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Safe discharge from the cardiac emergency room with a rapid rule-out myocardial infarction protocol using serial CK-MB<sub>mass</sub>

R Bholasingh, R J de Winter, J C Fischer, R W Koster, R J G Peters, G T Sanders

Abstract
Objective—To determine whether a new protocol, using a rapid and sensitive CK-MB<sub>mass</sub> assay and serial sampling, can rule out myocardial infarction in patients with chest pain and decrease their length of stay in the cardiac emergency room without increasing risk.

Design—The combined incidence of cardiac death and acute myocardial infarction at 30 days, six months, and 24 months of follow up were compared between patients discharged home from the cardiac emergency room after ruling out myocardial infarction with a CK-MB<sub>activity</sub> assay in 1994 and those discharged home after a rapid CK-MB<sub>mass</sub> assay in 1996.

Setting—Cardiac emergency room of a large university hospital.

Patients—In 1994 and 1996, 230 and 423 chest pain patients, respectively, were discharged home from the cardiac emergency room with a normal CK-MB<sub>mass</sub> and an uneventful observation period.

Results—The median length of stay in the cardiac emergency room was significantly reduced, from 16.0 hours in 1994 to 9.0 hours in 1996 (p < 0.0001). Mean event rates in patients from the 1994 and 1996 cohorts, respectively, were 0.9% (95% confidence interval (CI) −0.3% to 2.1%) vs 0.7% (95% CI −0.1% to 1.5%) at 30 days, 3.0% (95% CI 0.8% to 5.2%) vs 2.8% (95% CI 1.2% to 4.4%) at six months, and 7.0% (95% CI 3.7% to 10.3%) vs 5.7% (95% CI 3.5% to 7.9%) at 24 months. Kaplan–Meier survival analysis showed no difference in mean event-free survival at 30 days, six months, and 24 months of follow up.

Conclusions—Using a rule-out myocardial infarction protocol with a rapid and sensitive CK-MB<sub>mass</sub> assay and serial sampling, the length of stay of patients with chest pain in the cardiac emergency room can be reduced without compromising safety.
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It is important to rule out acute myocardial infarction early in the triage of patients presenting with chest pain. Patients at low risk of a cardiac event<sup>1</sup> can then be discharged home safely, while those at intermediate or high risk should be admitted for further management.

Several biochemical markers can be used to rule out myocardial infarction in the early stages. These are cardiac troponins<sup>2–5</sup>, myoglobin<sup>1,4</sup> and the creatine kinase MB isoenzyme (CK-MB)<sup>3,4</sup>. In a recent study by Zimmerman and colleagues<sup>3</sup>, although the most sensitive early marker of myocardial infarction was the analysis of CK-MB subforms (91% at six hours after the onset of symptoms), CK-MB<sub>mass</sub> was used as the diagnostic standard “because it has been the diagnostic standard worldwide for more than two decades and because extensive clinical and experimental evidence indicates increased plasma CK-MB reflects infarction.” Hamm and colleagues recently reported that cardiac troponins may also be effective for early triage<sup>1</sup>, and they showed that patients with chest pain in the emergency room are at low risk of subsequent cardiac events after negative (serial) troponin T or I test results.

It has been found that the sensitivity and specificity of cardiac markers for the early diagnosis of myocardial infarction change rapidly in the first six hours after the onset of symptoms<sup>1,4</sup>, so serial measurements may increase the sensitivity of these tests for detecting myocardial infarction.<sup>3</sup> Rule-out protocols have most often relied on serial CK-MB measurements.<sup>7,10–12</sup> With the development of a new, rapid, and sensitive CK-MB<sub>mass</sub> assay, early triage of patients presenting with chest pain is feasible and may result in a reduction in the length of stay in the cardiac emergency room.

In the present study, we evaluated prospectively a new rule-out myocardial infarction protocol which was introduced into our cardiac emergency room in 1995. This replaced the CK-MB<sub>activity</sub> assay with a rapid and sensitive CK-MB<sub>mass</sub> assay and serial sampling. Our aim in this study was to determine whether this protocol decreased the length of stay in the cardiac emergency room while preserving the overall risk assessment.

Methods
To assess the efficacy and safety of the new rule-out myocardial infarction protocol, we designed a prospective 30 days, six months, and 24 months follow up study of all patients who were discharged home from our cardiac emergency room during 1994 and 1996.
PATIENTS
Patients presenting with chest pain to our cardiac emergency room usually stay for observation to exclude severe instability and to rule out myocardial infarction before they are discharged home. Our cardiac emergency room is a five bed department equipped with ECG monitoring, where patients can remain under observation for a maximum of 24 hours. In 1995, a new rule-out myocardial infarction protocol using serial CK-MB mass was introduced.

In 1994, blood samples were routinely drawn at admission and at 6, 12, 18, and 24 hours after the onset of symptoms to measure CK-MB mass. The results were made available twice daily: at 11.00 and 16.00 hours.

In 1996, blood samples were drawn on admission and at 5, 7, and 10 hours after the onset of symptoms to measure CK-MB mass. The results were made available within one hour from blood sampling, the last result being available 11 hours after the onset of symptoms.

Patients with chest pain were included in the present study when they were discharged home from the cardiac emergency room after normal CK-MB measurements and an uneventful observation period. These patients were followed up. Patients with abnormal or nondiagnostic ECGs were included in both cohorts. Patients were excluded if they were transferred to the coronary care unit. Patients were transferred to the coronary care unit for the following reasons: if there was evidence of minor myocardial damage or myocardial infarction; if there was unstable angina defined as recurrent symptoms together with concomitant dynamic ECG changes; or on the basis of the clinical judgement of the attending physician.

For patients who presented more than once to the cardiac emergency room, only the first admission was included.

An exercise tolerance test was not routinely performed in all patients before discharge home from the cardiac emergency room but was available at the request of the attending physician. In addition, on discharge the patients usually received drug treatment and an appointment for the outpatient clinic, at the discretion of the attending physician.

The study was approved by the locally appointed ethics committee and informed consent was obtained.

ASSAYS
1994 Cohort
CK-MB mass was determined using an ion exchange column chromatography method, the CK-MM and CK-MB fractions being separated on a Sephadex A-50 column. After separation, the activities were measured with a commercial kit (CPK, acetylcysteine activated, product 124184, Boehringer Mannheim, Germany). The reaction was started by the addition of creatine phosphate and the activities were measured at 340 nm in a spectrophotometer. The upper limit for myocardial infarction was 8.0 U/l. Precision, as expressed by the coefficient of variation, was 13.5% at the level of 6.31 U/l. Assay temperature was 37°C.

1996 Cohort
CK-MB mass was measured with the Immuno-1 analyser (Bayer, Leverkusen, Germany). The upper limit for myocardial infarction was 7.0 µg/l. Precision (coefficient of variation) was 2.5% at the level of 5.0 µg/l. Assay temperature was 37°C. An increase in serial CK-MB mass values larger than the reference change value (2.0 µg/l) was considered abnormal.

Myocardial infarction was defined according to the World Health Organization criteria. Minor myocardial damage was defined either as a typical rise and fall of CK-MB mass with a peak about the upper limit of normal but less than or equal to twice the upper limit of normal, or as a significant increase in serial CK-MB mass values of more than the reference change value.

FOLLOW UP
The 30 days, six months, and 24 months follow-up assessments were made by telephone interview with either the patient, the patient’s relatives, the cardiologist, or the patient’s general practitioner. In case of a cardiac event, either the medical records were reviewed or the patient’s general practitioner or cardiologist was consulted to confirm the diagnosis. A cardiac event was defined as non-fatal myocardial infarction or as death from cardiac causes (fatal myocardial infarction, sudden cardiac death, or death from other cardiac causes), which occurred after discharge from the cardiac emergency room.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
Comparisons of frequency distribution between groups were performed with the χ2 test. To assess event-free survival, Kaplan–Meier curves were constructed for the cardiac events, and differences in mean survival were compared using the log-rank test. Difference between median lengths of stay was assessed by the Mann–Whitney U test.

Results
In 1994, 1892 patients presented at the cardiac emergency room. Of these, 1109 (59%) had chest pain and 393 (35%) were admitted to the coronary care unit. The other patients with chest pain were either discharged from the card-
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Cardiac events (cardiac death and non-fatal acute myocardial infarction) in the 1994 and 1996 cohorts during 30 days, 6 months, and 24 months of follow up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>Cardiac event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994 (n=230)</td>
<td>CD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996 (n=423)</td>
<td>MI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD, cardiac death; MI, acute myocardial infarction.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In 1994, 2266 patients presented at the cardiac emergency room. Of these, 1162 (51%) had chest pain and 398 (34%) were admitted to the coronary care unit. The other patients with chest pain were either discharged from the cardiac emergency room without CK-MB measurements because of the diagnosis atypical or non-cardiac chest pain (341 of 1162 (29%)), or they were included in the rule-out myocardial infarction protocol and remained under observation at the cardiac emergency room (423 of 1162 (36%)).

Thus, according to the inclusion criteria, the 1994 cohort comprised 230 patients and the 1996 cohort comprised 423 patients. The baseline characteristics are shown in table 1: diabetes mellitus and hypertension were more prevalent in the 1996 cohort (15% v 7%, respectively (p = 0.004), and 30% v 21% (p = 0.02)), whereas a history of myocardial infarction was more prevalent in the 1994 cohort (36% v 24% (p = 0.001)). Other characteristics were not different between the two cohorts.

In 1994, using the CK-MB <em>activity</em> assay to rule out myocardial infarction, the median length of stay in the cardiac emergency room was 16.0 hours (range 1.25–47.2 hours). In 1996, using the new, rapid, and sensitive CK-MB <em>mass</em> assay, the median length of stay in the cardiac emergency room was significantly reduced by 44% to a median of 9.0 hours (range 1.0–52.0 hours) (p < 0.0001).

Follow up of the 1994 and the 1996 cohorts was, respectively, 95% and 99% complete. The cardiac events in both cohorts which occurred during 30 days, 6 months, and 24 months of follow up are shown in table 2:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3</th>
<th>Cardiac events in the 1994 cohort (n=16): patient characteristics and days of follow up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Age (years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CABG, coronary artery bypass grafting; CD, cardiac death; CE, cardiac event; DM, diabetes mellitus; MI, acute myocardial infarction; PTCA, percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty; +, present; –, absent.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4</th>
<th>Cardiac events in the 1996 cohort (n=24): patient characteristics and days of follow up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Age (years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
during the follow up are shown in tables 2, 3, and 4. At the 30 days follow up, two of 230 patients (0.9%, 95% confidence interval (CI) −0.3% to 2.1%) from the 1994 cohort experienced an event, compared with three of 423 (0.7%, 95% CI −0.1% to 1.5%) from the 1996 cohort (NS). At the six months follow up, the event rates were 7/230 (3.0%, 95% CI 0.8% to 5.2%) v 12/423 (2.8%, 95% CI 1.2% to 4.4%) (NS). At the 24 months follow up, the event rates were 16/230 (7.0%, 95% CI 3.7% to 10.3%) v 24/423 (5.7%, 95% CI 3.5% to 7.9%) (NS).

The Kaplan–Meier survival analysis (log-rank test) showed no difference in mean event-free survival between the two cohorts at the 30 days follow up (p = 0.81) (Kaplan–Meier survival curve not shown), at the six months follow up (p = 0.83), and at the 24 months follow up (p = 0.56) (fig 1).

During the six months follow up, events occurred at a median of 77 days (range 8–177 days) in the 1994 cohort and at a median of 70 days (range 19–145 days) (p = 0.9) in the 1996 cohort, with an equal distribution over time.

Interestingly, in all patients who experienced a cardiac event during the entire follow up, coronary artery disease was either documented before the index cardiac emergency room admission or was established as the discharge diagnosis.

**Discussion**

Our data show that the application of a rule-out myocardial infarction protocol with a rapid and sensitive CK-MB<sub>mass</sub> assay and serial sampling can significantly reduce the length of stay of patients with chest pain in the cardiac emergency room without compromising safety. The incidence of events in patients discharged home from the cardiac emergency room was low during the 30 days, six months, and 24 months follow up.

Several triage protocols have been evaluated to identify low risk patients with chest pain and recommendations have been made. To identify low risk patients, it is as important to rule out myocardial infarction using cardiac markers as it is to exclude severe instability by means of an observation period in the cardiac emergency room. Early identification of low risk patients may result in a reduction in the length of stay in hospital (or in the cardiac emergency room). Different cardiac marker test policies may affect the length of stay. The length of stay may be reduced significantly either when the results of serial measurements are made available in the morning as opposed to the end of the day, or when the testing policy is changed from batch to random access. Apple and colleagues compared a CK-MB<sub>mass</sub> assay with a rapid and sensitive CK-MB<sub>mass</sub> assay (Stratus, Dade Behring, USA) in a small study group (65 patients) admitted to a coronary care unit. Results of CK-MB<sub>mass</sub> were made available once daily, whereas those of CK-MB<sub>mass</sub> were made available twice daily, and it was possible to obtain the result from a single specimen within 10 minutes. These investigators showed that the rapid and sensitive CK-MB<sub>mass</sub> assay improved triage and management of these patients, resulting in a reduction of between 3 and 23 hours in the length of stay in the coronary care unit and a reduction in costs compared with the CK-MB<sub>mass</sub> assay. Their general conclusion that a frequent and rapid testing policy, using a sensitive assay, is associated with a shorter length of stay in the hospital are in accordance with our results in the present study, which involved larger study cohorts.

Serial CK-MB measurements have been used for several years as the gold standard for the diagnosis of myocardial infarction. In addition, serial CK-MB measurements may be used effectively in early triage of patients with chest pain by ruling out myocardial infarction. Other cardiac markers that may be used as well for early triage are, for example, myoglobin and cardiac troponin I. Myoglobin is an early marker but it is not heart specific. On the other hand, the troponins are heart specific and have the ability to detect minor myocardial damage, although the biological and analytic variation of the cardiac troponin I assays has not yet been established and cardiac troponins are relatively late markers of myocardial infarction. However, for routine diagnosis of myocardial infarction an earlier marker has been recommended, such as CK-MB, which has additional advantages of established biological and analytic characteristics and the ability to diagnose minor myocardial damage.

Polanczyk and colleagues evaluated a strategy for using CK-MB<sub>mass</sub> and troponin I in patients presenting with chest pain. According to this strategy, patients were divided into four different risk groups for cardiac events occurring within 72 hours after admission. Positive troponin I values did not have additional value in low risk patients presenting with chest pain without evidence of acute ischaemia on an admission ECG and with normal CK-MB<sub>mass</sub> values (event rate 6% in the presence of positive troponin I vs 4% in presence of negative troponin I values). In addition, normal tro-
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Critical discharge of myocardial infarction patients did not rule out the risk for a cardiac event during 72 hours after admission (event rate 4%). These cardiac events occurred during the observation period up to 72 hours after admission. In the present study, these high-risk patients were excluded; they were admitted to the coronary care unit for further evaluation and treatment. Furthermore, the event rate of 4% in the first 72 hours after admission is high compared with the 30 day event rate of 0.9% in the 1994 cohort and 0.7% in the 1996 cohort in the present study. This may reflect the definition used for cardiac events by Polanczyk and colleagues, which also included, for example, ventricular arrhythmias and revascularisation. These data on troponin were recently confirmed by Johnson and colleagues using troponin T.

In another study, with long term follow-up, Ravkilde and associates evaluated the prognostic value of increased serum levels of the sensitive markers CK-MBmax and troponin T in rule-out myocardial infarction patients. Myocardial infarction was ruled out if serial CK and CK-MBactivity values were normal during the sampling period of 48 hours. During a follow-up of 28 months, 11 of 124 patients (8.9%) without a myocardial infarct experienced a cardiac event. Dividing the rule-out myocardial infarction group into subgroups, the cardiac event rate was 3.4% in patients with negative CK-MBmax and 5.1% in those with negative troponin T; these values are comparable with the 24 months event rates of 7.0% (95% CI 3.7% to 10.3%) in the 1994 cohort, and 5.7% (95% CI 3.5% to 7.9%) in the 1996 cohort, which we found in the present study.

Recently, cardiac troponins (troponin I and T) have been shown to have a high sensitivity and specificity for detecting myocardial infarction and identifying patients at risk for a cardiac event. Hamm and colleagues reported that in patients presenting with acute chest pain in the emergency room, the incidence of events at 30 days was very low in those with a negative troponin T or I: 1.1% (7/650) in patients with negative troponin T tests, and 0.3% (2/602) in those with negative troponin I tests. The follow-up in this study was 97.2% complete. Cardiac events that occurred within the first 24 hours of hospital admission were excluded, and all patients—including those with a normal troponin result—were in fact admitted to the hospital. In our present study, the event rates at 30 days were comparable with the event rate in the study by Hamm and colleagues: 0.9% (2/230) in patients with a negative CK-MBmass test (1994 cohort), and 0.7% (3/423) in patients with a negative CK-MBmass test (1996 cohort). These low event rates were accomplished using a carefully applied protocol which is integrated into everyday clinical routine in our cardiac emergency room. The protocol requires the time of onset of symptoms to be estimated as accurately as possible in patients presenting with chest pain. The subsequent timing of blood samples was performed relative to the time of onset of symptoms. In addition, minor myocardial damage was detected using the CK-MBmass.
not in the cardiac emergency room), which remained undetected but which might have influenced our results.

CONCLUSIONS

Our data show that, using a rule-out protocol for myocardial infarction with a rapid and sensitive CK-MBmass assay and serial sampling, the length of stay of patients with chest pain in the cardiac emergency room was significantly reduced by 44%—that is, from a median of 16.0 hours to a median of 9.0 hours, compared with the protocol used up to 1994. These patients were discharged home from the cardiac emergency room without compromising safety—the incidence of adverse events was low during the subsequent 30 days, six months, and 24 months.


