divided into two groups. Rats in the first group served as a control and received an intravenous injection of vehicle in a tail vein. They then had a skin incision, laparotomy, or laparotomy plus mechanical stimulation. The second group received an intravenous injection of erythromycin 1 mg/kg one minute before the operation. This dose has
previously been shown to induce a prokinetic effect in dogs, rabbits, and humans. \textsuperscript{25–27} CHIMICALS USED
The following chemicals were used: diethyl ether, L-ascorbic acid (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany), erythromycin lactobionate (Erythrocine; S A Abbott, Saint-Remy, France), Evans blue (Sigma, St Louis, Missouri, USA), granisetron hydrochloride (Kytril; Smithkline Beecham Pharma, Genval, Belgium), metoclopramide hydrochloride (Alpha Pharma, Zevgegem, Belgium), NaCl 0.9\% (Plurule) and sterile water (Baxter, Lessines, Belgium). Prucalopride was kindly provided by J Dierckens (Smithkline Beecham Pharma). Cisapride (R051619) and prucalopride (R093877/R108512) were kindly provided by Janssen Research Foundation. Prucalopride was dissolved in sterile water, and cisapride was dissolved in 0.57 M ascorbic acid. All other drugs were dissolved in 0.9\% NaCl.

PRESENTATION OF RESULTS AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
The total length of the small intestine was not statistically different between the groups (data not shown). Therefore results are expressed as distance (cm) migrated by Evans blue. The measurements were made from the pylorus to the most distal point of migration. Group differences were assessed by simple factorial analysis of variance followed by unpaired Student’s t test or by one way analysis of variance followed by the Bonferroni test for multiple comparisons. Values are shown as mean (SEM). \( p < 0.05 \) was considered to be significant. All data were analysed with the SPSS for windows software (SPSS Inc, Chicago, Illinois, USA).

Figure 1 Effect of skin incision (SI), laparotomy (LAP), or laparotomy plus mechanical stimulation of the small intestine and caecum (L+M) on intestinal transit in control rats \( (n = 9–10) \) and rats treated with metoclopramide \( (30 \text{ mg/kg}; n = 9) \) or cisapride \( (1 \text{ mg/kg}; n = 9–10) \). Results are expressed as cm migration of Evans blue and shown as mean (SEM). *Significantly different from the transit in control rats with the same operation \( (p < 0.05) \); †significantly different from the transit in rats treated with metoclopramide with the same operation \( (p < 0.05) \) (one way analysis of variance followed by the Bonferroni test).

Results
EFFECT OF METOCLOPRAMIDE AND CISAPRIDE ON INTESTINAL TRANSIT
In control rats, transit after skin incision was 57.8 (2.1) cm \( (n = 10) \). It was significantly decreased by laparotomy to 34.6 (2.4) cm \( (n = 9) \). This inhibition of transit was even more pronounced after laparotomy plus mechanical stimulation 19.4 (2.4) cm \( (n = 9) \) (fig 1). Metoclopramide 30 mg/kg significantly increased transit after skin incision from 57.8 (2.1) cm \( (n = 10) \) in control rats to 71.3 (3.5) cm \( (n = 9) \) (fig 1). However, it further inhibited transit after laparotomy with or without mechanical stimulation: after laparotomy, transit was 20.8 (2.4) cm in rats treated with metoclopramide compared with 34.6 (2.4) cm in control rats, and after laparotomy plus mechanical stimulation, transit was 7.6 (1.6) cm in rats treated with metoclopramide compared with 19.4 (2.4) cm in control rats \( (n = 9) \) (fig 1).

Cisapride 1 mg/kg significantly increased transit after the three operations. It was 71.4 (2.6) cm \( (n = 9) \) after the skin incision, 51.6 (2.9) cm \( (n = 10) \) after laparotomy, and 28.9 (3.1) cm \( (n = 10) \) after laparotomy plus mechanical stimulation (fig 1).

The significant differences between the transit after skin incision and that after laparotomy with or without mechanical stimulation remained significant in the different treatment groups. Also the difference between transit after laparotomy and that after laparotomy plus mechanical stimulation remained significant in the three different groups.

EFFECT OF GRANISETRON ON INTESTINAL TRANSIT
Granisetron had no effect on transit after the skin incision, laparotomy, or laparotomy plus mechanical stimulation. Transit after skin incision tended to increase but statistical significance was not reached: transit was 61.4 (4.0) cm \( (n = 10) \) in control rats, 65.6 (4.3) cm \( (n = 9) \) in rats treated with granisetron 10 µg/kg, and 68.7 (4.0) cm \( (n = 10) \) in rats treated with granisetron 50 µg/kg (fig 2). Granisetron at a...
laparotomy was significantly increased from \( (n = 10, \text{fig } 4) \). However, the transit after laparotomy plus mechanical stimulation (\( n = 9 \), \text{fig } 2) \) remained significant in the different treatment groups.

**EFFECT OF PRUCALOPRIDE ON INTESTINAL TRANSIT**

Prucalopride had no effect on transit after skin incision at either dose (1 and 5 mg/kg) used. Transit was comparable with that (63.6 (3.7) cm) in control rats after skin incision (\( n = 9 \), \text{fig } 3). Transit after laparotomy was significantly increased by prucalopride 1 mg/kg from 37.4 (3.1) cm in control rats to 49.9 (2.8) cm (\( n = 9 \), \text{fig } 3). However, the increase produced by the 5 mg/kg dose was not significantly different: the transit was increased to 45.6 (3.4) cm (\( n = 9 \), \text{fig } 3). The transit after laparotomy plus mechanical stimulation tended to increase after treatment with prucalopride, but statistical significance was not reached. The transit was 17.9 (2.4) cm in control rats, 20.6 (1.7) cm after treatment with prucalopride 1 mg/kg, and 23.9 (2.5) cm after treatment with prucalopride 5 mg/kg (\( n = 9 \), \text{fig } 3).

The differences between the transit after skin incision and that after laparotomy with or without mechanical stimulation, as well as the difference between transit after laparotomy alone and that after laparotomy plus mechanical stimulation remained significant in the different treatment groups.

**EFFECT OF A COMBINATION OF GRANISETRON AND PRUCALOPRIDE ON INTESTINAL TRANSIT**

The combination of the 5-HT\(_4\) receptor antagonist granisetron (50 \( \mu \)g/kg) and the 5-HT\(_4\) receptor agonist prucalopride (1 mg/kg) had no effect on the transit after skin incision (\( n = 10 \), \text{fig } 4). However, the transit after laparotomy was significantly increased from 37.5 (2.8) cm in control rats to 45.5 (1.6) cm (\( n = 10 \), \text{fig } 4). Transit after laparotomy plus mechanical stimulation was also significantly increased by this treatment from 17.4 (2.2) cm (\( n = 10 \)) in control rats to 24.6 (1.8) cm (\( n = 9 \)) (\text{fig } 4).

In both groups, the differences between the transit after skin incision and that after laparotomy with or without mechanical stimulation and the difference between the transit after laparotomy alone and that after laparotomy plus mechanical stimulation remained significant, indicating that the combination treatment was not able completely to reverse the transit inhibition caused by the abdominal operations.

**EFFECT OF ERYTHROMYCIN ON INTESTINAL TRANSIT**

In control rats, transit after skin incision was 59.9 (3.0) cm. That after laparotomy was significantly decreased to 34.8 (3.9) cm. This transit inhibition was even more pronounced when the laparotomy was associated with mechanical stimulation of the intestine (16.2
Treatments for postoperative ileus

Erythromycin 1 mg/kg had no effect on the transit after the three operations (n = 9, fig 5). Erythromycin, a motilide, had no effect on transit in either normal conditions or after abdominal surgery. Similarly, Plourde et al. could not show any improvement in gastric emptying in the rat after abdominal surgery even if the rats were treated with a higher concentration (40 mg/kg) of erythromycin. Although erythromycin induced an increase in the motility index of the small intestine in the rat in one study, several other studies failed to show a prokinetic action of erythromycin in the rat. These results may suggest that the rat is not an ideal species in which to study the effects of motilides, although motilin immunoreactivity has been shown in the rat small intestine. In humans also, treatment with erythromycin did not alter the clinical variables.
of gastrointestinal motility after abdominal surgery, \textsuperscript{26-30} despite the acceleration of gastric emptying in healthy subjects \textsuperscript{31} and in patients with diabetic gastroparesis.\textsuperscript{32} \textsuperscript{33} Therefore the efficacy of erythromycin or other motilides in the treatment of postoperative ileus remains to be proved.

In conclusion, of the prokinetics studied, only cisapride is of use in the treatment of postoperative ileus. Our study suggests that a combination of 5-HT\textsubscript{4} receptor antagonist and 5-HT\textsubscript{3} receptor agonist may be required to obtain a beneficial effect on surgery induced ileus in the rat. Although prokinetics have a beneficial effect in the treatment of gastrointestinal disorders, they may be of limited use in the treatment of postoperative ileus. Their clinical relevance remains to be proved. Our results indirectly indicate that stimulation of excitatory neurones is not able to overcome completely the inhibitory influence of the neural reflex pathways activated by abdominal surgery. However, it is worth investigating further the effects of novel enterokinetics that have profound effects on colonic motility in humans.
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